# DISCUSSION

103

01

# UNIVERSALISM,

OR A

# Defence of Orthodory

AGAINST THE HERESY OF UNIVERSALISM,

AS ADVOCATED BY MR. ABNER KNEELAND.

## IN THE DEBARE

in the universalist church, lombard street, July, 1894,

AND IN HIS VARIOUS PUBLICATIONS,

as also in those of Mr. Ballou, and others.

THE PROPERTY OF THE IMPRESSION TO SO TO THE PURDS OF THE POUND MEN'S RO-MARKE MISSIONARY SOCIETY, SOMEONED OF DIFFERENT REMOMINATIONS.

BY W. L. MCALLA,

PHILADELPHIA: PRINTED BY JOHN TOURS, 54, NORTH THIRD STREET. 1825.

### Eastern District of Pennsylvania, to wit:



Be it remembered, that on the twenty-fourth day of January, in the forty-ninth year of the Independence of the United States of America, A. D. 1825, WILLIAM LATTA MCAILA, of the said District, hath deposited in this Office the Title of a Book, the right whereof he claims as Author, in the words following. to wit :

"A Discussion of Universalism; or a Defence of Orthodoxy, against the "Heresy of Universalism, as advocated by Mr. Abner Kneeland, in the Debate in "the Universalist Church in Lombard-street, July, 1894, and in his various publications, as also, in those of Mr. Ballou and others. The profits of the impression to go to the Funds of the Young Men's Domestic Missionary Society, composed of different denominations. By W. L. M'Calla."

In conformity to the Act of the Congress of the United States, emittled, "An Act for the Encouragement of Learning, by securing the Copies of Maps, Charts, and Books to the Anthors and Proprietors of such Copies, during the times therein mentioned;"—And also to the Act, entitled, "An Act for the Encouragement of Learning, by securing the Copies of Maps, Charts, and Books to the Authors and Proprietors of such Copies during the times therein mentioned," and extending the Benefits thereof to the Arts of designing, engraying, and ed," and extending the Benefits thereof to the Arts of designing, engraving, and etching historical and other prints."

D. CALDWELL, Clerk of the Eastern District of Pennsylvania.

#### PREFACE.

SHORTLY after my arrival in this place, last May, information was received from various quarters, that Mr. Kneeland had long been in the habit of defying the armies of the living God, and of glorying in their silence as the effect of conscious guilt and error. Aware of my own weakness, but confiding in the Great Head of the Church, it was impossible to conceal my desire that he would challenge me. A mutual friend gave him an intimation of my willingness to accept a personal invitation, His general challenge was then made the but without effect. ground of a correspondence which terminated in a public con-This was not, by any means, intended to supercede the necessity of a printed defence, but to excite the public attention to such a work, and to make it more worthy of their patronage, as well as to silence the audacious boasting of this enemy of God and man. He soon betrayed a great anxiety to terminate the debate. After several unsuccessful efforts, he cut it short by virtually closing the door of his desk upon me. Sickness and the heat of the city soon obliged me to retire to the country. This was called a retreat, and it was boldly and publicly denied that the doors of the church were shut upon me. To settle these points, an offer was made to resume the discussion, which offer he was To retrieve their loss, a Universalist very far from accepting. preacher, a pretended stenographer, was employed to write the'debate in such a way as to transfer the victory from one side to the Although he at first promised verbal accuracy, he at last professed to give the argument only: but this was as far beyond his capacity as it was contrary to his wish. The performance of his enterprise with fidelity and ability, would have been much more gratifying to me and my friends than to him and his. every one conversant with such matters, knows that in such discussions, an argument is more diluted than it should be when committed to paper; and that it is not necessary to record repeated refutations of the same error, which were made necessary in debate, in order to meet the extemporaneous and reiterated effusions of heretical sophistry. Although the employed stenographer professed to do justice to my argument, he has been guilty of such omissions and interpolations, transpositions and alterations, as were calculated to destroy it. While, for the sake of perspi-

cuity and precision, I take the liberty of arranging and condensing the matter of the discussion, in such a manner as is suitable to written composition, the hearer will see that real justice is done to the argument on both sides. As my opponent has had a full opportunity of speaking for himself, so, in revising and correcting the work of his employed Reporter, he has had a full opportunity of writing for himself. To copy all that he has published, through his stenographer, for himself and for me, is not Any one who has read those drowsy pages will readily excuse me, and any one who has not, may see a fair specimen in the piece signed Long-hand, published in the Democratic Press, of Sept. 22nd, and copied in No. 21, of the Introductory Documents in this work. This is one of the least important of many newspaper publications connected with this controversy, with which it is thought proper to occupy the first part of this volume. For reasons explained in the introductory documents, its bulk will also be increased by additional matter both in confirmation and refutation; and the latter of these shall now take the precedency, as the arguments of my epponent are all before me, in what he calls "as faithful a report as ever was made."

#### INTRODUCTORY DOCUMENTS.

# No. 1. THE CHALLENGE.

In the Philadelphia edition of Buck's Theological Dictionary, by Edwin T. Scott, 1823, Mr. Kneeland, under the article Universalists, boasts that several works written by himself and Mr. Ballou, "have never been answered." He was also in the habit of giving challenges from the pulpit, and he and his followers were in the practice of beasting that they were not accepted. In a note attached to his 8th Lecture, he says, " at each publication, the learned clergy have been respectfully called upon to show wherein these statements are incorrect. They have not seen fit to do it; and it is believed, for this good reason, because they know the statements are true. As, therefore, the most important facts contained in this Lecture have been more than thirteen years before the public, and yet remain uncontroverted, they now come forth with this additional evidence of their truth. Because it is fair to presume (the facts here stated being so important in themselves to the cause of religion) that if they could have been contradicted, with any colour of evidence. they would have been before this time." The following are extracts from the preface to his Lectures, viz. " The work has had an opportunity to be fully tested by public opinion; and netwithstanding the substance of the eighth Lecture (which is the most important of any in point of doctrine,) has been before the public nearly twenty years, and it is now more than five years since this work was first publish. ed, yet no one has attempted to point out a single error, in relation to the facts as herein stated, or to shew that any of the arguments are either unfounded or inconclusive. is considered as a silent acknowledgement, that in the opinion of the clergy generally, the work is unanswerable: otherwise, being so often and so respectfully called upon to consider the doctrine and arguments here advanced, and point out the errors, if there be any, it is difficult to account

for their silence on this subject." "He therefore once more respectfully invites and intreats the clergy of other denominations, or some one of them, the more learned the better, to discuss this important subject with him, and to point out to him and the public, the supposed errors of the following work."

#### No. 2.

#### THE ACCEPTANCE.

MR. KNEBLAND,

It is said that the Universalists have two Churches in the city, and there is a report in circulation that permission has been asked and obtained for me to preach in one of them. If I ever gave leave to any of my friends to make this request for me, (which is quite probable,) it was done inadvertently. But as it was granted, I take this opportunity of acknowledging the favour, and of informing you, or your friends through you, that for the present, the acceptance of it is declined. This is done, not from a belief that it is unlawful to preach our distinguishing doctrines in such a place. butb ecause I prefer coming in contact with you, in a manner which has hitherto been more agreeable to your own wishes. I am informed that you have discussed the most prominent question in your creed with several laymen, and that you have, privately and publicly, given verbal and written invitations to the clergy in general, to defend their faith in public debate. This general invitation was published. perhaps, in the first edition of your "Lectures on the doctrine of Universal Benevolence," in 1819. You tell us that this was not noticed. In the preface of your 2d edition, in the present year, you inform us, that "this is considered as a silent acknowledgment that, in the opinion of the clergy generally, the work is unanswerable: otherwise, being so often and so respectfully called upon to consider the doctrine and arguments here advanced, and point out the errors, if there be any, it is difficult to account for their silence on this subject." Subsequently, in page 198, you inform us in a note, that your principles were published in New Hampshire, in 1805, and New-York, 1816. "And at each publication the learned clergy have been respectfully called upon to shew wherein these statements are incorrect. They

have not seen fit to do it, and it is believed, for this good reason, because they know the statements are true." Accordingly, in the preface of this 2d edition, "The author," "once more, respectfully invites and entreats the clergy of other denominations, or some one of them, the more learned the better, to discuss this important subject with him; and to point out to him and the public, the supposed errors of

the following work."

When your friends witness your great anxiety to submit your sentiments to unlimited investigation by word or writing, in private or in public, they admire and praise your candour and magnanimity, in proportion as they condema our reluctance to encounter you. It is to be hoped that we shall not now change sides, and that a willingness on our part shall not cool your ardour for the contest. spare my life, it appears probable that I shall labour for some Without any claims to superior learning. time in the city. I do, after prayer and mature deliberation, feel disposed to comply with your reasonable requisition, and to gratify your repeated and urgent entreaties for a public discussion. That this may be prosecuted to advantage, it should be done in an orderly manner, according to a plan previously arranged. If you agree to such a measure, I should be glad, if, in your answer to this letter, you would give me the precise point which you mean to defend, in opposition to the absolute eternity of the sinner's future punishment. Do you plead for the annihilation of the wicked, as the Destructionists do? Do you believe in their restoration to heaven after being punished in hell? And if so, do you believe this punishment to be gratuitous, disciplinary, penitentiary, or satisfactory? Or do you believe that they are all, without going to hell, saved at death, or at the general resurrection, after receiving condign punishment on earth, or after receiving, not a condign, but a gratuitous, a penitentiary, or a disciplinary punishment? It is hoped that your answer, as soon as convenient, will prepare the way for a speedy meeting. W. L. M'CALLA.

Philadelphia, 69, North Third-street. July 2, 1824.

Mr. Abner Kneeland.

No. 3.

Mr. M'Calla,

Your letter of July 2d was received during my absence. I returned from New York last evening, and embrace the

first opportunity this morning to reply. You are under an entire mistake, sir, in supposing that I have "privately and publicly given verbal and written invitations to the clergy in general to defend their faith in public debate." I have never solicited a public debate with any man, either clergyman or levman, unless what I have written in the preface to the second edition to my lectures can be so construed. And even there the discussion is limited to the supposed errors of that work; at the same time nothing is said about a public debate. My ideas are before the public, in print, and if any important errors are contained in them, I expect they will be pointed out to me and the public in the same way; namely, from the press. That I have discussed some important doctrinal points with laymen, in a society instituted for that purpose, is true: and I wish to have it distinctly understood. that while I have never solicited a public debate with any man, I have never declined one, and should I now come in "contact" with a clergyman, in this way, it would not be the first time. What I have solicited, is to have the supposed errors of my Lectures pointed out: If that is to be the subject, the discussion must be limited to what is there written.

Should the subject of the Lectures be waived, (to which I have no objection,) I should come to the main question at once, namely, Does the law of God require that sin, committed here in time, and in this state of mortality, should be punished in eternity, or beyond death, meaning to be understood by that term, a dissolution of this mortal existence? I shall deny the existence of any such law, and consequently of any such punishment. Hence we should have no occasion to discuss either the nature or the duration of punishment, unless the fact can first be proved. I shall contend, hewever, that no punishment, as coming from God will be incompatible with infinite and divine love to the individual that is punished.

A. KNEELAND.

Philadelphia, 31 South Second st. July 7, 1824.

Mr. W. L. M'Calla.

No. 4.

Mr. KNBBLAND,

Yours of yesterday has been duly received. You inform me that you have "never solicited a public dehate with any man," though you "have never declined one;" and that you

have, before now, come in contact, in this way, both with the laity and the clergy. As you profess a willingness to do the same again, we will leave the community to judge whether all that you have said and done, and written and published, will amount to an invitation or not.

As we have soon agreed to meet, the preliminary regulations need not occasion much delay. I would propose the following.

Rules of conference adopted and signed this

day of

1824, in the city of Philadelphia, by Abner Kneeland and W. L. M'Calla. Duplicates given to the parties.

- 1. The proposition for discussion shall be as follows, viz. Is the future punishment of the wicked absolutely eternal? or is it only a temporal punishment in this world, for their good, and to be succeeded by eternal happiness after death?
- 2. Each speaker shall be entitled to an alternate address of thirty minutes, and no longer, unless the other party waive his right.
- 3. The discussion shall be moderated by three men, each of the parties choosing one, and these two a third, who shall be considered the President of the Bench.
- The discussion shall (God willing,) commence on the inst. at the Church, at half past 9 o'clock, A.
   M. and continue, if necessary until noon. It shall, if necessary, be resumed at 4, and continued until half after 6, and so on from day to day until both parties are satisfied.
- 5. The debate shall be opened and closed by one party in the forenoon and another in the afternoon. And in case of a continuance, the party who relinquished this privilege on the morning of the first day, shall, if requiring it, enjoy it on the morning of the second; and so on.

The only difficulty likely to occur in the consideration of the above rules is in the first of them, which settles the subject of discussion. In your letter you propose a question for debate, without which you say "we should have no occasion to discuss either the nature or the duration of punishment." To this I answer that the duration of punishment is the very point in question between us, and the only one on which I am willing to meet you: and of course I should not wish to turn my attention to one which would exclude it. This is the very feature which distinguishes the Universalists from other sects. And remember, Sir, that you are called a Universalist preacher, your book is on universal benevolence, and it was addressed to the Universalist church. The fact that this book treats principally on the duration of punishment, was the reason of its invitation being accepted. If it should now be postponed for another, you may afterward introduce another and another without end. Some would suspect this to be a plan of procuring an indefinite postponement of a question which you profess a great willingness to discuss.

But let us see whether you have not done injustice to your own question. Without its accompanying explanation it reads as follows; viz. " Does the law of God require that sin committed here in time, and in this state of mortality should be punished in eternity or beyond death?" This is a question about eternal punishment; and how you could investigate it. and at the same time "have no occasion to discuss either the nature or the duration of punishment," I am at a loss to know. The duration of punishment is the very jet of the question, and I would freely undertake to discussit, were it not for the very reason which (as some would suspect) induced you to propose it. That reason is, that this question is an attempt to preclude me from the benefit of all but one argument, and that thought to be the weakest one of many arguments by which our opinion is supported. You would not permit me to confine you to one argument any more than to one text. and a persevering attempt to do either would be easily understood by those friends who have so long admired your polemical prowess.

The question as stated in the above rules does justice to you and myself. It trammels neither, but leaves each at liberty to manage his cause in his own way. It is hoped, therefore, that there will be no farther difficulty about the investigation of questions confessedly irrelevant to the subject; especially as the discussion of such questions was never made a pre-requisite to your former debates with either laymen or clergymen.

As the young men's Missionary Society have sent me an appointment which may soon be accepted, it is but right to inform you that this correspondence was undertaken on my-

wn motion, after asking counsel of God, and of course, is not to be considered as attaching any responsibility to the Missionary Society or to the Clergy of the city.

W. L. M'CALLA.

Philadelphia, 69 North Third-st. July 8, 1824.

Mr. Abner Kneeland.

### No. 5.

Mr. CALLA,

Yours of this morning, proposing a conference, or public debate, has been received, and now lays before me. I hereby accept your proposals, and agree to the arrangement, with the exception of a single word in the first proposition, a word entirely unnecessary on your part, and which involves a main question with me. I mean the word "future" in connection The reason why I wish to exclude this with punishment. word from the proposition, may be obvious: the terms "future punishment" are so generally understood to mean a punishment in another world; it would seem that I had admitted the fact of the existence of such punishment, by agreeing to discuss its duration, a doctrine which but few Universalists believe, and which is not contained (but proofs to the contrary are contained) in my Lectures. You must be sensible, sir, that it will be altogether nugatory to talk about the duration of panishment in another world, antil we have good evidence that such punish ent either does, or will, at some future period, exist. I do not deny you the use of any evidence, or any arguments which go to establish this fact; but unless, sir, you are prepared to prove this, namely, the certainty of punishment in another world, that is, in another state of existence, you must. I think, he sensible that you cannot prove that punishment is "absolutely eternal."

In order to fix on time and place, and make such other arrangements as may be necessary, have the goodness to call on me this afternoon at 5 o'clock, or as soon as convenient.

A. KNEELAND.

Thursday, 3 o'clock, P. M.

No. 6.

The Rules of Conference adopted and signed by the parties may be seen in No. 16, where they are introduced by a Universalist preamble, which, though written over my name, was no more my own production than the speeches which Mr. Jennings has attributed to me.

#### No. 7.

FROM THE DEMOCRATIC PRESS OF JULY 20; 1824.

We had not intended to have taken any notice of the late Theological Controversy which took place in this city, but a friend having taken the trouble to prepare the following we do not feel at liberty to set it aside, whatever may be our unwillingness or reluctance to publish any thing which may savour of religious disputation or sectional prejudices.

FOR THE DEMOCRATIC PRESS.

#### M'CALLA vs. KNEELAND.

"If I am right, thy grace impart, Still in the right to stay; If I am wrong, O teach my heart, To find that better way."

Mr. Editor .-

The dispute between the above named gentlemen which continued for four days, which was concluded this evening, Friday; I presume is no news to you. To give some account of this strange controversy, or I should more properly call it this novel mode of conducting a religious controversy, I devoted a few minutes after retiring from the scene of action, (the Universalist Church in Lombard street) hoping that it may be agreeable to your readers both in "town and country" to know something about this spirit stirring affair which has brought both ends of our town together, day after day, since the discussion commenced.

The subject of debate between the Rev. Mr. M. Calla of the Presbyterian persuasion, from Kentucky, and the Rev Mr. Kneeland, Universalist, of this city, was whether there is, or is

not, a " State of Future and Eternal Punishment."

The mode of dividing the time between the speakers had been previously agreed on; each was to have half an hour alternately, until the disputants or subject was exhausted. The debate began, and was continued, with all the earnestness, learning and zeal of the opponents. Every text, or perhaps nearly every text, was cited for or against, which is to be found in the inspired volume, and commented on in some shape or other. it proper not to say fully discussed, for it was declared this morning that the Church would be closed after to-day, and Mr. M Calla had not, nor could not, get through his argument as he had originally intended to pursue it. He however abridged his comments in order to finish in the required time. In the course of this long debate there certainly was a handsome display of Biblical erudition on both sides, as well as good logical argument; but in the early part of the dispute there was one illiberal taunt against the "raw backwoods Kentuckian to undertake to

teach theology in Philadelphia" which caused considerable asperity in reply, and was not forgotten through the whole debate. The Kentuckian not only proved himself a zealous servant of his Master, a good theologian and an able debater, but also a good Greek, Hebrew and Latin scholar, and well read in the fathers of the Church.

At half past six o'clock on Friday evening the contest closed. Mr. Kneeland reiterated many of his favourite texts, made a last and powerful appeal for the reason, plausibility and mercy of his doctrine, and concluded by insisting that his construction of Divine Law was the true and catholic gospel of Christ. Mr. M'Calla had the last half hour, and concluded the debate by a concise recapitulation of some of his strongest proofs in support of his opinions. His peroration was really excellent, solemn and impressive; his reasoning was sound and irrefutable. A description I cannot attempt; the whole will be printed I presume, but the reader will not, nay, I had almost said, cannot, have an adequate idea of the intense zeal and fervour of the speaker, to instill into every mind the blessed truth.

The disputants shook hands and separated with perfect good will towards each other as men, though as widely differing as Christians as when they first met. The immense crowd of spec-

tators also separated in a very peaceable quiet manner.

PAUL.

### No. 8.

Paul was answered by a Vestryman who denied that I had been excluded from the church. In the Democratic Press of July 24th, he replies; after which Mr. Kneeland, in the same paper, of the 27th, confirms the account of the Vestryman.

# FROM THE DEMOCRATIC PRESS, JULY 24. M-CALLA AND KNEELAND ONCE MORE.

Mr. Editor—You will confer a favour by inserting the following brief reply to "A Member of the Vestry," and you may rest

assured that I shall not trouble you again on this subject.

The following sentence which I still aver to be substantially true, is roundly pronounced false by the vestry man;— •• It was declared this morning [meaning Friday morning,] that the church would be closed after to-day, and Mr. M Calla had not, nor could not, get through his argument, as he had originally intended to pursue it." Now I say words were never plainer spoken than these were by Mr. Kneeland, that "this house (meaning the Universalist Church,) could not be promised after

to-day, (Friday last) for the purpose of continuing this debate." Yet it is said no such declaration was made! and still it is admitted, Mr. M'Calla "tried to insinuate that such had been the fuct." Those who heard this controversy know full well that Mr. M'Calla did not indulge in insinuation in this instance, but plainly regretted that his time had been, contrary to agreement, prescribed. It is true when Mr. Kneeland replied to the regrets of his opponent, he did say, for ought he knew the church could be obtained for a month, if required so long: "but that it did not belong to him, he had no control over it," and it did not suit a "A member of the Vestry," at that time to say any thing about it, therefore it must be closed as before stated, and it was closed accordingly.

No person will, I presume, have hardihood enough to deny that Abner Kneeland had not the same control over the church he had when the Rules of Conference were adopted and signed by him and his opponent. For the sake of illustrating the good faith of Mr. M·Calla's opponent I just copy the 4th and 6th ar-

ticles of conference, viz:

4th The Discussion shall, God willing commence on the 13th inst. at half past 9 o'clock A. M. and continue if necessary, ununtil noon. It shall be resumed if necessary at 4 o'clock, and continue until half past 6. P. M. and continue from day to day until both parties shall be satisfied.

6th The conference to be held at the Universalist Church in-Lombard-st. and adjourned by mutual consent to some other suit-

able place.

Thus we perceive instead of an adjournment by mutual consent, the adjournment take place nolens volens, without any consultation about it. After this it is to be hoped those "Challenges for disputation to the learned clergy, the more learned the better, of more than 20 years standing," will be withdrawn.

The vestry man says, "No declaration, therefore was made on either side, except what was made by Mr. Kneeland and Mr. M'Calla." No one ever said there was Mr. Kneeland and Mr. M'Calla are the only persons the public know any thing about, they have said all, and done all that has been said or done, in this affair; so he, very unwittingly concedes all he had just been stoutly denying. In his N. B. he requests that public opinion may be suspended on the merits of the discussion. The merits of the discussion are to prove or disprove a state of future and eternal punishment, and in my humble opinion to those who read their Bibles, and have or even have not heard the debate cannot be thought to judge prematurely on a matter so plain to all but those who are detertimed to put off the law of GOD.

PAUL.

#### No. 9.

The following Letters passed between Mr. McCalla and Mr. Kneeland after the close of the foregoing Discussion:

#### Mr. KNEELAND,

In the "Democratic Press" I observe a dispute between " PAUL" on one side, and you and " A VESTRYMAN" on the other side, whether or not I was brought to a premature close, in the discussion in Lombard-street Church. Your arguments and statements I deem incorrect. Yet one feature of your publication gives me pleasure. It is an indication of a willingness on your part to hear me until I am satisfied. You have, now, at least, given me "to understand, that in all probability, the house might be abtained as long as it should be wanted. Another meeting would give you an opportunity of answering that new matter to which you made no reply, and it would show whether my materials were exhausted or not. This would be suitable on another account: your challange which was accepted in my letter of the 2d inst. "invites and intreats the clergy" " to discuss" not only one error, but "the supposed errors" of your Lectures. During the debate I showed that they erred on the Divinity of Christ, and intimated a willingness to meet you at a convenient season on that point. That intimation is now re-If you still entertain the desire manifested in your repeated challenges, it can now (God willing, be gratified at the same place, with the same rules, and under the same moderation:

Your speedy answer will be a gratification to W. L. McCALLA.

Philadelphia, July 31, 1824. No. 69 North Third Street.

### Mr. M'Calla,

As our former letters are published in the "Gazetteer," and the subsequent discussion is now in the Press, I shall publish, through the same medium, yours of the 31st ult. together

with this my reply.

You say that you deem my "arguments and statements" to be "incorrect;" but you have not informed me to what arguments you allude, nor wherein my statements have been incorrect. This is reprehensible. No man ought to be charged with having made incorrect statements, without being informed, at the same time, wherein.

Although I had pledged myself, and was determined to hear you through at that time, yet I have given no pledge to meet you again in the same way; and whether I do, must depend on cir-

cumstances which are first to be considered.—As the Church in Lombard street, in which we held our late discussion, has been since, (at considerable expense,) both cleaned and repaired, I am not able to say whether it could be so soon obtained again for a similar purpose.

As to the new matter to which I had not an opportunity to reply, (Ps. ix. 5.) since it makes nothing in your favour, nor against any of my arguments, a bare note of a very few lines, will

be all the reply I shall need.

Whether your materials were all exhausted or not, is a matter of no consequence; for if you could spend four days without the least attempt to reply to either my first or second argument, both of which were presented in my first speech, (to neither of which did you attempt any reply,) you probably might continue

a month in the same way; and, after all, to no purpose.

If you wish to discuss the "supposed errors" of my Lectures, you must do it in the way the challenge (as you call it) was given, namely, through the medium of the press; for, as those Lectures have been so long before the public, I shall discuss that subject in no other way: nor, unless you are able to point out errors which are material and important in point of doctrine or fact, do I pledge myself to reply. You have said that there were errors in my Lectures, but you have not yet shown any. I did not think proper to contradict what you was pleased to say about them during the discussion, (except when you tried to pervert a single sentence,) and it was for this plain reason,—because they were not mentioned in the proposition for discussion.

Now, sir, I wish you distinctly to understand, notwithstanding whatever you may say about challenges, that before I will consent to debate with a man on any religious subject whatever, at any time or place, who has not only refused to unite with me in religious worship, but who has refused to give me his hand when mine was extended to him in token of friendship, in my own desk, he must give me satisfactory evidence that the clergy with whom he is connected, and with whom he professes to be in fellowship, however much they may disapprove of his conduct in this particular, nevertheless consider him as a brother in the faith, and approve of his mode of arguing on religious subjects. This, with me, is a sine qua non, and unless it be complied with, it will be useless to urge any thing further on the subject.

If I meet a man to discuss religious subjects before the public, I must meet him on the *level*;—he is not to have the privilege of assuming that he is a christian, and that his antagonist is an infidel, until he has proved, both from theory and practice, that such is the fact.—I ask, in this case, no more than what I am willing to give, should it be required.—Or, let your friends open the doors of one of their Churches, to accommodate the au-

dience, as mine have done, and I will consider it a satisfactory evidence that they are with you in this debate.

These preliminaries being settled, the first thing in order to

be attended to, is, what has been already proposed.

- 1. You must show the law of God which requires "absolutely eternal punishment," or else acknowledge that no such law has been given to man.
- 2. You must show that God has threatened man, through the medium of his prophets, with a punishment which is "absolutely eternal," or else acknowledge that you cannot.
- S. You must prove, from the New Testament, that there will be the wicked, (Ps. xxxvii. 10,) and, of course, a punishment for the wicked, after this mortal shall have put on immortality, or after that death is swallowed up of life, or acknowledge that you cannot.
- 4. No evidence will be admitted as a proof of a punishment between death and the resurrection, that is, in what is called an intermediate state, unless you can first prove that the soul or spirit of man (whatever you may understand by those terms) is susceptible of consciousness, and of course, of happiness or misery, independent of the organs of sense, or seperate from a body.
- 5. I, on my part, will prove the latter part of our formet proposition true, and that all mankind will ultimately be saved from sin, and consequently from punishment, or else acknowledge that I cannot.
- 6. It shall be mutually agreed, that the moment either wanders from the point in debate, in the opinion of either two of the moderators, he shall be stopped; and unless he will confine himself to the subject, in proper and decorous language, he shall not be allowed to proceed.

7. The moderators to be the same as on the last day of our former discussion, or such as may be mutually agreed upon.

With these regulations, and as many of the former as are not inconsistent with these, I should have no objection to continue the discussion till every point is fully settled, if practicable.

A. KNEELAND.

No. 31, South Second Street, August 2, 1824.

#### No. 11.

In the Franklin Gazette of Aug. 23d, the following letter appeared, which was afterward copied into the Democratic Press of September 2d.

#### DEBATE ON UNIVERSALISM.

# FOR THE FRANKLIN GAZETTE. TO MR. ABNER KNEELAND.

Sin:—In my letter of the 31st ultimo, published in the Gazette of Monday, a plan was proposed for bringing the dispute between you and Paul, a writer in the Democratic Press, to a speedy settlement;—for giving you an opportunity of answering my new matter;—for securing to me the stipulated privilege of speaking until satisfied;—and of discussing with you, (if you thought proper,) the doctrine of Christ's divinity, on which subject your lectures afford another of those errors, for the discussion of which you have challenged the clerical world.

In your answer of the 2d instant, published also by you on yesterday, you intimate that it is unimportant whether I spoke until satisfied or not;—unimportant that you should answer the new matter;—and inadmissable that we should hold an ore tenis investigation of the divinity of Christ. Although your printed challenge is still standing, and your pulpit challenges are still uttered, you decline another meeting. Since the debate, you have altered your course. Whether this has arisen from a change in your views of policy or of propriety, the public must judge. Let it be however distinctly understood, that it is not the orthodox clergy, but Mr. Kneeland, the man of challenging memory, who retires from investigation. Whether your stenographical squire, the ostensible Editor of the pretended "Minutes" of the discussion, will, with your assistance, succeed better from the press than you have done from the rostrum, is problematical.

To a second meeting you object the expense of cleaning the house. It was never before graced with a greater proportion of

females and respectable characters.

But you say that the house has been since repaired, and, therefore, although you were willing to continue the former debate, you think a second interview impracticable. Strange, that in a state of repair, a house cannot hold an overwhelming congregation, as well as when it has begun, to give way! Until after the debate was closed, I did not know that the building, though propped on the last day, was ready to fall in ruins on the audience. Notwithstanding this, you have intimated to the public, that it might then have been obtained longer. Yet as it has since

been repaired, and there is little reason to expect that it will fall, we cannot have it again but must procure another church!

Your insisting that I should finish from the press a discussion which was begun in conference, shows that, you are learning by experience. With the help of God, you shall be satisfied here also.

As you are unwilling to have your flight from the controversy viewed in its real character, you propose new terms of conference. Three of your requisitions are, that I shall prove eternal punishment from the law, the prophets, and the New Testament. Without by any means, admitting that this is impracticable, it may be correctly observed that no man who believes in the inspiration of Scripture will reject any doctrine or precept, merely because it is not revealed by every inspired writer. Abundant testimony from the Old and New Testament was advanced in the debate. Yet, as you arrogate to yourself, though a party, the sole right of judging of my evidence; and as you have already decided that the Old Testament gives no intimation even of a future state, this demand for proof from that quarter was only intended as an insurmountable obstacle to another meeting.

The same general features characterize your fourth demand, requiring proof that the soul can exist separate from the body, before you will admit even the testimony of Moses and the prophets and the apostles, that it does so exist; as if infallible proof of the fact itself did not at once establish the possibility of the fact. You might as well say, that before you will admit scriptural testimony of the existence of God, this must first be proved from reason, independently of revelation. Although sound reason is entirely consistent with revelation, none but an infidel

will give it paramount authority.

Our relative standing in this businness may be plainly shown by the following supposed case: A Kentucky duellist, a character far too common, publishes a general challenge, and repeats it often. Suppose that the last edition reads as follows: "He ence more respectfully invites and entreats the gentlemen of other states, or some one of them, the more expert the better, to exchange a few shots with him." Suppose that an eastern merchant, not scrupulous shout the sixth commandment, after four rounds, the Kentuckian, faint for the loss of blood, gives a hint to his antagonist, that they cannot probably occupy the ground any-longer. They part, but after the invitation is again repeated and accepted, the Kentuckian demurs to the former regulations, and insists upon many new conditions, among which the following are four: 1. The lock of his antagonist's weapon must come from Europe. 2. The stock must come from

Asia. S. The barrel must come from Africa. 4. No firearms will be admitted in this contest, unless you can first prove to my satisfaction that a man may be killed at the distance of ten steps, by the use of the ramrod alone, independently of powder and lead, and separate from the pistol. On hearing these demands, would not the eastern gentleman conclude that the backwoodsman was not yet recovered from his wounds? He would ask, why were not these conditions considered necessary to the first encounter? Of what importance is it where the weapon was manufactured, or how it is compounded, provided it is a lawful one? And why should it then be laid aside for something else?

Where you obtained a right to choose weapons for your antagonist as well as yourself, I cannot conceive. If it belong to either party, it is rather to the one who has accepted, than to the one who gave the challenge. Although analogy would decide that you have forfeited your claim in my favor, I thank God that I neither desire nor need exclusive privileges. They cannot be secured to you nor to me, by the principles of propriety, nor by the usages of theological polemics. You are at perfect liberty to choose your own position, and your own manner of defending it. If you choose, you may again ride into the field on the shoulders of Dr. Priestly, clothed in all the beauties of the improved version, covered from head to foot with such arms and accoutrements as your many Latin versions and Hutter's Polyglott. You may again tell the people how many languages you can read, and how many you cannot read, (alas!) for the want of Lexicons and grammars. While you set yourself off to the best advantage, you shall be at perfect liberty again to ridicule my country, my talents, and my pronunciation. You may also laugh again at my poor little unbound book of notes; while you smilingly shew to the assembly your miniature Testament with its new coat! You may as before, wander from the point whenever you please; and have great latitude, in repetitions, absurdities and sarcasms. You may again try every possible artifice to drive or to decoy me from the question in debate, and when you have failed, you may (as before) spend the other half of your time in complaining that I have never yet come to the point. These things should convince you that I am willing to accept your invitation on liberal principles, while you are afraid to prosecute your own challenge without very unfair advantages.

Your letter informs me moreover that we cannot again meet unless we are on the level;—unless I prove my christianity;—unless I am virtually re-ordained;—and unless I give you the

right hand of fellowship.

Since our debate the duties on orthodoxy appear to be rising.

As this policy is intended to exclude that article from the market, the distinguishing features of your new polemical tariff (le-

serve particular attention.

1. You say, "If I meet a man to discuss religious subjects before the public, I must meet him on the level." Many are at a loss for your meaning. Do you mean that you would more easily find your level among the unfledged disputants of your little debating societies; or among heretical teachers, whom the christian church has never acknowledged in any age? If so, your prudence may be commended, though not your piety. The words immediately following the above quotation seem rather to contradict than explain it. They are the following: "and he is not to have the privilege of assuming that he is a christian, and that his antagonist is an infidel, until he has proved both from theory and practice that such is the fact." To place us upon a level, then, in your view, I must prove myself a christian and you an infidel! This absurdity is not surprising in a man who has labored hard, as you have, to prove that Lazarus in Abraham's bosom, and the rich man in torment, were on a level.

2. Whether you meant the words last quoted as an illustration of the immediately preceding context or not, they require me to produce proof of my christianity in doctrine and practice, But who is to be the judge in this matter? As you are not satisfied with the decisions of many congregations and judicatories of the church, you would probably like, previous to meeting me again, to sit in judgment yourself upon my character. have, in common with other infidels, a great esteem for Dr. Priestly's "learning and piety;" and as you have, in your lectures, decidedly expressed this sentiment, and earnestly recommended his "History of the Corruptions of Christianity" to your readers; it is easy to see that you would pronounce mean impious idolater, and no christian. For this we need go no farther than a sermon preached by him, in the year 1796, in the church where our debate took place. For the proof of its doctrines he refers to the history mentioned above. In it he would persuade us that Christ is a mere creature, like Moses, or even the idol Baal. And he expressly declares, that "it is no less idolatry and impiety to worship him," than to worship them! In speaking of the corruptions that were left untouched at the reformation, he declares "that the first and the greatest of these corruptions is the idolatrous worship of Jesus Christ, as God equal to the Father." The Father he declares to be "the sole object of religious worship, and not Christ, any more than Moses, or any other person or being

It is not surprising that such a man should deny the inspiration of the Scriptures. In his History of the Corruptions of Christianity, which you have "earnestly" recommended to your readers, he says, (and you virtually say with him,) that Paul wrote "without any particular inspiration," and that "the Scriptures were written without any particular inspiration." To be approved as a christian in theory, by such men as you and Dr. Priestly, it is necessary, then, that I should deny the divinity and atonement of Christ, and the inspiration of the Scriptures. From such theory it is easy to tell what sort of practice you would demand. This subject may receive some illustration hereafter, from your publications and those of your universalist coadjutor, concerning our debate. As, during that contest, I persisted in quoting your lectures, although you were thoroughly ashamed of them, you must excuse me if I now insist upon your connexion with a stenographer of whom you are as much ashamed. That you have reason to be so shall hereafter be fully prov-

ed, if Providence permit.

S. You appear to demand that I shall be ordained by the body of the Presbyterian clergy to the special work of contending with you, or I shall not enjoy this enviable privilege again. require satisfactory evidence that they consider me as a brother in the faith, and approve of my mode of arguing on religious subjects. As the demand was made only to cover your retreat, the best evidence would not be satisfactory to you. That I had the approbation and the prayers of all the orthodox of all denominations who were present, is well known to yourself: And you well know from my being a member of the last general assembly, that the Presbyterians consider me a brother in the faith. Can you give the evidence which you here demand of me? Do you not know that some universalists of the Winchester stamp discard you as an infidel? Did you not know that others of your own sentiments lamented that you made so lame a defence, and wished that Mr. Mitchell of New York, (except for his irritability,) or Mr. Ballou of Boston, had occupied your place in the controversy? If I were afraid, here is a sine qua non of your own invention, under which to take shelter.

On a former occasion, you made pathetic complaints of a pretended combination of the clergy against you. In your debate, you made what you could of my standing alone; telling the audience that I could not find a ministerial second in the city. Failing in this, you resort now to the old plan, and determine on not moving a step farther in the business until such a combination is formed, in order to increase your importance, and add pungency to your complaints. Whenever you will show satisfactory evidence that you have been appointed as an approved advocate of unitarianism or infidelity, by the University of Cambridge, or Transylvania, or the College of South Carolina, then may you demand of an antagonist, special Presbyterial or synodical credentials, and then shall you have them. But do not ex-

pect that our ecclesiastical ocean is going to rise in its majesty

" to walt a feather or to drown a fly."

4. You object to meeting a man who has refused to join with you in religious worship; and who has refused to give you his hand in your own desk. During the debate, we were informed by yourself that it was your own desk; which, of course, induced me to close reluctantly when you told us that the house could not probably be procured another day. Yet this was not done without repeated declarations that I could not complete my defence in the time allowed me. I am glad, however, that you have publicly complained of my refusing you my hand in this famous desk of yours, since it has been incorrectly reported by one or more of your followers that I afterwards repented of this Immediately after the debate, you requested me to give you my hand as a man, since I could not do it as a christian. As this was only an emphatical way of rejecting your claims to christianity, I complied; and in doing so made an express and repeated denial of your christianity. For refusing to give you my hand, the Apostle John is my precedent, and his disciple, Polycarp, for my subsequent compliance with your request. John rejected your unitatarian ancestor Cerinthus, as "the enemy of God;" and Polycarp acknowledged your relative Marcion, as "the first born of the devil."

But why should the unqualified eulogist of Dr. Priestly be so auxious to join in worship with trinitarians? This very man, "whose learning and piety" you so much admire, and whose writings you so "earnestly recommend" to your readers, has declared in your own desk that " no unitarian can conscientiously join in worship with trinitarians, since they have not the same object of worship." He declares "that they cannot conscientiously join in the devotions of others, who, believing both Jesus Christ and the Holy Spirit to be, each of them, possessed of all divine attributes, as well as the Father, make them, (as to be consistent with themselves they ought to do,) equally the objects of their worship. This unitarians necessarily consider as idolatry, as much as the worship of the Virgin Mary, or any other saints in the Popish calender." Why should you wish to worship with trinitarians, when, with your oracle, the charitable Mr. Ballou, you think that you "find them causing their sons and their daughters to pass through the fire to a God which is the vanity of their imaginations?" And recollect, sir, that notwithstanding your evasions during the debate, those lectures of yours, which you are so anxious to preserve from another castigation, will ever fix upon you the same sentiments.

Great as your pretentions are to liberality and chatholicism, you there represent us as the antichristian votaries of a God, with whose character you say that we "associate all the character of the ferocious Beast" of the Apocalypse. To such persons you pretend that you are doing "the greatest possible kindness, by pointing out to them the CRUELTY OF THEIR GOD and the ABOMINATION OF ALL SUCH WORSHIP." These, sir, are your own words concerning the right worship of the true God by his faithful people. And yet, after this licentious traduction of their character, you pretend great solicitude to join with such a people in such a worship to such a God!

W. L. M'CALLA.

Philadelphia, August 22, 1824.

#### No. 12.

The above letter received the following notice in the two papers, of August 27th, and September 4th.

#### FOR THE FRANKLIN GAZETTE.

Mr. Norvell:—I notice in your paper of the 23d istant, a long letter addressed to me, and signed "W.L. M'Calla," which he probably expects me to answer; but as Mr. M'Calla has not complied with any of the terms on which it was proposed to him that the discussion between us might be renewed, I have no occasion to answer his long letter; being, as I am, perfectly willing to submit to the reasonableness of my propositions, and the candor of his rejoinder, to a discerning and judicious public.

A. KNEELAND.

Philadelphia, August 27, 1824.

### [COMMUNICATION.]

Mr. Binns:—I noticed in your paper of Thursday a communication from Mr. W.L. M 'Calla, which had previously appeared in the Franklin Gazette; in answer to it, I have only to refer you to the letters that passed between Mr. M 'C. and myself, that were published in that Gazette of the 23d ultimo, and afterwards in your own paper; in which it will be perceived, that I have offered to meet Mr. M 'C. again (or in other words, have accepted his challenge) on condition that his friends will open one of their churches to accommodate the audience (as proof that they approve of his manner of conducting an argument) together with some other conditions therein named, not one of which has he complied with. Until therefore he shall comply with those conditions, or others equally fair, I have nothing more to say to him on the subject.

Respectfully, Philadelphia, Sept. 3, 1824.

A. KNEELAND.

No. 18.

The Universalist Magazine, of August 28, sent to me from Boston contained the following:

# FOR THE UNIVERSALIST MAGAZINE. THE REV. MR. M'CALLA.

The public attention has, for some time, been excited by the movements of this gentleman, in Philadelphia. We have seen an account of his challenging the Rev. Mr. Kneeland to a public debate on the question whether the doctrine of endless misery be true; of Mr. Kneeland's acceptance; of the debate which was continued from day to day, until discontinued by mutual consent. We are further certified by what Mr. Kneeland has since published, that neither he nor his friends were in any degree convinced that the doctrine of endless misery is a doctrine of divine revelation; but contrary to this, we understand that it is their opinion that the challenger was never able to substantiate any thing which is essential to his doctrine, nor refute a single proposition which is necessarily connected with the doctrine of Universal Salvation. As much as the foregoing is well understood by many.

When I was at Hartford, Conn. last week, to attend the dedication of a new universalist meeting house in that City, and the installation of the Rev. Mr. Bisbe, I had the pleasure of seeing, among other faithful brethren, the Rev. Mr. Mitchell, from the City of New York. He showed me a letter which he had recently received, from the Rev. Mr. M'Calla, of Philadelphia, which informed Mr. Mitchell, that Mr. M'Calla had beaten Mr. Kneeland off from the strong delusion of universalism, and that he wished to wait on Mr. Mitchell in New York for the purpose of publickly refuting him, and of convincing his congregation. When this letter was read in Hartford, I told Mr. Mitchell that, it confirmed me in the opinion to which I was inclined on reading this clergyman's challenges, which were addressed to Mr. Kneeland in Philadelphia; which was, that from some cause, the man was not exactly in his right mind. I think Mr. Mitchell and others, of good judgment, agreed with me on

this subject.

The evening that I arrived in Boston, I received the following letter, which sufficiently corroborates the opinion which I

had before formed, of Mr. M'Calla's misfortune.

# LETTER. Philadelphia, August 13, 1824.

REV. Sin,

I have recently had a debate in public with Rev. Abner

Kneeland, and as the public generally have concluded, have
completely beaten my opponent. I am now desirous, sir, of break-

Digitized by Google

ing a lance with you upon some other tenet of the Universalists, such as may mutually be agreed upon, and should like to commence the same in some public place in your City, either your house of worship or some City hall as soon as possible.—Will you, sir, favor me with an early reply to this, directed to No. 69. North Third street. For my character as a man of learning, I refer you to Rev. Dr. Wilson, and Rev. Dr. Ely, whose sanction in the present challenge has been obtained in writing.

W. L. McCALLA.

#### REMARKS.

The reason why I publish this letter and give the foregoing information is, by no means, to injure Mr. M. Calla, but to prevent, what I am very confident would be attempted, if this public notice was not given; viz. insinuations that Mr. Mitchell of New York, and Mr. Ballou of Boston, have neither of them confidence or courage to meet this man in public debate, respecting

the doctrine of universal salvation.

If Mr. McCalla was perfectly sane, he would know, that if he had beaten Mr. Kneeland, in such a way as to do any good, Mr. Kneeland or his friends, or both, would acknowledge it; for what good does it do to refute one in error, unless he, by some means, can find it out? But, if he really believes that he has convinced the Universalists of Philadelphia, that the doctrine of endless punishment is a doctrine of divine revelation; if he had his reason, would he not know that there is no need of any further public dispute, but that to publish these convincing arguments, which have settled the great question in Philadelphia, would silence universalism where ever they should be read? But he wishes to dispute with me on some other tenet of universalism; as if after he had disproved the doctrine in the gross, it becomes necessary to refute it by taking its items in detail!

But after all, if I have not judged correctly, and Mr. M. Calla is just what he thinks he is; and if the Rev. Doctors, to whom he refers are in earnest and acting understandingly in this matter, then I would say, let them accompany their champion to Boston and stand by him while he shall publicly refute the doctrine of God's universal, impartial goodness, and prove that the God of all grace is unmercifully cruel, and I will engage, when all this is done, to give up my hope in divine mercy, and join these Rev. divines in the doctrine of despair. But before this, I promise to use my feeble powers to the utmost in defeace of the gospel.

#### No. 14.

September the 1st, Mr. Kneeland published the following.
FROM THE FRANKLIN GAZETTE.

#### TO MR. HOSEA BALLOU, OF BUSTON.

SIR .- I have just seen a publication in "the Universalist Magazine" of your city, for August 28, 1824, over your name, in which you give a letter, purporting to be from the Rev. W. L. That letter makes Mr. M'CALLA say, " for my character as a man of learning, I refer you to the Rev. Dr. Wilson and the Rev. Dr. BLY, whose sanction in the present challenge has been obtained in writing." I have no question but that you received from somebody the letter which you have published; but I am fully convinced that Mr. M'Calla is a man of sound mind and unblemished integrity, and that he never wrote, indited, or addressed one line on any subject to you, or to Mr. MITCHELL of New York, in his life. One thing I can positively affirm, that he never consulted me about challenging any man, to any sort of contest, nor did I ever give any sanction, by writing or otherwine, to his challenging any one. Some person has put into the pretended letter of Mr. M. Calla an absolute and infamous lie. EZRA STILES ELY.

### Philadelphia, August 30, 1824.

I suspected that the letters above alluded to might be a forgery, on my first seeing the one to Mr. B. though I am not fully convinced that they are so. What created my suspicion was the respectful appellation "Reverend," prefixed to the names of Mr. Ballou and myself. I should be able, however, to detect the forgery, if it be one, could I but see the original letters. In all his correspondence with me, Mr. M.C. never wrote any thing more than his name, which is very peculiar, and I think I should know it among five hundred. He told me, in the presence of several others, that he was so nervous he could not write. Dr. Ely says, "I am fully convinced that Mr. M'Calla is a man of sound mind and unblemished integrity." How could a man of sound mind denounce a discussion as being altogether spurious to which the testimony of hundreds could be obtained (if it were necessary) of its being as faithful a report as ever was made; so faithful that no one has yet been able to point out a single error affecting the arguments on either side? If he were of a sound mind, how could he say that he was denied the privilege of the house any longer, when Dr. R. knows himself to the centrary?-or, how could he say that I had sent him the first number of the discussion, and requested him to point out the errors, if there were any, when I had neither done the one nor the other? and when the very number contained on its gover a letter from Mr. Jennings, the Stenographer and publisher of the work, addressed to both him and me, (as well as to Dr. Ely and the other Moderators,) requesting the same thing which was imputed to me? If Dr. Ely can reconcile all this with the gentleman of a sound mind, so be it.—Then to what motive will he impute these facts, which must stare him in the face?

A. KNEELAND.

No. 15.

#### PHILADELPHIA.

WEDNESDAY EVENING, Sept. 8, 1824. TO EZRA STILES ELY, D. D.

Reverend Sir.

You are hereby respectfully requested to furnish the public with the evidence by which you were "fully convinced that Mr. M Calla is a man of sound mind and unblemished integrity, and that he never wrote, indited, or addressed one line on any subject to [Mr. Ballou, of Boston,] or to Mr. MITCHELL, of New York, in his life." We sometimes presume without any other evidence than that which the nature of the case affords: but to presume is one thing, and to be convinced is another, and a very different thing. You might presume it, because, as you say, the letter to Mr. Ballou contains "an absolute and infamous lie !" but by what evidence were you "convinced" that Mr. M'C. did not sign the letter which contains it? He has not disavowed it as yet, as I can learn, and if he had not "addressed" such a letter, would he not have been as prompt in disavowing it, as Dr. E. has been in disavowing the lie it contains. Presuming, therefore, that you possess the evidence which "fully convinced" you of the truth of the statement contained in your letter to Mr. Ballou of the 30th ultimo, in justice to Mr. M'Calla, as well as all concerned, I repeat the request that this evidence should be given to the public.

Your's, respectfully,

ABNER KNEELAND.

Philadelphia, Sept. 8,1824.

To the Rev. EDWARD MITCHELL, of New York, and the Rev. HOSEA BALLOU, of Boston.

Reverend Gentlemen,

As the Rev. Dr. Ely "is fully convinced that Mr. M'Calla never wrote, or addressed" either of you, "on any subject," (and of course considers the letters which you have received [bearing his name] a forgery,) if you should be convinced, from the statement in the last number of the Gazetteer, that those

were not signed by the person whose name they bear, please to publish the evidence by which you are thus convinced, in the Gospel Herald, and Universalist Magazine. respectively; but if the fact should be otherwise, and you still suspect that they were signed by Mr. M. Calla, be so good as to send the original letters, enclosed by mail, to the Editor of the Gazetteer, that the fact concerning them may be known.

A. K.

#### No. 16.

FROM THE FRANKLIN GAZETTE, OF SEPT. 9TH.

#### DEBATE ON UNIVERSALISM.

The Universalist Magazine of August 28, was lately sent to me from Boston, by some one who rightly supposed that such a favor would be a gratification. It contains a publication of Mr. Hosea Ballou concerning certain letters, purporting to have been written by me to him, and to Mr. Mitchell of New York. former he has given us a copy, and the latter appears to have been " read in Hartford," Connecticut, for the edification of the "faithful brethren," then encamped in that memorable city. As it was a challenge to a polemical encounter, they seem, from Mr. Ballou's account, to have called a council of war, composed of himself, "Mr. Mitchell, and others of good judgment" in such matters. The subject of deliberation was momentous, and much depended upon the course which they might adopt. To fight, or not to fight; that was the question. Mr. Ballou was not so presumptuous as to attempt doing perfect justice to the talents displayed in this illustrious conclave. This work should belong to none but that genius, who has celebrated, in imperishable lines, the grand Universalist Council which met before the fall of Adam. None but Milton should attempt to say or sing the wisdom displayed on this important occasion, by the faithful brethren, Mr. Ballou, "Mr. Mitchell, and others of good judgment," in this Universalist Hartford convention!

For these faithfuls to resist the daring assault of this infidel invader, required much greater resources than Patrick Henry believed necessary to a successful revolt of the colonies. No wonder, then, that these choice spirits did not, like the Virginia senate, unfurl the banners of war. The lion of their forest had been bearded in his own den; or, to speak more plainly, their controversial champion had been publicly refuted in his "own desk." After having lived by challenging for many years, he was at last met so successfully that he showed his antagonist the door before his argument was closed, and has ever since refused to meet him again. When the man of Gath has fallen, who can censure his faithful brethren of Askelon for turning their backs?

Notwithstanding my indulgent disposition, the council have, through their spokesman, expressed an apprehension that I would publish uncharitable "insinuations that Mr. Mitchell of New York, and Mr. Ballou of Boston, have neither of them confidence or courage to meet this man in public debate respecting the doctrine of universal salvation." Without, therefore, recurring to the trite excuse of Hudibras for their flight, they exerted all their powers in manufacturing a feasible apology. The challenge of this monster gave him, in their eyes, a distracted and hostile aspect, much more unequivocal than that of the great wooden horse before the walls of Troy. This did not prove that he had come from the moon; but, in their opinion, such enmity to Universalism proved that his understanding was under the influence

of that planet. Christians fight with spiritual weapons. As Unitarians, Universalists, and false professors do not covet spiritual gifts, they have generally, and in some cases successfully, adopted the plan of impeaching the intellectual character of those who stand in their way. This is usually accompanied with professions of disinterestedness, and often with compliments to the moral excellence of the intended victim. Mr. Ballou says, "the reason why I publish this letter, and give the foregoing information, is by no means to injure Mr. M'Calla." Messrs. Ballou and Kneeland endeavour to deceive their neighbours by insinuations against my character, and yet would be thought to do me no injury. "As a madman who casteth firebrands, arrows and death, so is the man that deceiveth his neighbour, and saith, am I not in sport?" When Solomon's madmen are reputed for integrity and worth, their firebrands and arrows are calculated to produce The reason why they are often harmless, when coming from such men as that brace of writers who have assailed me in Boston and Philadelphia, is, that in their case such insinuations are well known to be the dernier resort of disappointed ambition.

error and imbecility.

Although the public were correctly informed on the 31st ultimo, that I "never wrote, indited or addressed one line on any subject" to Mr. Ballou of Boston, or to Mr. Mitchell of New York, in my life, Mr. Kneeland, with this declaration before him, republished Mr. Ballou's communication the next day, and accompanied it with approbatory remarks of his own. He condescends to acknowledge some internal evidence of forgery; but, and we are the original letters." As they have never yet saluted my eyes, I can join him in the wish. There is at present strong proof that their author is the same person to whom many similar epistles have been lately traced in this city. Let it be distinctly understood that this person is claimed by the Universalists.

Let Mesers. Ballou and Mitchell send his letters in company with a request for his name. Some of his letters were signed with the names of departed saints and sinners, male and female, and others with the names of living citizens of Philadelphia. The following brief specimen is inserted, omitting nothing but the address:

"DRAR SIR: The following is the best way to get a name to live according to the doctrine and Christian behavour of M-Cal-

la, the fire and brimstone chaplain of bloody Jackson.

Learn three mile prayers and half mile graces, With well spread hands and long wry faces; Grunt up a solemn lengthen'd groan, And damin all parties but your own. Pil warrant then y'e're no deceiver, A steady, stardy, staunch believer.

CALVIN, 1824."

This universal letter writer was probably among those who. thought that these eastern favorites would make a better defence of their cause than Mr. Kneeland had done, and therefore adopted a plan to which he was accustomed for bringing us together. He has only elicited from them an uncalled-for refusal to meet me. So true is it that " the wicked fleeth when no man pursu-Although when Providence calls, I am willing, in his strength, to encounter a ranging bear or a ravening wolf, I am not se fond of knight errantry as to go in search of such adventures. If these Hartford worthies or any one of them had published in my neighbourhood, as Mr. Kneeland did, that "he therefore, ence more respectfully invites and entreats the clergy of other denominations, or some one of them, the more learned the better, to discuss this important subject with him," I should have been as willing to accept their challenge as his. It would have savoured more of a sound mind if Mr. Ballon had postponed his publication until he could ascertain that I had really sent him a private invitation, or until I had issued some general challenge like that of Mr. Kneeland. But if I had been madman enough to blow such a trumpet of defiance as he has done, I hope that I should not, like him, he so unsound as to deny it afterwards.

In the paper in which Mr. Kneeland has copied Mr. Ballou's communication, he has also devoted more than one whole page to a publication of Mr. Morse, his moderator in our debate, against the Rev. J. M. for having advertised, as he insinuates, that I would preach in his chapel "on Universalism." As the notice was given after the debate, and in a presbyterian place of morship, every one would have expected, after such a notice, to hear a sermon against universalism, just as they would expect Mr. Morse to preach against presbyterianism, if they received metics from a universalist pulpit that he would preach on that subject; and just as Blair's sermon on intemperance is known

by every one to be against that vice. Yet this universalist grammarian is prepared to prove that nothing less than insincerity or insanity could have induced Blair, that unparallelled rhetorician, to say that he preached on intemperance when he preached against it. The privilege which Mr. Morse has enjoyed, in the instructions of so great a linguist and translator as Mr. Kneeland, may be in some measure estimated by his own words in the following extracts: viz. "When you gave the notice of his meeting, were you not sensible at the time, that he [Mr. M Calla] intended preaching against universalism, and not on that doctrine; which last expression, according to common usage, necessarily presupposes he did intend preaching in favour of, and not against, universalism?" "Preaching on a doctrine is what signifies, agreeably to universal [he ought to have said universalist] custom, an intention to advocate or endeavor to support such doctrine, whatever that doctrine may be !" These extracts speak for themselves. It only remains for me to request Messrs Ballou, "Mitchell and others of good judgment," that when they hold their next inquest over subjects of delirium. they would examine the intellectual condition of these faithful brethren, one of whom has written, and the other countenanced and published, such an unmerciful assault upon the English language and common sense.

Mr. Kneeland, apprehensive of the doctrine of his universalist letter-writer, founds his impeachment of my understanding upon three new allegations, all of which have no bearing at all, except against my veracity: and if a violation of truth will constitute a madman, our public hospitals must be greatly enlarged, or the faithful brethren of good judgment will have to build asylums for themselves. To prove this we need not go one step farther than Mr. Kneeland's first interrogative allegation. It is as follows: "How could a man of sound mind denounce a discussion as being altogether spurious, to which the testimony of hundreds could be obtained, if it were necessary, of its being as faithful a report as ever was made; so faithful that no one has yet been able to point out a single error affecting the arguments on either side?" Mark the language of Mr. Kneeland and his hundreds of universalist witnesses: "As faithful a report as ever was made." Out of the millions of reports that ever were made, these persons have seen but a small number. They must be very willing and well trained witnesses, who will swear to what they know nothing about. Although they have never seen many more translations and grammars than reports, I have no doubt that they would be as ready to swear that Mr. Kneeland's is as faithful a translation as ever was made, and that Mr. Morse is as great a grammarian as Dr. Blair or any other man that ever was made. Let it be remembered also, that some reports

have been correct, and this one, Mr. Kneeland confesses, is, in the language, deficient in accuracy. It may be asked then, how could a man of veracity, or, to use his own phraseology, "how could a man of sound mind," attest, as he has done, that a record of a twenty hours debate, not written by himself, and confessedly inaccurate, is "as faithful report as ever was made?" I do not inquire what judges and lawyers will say to such testimony: I ask what man on earth, but a lunatic, would believe such a witness? It is no wonder that they are rejected by our courts of justice, for they have not the fear of God before their eyes. But not withstanding the hundreds that he pretends would come at his bidding, I do not believe that he can find one witness who will give such testimony, except Messrs. Jennings, Kneeland and Morse. As to the latter character, we have already given his criticism upon an alledged notice of the Rev. J. M. that I was to preach "on Universalism." This was published to convict Mr. M. of deception. What shall we think of the veracity of that man who can do this, after he knew that it was a notorious and well attested fact that on the occasion referred to, Mr M. did not leave the people in doubt, but stated at large that I was to "answer Mr. Morse's sermon, delivered on the Friday evening previous, in favour of Universal salvation?" This will appear by the following certificate.

"On the sabbath evening the 1st instant when John Magoffin notified the congregation at Union Chapel, that Mr. M'Calla would preach there on the following Thursday evening, he stated that Mr. M'Calla would then answer Mr. Morse's sermon, delivered on the Friday evening previous, in favour of universal salvation; and he then stated some erroneous sentiments taught in his books by Mr. Kneeland, such as this, that God was the author of sin; and said that Mr. Kneeland founded his sentiment of universal salvation on this notion; but he held no book or paper in his hand from which he quoted nor did he say that he then

used Mr. Kneeland's own words. Chesnut Hill, 13th August, 1824.

> Christopher Yeakle, Jacob Lentz Jacob Lentz, Jr. William Smith, Jacob Cress.

George Rex.
Jacob Dutwaler,
Henry Cress,
Jacob Waas,
Francis Markoe.

The above include the signatures of the most respectable men on Chesnut-hill, and of a lay elder of this city, well known for education, piety and good sense.

Mr. Kneeland's lumping attestation of the voluminous report of his promising disciple, who, it seems, has now become an Universalist preacher, so abundantly illustrates the texture of his

conscience, that I would gladly dispense with every comment upon his moral sanity not imperiously called for by his own publications. These have given to the otherwise insignificant question, "who sent the challenge?" an adventitious importance. If God has graciously enabled me to receive, profess and defend an essential doctrine of christianity, I care not much to whose invitation the controversy owes its birth. I have said that he gave, the first request in his printed lectures. He considers this declaration as not only evidence of moral guilt, but it is the second of his three new proofs of an unsound mind! It is not such desperate idiotisms as this that have given to the question its artificial importance.—It is his publishing to the world an acknowledgement of the fact as coming from me; which acknowledgement has that identical evidence of forgery, which Mr. Kneeland himself has discovered in the letter to Boston. This conduct was in my eye, when, in a letter to him of the 22d ultimo, I promised a further comment upon his views of christian practice. A few days before the debate, the parties, at Mr. Kneeland's house, agreed to a written notification of the meeting, for insertion in some public paper. As Mr. Kneeland was acquainted with the presses of the city, our joint advertisement was left in I did not suppose that Universalism had so far affected his understanding as to make him alter such an instrument. when exposure was the certain consequence. Yet, without my privity or authority, he did add to it the following sentence: viz. "This discussion was first proposed on the part of Mr. M'Calla, and accepted by Mr. Kneeland." To save me the trouble of noticing this conduct in the papers, I requested Mr. Kneeland to correct his own error, and inform the public that this declaration was added upon his sole responsibility. promised to do so. His failure in the performance of this promise adds to the guilt of the original transgression.

Although this article was understood to be by consent, yet as we had neglected to sign it, it did not fully answer Mr. Kneeland's purpose. He therefore took our rules of conference, an instrument which we had signed, and this infatuated man deliberately prefixed to them a similar declaration, in such a manner, that on the face of the paper, as published in the Saturday Evening Post of July 10, this manufactured preamble of his appears as manifestly to be signed by me, as the title of the rules, or any article which they contain. To show this, we here insert the publication in its primitive beauty, with Mr. Kneeland's unau-

thorized introduction, enclosed in brackets.

### "[PUBLIC THEOLOGICAL DISCUSSION.

44 After the interchange of two letters on each side, the first of which, dated July 2d, coming from the Reverend Mr. M'Calla,

and proposing a public debate which, being accepted by the Reverend Mr. Kneeland, led to a meeting of the parties, when the following articles were mutually agreed upon.]"

Rules of Conference adopted and signed this eighth day of July; 1824, in the city of Philudelphia, by Abner Kneeland and W.

L. i' Calla. (Duplicates given to the parties.)

1. The proposition for discussion shall be as follows, viz. Is the punishment of the wicked absolutely eternal? or is it only a temporal punishment in this world, for their good, and to be succeeded by eternal happiness after death?

2. Each speaker shall be entitled to an alternate address of

thirty minutes, unless the other party waive his right.

3. The discussion to be moderated by three men, each of the parties choosing one, and these two a third, who shall be consi-

dered the president of the bench.

4. The discussion shall (God willing) commence on the 13th instant, at half past nine o'clock A. M. and continue, if necessary, until noon. It shall, if necessary, be resumed at four o'clock, and continue until half past six P. M. and continue from day to day until both parties shall be satisfied.

5. The debate shall be opened and closed by one party in the forenoon and another in the afternoon, and in case of a continuance, the party who relinquishes this privilege in the morning of the first day, shall, if requiring it, enjoy it on the morning of the

second; and so on.

6. The conference to be held at the Universalist Church in Lombard street, and adjourned if necessary by mutual consent to some other suitable place. "W. L. M'CALLA,

Without taking time to dwell upon the features of Mr. Morse's grammatical preceptor as reflected in the composition of the above preamble, it may be safely observed that a man, who has, without authority, written over my ame, a declaration which is no less untrue than ungrammatical, is capable of writing challenges in my name to Mr. Ballou of Boston, to Mr. Mitchell of New York, to Mr. Kneeland of Philadelphia, or to any Universalist bedlamite whose "good judgment" the "faithful brethren" may celebrate.

W. L. M'CALLA.

#### No. 17.

PROM THE FRANKLIN GAZETTE. OF SEPT. 11TH.

#### TO W. L. MCALLA.

In yesterday's paper I observed three columns therein occupied with your signature placed at the bottom. In that communication, you appear to be wrangling, with your accustomed

expertness, with at least five different individuals, some of whom you have never yet seen! Permit me to ask you, sir, what all this is for? Is it because you had proved all or any of them to be dishonest or unchristian in any thing whichthey, or any one of them, have said or done? Or is the whole of that rancorous spirit which your communication breathes throughout against those individuals to be attributed to your hatred of universalism, united with your inordinate love of the doctrine of never-ceasing damnation, not for yourself, but perhaps for your "father, brother or wife?" Is all the litigiousness with which your writing is graced to be accounted for from your fondness to believe that that God who created all will consign a part to a quenchless burning hell? Let meask, in my turn, what shall we think of that man who can call Mr Ballou's acceptance of what he supposed at the time was a challenge from you "an uncalled for refusal to meet me," when Mr. Ballou in his remarks explicitly says: "But before this, (i. e.) before I join these Reverend divines in the doctrine of despair, I promise to use my feeble powers to the utmost in defence of the Gospel?" The refusal of Mr. Ballou to meet Mr. M'Calla is not unlike what has more than once been called a refusal of the church in Lombard-street to continue the debate, when Mr. M 'Calla as well knew he could have had the house longer, as he and others knew, that the weapons used by him against his opponent were, before the close of the discussion, becoming very few and Again, let me ask, what shall we think of that man, who, making Mr. M Calla his conservator, draws up a paper, and after stating therein, designing to express Mr. Kneeland's sentiments, "God was the author of sin;" said that Mr. Kneeland founded his sentiment of universal salvation on this notion," instead of saying, as he ought to have said, in order to be consistent with himself and his former statement, Mr. Kneeland has written in a pamphlet thus: "God is the author of sin, and therefore will not punish it?" Will Mr. Magoffin be able to get the names of ten respectable men attached to a paper, wherein it shall be stated, that he never used the last quoted sentence as coming from Mr. Kneeland, or as exactly expressive of what Universalists believe! Let it be remembered by the opposers of Universalism that "the way of the trangressor is hard," and that no sinner has a right to expect, that he shall go unpunished for his sins. Let Mr. Magoffin and the eminent philologist who wrote in yesterday's Gazette turn their thoughts within; view their studied policy in their recent proceedings in relation to Universalists, not forgetting the beautiful allusions which the last has made concerning Hudibras, the wooden horse of Troy, the Universalist bedlamite; or they may with equal propriety bear in mind the conduct of any Presbyterian bedlamite, who hereafter wishes to employ Mr. M. Calla as a champion.

Philadelphia, Sept. 10, 1824.

WILLIAM MORSE.

#### No. 18.

#### FROM THE FRANKLIN GAZETTE.

## TO MR. ABNER KNEELAND.

Sin: In answer to your letter of the 8th instant, addressed to me on the subject of my note to Mr. Ballou, I would state, that I was fully convinced that Mr. M'Calla never, at any time in his life, wrote, indited, or addressed one line on any subject, to either Mr. Ballou of Boston, or Mr. Mitchell of New York. by the simple declaration of Mr. M'Calla himself. concerning any fact to which he would testify, is sufficient ground for confidence to all who have any intimate acquaintance with him. I have been acquainted with him for several years, and could certify, were it needful, that he is a minister in the Presbyterian church, in good and regular standing with his brethren, and highly esteemed for his honesty, eloquence, talents, and piety, by thousands in our ecclesiastical commu-His veracity, so far as I know, was never questioned by any before he commenced a correspondence with you; and since, none doubt it but a few who believe in the universal salvation of mankind. These seem to me to doubt the veracity of God, and to believe in the veracity of "the father of lies," who said, "ye shall not surely die;" so that it is no wonder if they should call Mr. M'Calla " a liar."

The evidence which fully convinced and still convinces me of his soundness of mind, is presented to me by my ears, when I hear him speak on any subject, for he talks like a man of good sense; and by my eyes, when I read any of his writings.

His debate with yourself convinced me that he is "a man of sound mind," and I think came near to convincing your judgment, sorely against your will, that he is a champion for what the greater part of the christian world calls orthodoxy, of extraordinary polemical abilities and prowess. If he did not conquer you, at least you will admit, that a man of no mean powers of mind could not put him to flight in a contest of four days. In short, by the same kind of evidence which convinces me that Mr. Kneeland is not insane, but has a sound understanding, and other mental faculties, which I deem nearly as much perverted as those of Milton's devil, I am now convinced that Mr. M'Calla, in native energy of mind, in soundness of judgment, clearness of apprehension, accuracy of reasoning, rectitude of conscience, benevolence of heart, and even in the knowledge of Hebrew and Grecian literature, is every way Mr. Kneeland's superior.

Mr. M'Calla's letters to yourself since the debate have not failed to convince thousands who have read them with delight, that you have no need to desire a controvertist of sounder intellect.

EZRA STILES ELY.

Philadelphia, September 11, 1824.

# No. 19. FROM THE FRANKLIN GAZETTE, OF SEPT. 15.

#### TO MR. EZRA STILES ELY.

SIR—If, in reply to your note of the 11th instant, I do not use all that mildness to which I am accustomed, and which it is always a pleasure to me to observe, unless prevented by a sense of justice to myself, you well know the example I follow, with which you cannot be displeased, since it comes from yourself.

In answer to my note, you say, "I was fully convinced, &c." This, sir, you informed the public before, the truth of which I did not call in question; it was therefore unnecessary to repeat it: the subject of my inquiry was the evidence by which you were so convinced, and which you had not given to the public. You now say, "his [Mr. M'Calla's] word is sufficient ground of confidence, &c." If, sir, the public had been informed that you had the "word" of Mr. M'Calla as your authority for the truth of what you stated, and which no one could positively know except Mr. M'Calla himself, I should never have troubled you on this subject. Or if Mr. M Calla had been as prompt in discovering the letter published by Mr. Ballou, as you were in disavowing the false statements which it contained in relation to yourself and Dr. Wilson, the public would have been set right at once, which would have prevented any groundless suspicions. Mr. Ballou, however, had every reason to suppose the letter genuine, and therefore treated it as such; and I do not see how the public could be fully convinced to the contrary, until it was discovered by the man whose name it bears. I have now in my possession one of the letters in question, and am thereby fully convinced that no part is in the hand-writing of Mr. M'Calla.

It gives me pleasure also to find that Mr. McCalla stands so high among the clergy as a man of "honesty, eloquence, talents and piety by thousands in our [Presbyterian] ecclesiastical communion;" for all this adds weight to the importance of the late discussion; and I still hope that nothing will occur to lessen him in your estimation; but that you will still consider him "a champion for what the greater part of the Christian world calls orthodoxy." That he has "extraordinary polemical abilities and prowess," I believe will not be doubted by any who heard, or who shall read the discussion.

But, sir, after all, notwithstanding this high opinion of your friend Mr. McCalla, you have not had the hardihood to deny that he has made the incorrect statements with which he is charged; neither have you attempted to reconcile the making of those erroneous statements with the possession, at the same time, of a sound mind. He stated, during the discussion, that I had written and

published a Greek Grammar, which is totally incorrect; that he was denied the use of the church in Lombard-street any longer. which is equally untrue; which statement he has repeated several times since, though it has been as often contradicted; and he has given no evidence whatever of its truth. He has also stated since the discussion that I have refused to meet him again; that I sent him the first number of the minutes of the discussion, and requested him to point out the errors if there were any; with several other statements, which I could name if I were disposed to multiply them, not one of which is correct. And, after all, I am not disposed to "call Mr. M'Calla a liar," though you quoted those words, "a liar!" thereby insinuating that he had been so called by "a few who believe in the "universal salvation of mankind," among whom you undoubtedly meant to include me! Such insinuations, without proof, more than to say, "these seem to me, &c." I consider far beneath the dignity of either the gentleman or the christian. It is more charitable to impute such intemperate language as that' which has been used by Mr. M'Calla, and his vindicator, to a disturbed imagination, or a disordered brain, than it is to say that it proceeds from a worse motive. To say that such language proceeds from a mind that is "sound," is only to acknowledge, in other words, that it comes from a heart "desperately wicked."

I challenge you, sir, to show the least evidence that Universalists "doubt the veracity of God!" And what evidence can you give why it should so "seem" to you other than the evil surmisings of your own heart? By what evidence does it "seem to you that Universalists "believe in the veracity of the father of lies, who said, 'ye shall not surely die?" I know of no Universalist but what believes that Adam did die the very death threatened, and that too "in the day" of his transgression. But those who believe that God threatened all mankind with eternal death, and then saves some of them from it; what do they but "doubt the veracity of God," and "believe in the veracity of the serpent, who said to our mother Eve, who, no doubt, was one of the elect, and who now says to all the elect, "ye shall not surely die?" Unless all mankind die the death threatened as a consequence of sin, how can you vindicate the testimony of God, or prove

that the testimony of the serpent was false?

If some of these remarks should be rather "highly seasoned," so as to make your "lips smack." how could you expect any

thing better from "Milton's devil?"

As to my inferiority, in every thing that is either learned, good er amiable, to Mr. M. Calla, in the opinion of one who supposes my "understanding and other mental faculties" so much "perverted," as the writer of the letter which I am now answering, it

<sup>\*</sup> See your notice in the public papers of Mr. Brownlee's work against the Quakers, previous to its publication.

gives me no uneasiness whatever; because, in the mind of the man with whom Mr. M'Calla stands so very high, I may be inferior, and yet not be very low.

I am glad that Mr. McCalla's letters to me have been read by "thousands," with so much "delight." I hope they will also be

willing to read the discussion.

ABNER KNEELAND.

Philadelphia, Sept. 13th, 1824.

No. 20.

There was in the Democratic Press of Sept. 10th, 18th and 15th, a contest between Mr. Jennings and an anonymous writer by the name of Justice, in which the latter convicted the former of corruption in his report of the debate. He also produced evidence, both internal and external, that the report was under the management of Mr. Kneeland. Mr. Jennings denied that the book was written at Mr. Kneeland's house, but confessed that it was sold there, because it was a more central situation than his own! He confessed that he knew not even the letters of the sacred languages, but denied that Mr. Kneeland, or any body else aided him in writing his critical notes on these languages, except his "theologian" at his "elbow, which by the bye, is a little family dog." These are his own words. In the same paper, and in the Franklin Gazette, there was a controversy between Mr. Magoffin and Mr. Morse, in which the former convicted the latter of falsehood in repeated instances. Mr. Morse hints very strong suspicions that his antagonist obtained assistance from me in writing. This report which is utterly destitute of truth, he appears to have invented as an excuse for obtaining such assistance himself. His first and second pieces against Mr. Magoffin could not have been written by the same hand, and we shall soon see that the same remark is applicable to his first and second pieces against me in Nos. 17 and 24.

### No. 21.

FROM THE DEMOCRATIC PRESS, OF SEPT. 22D.

## DEBATE ON UNIVERSALISM.

Just published and now for sale, in four numbers, at 25 cents each, "Minutes of a Discussion of the Universalist Question, between Mr. Abner Kneeland and Dr. E. S. Ely, conducted in the Universalist Synagogue in Lombard street, Philadelphia, July 13 to 17, 1824. Taken in short-hand, by R. L. Longhand."

This debate originated in the following challenge of Mr. Kneeland to Dr. Ely, as published in the Franklin Gazette of the 15th inst.\* viz: "I challenge you, Sir, to shew, the least evidence

that Universalists doubt the veracity of God." &c.

As it is probable that Dr. Ely, like a petplant friend of his, will say that this is a spurious report, seeing that the debate has never yet taken place, I would ask that gentleman what advantage there is in waiting? Does he expect that I could give the public a more faithful transcript of his language, sentiments and method after hearing him than before? A little experience would teach him better. One fact is better than a thousand theories; and it is a fact that cousin R. L. Short-hand was lately present at a debate, and he has ascribed to one of the parties, if my information be correct, language which he never uttered, and sentiments which he never believed. All that can be asked of a Reporter is that he shall do justice to the argument; and this can be done as well before the debate as afterward.

Mv opportunities of doing justice to Mr. Kneeland's argument are peculiarly happy, since every word in this report of mine is copied from a book lately published by my cousin R. L. Jennings, now a Universalist Preacher. This book Mr. Kneeland says is "as faithful a report as ever was made," and will therefore en-

able me to shew his strength to great advantage.

The whole work is hereby "submitted to the respective parties and the moderators for their inspection." They are hereby requested to note any errors that they may observe "affecting the argument on either side." "Should no errors be pointed out by [them] notwithstanding this request, it will be considered an acknowledgment of the correctness of the work, and it will be

recommended to the public accordingly."

As I, in company with my cousin, R. L. Jennings, would be glad of "a more central situation than my own to dispose of the work," he would oblige me by permitting his brother "who stays at Mr. Kneeland's through the day," for the sale of his books, to sell mine also. Mr. Kneeland also would confer a favour by taking my books through the streets under his arm, along with cousin R. L. Shor.-hand's productions. By this, however, I would not have the public to understand that Mr. Kneeland has any thing to do in my publication. I solemnly declare upon the veracity of a Universalist Preacher, that I have no connexion with that gentleman; no, not even so far as to have cousin R. L. Jennings' "little family dog" "at my elbow."

Without detaining the reader farther than to observe that the purchase of one number is an engagement for the whole work, I

proceed now to the discussion.

No. 1.

Tuesday, Forenoon—Dr. Ely opened by clearing away the rubbish.

<sup>\*</sup> See No. 19.

Mr. Kneeland. He has his mind marked out in a particular track; for, having anticipated, as he supposed, the ground which would be occupied by his opponent, he had his matter ready cut and dried to meet him. Has he referred to a single passage in the scripture to support his side of the argument?

Afternoon. - Dr. Ely enters upon his proofs.

Mr. Kneeland. He seems indeed to avoid the proposition altogether. I had expected he would have brought forth his strongest arguments in support of his side of the question, but am sorry to find he has not produced a single one relative to the point in discussion.

No. 2.

Wednesday, Forenoon.—Dr. Ely advances in the argument. Mr. Kneeland. Let him come to the question, and the moment he can prove his proposition true, that moment I give up the contest, and must submit. But as he has brought forward nothing for me to answer; nothing in support of his argument; I have nothing to refute. I do not know even how to consume my thirty minutes! [Laugh.] My opponent has charged me with challenging the world to a public discussion. This I positively denv.

Afternoon. - More proofs from Dr. Ely.

Mr. Kneeland. I ask what did we come here to do? Why collect this crowded and respectable audience together? Was it not to discuss the proposition read in your hearing? I have therefore urged him on his own account, and for the sake of this enquiring assembly, if he be able to handle his doctrine as he ought, that he do it at once; for as yet, he has not said one word about it. It is useless for him to take up your time, and to disappoint your expectations by talking about my opinions and the supposed inconsistencies of my writings; for they have no bearing upon the point whatever! It appears to me, and I think it must also appear to you, that my opponent had not only the outlines, but the very body of his argument prepared before he came to the controversy; for he is going straight on in his long story without taking notice of aught I say.

No. 3.

Thursday, Forenoon. - Dr. Ely goes straight on.

Mr. Kneeland. I feel anxious to consume my thirty minutes, but in truth; I know not what to say. I have so repeatedly called upon my opponent to come forward with his evidence, that I think there will be no necessity to call upon him again, if he has any to produce. He has certainly not produced any yet that I observed, and I pay all the attention to my opponent's arguments that they deserve, as I take minutes of every thing of importance which he advances connected with the subject, and yet I have observed nothing. It has been suggested to me this morning, as a report in circulation, and very generally received; that an im-

pression was made upon the audience assembled here yesterday afternoon, very much in favour of my opponent, and that his cause was rising. If it be so I am truly glad of it! There is no person present to whom such a report affords more satisfaction than to your speaker; for, to it, in a great measure, may be attributed your being drawn together this morning in increased I am also glad that his cause is rising in your minds, because the more powerful impression he now makes on you, respecting the truth of his doctrine, the greater will be his defeat if he should fail to prove it. I am sorry that truth constrains me to declare, I have met with more powerful evidence, brought in favour of eternal misery, by lay-men, even by apprentice boys, at the Commissioner's Hall in the Northern Liberties, than I have heard this morning from my opponent. I feel sorry that a reverend gentleman should have come all the way from - to teach the citizens of Philadelphia theology, without being possessed of abilities better adapted to the task.

Afternoon — The Doctor's evidence increases in strength.

Mr. Kneeland. Has be proved his part of the proposition

Mr. Kneeland. Has he proved his part of the proposition? Has he met me on the point which was to decide it? No. he has not. And is it because he has evaded it, and has tried to lead you away from it, that his cause is rising? Does his cause yet rise? Does it yet make the shining faces as I understand so many of his friends had this morning? "After a short lucid interval-Mr. Kneeland observed.] My hearers must perceive the reason why I go into this extraneous matter.—What shall I do?—Say nothing? If my opponent will give me any thing better to talk about, I will not wander.

## No. 4.

Friday, Forenoon. Dr. Fly still strengthens his proofs.

Mr. Kneeland. As my opponent has given me nothing new to reply to, I have nothing of course to say in answer. Is the cause of my opponent still rising. Has be gained such a wonderful victory? The nearer a man approaches to the brink of a steep precipice the greater is the danger of his falling over. Has it not been so with my opponent? He would have drawn back, but I was too close behind him.

Afternoon. Mr. Kneeland. While I am speaking he is reading his little book, without attending to the arguments I may offer against his doctrine. My opponent has once more turned to his little book, and of this I am glad, for he has really entertained you better with what he has read therefrom, than he did in his former address. This is no discussion—this is continued reading straight forward on his part, and incessant entreaties on mine, to bring him to the point in dispute.

Dr. Ely being informed by Mr. Kneeland that the house might

not be had any longer, closed.

Hearing that cousin R. L. Jennings had found dull sale for his work in 6 numbers. I thought it safer to divide mine into 4. If, however, it should meet with due encouragement, it is my intention to add two more numbers to the second edition. 5th to contain cousin Jenning's first great effort after his ordination to the ministry in the Universalist Synagogue. It is his essay on kittle family dogs, published in the Democratic Press of the 18th inst.\* It is said that Homer wrote first the wars of the frogs and then the war of Troy. There is no telling what our cousin may vet come to. He has already made such proficiency in the dead languages, that he thinks himself able "to compare the letters which are used to form one word with the letters that are used to form another, and if they do not agree. to alter them." At least, he thinks, "it is probable the writer, [that is, Cousin Jennings,] could do this much himself, were he to attempt it!" This is as much as to say that he has not yet What then must we think of that genius who can attempted it. consult Hebrew and Greek Lexicons and Concordances, and write critical notes on the sacred languages, when he has never vet attempted to learn their alphabets, and when he has no other created assistance than a little family dog at his elbow. had a great butcher's dog or a pack of hounds there, he would be equal to a man of sound mind, who said a few years ago, that he could speak all the languages spoken in Jerusalem on the day of Pentecost.

The 6th number will be devoted to a couple of lectures written by brother Morse on the philosophy of language. In the first of them he will prove that when a preacher professes to write on Universalism, a lawyer on Forgery, or a physician on Insanity, they must write in favour of these things, or be guilty of deception. To this will be appended a few remarks of brother Kneeland's last letter to Dr. Ely, the wing that it is more charitable to attribute the use of such language "to a disturbed imagination or a disordered brain, than it is to say that it proceeds from a worse motive!" The second lecture will be on the subject of controversial etiquette; in which brother Morse will prove, according to the doctrine of his letter of the 10th inst. § that for an orthodox minister to route five Universalist assailants at once, is as indecorous as the conduct of that revolutionary American who surrounded seven British soldiers.

Before taking leave of the public, I wish them to remember that the above report of Mr. Kneeland's defence of Universal ism is in his own words, as approved by himself, without either alteration in language or injustice to argument. There is only a little liberty taken in an arbitrary arrangement of his expressions; in which however, I have been very modest, in comparison

<sup>\*</sup>See No. 20. | See No. 16. | 1See No. 19. | 6See No. 17.

with my cousin R. L. Short-hand, in the liberties which he has taken, in suppressing and inventing, culting and collecting, altering and arranging the expressions of Mr. Kneeland's entagonist. R. L. LONGHAND.

Philadelphia, Sept. 17, 1824.

No. 22

FROM THE FRANKLIN GAZETTE, OF OCT. 7.

## DEBATE ON UNIVERSALISM.

## TO MR. WILLIAM MORSE.

In the Franklin Gazette of the 11th of September, you have addressed a letter to me. It is not necessary for me to state my reasons for answering it so tardily, or for answering it at Although it may be dishonourable for the five persons of whom you speak, to make an unfair and unjust assault upon me, there is no reason to be ashamed that God has enabled me to make a successful resistance. "There is no restraint to the Lord to save by many or by few." The case would not be altered if you and your four brethren were multiplied by a hundred, each brandishing a forged letter in his hand; For Jehovah has promised his faithful servants, that one shall "chase a thousand, and two put ten thousand to flight." Whenever five men or five hundred resort to personalities and pious frauds, men of sense will suspect that their cause is desperate. Mr. Kneeland consumed much of his tedious time during the debate in canvassing my personal character, and you and Mr. Ballou have since joined him in that important occupation in the public prints. If this were not intended to injure the truth, you might divide my reputation, as the Jews did my Master's garments, between you. It is with the greatest reluctance that I leave, for a moment, the real subject in controversy, to answer forgeries and fables, whether the old wives who publish them be male or female, five or fitty.

Although you and your associates, in subserviency to the great accuser of the brethren, are engaged in the same work. you have different lists of charges. The sum of your several catalogues appears to be, that you accuse me of saying, during the debate, that Mr. Kneeland wrote and published a Greek grammar; -of saying, since the debate, that I was denied the continued use of the house;—that Mr. Kneeland has since refused to meet me; -that Mr. Ballou has, without my invitation, done the same :- that Mr. Kneeland sent me the first number of Mr. Jennings's report;—that he has a hand with him in that

work; -and that it is a spurious production.

As the affair of the Greek grammar is mentioned in the re-

port, an examination of its genuineness will, if Providence permit, recall that subject on a future occasion. The refusal of the house until my argument was completed may now receive a partial attention. Mr. Kneeland has said in an article of the 1st of September, and afterwards in his letter to Dr. Ely of the 13th, that it is untrue that I " was denied the use of the church in Lombard-street any longer." In the former, he says that " Dr. Elv knows himself to the contrary;" and in the latter, that Dr. Ely has "not the hardihood to deny" this and similar accusations. Besides the notorious concurrence of the audience who attended the debate, in denying this charge, it is well known to you that Dr. Elv had denied it in that very letter which this of Mr. Kneeland professes to answer. As Dr. Ely was our moderator on the last day, he must have known whether I was denied the farther use of the house or not. If I was not refused this privilege, he must have known that my complaint of the house being denied was untrue, and that to acquit me of talsehood was becoming an accomplice in my crime. Yet in his letter to Mr. Kneeland, of the 11th ultimo, he has not only acquitted me himself, but has declared that he believes my veracity to be unquestioned, not only among the hearers of our debate, but all others except a few Universalists. The following are his wn words:

"His veracity, so far as I know, was never questioned by any before he commenced a correspondence with you; and since, none doubt it but a few who believe in the universal salvation of mankind. These SEEM to me to doubt the veracity of God, and to believe in the veracity of the 'tather of lies,' who said 'ye shall not surely die;' so that it is no wonder if they should call Mr. M'Calla a liar."

This declaration of Dr. Ely is a denial of Mr. Kneeland's charge, and certainly attaches guilt to his subsequent assertion, that Dr. Ely had "not the hardihood to deny" a thing so no-

toriously incorrect.

In your letter you appear to think with some reason, that this subject may be illustrated by the case of Mr. Ballou, and the forged challenge which gave him such unnecessary alarm. Your words are, "the refusal of Mr. Ballou to meet Mr. M'Calla is not unlike what has more than once been called a refusal of the church in Lombard-street to continue the debate."

I confess they are alike in four particulars at least. 1. They are both well known matters of fact. 2. The same moral infirmity made Mr Ballou refuse to commence a discussion, which made Mr. Kneeland refuse to continue it. 3. Both these facts have been alike denied by Mr. Morse. 4. They resemble each other in both being made occasions of a charge of untruth against an innocent person, which charge recoiled upon its guilty

fabricator. They are therefore almost as much alike as two peas, or as the two pillars of Haman's gallows, which hung the man who built them.

While noting resemblances, you might with safety have added to the list Mr. Kneeland's refusal to meet me again, since the debate was closed. The assertion of this fact he has impugned in his letter of the 13th ultimo. In his communication of the 3d of September to Mr. Binns, he says, "it will be perceived that I have offered to meet Mr. M Calla again, or in other words have accepted his challenge, on condition that his friends will open one of their churches to accommodate the audience, as proof that they approve of his manner of conducting an argument, together with some other conditions therein named, not one of which has he complied with. Until therefore he shall comply with those conditions, or others equally fair, I have nothing more to say to him

on the subject."

It is said that he has impressed some of his credulous followers with a belief, that a compliance on my part with one of his conditions such as finding a church, is all that he requires, to another meeting. In the above article, however, he refers to his letter of August 2d, published in the Franklin Gazette of Aug. 21st, and requires a compliance "with some other conditions therein named," such as uniting with him in religious worship and giving him my hand "in token of friendship!" that is, of christian fellowship, as he means. These conditions evidently require me to do what the church of Christ has never yet done; that is, to acknowledge the christianity of an Unitarian. require me to violate a good conscience, and give up the point in dispute, before he will discuss it with me. What he means by other conditions "equally fair," I know not, unless he intends to require that in place of worshipping with him, his antagonist must be gagged, and that instead of giving him one hand, I must have both tied behind my back; for these conditions would be equally fair with those required in his letter. If I were to require as an indispensable condition to another meeting, that he should appear on the arena with a halter round his neck, every one would consider it a retreat from the conflict: so when he makes a sine qua non of a moral impossibility, it is a refusal to meet me. No man who was willing to submit his opinions to an investigation of this sort ever required such terms. Mr. Kneeland himself did not demand them until sore experience taught him to do so. His doing so, however, proves that while he boasts of success, he feels the realities of a defeat. church was built for the spread of Universalism. He pretends that our debate promoted this end: yet the house cannot be obtained for me to finish my argument! He pretends that the discussion multiplied proselytes to Universalism, and greatly increased the sale of his books: yet we cannot have the house a few days longer, least his books and his followers should multiply like the lice and the locusts of Egypt! A man whose conscience will permit him to speak and act so inconsistently, is not likely to be scrupulous about writing a false report, and af-

terwards denying it.

In Mr. Kneeland's article and letter of September 1st and 13th he charges me with saying that he sent me the first number of this report. I knew that Mr. Jennings had sent me the number; although I believed then, and believe now, that it was done with Mr. Kneeland's knowledge, and by his authority. I therefore stated in my letter to Mr. Norvell of August 10, ' that a copy had been sent to each of the parties." This was accompanied with an intimation of the quarter from which it came, as in the following extract: "The first number of Mr. Kneeland's promised minutes of the discussion, professing to be taken in short hand by one of his friends, has made its appearance. If there were no danger of detection, it would be a tolerably good book for promoting the views of its author. He has informed the public that a copy has been sent to each of the parties." This is not saying that Mr. Kneeland sent the book, but " that a copy has been sent." The person who sent it is "its author. informed the public" of the fact. It has two authors; Mr. Kneeland, who promised the minutes, and Mr. Jennings, who professed to take them in short hand. I meant, and I said with sufficient clearness, or at least with sufficient correctness, that the latter had sent me the first number. Mr. Kneeland insists upon it that I represented him as sending it.

He has perverted Dr. Ely's language in a similar way, though in a much more inexcusable manner. In his letter to him, he speaks as follows: "And after all, I am not disposed to call Mr. M Calla a liar, though you quoted those words, 'a liar!' thereby insinuating that he had been so called by a few who believe in the universal salvation of mankind, among whom you UNDOUBTEDLY meant to include ME." Dr. Ely said and meant that a few Universalists had given me this name, because he had been circumstantially informed of the fact, as it occurred in the Lombard street church, a few minutes after the debate had closed. But he neither meant nor said that Mr. Kneeland was one of these persons, as appears by his verbal declaration, and by his letter. But, like the man who thought that every body he saw laughing was deriding him, Mr. Kneeland says, "you undoubt-edly meant to include me." I suppose that we cannot hereafter speak of the world, the flesh and the devil, without undoubtedly meaning to include Mr. Kneeland, Mr. Jennings and his "little family dog;" which famous little animal has, according to Mr. Jennings's own account, become his preceptor in theology and criticism.\* I could not give you a better piece of advice

<sup>•</sup> See No. 20

than to guard you against both the teacher and his evil-doing disciple, in the words of Paul to the Phillippians: "Beware of

dogs, beware of evil-workers."

Although Mr. Jennings is the only one who has openly acknowledged his obligation to this Universalist professor of theology, he is not the only cynical writer who defends his system. Perhaps you are acquainted with a certain moderator, who after a wholesome chastisement from the Rev. J. M. imitated Mr. Ballou, by snarling an insinuation against the intellectual soundness of his castigator. Excuse me for giving an extract from Mr. Kneeland's last letter to Dr. Ely in illustration of the same point. By way of administering a mild and charitable Universalist reproof to the doctor, for an insinuation which originated in Mr. Kneeland's own guilty suspicion, he tells him that he considers such insinuations "far beneath the dignity of either the gentleman or the christian. It is more charitable," continues this temperate and polished character; "It is more charitable to impute such intemperate language, as that which has been used by Mr. M'Calla and his vindicator, to a disturbed imagination, or a disordered brain, than it is to say that it proceeds from a worse motive. To say that such language proceeds from a mind that is sound, is only to acknowledge, in other words, that it comes from a heart desperately wicked."

The longer our correspondence continues, the clearer insight we obtain into the means by which Universalists defend their creed. They first charge their opponent with undoubtedly meaning some trifle which he never meant nor said. A solemn court of inquiry is then convened, composed of the wisest heads that can be found, on Mr. Kneeland's shoulders, and at Mr. Jennings's elbow. In such a court, the accused and his vindicator too, are imme diately found guilty of ungentlemanly and unchristian conduct, proceeding from a heart desperately wicked. Then sweet charity begins to agitate their tender bosoms, and they set their heads and elbows closer together, to devise some pious fraud, some plan of mercy, whereby these desperately wicked, ungentlementy and unchristian characters may be lovingly transmitted from the jail to the hospital. Like certain judges of oldwho handed over their orthodox victims to the secular arm, with earnest entreaties to spare their precious lives, these bland judes of ours, charitably wish that we could only be immured for life, and considered by the community as unfit for society! If this were the doom of Mr. J. M. and Dr. E. and all their vindicators, and the vindicators of truth, then each of their churches might be furnished with a Universalist pastor who is compos men-His; a qualification which is exceedingly important to the ministerial office, and which is to be found no where else than with Messrs. Ballou and Kneeland, Mr. Morse, Mr. Jennings, and his canine instructor.

Digitized by Google

These five accomplished specimens of sanity, charity, and Universalism, have not disappointed my expectations in any artifice which they have used to pollute my reputation. the commencement of the present controversy, my friends fairly warned me of the consequences, in the tenor of the old proverb, with which, no doubt, Mr. Jennings's experience coincides. "he that lies down with dogs must rise up with fleas." Yet, through grace, I am willing to bear all for the sake of that good cause, which, through the power of God, is moving forward, although, I may say with my Divine Redeemer, that "dogs have compassed me: the assembly of the wicked have enclosed me." However great may be their numbers and their industry, and however cunning they wish to be in their unprincipled malignity, it is evident that their counsels, like those of Absalom, are left to What more visionary scheme could a Unijudicial infatuation. versalist himself invent, than that of Mr. Jennings, to make the world believe that without any human aid, he could record from the lips of rapid speakers, with orthographical and even orthoepical accuracy, long dissertations on Hebrew and Greek words, when he does not pretend to an acquaintance with even the alphabets of these languages? He has made critical annotations to my pretended speeches. These, like Mr. Kneeland's writings, are an affectation of great familiarity with Hebrew and Greek literature. Is this consistent with the fact, that he does not know the names nor the powers of the letters composing those words which he pretends to have written? Without this knowledge himself, and without the aid of any other human being, this Universalist wiseacre would have the world believe that he can unlock the treasures of lexicons, and concordances, and translations in the dead languages! He must surely think not only that the parties are insane, but that the whole community is run mad. Such a tale carries in itself its own refutation. man who can invent and utter such a story must have an understanding as beclouded as his conscience is depraved.

Yet, strange as it may seem. Mr. Kneeland, in the present sinking state of his affairs, has caught at this straw, to keep him from going to the bottom. He has even made repeated assaults upon the character of my head and heart, because I will not join him and his short-hand aid-de-camp in this mutiny against common sense. What makes this Universalist conspiration against sanity and integrity the more absurd, is that Mr. Kneeland's participation in Mr. Jennings's celebrated minutes of the debate is proved by frequent newspaper publications of his own. While I proceed to show this, will you be so kind as to remember that your Mr. Jennings has said in the Franklin Gazette, of August 2, "I therefore publickly acknowledge myself to be the sole publisher of the said debate, and as publicly disown being

mgaged with either of the parties, directly or indirectly." Compare this with Mr. Kneeland's previous declaration, published July 21, that "the whole discussion has been taken down in short-hand by a stenographer employed for the purpose." Who it is that has employed this unengaged reporter must be learned from the Universalists, one of whom, not being aware of the cheat, declared to several persons, of whom I was one, that the vestry of his church, of whose integrity he appeared to have a high opinion, intended to appropriate the surplus proceeds of the work to some charitable purpose. This he said by way of recommending the book to our patronage. Knowing that Mr. Kneeland was the soul of that Vestry, and knowing that 'the tender merties of the wicked are cruel,' the plan did not meet my approbation.

Whether Mr. Jennings is or is not "engaged with either of the parties, directly or indirectly," will appear farther from Mr. Kneeland's "caution to the public" against the catch-penny pamphlet, called the Substance of the debate. In this notice, published in the National Gazette. July 28d, he pledges his word that the public shall be furnished with "the real discussion in full." His words are the following: "A copy-right of the real discussion has been secured, and will soon be published in full." In this instance he makes no allusion to Mr. Jennings, and in the former he does not mention his name, but only speaks of him as "a stenographer employed for the purpose." In both cases he makes the promise on his own responsibility: Why could he not make the same engagements with regard to the pamphlet which he had just condemned as a catch-penny? Evidently because be had nothing to do with that work; whereas Mr. Jennings's catch-penny was subject to his control. This is the only reason which a reasonable man can give for promising, on his own responsibility, that it shall be the real discussion in full.

In Mr. Jennings's article of August 7, he says: "I am the reporter of neither Mr. Kneeland nor Mr. M'Calla." Compare this with Mr. Kneeland's letter of August 2. The following are his words: "As to the new matter to which I had not an opportunity to reply [Ps. 9:5] since it makes nothing in your favor, nor against any of my arguments, a bare note in a very few lines will be all the reply I shall need." Although in the debate Mr. Kneeland refused me the liberty of finishing my argument, he gave me the last speech. He voluntarily resigned the privilege of replying then, and has ever since refused to open the discussion anew. Notwithstanding this, he has no doubt of an opportunity to reply now, and thus virtually have the last speech in Mr. Jennings's disinterested and impartial report!

As Mr. Kneeland had informed the public that a person was "employed for the purpose," it was expected that he would one

day or other condescend to let us know his name. Accordingly, in a notice of August 6th, he tells us that these minutes were "taken in short-hand by R. L. Jennings." Although this notice announced the work as now in press, and described its character, and detailed its terms of sale and place of deposit, it was not still Mr. Jennings's notice but Mr. Kneeland's. The former is not yet known as an independent editor, but the latter of this promising pair, who had first told us that a stenographer was employed, then promised to give us the "real discussion in full," now comes forward over the head of Mr. Jennings, and informs us of his terms of supplying purchasers, subscribers and agents; and closes by telling the public that the "books may be had at the Rev. Mr. Kneetand's, or at the bookstores generally.

in the city."

It is evident that the first plan adopted by these partners in iniquity was for Mr. Jennings to keep himself out of view, and to pass off their spurious minutes as an authentic report, approved by the moderators, but particularly authorized by both the parties; "knowing," as Mr. Jennings says, "that with their approbation the work would be better received by the public." For this purpose a general rumor was industriously propagated, that Mr. Kneeland and myself acted in concert. By means of an Universalist who really thought the story true, a near relative of my own was impressed with a belief of its correctness, until I undeceived him. As Mr. Kneland had already in his own opinion, gained one object by writing for both parties, without my consent, he tried what could be done by the same method in carrying this point also. For this purpose, when he published in the National Gazette his caution against the rival catch-penny, and promised to the public his own catch-penny, which he nicknamed "the real discussion," he added the following note: viz. "Printers who have advertised the above work will do an act of justice to the parties by inserting the above." The effect which Mr. Kneeland knew this would produce, and which it did produce very decidedly and extensively, was considerably increased and confirmed by my being precluded from the privilege of exposing the imposition in the same paper.

Although this artifice caught many a penny from persons who have since declared themselves grossly deceived, Mr. Kneeland found that it would finally fail. As the public must soon be convinced that the report was disavowed and condemned by me, he was determined to wash his hands of it, lest it should be treated as a Universalist book. The plan must be altered. The responsibility must now be devolved upon some impartial person. Under this character it is that Mr. Jennings makes his entre before the public as the sole editor of the work. A report is circulated that he is a Baptist; of course my friends must

buy his book. In the commencement of this new campaign, he blusters in the papers about not being able to find me in the city: insinuating that I retreat to the country for fear of meeting him. At last, after riding twelve miles for his accommodation, I obtained an interview with this new acquaintance, and asked him to what religious denomination he belonged. He at first insinuates that he is a Baptist. Upon a cross examination, he is obliged to confess himself a Universalist. As this occurred in the presence of witnesses, he considered farther dissimulation in this particular of no use, and therefore turned out Universalist preacher immediately after. Although this was done under the patronage of Mr. Kneeland, who had, with his implicit approbation, promised and proposed the report on his own responsibility, and represented Mr. Jennings as "employed for the purpose," the latter now declares himself "the sole publisher of the said debate," "the reporter of neither Mr. Kneeland nor Mr. M'Calla," and is daring enough to "disown being engaged with either of the parties, directly or indirectly."

Being thus embarked in a vessel which Mr. Kneeland had abandoned, the disciple hoists the same false colors under which the master had sailed. Notwithstanding my frequent public condemnations of his report, he persists, number after number, in an attempt to persuade the community that I have not found one fault in it, and of course that it appears to me correct. Take his postscript on the cover of the fourth number as a specimen: "This fourth number is respectfully presented to the public; not with increased confidence of its correctness, but with increased satisfaction that the former numbers have been received with such general approbation, not one error having been pointed out, according to the above request, in any one of the three first numbers." The fifth number would, doubtless, have been adorned with a similar postscript, if Mr. Jennings had not known that it contained an invented address of one of the moderators; which goes clearly to prove that this man is essentially deficient either in skill or integrity as a reporter. divine permission this shall be more fully proved in a short time.

While Mr. Kneeland was the ostensible editor, he advertised without hesitation that "the books may be had at the Rev. Mr. Kneeland's." Since he has become ashamed of his authorship, it is necessary to cloak his activity in selling the work. For this purpose Mr. Jennings, in his article of the 11th ultimo, tells us that the books were sold at Mr. Kneeland's house merely because it was a more central situation than his own, and that his brother, a lad, stayed there during the day, as a salesman! A very important point this, when the author had already told us that the bookstores generally were engaged in the sale. But if every bookseller were in Mr. Kneeland's situation, which how-

ever is not the case, Mr. Jennings's fruitful invention could easily furnish them with a lad apiece to bear the responsibility. How different is this complicated and contradictory system of Universalist chicanery from the plain dealing of orthodox honesty! As soon as possible, I hope to lay before the public my own book, with my own name to it. I wish no one else to write it or to father it for me.

October 5, 1824.

W. L. M'CALLA.

#### No. 23.

FROM THE DEMOCRATIC PRESS, OF OCT. 7.

#### UNIVERSALISM AND UNITARIANISM.

Mr. Binns:- In the universal joy of Americans, occasioned by the visit of General La Fayette, the Clergy of all denominations have a cordial participation. In Philadelphia, they have made an open expression of a sentiment which is every where felt, though generally in silence. On Friday the 1st inst. they waited upon our illustrious visitant in a body. They consisted of Roman Catholics, Episcopalians, Methodists, Baptists, German Lutherans, and Swedish Lutherans. Besides Presbyterians of the General Assembly, there were members of the Scotch Reformed and Associate Churches, as also of the Dutch Reformed, German Reformed and several other denominations, amounting as I was told, to about seventy persons. However these differed in their views of doctrine and worship, government and discipline, they appeared to agree in these three things at least. In placing Bishop White, the venerable Chaplain of the Revolutionary Congress, in the chair. 2. In loving our national guest, the companion of Washington, as an instrument in the hand of God, for the establishment of American Liberty. 3. In excluding from their procession those clergymen, (falsely so called,) who deny the supreme deity of Jesus Christ, and a future state of rewards and punishments. Those who are called Universalists here, deny these and other essential truths of Christianity. Neither they nor the Unitarians made their appearance, nor were they invited. In this, the orthodox clergy acted as their fathers did in the churches of England and Scotland, of France and Switzerland, Germany and Holland, Bohemia and Poland; as did the Hussites and Lollards, the Waldenses and Albigenses, the Claudians and Paulicians; like the churches and councils of Greece and Rome in their best days, which rejected such men as Arius and Sabellius; and like the Apostles, who rejected Cerinthus and Simon Magus.

By the 9th article and 4th section of the Constitution of this State, it will be seen that none but such a Universalist or Athe-

ist, as denies "a future state of rewards and punishments shall, on account of his religious sentiments, be disqualified to hold any office or place of trust or profit under this Commonwealth." God has declared that "he that believeth on the Son hath everlasting life, and he that believeth not the Son shall not see life, but the wrath of God abideth on him."

W. L. M·CALLA.

Philadelphia, Oct. 6th, 1824.

No. 24.

Although, upon a comparison of the following communication in the Democratic Press, of October 14th, with No. 17, and other productions of Mr. Morse, it will be found that he has got some man to lend his pen, who had too much sense and respectability to risque his name; yet as Mr. Morse is responsible for its contents, it shows him to be a decided Unitarian in doctrine and practice. In doctrine, because he denies the Trinity, and the existence of a Devil. In practice, because he denies what he knows to be true; that is, that those, who, like him and Mr. Kneeland, reject the doctrine of a future punishment, are disqualified by the constitution of the state, from holding offices of trust or profit.

For the Democratic Press.

## ENDLESS MISERY AND TRINITARIANISM.

Mr. Binns.—In your paper of the 6th inst. a short communication made its appearance under the title of "Universalism and Unitarianism.," which for childishness and arrogance exceeds, in my humble opinion, any thing before presented to the public from the pen of the self-constituted champion of reputed orthodoxy whose signature it bears. The purport of the whole appears to be simply to inform the world of the fact, that " I, (W. L. M' Calla) have been in person to see Gen. La Fayette: whilst some others, less officious, or less greedy after mushroom popularity than myself, have not availed themselves of the opportunity." Though the above be the apparent, yet it is not the sole object which Mr. M. Calla had in view in presenting the public with this important item of intelligence. He thought he had now too good an opportunity to let pass of irritating universalists and unitarians in a body, as well as attempting to prejudice the public mind against them. We have the satisfaction, however, to believe, that Mr. M'Calla stands entirely alone in this affair, and that no single one of the liberal minded clergy in this city, nay of the whole country, will thank him for his officiousness, at this particular time, in striving to mar the reputation of those whose only crime is that their religious faith does not exactly correspond with his own.

It is indeed truly strange that Mr. M Calla, on an occasion which called forth the gratitude of every heart, and in the midst of that universal harmony which pervaded all classes, sects, and parties, could not have suppressed that spirit of maliciousness for which he has on some former occasions distinguished himself. The joy produced by the presence of the illustrious visitor, the friend of civil and religious liberty, was pure and unmingled, and would have remained uninterrupted, had it not been for the peevishness of a solitary individual. Mr. M Calla stated, that they all agreed "in excluding from their procession those clergymen (falsely so called) who deny the supreme deity of Jesus Christ, '&c. I would ask who excluded them? Why the Clergy. But did the authority of the city, or did General La Fayette himself exclude them? Surely not; for universalists did visit him, and he treated them with equal attention and respect, evincing thereby that nobleness of mind which has marked his steps in every transaction of his life.

Universalists and unitarians had the same privilege of forming a procession that others had, and perhaps would have made as respectable an appearance (but for their numbers) as the procession did, in whose ranks was numbered the modest Mr. M Calla. The only sanction which Mr. M'Calla has given for the proceedings of which he has borne so conspicuous a part, is the conduct of those whose faith accords with presbyterians; but we have great reason to be thankful that in this land of freedom and independence, such contracted views do not form the standard by which to judge of a man's piety or merit. As to his classing universalists and atheists together, he has manifested either too much ignorance or too much wilfulness to render it worthy of comment. What he has extracted from the 9th article of the constitution of this state, he as well knows does not disqualify universalists from holding offices of trust or profit, as he does, that many of the civil officers, not only of this state, but of the United States, are universalists and unitarians. Before Mr. M'Calla boasts any more of his having visited the General. while some others did not, which privilege (as he would insinuate) was denied them, on account of believing the doctrine of universalism or unitarianism, I hope he will have the goodness to inform the public what qualified the most distinguished citizens of Boston and Cambridge for the company of Gen. La Fayette; or what qualified the Hon. John Q. Adams for the General's society while he tarried in this city! It will be easy for all to conclude who know the religious faith of the individuals to whom I have just alluded, that their qualifications did not consist in embracing the doctrine of the Trinity, of their believing in the existence of the devil as a "fallen angel," nor of their belief of the interminable torments of the wicked. Philad. Oct. 11, 1824.

#### No. 25

#### DEBATE ON UNIVERSALISM.

FROM THE FRANKLIN GAZETTE, OF OCT. 21.

Mr. Norvell: As my report of the late theological discussion is now completed, and will be presented to the public in a few days, I beg leave to trouble you with the following remarks, in answer to some of Mr. M'Calla's statements in relation to me, which appeared in your Gazette of the 7th instant, presuming that if I can show that the most prominent of his assertions are not founded on fact, it would only be intrusion to attempt to prove that the remainder are equally unfounded and unjust. insists, that I was employed by the Universalist church to report the discussion. This is not a fact. Had he taken the pains to have inquired, he would have found that it was a Mr. Stetson who was engaged by the church, which engagement Mr. Stetson could not fulfil, in consequence of the discussion being protracted longer than he could remain in the city; or for some other cause to me unknown. I then, being the only person who had notes of the whole of the debate, considered it a duty I owed to the public to publish my report of it, knowing that it could not be obtained from any other source. Immediately after I had made known my intention, Mr. M'Calla appeared in your Gazette, and stated that the work was "unauthorized," because, I suppose published without his authority. I, in my reply of August 7, stated that I considered myself the reporter of neither Mr. Kneeland nor Mr. M Calla, not having been engaged by either of them nor by any other individual in existence, but the reporter of the public, whom alone I considered my authority for publishing it. From the purest motives, I undertook and have completed the laborious task; namely, from a conscientious belief that it will be useful to the public. Had any other person possessed the materials for furnishing the report in full, as I have given it. I should have been glad to have been freed from so unthankful an office; for it is well known to those who are in the habit of reporting debates in congress, in the state legislatures, or in the houses of parliament in Great Britain, that speakers do not always repay with kindness the faithful labours of a re-Speakers are apt to forget many things they have stated; the more faithful, therefore, the reporter is in reporting expressions used by them in the warmth of debate, the less they thank him for his fidelity, when, in their cooler moments, those expressions meet their eyes, to which their heads, I will not say their hearts, have given birth. The case of Mr. M'Calla, then, is not singular. He is not the first who has denied his speeches, nor it likely he will be the last. It is natural for a man of sanguine temperament, in the warmth of argument, to use expressions which, on reflection, he would perhaps gladly expunge from the reporter's minutes; but which, in this instance, are given to the world as they were delivered. Had I been less faithful, it is probable I should have escaped the chastening pen of Mr. M'Calla; and, as the author of a little pamphlet which appeared immediately after the close of the debate, have passed along unheeded. But Mr. M'Calla, as soon as I made known my intention of publishing my report, being aware of the powers of the stenographic art, was determined to be beforehand with me; he therefore endeavoured to prejudice the public against my report by publicly denouncing it as "spurious" before he had seen a line of it! It is true, he has since attempted to prove that it is " partial, unsatisfactory and spurious;" but how? Not as a candid man would, by pointing out, when requested, one or more of the real or supposed errors, as a proof that he had something to ground his assertions on: No! but by telling the world that I am a Universalist! This, he thinks, is quite sufficient to justify him in any thing he may be pleased, in the plenitude of his charity, to alledge against me, no matter how seriously it may affect my interest or my character. This proof of my having given an unfaithful report may do very well, coming from Mr. M'Calla, for the bigotted, the illiterate, and the unthinking part of the community; but it will not convince a man of sober reflection: for he will see, immediately, that by pursuing this train of reasoning, it would be morally impossible to have a faithful report. This debate was not the affair of a select few, nor of a party, but one in which all are interested, whether Pagan, Mahometan, Jew or Christian; and between the two points of controversy their is no intermediate belief; for every individual in existence, if he think at all on the subject, believes that there is a future state of punishment in another world, or there is not. As I have thought and examined a great deal upon this subject, I must necessarily believe with one or other of the two disputants. Suppose then, I believed with Mr. M'Calla, that there is a future state of punishment in an immortal state; by the very same rule that he attempts to prove that a Universalist can not possibly give a faithful report, he equally proves the utter impossibility of a faithful report being given by one who is not a Universalist, or by any other individual in existence.

Mr. M Calla's reasoning upon this subject, however, is consistent with the system of logic by which he can demonstrate to the satisfaction of any person, as narrow-minded as himself, that if a universalist were to give a loaf of bread to a poor famishing fellow creature to keep him from actual starvation, or to give him a blanket in the depth of winter to keep his slow circulating blood from freezing in his veins; or if he, as the Samaritan of old, were to administer to the wants of a distressed and wounded traveller; in any one of these cases, this Mr. M Calla, with a

truly Levitical spirit, would prove it was a very wicked, cruel action! Now, sir, this is not a mere assertion of mine; it is what he, who styles himself a servant of God, a minister of the meek, the benevolent Jesus, has himself informed you. He stated in his communication of the 7th instant, that a Universalist told him, and several others who were present, that the surplus proceeds of my report were to be given for some charitable purpose. "This he, the Universalist, said by way of recommending the book to our patronage. Knowing that the tender mercies of the wicked are cruel, the plan did not meet my approbation!" This is the language of a "self-styled" minister of Christ: I have borrowed Mr. M'Calla's expression "self-styled," and now give you my The scriptures declare that authority for applying it to him. "If a man have not the Spirit of Christ, he is none of his." Has the Spirit of Christ been manifested by this reverend gentleman, in his unprovoked and unfounded attempt to asperse my character as a reporter, without producing a solitary proof that he had any other ground for it than that I differed with him in point of faith; unless indeed it be, that as he is going to publish the discussion himself, he wishes my book out of the way, to make room for his own, reasoning as the Ambitious Alexander, that as the earth cannot bear two suns, neither can the christian world bear This indeed may be the sole cause of all his spleen, but the man who could descend to such meanness to accomplish his purpose must have an understanding as beclouded as his conscience is depraved. But admitting all Mr. M'Calla has stated about "conspirations," "engaged reporters," and "deputy publishers," to be true, what will it amount to, if I can prove that my report is faithful, satisfactory and impartial? This I shall now do, and let the public decide between mere assertion and positive proof. In his communication of the 7th instant, he says, 46 It is evident that the first plan adopted by these partners in iniquity was for Mr. Jennings to keep himself out of view, and to pass off their spurious minutes as an authentic report approved by the moderators." Now to shew how regardless this man is of truth, and of my reputation, I have by particular request obtained from these very moderators certificates of the correctness of my report, which I here insert:

From the Rev. Dr. Ely.

At the request of Mr. Jennings, I would state, that I have not been able, since the publication of his "Minutes of a Discussion," &c. to read more than fifty pages of the work, which is less than one sixth part of the whole. So soon as I can find time to read the whole, I shall freely communicate, at his request, my opinion of the same. He does not pretend, in every sentence, to give the words of the speakers, and therefore they cannot be considered as responsible for any thing contained in the Minutes, until they admit themselves to have spoken it, or

else are proved to have uttered the same. For my part, I wish the Minutes may be attentively read, which will prepare inquirers for the subsequent perusal of Mr. M'Calla's expected publication on the controversy; and for the establishment of their own minds on this important question, whether there is any punishment for impenitent sinners after the present life, or not? During the debate of Tuesday afternoon, July 13th, I was absent; so that I can only express an opinion on the first forty pages, and the short speech attributed to myself, on pages 234 and 235, concerning which I am free to declare, that I discover in these forty-the opages, so for as my memory serves me, no important error or omission. I uttered more than Mr. Jennings has recorded, and the other speakers may have done the same. Had I attempted, after speaking, to give my own words, I do not know that I should have been literally and verbally accurate, any more than the stenographer. I did not intend to convey the idea, nor can I say that Mr. Jennings has attributed it to me, that I would have no objection to hear Mr. Kneeland preach, under any circumstances, which would imply, that I thought him a minister of the gospel, or of the true religion; for I would not, after knowing his sentiments, receive him into my house in the character of a servant of Christ. As a man, I will show him any kindness in my power; but I conceive myself positively forbidden to countenance any one, in any manner, as a minister of the gospel, who brings an essentially different gospel from that contained in the bible. After all, I think the fortytwo pages, of which I now give my opinion, as just a statement of what was uttered in my hearing, as could be expected of any stenographer, who should attempt to follow men of ready utterance, in a debate of TWENTY HOURS.

FZRA STILES ELY.

## Philadelphia, October 12, 1824.

It will be remembered that these forty pages, to the correctness of which the doctor has certified, contain the greater part of those very three speeches and a fragment of a speech attributed to W. L. M'Calla, and which he in the Gazette in the most positive terms disowned! The speech of Dr. Ely, having nothing to do with the argument, I did not attempt to give in full as delivered, but have merely given an outline of it, and this is submitted to the doctor for his correction, before I sent it to the press; the only alteration he made was substituting week-day for any day in the week: yet this outline of his speech, corrected and approved by himself, Mr. M'Calla calls "an invented address," which I put into the mouth of one of the moderators! Let him settle this point with Dr. Ely and his own conscience. As to the correctness of the remainder of the report, I beg leave to present you with the following certifi-

cates, though I am fully aware they do not agree with the unfounded assertions of Mr. M'Calla, nor will they "go clearly to prove that this man is essentially deficient in skill, or in integrity as a reporter," as the truly christian spirited Mr. M'Calla basely insinuated in his last communication. The following have been selected from those received.—

From the reverend William Hogan, late pastor of St. Mary's Church, South Fourth-street, and the reverend William Morse, pastor of the Second Universalist Church, Callowhill-street.

To the public.

We, the subscribers, hereby certify, that we have examined the "Minutes" of the "Theological Discussion" between the reverend Abner Kneeland and the reverend William L. M'Calla, which were taken in short hand by Mr. R. L. Jennings, and, in our opinion, they contain a true and faithful report of that discussion, without any omissions or additions affecting the arguments of either of the disputants.

WILLIAM HOGAN, WILLIAM MORSE,

Philadelphia, October 13, 1824.

Moderators.

Certificate from the reverend Abner Kneeland, pastor of the first Independent Church of Christ, called Universalist, Lombard-street.

Having carefully examined the report of the late "Discussion" between the reverend William L. M'Calla and myself, as taken in short hand and published by Mr. Jennings, I hereby certify, that the arguments are essentially the same as delivered by us, in all their parts, together with the style and manner in which they were delivered: and although in many places I can perceive some verbal difference, yet, as far as my memory serves me, there is nothing added or omitted which in any manner affects the arguments on either side.

ABNER KNEELAND.

Philadelphia, October 16th, 1824.

Certificate from D. Francis Condie, M. D.

Mr. R. L. Jennings,

Dear sir—I received your request this morning, and in reply, beg leave to state, that I attended during the whole of the discussion between the reverend A. Kneeland and reverend W. L. M'Calla, on the subject of the punishment for sin, part of which I myself took down: I have perused with attention your "Minutes" of that discussion, as published; and have the pleasure of certifying to their correctness. You have not only presented every argument offered on both sides of the question,

but, what is always a difficult task, have retained in the report the peculiar style of each party, and most generally their very words. I may further add, that those with whom I have conversed, in relation to the work, as well those who oppose as those who believe in the doctrine held by the Universalist Churches, have all borne testimony to its correctness.

Yours. D FRANCIS CONDIE.

South Fifth-street, October 13th, 1824.

The reverend Dr. Wylie, of the Presbyterian Church, Eleventh-street, has given me permission to certify to the following effect—

That from motives of curiosity rather than approbation, he attended at the church in Lombard-street, during the debate between the reverend Abner Kneeland and the reverend W. L. M'Calla, three times, in the whole about two hours and a half, and although he has seen in the public papers, that the report is not acknowledged by the Rev. Mr. M'Calla to be correct, yet truth obliges him to declare, that he can not discover the least difference, even in expression, between what is contained in the report and what he heard delivered by the speakers when at church.

More certificates of the correctness of the report have been received, but the above, it is presumed, will be considered fully satisfactory.

R. L. JENNINGS.

I have trespassed, sir, largely upon your columns, and upon the patience of your readers, but it is with the hope that this is the last time that I shall trouble you on this subject, for Mr. M Calla must convince me that the ears of those gentlemen, who have certified to the correctness of my report, though perhaps not so long as his own, are not capable of receiving sounds, and their memories not capable of retaining them, before I shall consider myself under any necessity of answering any more of his publications, having here given sufficient proof to satisfy any unprejudiced mind of the correctness of my report, and it is presumed that even Mr. M'Calla, wide as he ranges in the field of bare assertion, will not feel himself at liberty to state that the respectable members of his own church, or the others, whose integrity is equally unquestionable, are my partners in iniquity, nor that they have given their names to aid in "passing off spurious minutes as an authentic report." But if he should be disposed to say so, let his future communications be addressed to them, and not indirectly to

Yours respectfully, R. L. JENNINGS.

#### No. 26.

## PROM THE FRANKLIN GAZETTE, OF OCT. 23.

## DEBATE ON UNIVERSALISM.

Although there was no reason, from the beginning, to hope that such a character as Mr. Jennings would make a fair report of my argument as far as it went, my apprehensions on this subject were not, to the best of my recollection, publicly expressed until after his first number made its appearance: yet he has repeatedly published that Mr. M'Calla "denounced the report altogether," "before he saw it," " before he saw a line of it." This is the burthen of his song in yesterday's Gazette. Mr. Kneeland also has published that I denounced the report as altogether spurious. Some of their followers have taken this for granted, and used it with that industry which might be expected. Mr. Kneeland and Mr. Jennings refer, I suppose, to a communication for the Franklin Gazette of August 5, a few days before the appearance of the first number. In this I said that I was, "contrary to a written agreement, compelled to a premature close, and afterwards denied an opportunity of finishing." For this reason, his intended, and as yet unseen work, is called a spurious production. This is done in a note with express reference to what had gone before. Although Mr. Jennings has said that I denounced it altogether. and Mr. Kneeland as altogether spurious, this is wholly without The word altogether was added by themselves, foundation. contrary to my plain meaning. It has been already shown that Mr. Kneeland wrote something much more serious than this over my name, without my knowledge or permission. I hope soon to show that Mr. Jennings is his promising disciple. either of these persons were to issue proposals for publishing a complete New Testament, while yet they intended to omit what they call the disputed books, and many chapters and verses of other books, I should, after such information, pronounce it a spurious production, without waiting to see it. I would not call it choget her spurious, because I could not tell whether it might not be genuine as far as it went. But these Universalist preachers would think it quite justifiable to alter my expressions until they would answer their purpose. They intended to publish for my whole argument what I knew, and what they knew, to be only a part. This would injure its integrity as much as if they were to corrupt it by interpolations. I therefore pronounced it spurious, and gave this as a reason. This was correct in language, and true in fact. The community understood my meaning, and so did those who perverted my words.

Mr. Kneeland pretends that Mr Jennings is the sole publisher of these Minutes, without being in the least under his control. Suppose that I had denounced it as altogether spurious, without

seeing it. Would not this be as justifiable as for him to pronounce it altogether genuine without seeing it? And yet this he did in the National Gazette of July 23, by promising, on his own responsibility, before he read the work, that the public-should be furnished with the real discussion in full. A faux pas of this description, in an orthodox minister, is of such importance that he must be declared guilty even when innocent; but in Mr. Kneeland it is a matter of as little consequence as a speck of dirt on a climbing-boy. Some of those who have been most clamorous against me, for a supposed premature condemnation of a work really corrupt, see no fault in my opponent for a prema-

ture and unqualified approbation of the same work.

The plan upon which this report was conducted is perhaps as exceptionable as the execution. The author has insinuated that there was some prospect of his becoming a reporter to congress. Would they be satisfied it he would give nothing but their argument? Would Randolph, Clay and Webster wish their thoughts clothed in the language of Mr. R. L. Jennings? Yet he would persuade us that this is his privilege as a reporter. the cover of No. 1, he requests us to note only errors "affecting the argument." On the cover of No. 3, he acknowledges that he had omitted certain ridiculous words of Mr. Kneeland, of which a hearer of the debate reminded him; yet he says, "I consider myself only bound to acknowledge errors which may be pointed out, affecting the argument, on either side." On this plan, a stenographer once reported a four hours' speech of an eminent minister in this city, in such a compass as could be read in fifteen minutes. What he did not understand he left out; and what he thought he understood, he recorded in a manner so shamefully distorted that the speaker submitted to the mortifying drudgery of correcting the manuscript to keep him from publishing things in his name which would be a reproach to religion.

If the reporter be allowed to give his judgment of the argument instead of the words of the Speaker, it is evident that the character of the speech must be formed, not by the sentiments of its author, nor by his ability in defending them, but by the reporter's knowledge of language, skill in reasoning, and talents and experience in composition. A man of ingenuity could clothe a poor argument with a plausible dress, and vice versa. As Mr. Jennings is now one of Mr. Kneeland's preachers, he probably thinks himself eminently qualified in this respect. He may even suppose that I owe him as polite a bow as Pope gave to Warburton for expressing his meaning better than he could do it himself. Mr. Kneeland may perhaps give it as a fourth evidence of an unsound mind, that I, with such moderate claims, prefer my own speeches to a set of Universalist harangues man-

ufactured for me by a student of his.

Upon this plan of running one man's defence in the mould of another, it is evident that its character must be, in a great measure, formed by the reporter's creed, as well as his talents. This made Mr. Kneeland pervert many of my arguments, and misstate many of my declarations during the debate. It has made him often insinuate, and even expressly assert, that my defence had no argument at all. In his letter of August 2, he tells me that I had misspent four days, and "probably might continue a month in the same way, and, after all, to no purpose." It is, I believe, the general opinion of men of piety and good sense, that a strong and unanswerable argument may be drawn from the case of the rich man and Lazarus; yet Mr. Kneeland said that "it has no bearing on the subject in debate." In another place, he says, "But as I before observed, I do not view it as having any bearing upon the subject in discussion whatever." Again, "therefore, I say that the account of the rich man and Lazarus appears to me to have no relation to the subject of future happiness or misery whatever." He called my defence "a continued series of quotations, without any argument, to prove the meaning which was attached to them." In another place he calls it "bare assertion without proof or even argument. When Mr. Kneeland, regardless of my words, gives this account of my argument what sort of a report are we to expect from his employed stenographer, when professedly disregarding my words, and giving what he chooses to call my argument?

This plan is not only inconsistent with candor, but contrary to Mr. Jennings's express engagements to the public. communication for the Franklin Gazette, of August 7, he says, "now, Mr. Editor, I propose publishing both of their arguments in full, and together, as they were delivered." He did this because he considered the debate public property, as he says, so soon as the words escaped the lips of the speakers." He says, "I am accountable to the disputants and to society for any thing I may add or detract from what was said." After this declaration, a member of that society, to which he is accountable, shews him wherein he has detracted from "what was said;" and receives for answer that the reporter is "only bound to acknowledge errors which may be pointed out, affecting the ergument." As Universalists consider the case of the rich man and Lazarus, and indeed most other scriptural proofs, as having no bearing upon the subject, the reporter has only to view them as not affecting the argument, and then dispose of them as he pleases, without, in his view, detracting from what was said! Is this catching the words, as they "escaped the lips of the speakers?" He did not promise my arguments in a mutilated form, but "in full;" he did not promise them as manufactured

hy an Universalist, but " as they were delivered."

On the cover of Mr. Jennings's last number, he can imagine no other reason for my condemning his Report, than that Mr. M'Calla "intends publishing the discussion himself!" As it is probable that the fear of rivalship in the money-making business has excited alarm, let him know that it was not for money that I faced Mr. Kneeland before an audience, and it is for a much higher object that I hope to meet him in the press. Although I have been at some expense, and it is lawful and honorable to seek an honest compensation for my labour, my publication is not to reimburse the one or reward the other. If God enable me to accomplish it, I hope to see an edition of two or three thous-About twenty-five supernumerary copies, not one of which should be sold, would satisfy my cupidity. This is all the profit that I claim. Except these, the profits of the whole edition, whether great or small, are to be appriopriated to the funds of the Young Men's Domestic Missionary Society, in this That this may be done in good faith, the pecuniary concerns of the publication, shall be committed to other hands. this public declaration is necessary to obviate groundless surmise. and to inform purchasers that they will be feeding the poor, and not enriching me, it is hoped that it is not an ostentation of charity, in which grace I acknowledge with undissembled shame my inferiority to many brethren.

In the place above referred to, Mr. Jennings expresses serious apprehensions that I mean to avail myself of his Minutes in aid of my work. His fears are entirely vain. I would not copy such a mass of error and nonsense for tenfold his profits. Does he suppose that there is such a dearth of evidence, that we have to resort to a publication in which the wrong side is defended by one Universalist, and the right side by another? During the whole debate, I knew not that there was such a man as Mr. R. L. Jennings in the world. If I did not need his aid in speaking,

I hope to write without him.

But he thinks me incapable of writing the discussion, because I took no notes of what Mr. Kneeland said, and paid but little attention to his speeches. A correct copy of the real discussion would be a very different book from that of Mr. Jennings. But this is not my object. I repeatedly declared that Mr. Kneeland had not done justice tohis cause; and that if permitted to finish the materials in my hands, I would engage to lay before the audience a better defence of Universalism than he had given. To confine my attention, then, to what he has said, or what he can say, would be a virtual refusal to meet the controversy in that stage to which it has advanced, and would disappoint the expectations of the public. Besides this, Mr. Kneeland and Mr. Jennings are very anxious that I should confine my attention to Mr. Kneeland's flimsy sophisms, tedious repetitions, and mourn-

ful complaints, uttered during the debate, that he may still boast that the writings of his favorite, Mr. Ballou, of Hartford memory, remain unanswered. In a spurious edition of Buck's Theological Dictionary, which has been, in no small degree, defiled by Mr. Kneeland's fingers, he boasts, concerning those productions and his own lectures, that "these works have never been answered, although some of them, particularly the two first, [Ballou on Atonement and his notes on parables,] have been published about twenty years and have gone through several editions."

Mr. Kneeland suppressed a part of my defence in his "own desk," as he calls the Lombard-street establishment, and Mr. Jennings seems exceedingly anxious that it may be suppressed forever. He appears to think that for me to print more than his master gave me leave to speak, would belong rather to the licentiousness than to the liberty of the press. This is perfectly in character. For, while infidelity boasts of its free-thinking, and heresy, of its liberality, they have always endeavoured, when they had it in their power, to suppress free inquiry. It is error in fact, and not true religion that is in danger, under the freedom of our happy institutions.

Among all Mr. Jennings's insinuations, it is pleasing to observe that he had not the temerity to assert that I had not the means of writing my own argument, especially as he would have been contradicted by his own employer. In his own report Mr. Kneeland says that his opponent "had his matter ready cut and dried to meet him;" that he had " not only the outlines, but the very body of his argument completely prepared before he came to the controversy;" "that on his part the discussion was completely prepared, in all its parts, before he came into this house." As Mr. Kneeland has revised Mr. Jennings's Minutes, and declared them "as faithful a report as ever was made," we shall allow the authenticity of what is there said in his name, whether it was said during the debate or not, He has had a full opportunity of improving his argument. and exerting his utmost strength. To his reasoning, as thus published, due attention may be given, at the same time that the arguments of Messrs. Ballou, Balfour and Chauncey. Winchester and Huntingdon, Relly and Murray, shall be displayed to the best advantage, according to my feeble abilities.

The concession which Mr. Kneeland has made, of his opponent's having "not only the outline but the very body of his argument" "completely prepared in all its parts," proves not only that I have the means of writing for myself, but the means of exposing Mr. Jennings's garbled report. This task is under-

taken for the purpose of defending the truth and detecting corruption, not to obstruct the circulation of his work; for it may do good, since he has retained some of my scripture proofs. notwithstanding all his unfairness. Neither do I undertake to point out all the errors of the work, for that would be to correct almost every line which it contains. It is true, there is a resemblance between my speeches and those which he has made for me; and so there is between a portrait and a caricature, or between a living animal and a dead animal; but as a living dog is better than a dead lion, so is an extemporaneous defence. fairly reported, preferable to that which Mr. Jennings has ascribed to me as the product of laborious preparation. This may be illustrated by a reference to a passage in which he has actually killed a very useful animal which was mentioned in my retort upon my opponent for endeavoring to expose my pronunciation to contempt and ridicule. After speaking of hearing a French gentleman pronounce the Latin, I asked among other things, "should I prick up my ears, as Sterne, in his Sentimental Journey, says an ass does, at every new object that he sees?" Here, no doubt, Mr. Jennings tried his stenographical skill: and you have the product of it in an erratum on the back of No. 4. It is as follows: "Should I have understood him as Sterne. in his Sentimental Journey, understood the owner of the dead ass, by the accent of his apostrophe to it?" Many impartial persons, like Drs. Ely and Wylie, would, from inattention and forgetfulness, think this a fair report. There is a manifest resemblance between the original and the copy. The likeness is at least as great as that which subsists between a man and a monkey. The same species of animal is mentioned by me, and reported by him. There is this difference, however, that while the beast was in my care, he was a living travelling ass, possessed of vivacity enough to start at every strange sight, as Mr. Kneeland started at every pronunciation except his own. no sooner does he pass out of my hands, than this short-hand reporter makes short work of him; and finishes him more effectually with a single stroke of his pen, than his father Balaam could by the repeated strokes of his staff.

This is the way in which he has treated my whole array of argument and satire. Whatsoever animation they had in my hands, they are as tame as a troop of dead asses in his management. He has not done justice, nor any thing like justice, to my language, composition, sentiments, facts or arguments. In his report, my language is low, swaggering, and even spiced with prefanity: and no wonder, for it is that of a universalist. My composition is vulgar, confused, incoherent, and as unintelligible as the above expression about "the owner of the dead and

with the accent of his apostrophe to it." This is not wonderful, since it is the composition of an untutored young man, full of prejudice, and just commencing a profession for which he is not qualified. He has attributed to mesentiments and facts which are talse in themselves, and which I never believed nor uttered. Is it to be expected, then, that he is a competent judge of what does and what does not affect my argument? After casting my words to the wind, as he has done, a sound argument in favour of that truth which he hates, can be no more appreciated by him than by his little theologian at his elbow.

As the task of examining such a mass of perversion is the more disagreeable the longer it is protracted, I shall not take time to detail the many false constructions of my meaning which he has substituted for my expressions. Neither will I notice many of his supplements to my quotations, in which he makes me appear very foolish, by quoting a great deal of scripture for me, where I quoted only a few words, and where a few were required by perspicuity, and candour required no more.

Numerous glaring interpolations also have greatly enervated the argument and stultified its author. In two or three cases he has quoted texts for me which appeared quite relevant; as Ez. 18: 20, 2c, in page 39. This is true also of Matth. 13: 11, 12, in page 38. But he there adds the 15th verse, which is irrelevant. Isa. 65: 13, which he has given me in page 113, would do very well if he had put it among the contrasts: but it is inserted where it has nothing to do. These two last texts, which I never quoted, I now add to my notes. John, 2: 14, in page 262, is irrelevant. In page 51, he has given me a half a dozen verses of John's Revelation, in the same way. Also four verses of 1 Cor. in page 63; and in page 131, he has interpolated John 8: 24: In page 67, he inserted fifteen verses from Matt. and referred to a dozen more in Luke, about which I said nothing In page 131, he makes me talk about Greek and doctrinal parallels without any meaning. In page 126, he makes me quote the Greek of Matt. 24: 3, to show the meaning of a Hebrew word. This, however, is not more outrageous than his putting into my mouth that interpretation of Matthew's words which Unitarians adopt, and which I abhor. In the same heretical spirit; he has, in page 132, made me speak foolishly, falsely and irreverently of the resurrection of Christ, and to approve of Mr. Kneeland's erroneous translation of a Hebrew phrase, in page 153. The descant on regeneration, which he has composed for me in page 144, appears like a parable in the mouth of a fool. In page 202, he does not say that I attributed inspiration to Mr. Kneeland, but this would not have been more inconsistent with truth than to make me speak of "seventy inspired translators;" or to make me promise, as in page 98, that I would

not quote from Mr. Kneeland's Lectures any more.

Perhaps Mr. Jennings thought that his many additions on the one hand, might be balanced by his many suppressions on the In the latter, as well as the former, he has exercised a prudent discrimination. While he manages my speeches so as to make them savor of idiocy, he takes care to suppress Mr. Kneeland's puerile display of the new coat of his miniature testament; which, by the by, is not near half as small, nor as handsome, as one belonging to his despised opponent, who never thought of showing his toys. Neither did he tell us how many languages Mr. Kneeland could read, and how many he could not read for want of lexicons and grammars! Neither did he tell us in page 162, that besides Mr. Kneeland's "appeal to all and every learned person present," he challenged the city of Philadelphia after his accustomed fashion. In the same page he has suppressed Mr. Kneeland's declaration that the Hebrew expression in Daniel xii. 3, was the same as that in Psalms ix. 5, and that the conjunction and should be supplied in the latter, because it was found written in the former. To be consistent, he has jugulated my triumphant refutation of this sophistry in page 173. To make amends for this, however, he has made me say in page 153, that the very expression used in the Greek in Isaiah, is also used in Daniel xii. 2. After fabricating this statement for me, he takes occasion to contradict it in a learned Greek note. An anonymous writer in the Democratic Press, whom I know not, but whose fidelity should excite a blush in some of Mr. Jennings's ordained and graduated witnesses, seems astonished that in these days a man can write Greek before he can read it, and asks, whence hath this man letters? Mr. Jennings very sagaciously refers him to his little theologian, a little family dog, to solve the enigma. Our saviour and the apostles had a knowledge of languages by miracle, but not from such a quarter.

On the cover of No. 6, Mr. Jennings informs us with some emphasis, that he was the only one who "took notes during the whole of the debate." Yet in page 169, he tells us, "something is here wanting." The same anonymous writer who convicted him of another omission in the same page, has reminded him of suppressing the following expression of Mr. Kneeland, viz: "I observe the audience only hiss and clap when my opponent is up, and are silent when I am up, which I presume is from respect to me!" In page 288, he has taken no notice of his interrupting me, and of the colloquy which ensued upon the point whether it was of any use for me to prosecute my investigation of the word everlusting and its conjugates, since he admitted their uniform, unlimited meaning, for which I was contending. In page 300, he has suppressed the words of Rom. xvi. 11, and my real comment upon them. From my fifteen affirmative

texts, beginning on page 113, he has dropped an half dozen, and several others from my argument on the will of God, page 280. When he does this, he often fills up with texts of his own. This is the case in what he has said for me on everlasting and such words, where he has suppressed scores of texts, without "affecting the argument" in the least, as he would have us believe! In pages 207 and 249, he has given us a Universalist caricature of what I quoted from Gregory and Chrysostom, leaving out much which the audience cannot help remembering, when, if God per-

mit, they shall see it in my printed argument.

To correct his report would be to write it over again in my own language and arrangement instead of his. The above are not near half of the errors which I have noted. That want of strength which Mr. Kneeland has informed the public prevents me from writing, hinders me from finishing at present. The most of these corrections are susceptible of ocular proof, in my notes which Mr. Kneeland has so abundantly authenticated. It is true that Mr. Jennings has adduced living witnesses against me. He has obtained and published in yesterday's Gazette, the certificates of Drs. Ely and Wylie, in company with those of Messrs. Hogan, Morse, Kneeland, Condie and Jennings! I confess I do not envy Dr. Wylie and Dr. Ely the company in which they are found, nor the business in which they are engaged. They have permitted themselves to be enlisted in the army of God's enemies, in an assault upon the truth and integrity of a man whose honesty and veracity they both believe to be unimpeachable, and whose reputation in these respects, Dr. Ely vindicated in the paper, after he knew my opinion and declarations concerning Mr. Jennings's report. As I never asked of these truly eminent and highly esteemed brethren, any other assistance than that of their prayers, their countenance and their books, the least that could be expected of them was, that if they would not throw their weight into the right scale, they would remain neutral. If the winning character of disinterested and candid witnesses has ensnared them, I would beg them to consider the court and the cause in which they have God says, "be not a witness against thy neighbour without cause." Upon this infallible authority, the Scottish fathers of these gentlemen bottomed their testimony against the "Doeg-like sin" of being "either witnesses or members of inquest upon irrelevant libels." If, however, they had simply acted the part of witnesses, and testified what they could recollect, instead of adopting as their own, the manufactured testimony of one party, before hearing the other, who was sueing for a hearing, their conduct would have been unexceptionable. But they have now acted rather as judges than as witnesses. They knew that Mr. Jennings and myself were directly at issue on the purity of his report. He had been fully heard, and I had repeatedly, in

public and in private, promised a reply with all possible expedition. Mr. Kneeland had revised and authenticated the report which was published. It was desirable that the other party should have an opportunity of aiding the memories of these gentlemen as well as his opponent. They have already given their decision! and they know the consequence. Yet if the son of Alcnomac would not complain, why should the child of a King whom Alcnomac never knew? I am not sorry that in much sickness and weakness, God enabled me to defend his precious truth, even if these brethren, after compelling me to self-defence, should make this a ground of an exterminating war. I know by sweet experience in whom I have trusted: "And when my father and my mother forsake me, then the Lord will take me up."

W. L. MCALLA.

Philadelphia, October 22, 1824.

No. 27.

In consequence of Dr. Ely's speech when taking the Moderator's chair, and his afterward certifying for Mr, Jennings, the Universalists are said to have greatly extolled his liberality, and to have boldly claimed him as one of their own honorable fraternity. This probably arose in part, from Mr Jennings's having circulated among them a grossly garbled copy of his certificate, which may be seen below in No. 29. But as the true copy, given above in No. 25, shows the Dr. in his real character, as a willing witness for orthodoxy, and a decided enemy to hypocrisy and heresy, Mr. Kneeland could not remain quiet under that weight of condemnation which the certificate left on him. He therefore unburthened himself in the following effusion: viz,

## "DR. EZRA STILES ELY.

We thank this Rev. Doctor that he has been willing to let the world know his feelings towards "Mr. Kneeland"—that he "will show him any kindness in his power, AS A MAN.—Wonderful Doctor! Now if God is only as good as Dr. Ely, Mr. Kneeland is perfectly safe; and the Doctor's squeamishness, in conceiving himself "positively forbidden to countenance him, in any manner, as a minister of the gospel," is a matter of no concern, neither does it give Mr. K. the least uneasiness whatever. But would it not have been more becoming in this sapient "D.D." in speaking of Mr. K, "after knowing his sentiments," to have pointed out wherein they are erroneous, than to have insinuated, (as he has done without proof,) that he preaches an essentially different gospel from that contained in the bible? Of such men it may be truly said, "Ye know not what manner of spirit ye are of."

In this Mr. Kneeland seems to think that if God is as good as Dr. Ely, he is perfectly safe. Dr. Ely had said that he conceived himself positively forbidden to countenance Mr. Kneeland as a minister of the gospel; that he would not receive him into his house in the character of a servant of Christ. Now if God refuse, like Dr. Ely, to countenance Mr. Kneeland, does he think that the countenance of Mr. Morse and Mr Jennings will secure his safety? like Dr. Ely, should refuse to receive this heretic. "in the character of a servant of Christ," does he think himself perfeetly safe in the character of an enemy of Christ, or a servant of the devil? But Dr. Ely has refused to receive him into his earthly house, in his present guise. If God, like him, should exclude Mr. Kneeland from his house in heaven, does he think himself perfectly safe in the Lombard-street Church, the floors of which gave way during our debate?

No. 28.

#### FROM THE FRANKLIN GAZETTE.

# Apology to Dr. Wylie. DEBATE ON UNIVERSALISM.

Mr. NORVELL—As you have declined publishing for me for the future, accept my sincere thanks for past favors, and permit me to inform the public through your paper once more, that my argument on Universalism shall appear as soon as possible. Also permit me to make a public apology to Dr. Wylie for the strictures which lately appeared in your paper on his conduct. These were bottomed upon a mistaken supposition that although Mr. Jennings had reported my speeches falsely, he had reported his conversation fairly. This was not the fact, as the public shall see at a convenient time.

Yours, respectfully, WM. L. M'CALLA.

Philadelphia, October 30, 1824.

Note.—We have offered to continue to publish for all the parties engaged in the abovementioned controversy on the condition of paying for the insertion of their communications; a condition both reasonable and just.—Franklin Gazette.

No. 29.

Not satisfied with misrepresenting Dr. Wylie's testimony, Mr. Jennings lays violent hands upon Dr. Ely's certificate, as given in No. 25, and after cutting out passages which are,

in No. 30, quoted against him, publishes it, as thus altered, for Dr. Ely's certificate, without giving any notice of the suppressions which he had made! The following is a copy as published in p. 334 of his work, in a supplementary number.

The Rev. Dr. Ely, of the 3d Presbyterian Church, Pine-street, has certified as follows:

At the request of Mr. Jennings, I would state, that I have not been able, since the publication of his "Minutes of a Discussion," &c. to read more than fifty pages of the work, which is less than one sixth part of the whole. So soon as I can find time to read the whole. I shall freely communicate, at his request, my opinion of the same.—During the debate of Tuesday afternoon, July 13th, I was absent, so that I can only express an opinion on the first forty pages, and the short speech attributed to myself, on pages 234 and 235, concerning which I am free to declare, that I discover in these forty-two pages, so far as my memory serves me, no important error or omission. I think the forty-two pages, of which I now give my opinion, as just a statement of what was uttered in my hearing, as could be expected of any stenographer, who should attempt to follow men of ready utterance, in a debate of TWENTY HOURS.

Philadelphia, Oct 12, 1824.

EZRA STILES ELY.

No. 30.

FROM THE AMERICAN SENTINEL.

# DEBATE ON UNIVERSALISM.

Mr. Jennings, in the Franklin Gazette of the 21st ult. thinking it of no avail to urge any longer the old refuted fables invented by envy and disappointed ambition, seeks to rest the whole cause upon two points; my calling him an employed stenographer, and my calling his Minutes a corrupt report : "presuming." says he, " that if I can show that the most prominent of his assertions are not founded on fact, it would only be intrusion to attempt to prove that the remainder are equally unfounded and unjust." On the first point he tells us that if Mr. M'Calla had "taken the pains to have enquired, he would have found that it was a Mr. Stetson who was engaged by the church, which engagement Mr. Stetson could not fulfil in consequence of the discussion being protracted longer than he could remain in the city; or for some other cause to me unknown." Let it be observed here, that Mr. Stetson was employed by the church, and not by Mr. Kneeland, and he declined the engagement and left the city before the debate was closed. This then was the time for Mr. Jennings to come forward with his proposals. In his next words

he plainly intimates that he had done so, and that my opposition commenced immediately after he and not Mr. Kneeland had offered the work to the public. His words are, " Immediately after I had made known my intention Mr. M'Calla appeared in your Gazette and stated that the work was unauthorized." Where was it that Mr. Jennings had made known his intention before I made this statement? No where that I have seen. Mr. Kneeland had made known his intention often enough. told us, July 21st, several days after the devate, and of cours after Mr. Stetson had left the city, that "the whole discussion has been taken down in short hand by a stenographer employed for the purpose." Mr. Jennings, in his last publication tells us that Mr. Stetson did not take notes of the whole but only a part of the discussion, and that Mr. Jennings was "the only person who had notes of the whole of the debate." Also on the cover of his sixth number he says "no person took notes during the whole of the debate except myself." Who but Mr. Jennings then, can be Mr. Kneeland's employed stenographer who took down the whole discussion, since he from his own shewing, was the only person who had notes of the whole debate? But we are not here left to mere inference. That same Mr. Kneeland who had told us above that the whole discussion was recorded by a stenographer employed for the purpose, tells us in his notice of August 6th, that the Minutes were "taken in short hand by R. L. Jennings." He does not tell us that the church had employed him, but he acts in every stage of the business thus far, as if he himself had the sole control and the undivided responsibility of the undertaking. He does not tell us, as Mr. Jennings now does, that Mr. Stetson was the employed stenographer; for this would be impossible, because this employed stenographer had taken down the whole discussion, whereas vir. Stetson recorded only a part. He does not tell us of any derangement of plan on account of Mr. Stetson's departure from the city, for it was after this departure that the whole discussion by this employed stenographer was first promised: but he announces the work once and again without any change of plan or workman, and in such a way, in one case, as to make the reader believe that it is published not by Mr. Jennings, but the parties. last, when he tells us that the first number is in the press and proposes his terms of sale, I informed the public, to prevent a gross imposition, that the work was published by one party exclusively, and not authorized by me. In order to give an air of impartiality to the work, Mr. Kneeland then persuades the community that he had not authorized the work; but that without his having any thing to do with it, it was as faithful a report as ever Then also for the first time, Mr. Jennings seems to emerge from his subordinate condition, and publicly to declare

himself "the sole publisher of the said debate, and as publicly disown being engaged with either of the parties directly or indirectly." For believing Mr. Kneeland's assertion to the contrary, he accuses me of a high offence, and is willing that all our lesser matters of dispute should follow the fate of these two questions, is he an employed stenographer? is his report corrupt? My proof of the former is very simple, and although it rests upon the testimony of Messrs. Kneeland and Jennings, bad witnesses I confess, since they contradict themselves and one another, yet they ought to be good in a Universalist court, although disqualified by the constitution of Pennsylvania. Mr. Kneeland savs that the whole discussion was recorded by an employed stenographer:-Mr. Jennings says that he was the only one who recorded the whole discussion:—Therefore he is the employed Accordingly his Master at last formally announces his name.

There is a way of corrupting a work of this kind without any of those grievous interpolations and suppressions which were exposed in the Franklin Gazette of the 23d ult. The strength of each part depends on its connexion, and every fact and argument will become nugatory, every anecdote and retort will become There is no difference between the best and the worst composition, when they are read across the columns of a newspaper. Not satisfied with transposing my remarks on the disorderly conduct of the Universalists, and thus introducing them where there is no call for them, he actually transposes his notice of the clapping and hissing of the audience which occasioned the animadversions of the parties. Near the middle of page 47, he tells us that there was "loud clapping, then hissing." Now it is a fact, which the audience will doubtless recollect, that this loud clapping took place just before "Mr. Morse sat down," and of course belongs to the middle of page 48. During the debate I observed that my opponent sometimes complained of my doing nothing but reading my little book, and at other times, that I did nothing but exercise my wit upon his speeches. This contradiction, I observed, reminded me of the fable of the traveller and the Satyr, the latter of whom drove the former from his cave (not hut, as Mr. Jennings has it,) for blowing hot and cold with the same breath. Mr. Jennings thinking that this was applicable in page 80, tells it there for me better probably than I told it myself; but in page 87 to which it really belongs, he merely refers to it, after making a confused and incorrect statement of the facts which occasioned me to relate it. A disputant who should speak as he has made me do in page 112, about the lion and the eagle might be suspected of an addled brain. This unsavoury omelet which he has mixed for me, is about equal to the barbecue with which he favoured us from Sterne's Sentimental Journey. In this place he does not give my authority, certain Scottish Reviewers

to whom I expressly referred for my real remarks, but he refers to them in page 86 where I said nothing about them.

In quoting a certain passage of Scripture I observed that it related to the end of the world. Mr. Kneeland immediately set to work to prove that this expression, the end of the world, did not mean what we usually understand by it. As these words were not in the text but only in my comment, I thought that Mr. Kneeland's criticism resembled one of Thomas Paine's which I had read more than a dozen years ago, on Job 37, 18. Without consulting the sacred text where he would have found that the word there used meant a molten metallic mass. Mr. Paine exercised his ingenuity upon our translation, molten looking-glass; to prove that the book of Job was written since the manufacture of glass was discovered. The only time that I used this illustration was in connection with an argument which Mr. Jennings professes to give in page 97, where the illustration is not found, but only an indefinite reference to it as having been given before. Turning back, we find it transposed to page 86, and attached to a foolish observation carved out of something that I said, but to which it is no illustration at all. He probably observed also that my reference to the metallic mirrors of the ancients was clumsy and obscure. For the sake of perspicuity, he makes me say that "the original does not signify glass, but something as transparent as glass!" Transparent metallic mirrors! He might as well have made me talk of transparent millstones.

Mr. E. of Maysville, Ky. once lent me a little work on Universalism which supposes the case of a poor afflicted widow reading Scarlett's translation of 2 Cor. 4-17, and enquiring of him what he meant by aconian in that text. On Thursday afternoon of the debate, I recounted this case as one which I had read. Mr. Kneeland insinuated that the case was manufactured for the occasion; and asked why I did not use the name of Kneeland at once, as that was what I meant. The next morning I commented upon 2 Cor. 5-1, and supposed the case of a sinful and miserable man like myself instead of the widow. going to Mr. Scarlett; or, as my opponent seemed to prefer it, suppose that I should go to Mr. Crimson, to enquire the meaning of this celebrated word aionian. This was undoubtedly the first instance, and, unless I am egregiously mistaken, it was the only instance in which the word crimson was thus used. Yet in page 250 where this case is reported, this word is not found. Turning back to pages 224, 247, we find it tranposed to the case of the widow, and twice used in that connexion where it was never mentioned.

. Besides all the errors which have been already exposed, notes are now before me of more than four score instances of palpable alterations, some of them affecting the argument, and

all affecting the character of the speaker for understanding or probity. This remark is not intended as an acknowledgement of the purity of the report in other places, where his alterations are less observable, nor is it intended as an intimation that the public indulgence shall be taxed by a multiplicity of specifications. It shall be taken for granted, that if a suitable proportion of these spurious speeches can be invalidated, this will sufficiently prove, according to Mr. Jennings' rule, "that the remainder are equally unfounded and unjust." But this will appear much more plainly when those who heard the debate shall compare Mr. Jennings' report with my argument. For this work we shall wait, to show my real division which he has so transformed in page 22; and my real criticism which he has pretended to copy in pages 284 and 324, and other places. page 220, he appears disposed to make a solemn subject ludicrous, at my expense, and at the expense of truth. In illustraing Paul's expression, before the everlasting times, by an expression of the same writer, far above all heavens, I had occasion to tell the audience of the serial and etherial heavens, and the heavens of heavens. My first heavens, the atmosphere of our earth, in which birds fly, Mr. Jennings makes the residence of God and sometimes God himself. My second heavens, in which the sun, moon and stars are fixed, he makes the residence of the Son of God, or the Divine Son himself. third heavens the abode of God and saints and angels, he makes the residence of angels only. His making me refuse to pray for Universalists, under pretence that they had committed the unpardonable sin is not the only error that can be plainly proved in page 81. Nor is his manner of introducing Epicurus who died for fear of poverty, when he was worth 70,000 sesterces, a solitary error in page 183.

Mr. Jennings thinks himself a witness between the parties in some matters of fact, and therefore has a special eye on these in his report. As Mr. Kneeland continued to the last day, to inform the audience of his want of matter to fill up his time, I informed them on that day, after one of these complaints, that as I had much more to say than the time allotted would allow, I should be much obliged to my opponent for such crumbs of his half-hours as he could spare. This had a bearing upon the question whether I was allowed time to finish my argument or not. Mr. Jennings therefore in page 259, converts it into a compliment to Mr. Kneeland's superior learning, without the least reference to my want of time. His words are the following, viz; "And although I may not have the learning of my opponent to make converts of you all, yet I hope he will give me the crumbs which fall from his table." It is evident that he

seted the word crumbs, with his boasted "powers of the steno-

graphic art," and then made the rest as he pleased.

It will be recollected that after my remarks about Mr. Kneeland's Greek Grammar of which I spoke from information obtained in the house during the debate, I was immediately corrected as to the matter of fact. It was said that he had not written it. My reply was, "Porhaps, then he published it without writing it, as I have indirect evidence that he did with his Lectures and Translation." In page 202, which is full of errors, Mr. Jennings makes me say "Perhaps he meant it was published without having been written at all!" In Mr. Kneeland's letter of the 13th ult. to Dr. Elv. he says, concerning me, "he stated during the discussion that I had written and published a Greek Grammar, which is totally incorrect." To-TALLY INCORRECT!! That is he neither wrote a Greek Grammar, nor published a Greek grammar; for if he did either the one or the other, the remark attributed to me is not totally incorrect, but half of it is true. Which then is the greatest crime, for me to say, through mere mistake, that my opponent wrote a book which he had really published, or for him knowingly to deny the fact of publishing? But it will be recollected by the audience that I frequently requested to be corrected where mistaken in stating the words, sentiments, or acts of my opponent. Of these requests it is not observed that the impartial Mr. Jennings has taken any notice.

After Mr. Kneeland had tried a variety of arts, with but little success, to divert me from an argument which he could not withstand, he introduced a certificate of Harry Starr, a promising young satellite of our Universalist luminary, to prove that I had announced to a brother clergyman a determination "to plague him by playing off," and that I "would not come to the point." Without recording all the features of dissimulation exhibited in this transaction, it may be observed that my opponent manifested a determination to make me spend my precious time in combatting this slander, or submit to its stain. For this purpose he says, in page 216, "I hope therefore, my opponent will not fail to prove the contents of the note which I have read not to be true. If he fail to do this, what will be your decision?" As this was a mere scheme to enable Mr. Kneeland to play off from the point, and to plague his antagonist, I determined to stick to the argument and pay but little attention to Harry, although I had a certificate of the clergyman in question to prove an alibi, and the testimony of four persons present when Starr received his information, to show that the light that was in him was darkness. I therefore contented myself with telling the audieace on the last day emphatically, that this was a fabrication, got up for a particular purpose. In Mr. Jennings's report of this concise notice in page 244, he has omitted this emphatical

expression. Just before my opponent read his formidable communication, three anonymous letters were put into my hands. Although I took no written minute, memorandum or note of them nor ever said that I had, Mr. Jennings says for me in page 217, "I have on my Minutes a memorandum of some notes which I also have received."

As my opponent occupied much of his time in complaining of my little book, I showed it to the audience, observing that it contained three sheets of letter paper folded into 96 pages, five of which were blank, and so many others unused, that all my notes in the debate did not probably occupy more than two sheets and a half. The report makes this the size of the whole volume, and the pages to amount to thirty. This is about as true, however, as the profanity which he has attributed to me shortly after in page 87.

If Mr. Kneeland did not succeed in exposing my pronunciation, his employed stenographer has effectually done it. In page 168 he has given me a z. for my sibilant s. and an a-w for my German aspirate. In the next page he attributes to me a guttural instead of a nasal sound. In the foregoing page he makes me say that in the pronunciation of Hebrew I agreed with Dr. Wilson, whereas I said that Parkhurst was my guide, from whom Dr. Wilson differed. In page 185, he makes me name Dr. Wilson, as a more learned man than Dr. Campbell of Scotland, whereas I only referred to him as a gentleman of this city, who had been mentioned by my opponent with Dr. Campbell, and of whom I had that opinion; but did not give his name.

In a certain case I had to remind my opponent that the Moderators were only to decide on matters of order. In page 171, he makes me say that the Moderator "sits here as a judge of plain matter of fact and nothing else!" To give a detailed account of all such alterations as these would be more than the public would bear, and certainly more than is necessary for their satisfaction as to the real character of the report. In page 173, he gives me Dr. James, a President of a college in Scotland, instead of Dr. Jamieson, who was no President. In 186, he changes Dr. Miller into Professor Stuart. In the same page he makes me speak of David's writings instead of his dead body. In the next page he changes an escape into a surrender and always into very seldom. In page 173, he omits a modern Dr. M. whom I expressly mentioned, and gives me Priestley's Corruptions instead of Miller's Letters to Baltimore. In page 282, he makes me compliment the candour of Kneeland instead of M'Knight; and in 223, makes me say that I, instead of my opponent, had quoted Parkhurst. In page 279, he makes me say that in the refutation of Universalist writers, I would use their arrangement instead of my own. In page 80, he has, besides

many other capricious turns, changed backs into bosoms, and my hearers into his Indians. In page 205, he has changed Old England to New England, and in the bottom of 298, has substituted the Scriptures for my little book. For a Hebrew translation of the New Testament which I had just borrowed, he has in page 218, substituted a Greek Testament, and has, of course, changed my Sheol, intended to counteract an assertion of my opponent, for Hades which has no application. This, however did not affect the argument more than changing Hebrew into Greek and Greek into Hebrew, both of which he has done in page 153. As Mr. Kneeland declared sin to be a mere negation, I observed that to condemn or punish it, would be like condemning a vacuum or punishing a man for an empty stomach. Without affecting the argument, as Mr. Jennings supposes, he has made me say, that "It would be like attempting to clear a vacuum, or like administering an emetic to clear an empty stomach!" As the Report in general has much the effect of the antimonial preparation, more than half the glaring alterations which have been noted must be dispensed with. To save time in this disgusting occupation, my references to most of the cases have been very short and therefore obscure. This arises from his having not only altered the particulars referred to, but almost every thing connected with them. Unless therefore, the whole passage is restored to its original purity, the restoration of the word to which I refer, would appear as unaccountable as to see Saul among the prophets, or a Presbyterian minister of the highest standing associated with a herd of Universalist witnesses.

I have neither time nor disposition to enumerate the many deviations from truth which have been proved against Mr. Morse in the public prints. I would only suggest to his biographer, that he can borrow from Smollet a very suitable title for his memoirs. Let them be called "The Adventures of an Atom." Kneeland, a party, and Mr. Jennings, his employed stenographer, are well known. Mr. Condie is one of those who, in great wrath and disappointment, called me a liar at the close of the debate. As this indecent conduct was occasioned by the triumph of truth, I was more amused at the livid hue with which rage had adorned his countenance, than I was hurt at his words. His testimony manifests the same zeal in the same cause. With Mr. Kneeland he is delighted to make the Report an exhibition of my peculiar style and manner; and with Dr. Wylie's certifieate coined by Mr. Jennings, he even makes it give my very words and expressions. Although this is what Mr. Jennings at first promised, he has long given it up, and taken refuge under the argument without the words Even the modest Mr. Kneeland says in his certificate, "in many places I can perceive some verbal difference," and Dr. Ely certifies, that "Mr. Jennings does not pretend, in every instance, to give the words of the speakers." It would be well for the witnesses to agree with one another and not to give the reporter more credit than he claims.

With one breath Mr. Jennings tells us that he has "merely given an outline" of Dr. Ely's speech, and with the next, expresses great indignation at my calling his report of it "an invented address." The evidence of my own ears, of others who were present, and Dr. Ely's own declarations, must form my apology. Although I was myself a witness, both parties were heard before my opinion was publicly expressed. The reason which Dr. · Ely gave for correcting his piece at all was the very same which was given by an eminent minister of this city, mentioned in my last article. It was an unwillingness that any thing injurious to religion, should be published with his name attached to it. He declared to me expressly, "If Mr. Jennings has reported you as he has me, you have good reason to complain." Long ago he gave me privately the same opinion of the first number which his certificate contains. I told him that he would not always be-By way of specimen I asked him what he thought of Mr. Jennings's report of my division in page 22, compared with the report which I should then give him. Mr. Jennings says, that I undertake to "prove the absolute and eternal punishment of the wicked, 1st, by the scriptures; 2dly, from the account which they contain of the attributes of God; and 3dly, from the condition of fallen man." My report of the division was this: 1, Inference; 2, Implication; 3, Contrast; 4, Negation; 5, Af-The comparison convinced him that Mr. Jennings was wrong, although he had not before observed it. The day after the publication of the certificates, Mr. Jennings's dead ass was presented to Dr. Ely and Dr. Wylie as another specimen. with the same result.

This last mentioned gentleman, like Dr. Ely, told me long ago, that he could discover no error in the report, but like him he could detect one when pointed out; and when he gave his opinion to Mr. Jennings he did not recollect, if he ever knew, my intention of giving my side of the question in relation to the particulars of the Minutes. I could not obtain an interview with him until my last article was in the office, and probably set up, or my remarks concerning him should have been of a very different character. If he had really spoken as Mr. Jennings reports, I could still say of him and Dr. Ely, notwithstanding this injury, that they are "truly eminent and highly esteemed brethren." But although Dr. Wylie gave this fuir and impartial reporter liberty to "make any proper use he pleased" of his real opinion concerning the Minutes, he did not give him leave artfully to make a false impression concerning the conversation, as he has

done so often concerning my speeches. In a letter to me of the 25th ult. from which a few words have just been quoted, the Dr. says, "Truth obliges me to remark that the various items of the conversation between Mr. Jennings and me above stated, have been rather artfully put together. To the best of my recollection, the word 'although' together with the two underscored expressions, are inferences of his own. At all events, I have no hesitation to say that the statement, taken tout ensemble, conveys a different meaning from what was intended. Judge yourself, whether the premises [the foregoing narrative in the letter] warrant such a conclusion. The manner in which these truths are stated by Mr. Jennings, seems to present the air of a strong conviction of conscientious obligation to come forward and state the truth in evidence against Mr. M Calla, a man whose veracity I Very contrary to this was the fact." believe unimpeachable. Here then is the amount of Dr. Wylie's testimony. Mr. Jennings asked his opinion of his minutes of two hours and an half of the debate, while as yet the other party was not heard. Dr. Wylie confesses that he can see no fault in it, and gives him liberty to make any proper use of this declaration. This faithful reporter then cooks it into a certificate, in which he reports the Dr. as saying, that" truth obliges him to declare that he cannot discover the least difference even in expression." The Dr. now speaks for himself, and says that truth obliges him to remark that this pretended obligation to give a premature testimony, and this exactness of the Report "even in expression," given to him by the reporter, are inferences of his own; that the items of the conversation have been rather artfully put together, and that the statement altogether conveys a different meaning from what was intended, and one very contrary to fact. If all this is said by Mr. Jennings's own witness concerning his report of a few sentences, what are we to expect in a report of a twenty hours's debate.

The testimony of Dr. Ely, taken altogether, is not much more favourable. But if it were, the Universalists are the last who should claim the benefit of it, since they have in their spleen, denied him the essential qualifications of a witness. It will be recollected that Mr. Kneeland, in his letter of the 15th of September, attributed to him insinuations which he said were "far beneath the dignity of either the gentleman or the christian." To keep from ascribing such language to "a heart desperately wicked," he is forced by the sweet impulse of charity, to conclude that it does not proceed "from a mind that is sound." Yet not many months after laying aside his testimony in my favour, on the pretended ground of lunacy, he is produced against me without any perceivable change for the better. It is true, I am willing to admit him as a witness; every one knows him, as well as

Dr. Wylie, to be one of the greatest lights of our country; every body knows that when Mr. Kneeland accused him of unsoundness, and when Mr. Morse accused Mr. Magoffin, and when Mr. Ballou accused me, they did not believe what they said, for we should all be very sound, if we would go over to their side; yet they ought to know that when they have committed themselves by such gross, guilty, slanderous dissimulation, they ought, for decency's sake, to preserve a small degree of consistency, and not to disqualify a witness one moment and summon him the next.

Where now are those hundreds of witnesses whose testimony Mr. Kneeland told us "could be obtained if it were necessary, of its being as faithful a report as ever was made?" I am informed that general orders were given from the pulpit and the press for their battallions to muster with all possible speed. On the cover of the last number of the Report, Mr. Jennings "earnestly requests every individual" who is willing, to send his certificate "as soon as possible to the office of the Saturday Evening Post, or to the residence of the publisher." It was prudent to name two places, as one could hardly be expected to contain the certificates of so many hundreds. The roll is called, and the faithful brethren, Messrs. Kneeland, Jennings, Morse, Hogan and Condie are found in their places. Now, gentlemen, are you willing to certify that this is "as faithful a report as ever was made?" Is it as faithful as some made by Mr. Stansbury, Reporter to Congress? Mr. Morse and Mr. Hogan, speak your minds, for you must understand this matter well, as you gaped and yawned and looked out of the window so much while the Kentuckian was speaking. They testify that the report is " without any omissions or additions affecting the arguments:" and remember that this school does not consider even the case of Lazarus and the rich man as affecting the argument. Well Mr. Kneeland, can you admit any flaws? Be cautious now, and do "In many places I can perceive some verbal not expose us. difference." What! is this the character of "as faithful a report as ever was made?" If you go that far, what may we not expect from the other party? Dr. Condie, you are READY ENOUGH, it is true, but your testimony is not worth much for that very reason. In this sad condition, they were no doubt much refreshed with the testimony made for Dr. Wylie by Mr. Jennings, and with that of Dr. Ely whom they had before rejected as unsound. Since its publication, Dr. Ely's opinion has been directly opposed by witnesses of equal respectability, and I hope will be changed by himself when he has heard both sides.—The injury done to Dr. Wylie by his reporter, I confess, does not give me great pain, since it proves Mr. Jennings unfaithful in a

little, and of course not to be trusted in much. It has also made me better acquainted with a man whose forbearance, conscientiousness, candour, and tenderness of affection I hope to imitate, and whose society and friendship I desire to enjoy in this, wilderness and in the land of promise.

W. L. M'CALLA.

Philadelphia, Nov. 4, 1824.

# DEFENCE.

#### PART FIRST.

The question which has called us together involves an essential doctrine of Christianity. Hence arises, in part, my great willingness to engage in its discussion. For the lawfulness of such an undertaking we have the authority of God's word, apostolical precedent, and the example of the Reformers; of whom Martin Luther held a debate of ten days with the Pope's Legate, at Leipsic; and Melancthon, Calvin and Knox had their public conferences with the ecclesiastics of the establishment to which they were opposed. These do not necessarily give scope to evil passions more than written controversy. This method of defending the truth may, in certain circumstances, be conducted as decently and as profitably as the other plan. Yet if my conduct in the commencement or prosecution of this enterprise be censurable. I wish it to be explicitly stated and understood that the blame is my own exclusively. As I am not considered responsible to my brethren in this particular, they cannot be at all implicated in the business. desire is, that if God enable me to speak for edification, he alone may have the praise. On the Triune God of Israel is my only dependence. Willingly do I confess my natural depravity, and inability to do any thing acceptable to God, or profitable to his people, except by the grace of a risen Saviour, and by the help of the Holy Spirit.

Among those errorists who deny the eternity of future punishment, there are two general descriptions—the Deatructionists who believe in the annihilation of the wicked, and the Universalists who believe in their eternal happiness. Some inspired men, as Job and Jeremiah, have, in the bitterness of their souls, lamented over their own existence, and appeared to think, with the suicide, that annihilation was preferable even to the sufferings of this life, and of course preferable to the eternal and the insupportable wrath of God, threatened in his word. This system is equally opposed to the scriptural account of degrees in

punishment; which we are certainly taught by our Saviour in his sermon on the mount, where he dooms one to the judgment, another to the council, and a third to hell fire; the servant who sinned against knowledge to the punishment of many stripes, and him who sinned ignorantly to comparatively few stripes; and those who despise the gospel to a more intolerable punishment than that of Sodom and Gomorrah. Annihilation admits of no degrees, nor does it admit of that consciousness of existence and apprehension of the existence of an indignant Creator, which the Scriptures attribute to the condemned sinner, when it is said, "ye shall know that I am the Lord." "He shall reward him and he shall know it." "Ye shall know that I

the Lord have poured out my fury upon you."

Among Universalists there is a great variety. believe in a limited punishment in the future world, others confine it to this life. In each of these sects, there is a variety of sentiments concerning the description of punishment to be inflicted, some considering it condign, others penitentiary, others disciplinary. My opponent passes for a Universalist and not a Destructionist. He professes to belong to that sect which confines punishment to this life, and to that class which considers punishment disciplinary, that is, intended for the good of the subject. His sentiments and my own, may be seen in the question which we have adopted for discussion, and the several clauses of which, we have espoused respectively. " Is the punishment of the • wicked absolutely eternal? or is it only a temporal punishment in this world for their good, and to be succeeded by eternal happiness after death?" The affirmative of the latter clause advocated by my opponent is a denial of a future state of rewards and punishments It presents Universalism in its most daring aspect, but only in that degree of turpitude to which every description of this error naturally leads. Unconnected with materialism, as it certainly is, in the phraseology of the question, this system would send the antediluvian rebels to Heaven before Noah, the Sodomites before Lot, the Egyptians before Moses, Korah, Dathan and Abiram before Aaron, the Canaanites before Joshua, the blaspheming thief before the Apostles, and Judas before Christ. Did these holy characters need more punishment for discipline, for repentance, or for satisfaction than those rebels who died before them? or was there any thing in the duration or intensity of their sufferings, which would give to the death of these rebels an extraordinary efficacy? In general their pains were neither very tedious nor very severe. The experience of many can attest that there is comparatively little pain in drowning: The agony is in coming to again. Whether those who perished in the general deluge and in the Red Sea were disciplined into holiness by their death, we may conjecture by the example of the Canaanites and many others who suffered much, before their death, and were only hardened under the means of softening, and blasphemed God on account of their plagues.

The several systems which have been described are so nearly related, that they may harbour the advocates of each other, without any material change of ground; as a prisoner may go from one cell to another, without escaping from confinement. In this they resemble the Arians and Socinians, whose subtle vacillations have been exposed by Wardlaw of Scotland and Miller of America. "When they feel pressed by a text or an argument which bears hard on the Socinian hypothesis, they take refuge in Arianism, and endeavour to maintain that the difficulty vanishes, on the plan of the pre-existence and super-angelick nature of Christ, as held by Arians. On the contrary, when pressed by a passage of Scripture, or a consideration, which wears an aspect untavourable to Arianism, they can with equal dexterity avail themselves of the Sociaian doctrine, and argue with the lowest Humanitarian." The youngar Edwards has shewn that Dr. Chauncey has been guilty of the same tergiversation in the Universalist controversy. While professedly defending the doctrine of a future penitentiary punishment, he is not ashamed, in passing certain fortresses, to hoist the colours of the Destructionists. My opponent also, in the 206th page of his Lectures, appears to adopt a similar policy, in order to escape the force of Matt. 10: 28, and Luke 12: 5, which direct us to fear God, who, "after he hath killed, hath power to cast into hell " In this passage my opponent sees two difficulties. One is that God hoth power to cast into hell. This he removes in a note, by saying, "because God has power to destroy, it does not necessarily follow that he will." But knowing that this assertion is a direct contradiction of the word of God, which says that he will " destroy them that destroy the earth," and that with an "everlasting destruction;" and recollecting also that the second difficulty in the text is that this takes place, not in this life, but "after he hath killed," my supple opponent seeks relief by resorting to that very use of the word destroy, which gave to the Destructionists their distinctive appellation. His words are these; "But I have no objection in the supposition that this text is to be understood in a higher sense; and that it refers to the sovereignty of God, who has power, as all will admit, to render any being whom he hath made, extinct. He who created man, is able to destroy him in every sense in which he exists as man." Here he explains the scriptural threatening of the destruction of the wicked, to mean the destruction of his existence, the extinction of his being which is annihilation.

My opponent is equally wavering as to the reason why our Creator punishes the wicked. In his Lectures and in that clause of the question which he has undertaken to defend he represents God as all mercy, punishing the wicked only for their good. In that brief summary of the Universalist faith which is annexed to the system of psalmody used (as I understand) by that society in this place, God is represented as an inexorable judge, without any forgiveness except upon the ground of our making full satisfaction to the law by our own sufferings. The words are "We believe it to be consistent with the character and government of God, and perfectly consonant to the design of his law, to punish all wilful offenders, and to administer to every transgression and disobedience a just recompence of reward." That my opponent sometimes preaches this doctrine of a condign instead of a disciplinary punishment may be seen in the 35th page of his duodecimo sermon published in the present year, where he declares that "all the hell there is, (and we believe in all the punishment of which the scriptures speak.) is inevitably certain to the wicked; and their portion in this 'lake of fire and brimstone,' in these 'sorrows of death,' and pains of hell,' not only is, but ever will be, in exact ratio to the measure and magnitude of sin. It is on this principle that God rewards every man according to his works. The same adherence to the doctrine of satisfactory punishment is found in the spurious edition of Buck's Theological Dictionary. My opponent has there said, under the article "Universalists," that "they contend that the wicked receive a punishment proportioned to their crimes." I should like to know what the condign punish-

ment of the wicked means, if it is not that which is "proportioned to their crimes," and "in exact ratio to the measure and magnitude of sin." When this is laid upon an adequate surety, and the offending individual is pardoned, there is an exercise of mercy; "for to the Lord our God belong mercies and forgivenesses," "keeping mercy for thousands, forgiving iniquity, and transgression and sin, and that will by no means clear the guilty." Yet when this punishment is laid upon the offenders themselves, and full eatisfaction is exacted from them, however just it is, there is no display of mercy intended in it. In this plan there is no room for Christ, although the scriptures say "through him is preached unto you the forgiveness of sins." My opponent, not satisfied with thus contradicting the Gospel of Christ, "in whom we have forgiveness of sins," contradicts himself in the very next words to those last quoted. by saying " that punishment itself is disciplinary, and not inconsistent with mercy." What! is it an exercise of mercy to inflict upon all men, in their own persons, "a punishment proportioned to their crimes?" Is it like parental discipline to punish all men individually "in exact ratio to the measure and magnitude of sin?" Is there no room for " repentance and remission of sins?" "Is there no balm in Gilead. is there no physician there?" In the gospel we are taught that God inflicts a condign punishment upon our Divine surety, and a disciplinary chastisement upon those whose sins are fully and freely forgiven through his blood: but when my opponent, leaving a Saviour out of view, attempts, in the very same sentence, to make these two descriptions of punishment meet in the individual offenders, he shows either the most licentious inconsistency, or a want of acquaintance with the plainest terms in technical theology.— Yet great as the incongruity of these two systems is. they are not more at war with each other than they are with scripture and common sense, when, as at present, they reject the doctrine of future punishment. How can that man be said to receive in this life, either a disciplinary or a satisfactory punishment for the most beingus crime, in the very perpetration of which he dies instantaneously? How can either of these punishments be inflicted on a suicide, in this life, for a crime which, in the very act, removes him beyond this life?

It is hardly necessary to shew the multiplied inconsisten-

cies of my opponent by reminding you that on this very, occasion, he has added a penitentiary punishment to the descriptions mentioned already. He has promised that if I prove the first clause of our question, he will then " prove that the punishment of the sinner is only temporal and salutary, to be succeeded by his repentance and never ending happiness."\* Without dwelling on the impossibility of a suicide's repenting in this life, of a crime which, in a twinkling, terminates his life, I would observe that this shifting of the question from one system of Universalism to another, is effectually precluded by the question adopted by the parties. One of the most remarkable characteristics of a Christian is, that he is willing to make a distinct and unequivocal profession of his faith in the presence of the universe. But the anxiety of my opponent to secure opportunities of shuffling has led him into a most pitiable. though abortive attempt,+ to elude the trammels which our rules have placed upon him, by coufining him to one particular scheme of error.

But why should he so repeatedly; make my proving the first clause of the question a prerequisite to his attempting the establishment of the second? Are they not substantially one question? Is not the establishment of eternal punishment a refutation of Universalist limitations? And if these limitations be preved, does not my system fall of course? The only reason why the second clause was proposed on my part, was to compel my opponent to take a decided stand with that particular sect and class of Universalists to which he chose to belong. This stand he has taken by the very fact of adopting the question. If the transaction be insincere,

let the censure lie upon the guilty person.

It seems also strange that my opponent should, in the commencement of this discussion, complain that my first half hour did not contain more evidence, and that he should throw the blame of his sterility upon my supposed remissness. Let the cause be what it may, his complaints are truly doleful. In order to the application of a remedy, let the evil be well understood. It is described in his own words, as follows, viz: "But as he has brought forward nothing for me to answer, nothing in support of his argument, I have nothing to refute. I do not know even how to consume my thirty minutes," "I feel anxious to consume my thirty minutes, but in truth, I know not what

<sup>\*</sup> Minutes, p. 44. ‡ Minutes, pp. 28, 44.

<sup>†</sup> Minutes, p. 15. § Minutes p. 15.

to say." This, then, is the evil; he has nothing to say; he knows not how to fill up his time. If this sorrow he imputed to my mode of managing the controversy, I will endeavour to supply his deficiencies by the aid of Universalist authors, and thus give a better defence of Universalism than my opponent has done. In the fear of God, this shall be done in a conscientious way, by selecting the several heads of argument, which our antagonists advance against us, and by marshalling their scripture authorities adduced in support of these arguments, to the best advantage, beginning with the weakest and ending with the strongest. This is not only the most honest but the most politic plan; because if their best array cannot be defeated, we had better capitulate at once, and if it can be overthrown, it had better be done immediately.

# UNIVERSALIST CANONS.

Preparatory to this measure, it is necessary to pay some attention to the numerous factitious rules of exegesis by which the Universalist writers deceive themselves and others. The proof which God has condescended to give will not answer their purpose. They must have such as they choose in their sovereignty to demand. The Jews disregarded our Saviour's miracles, and said, " let him now come down from the cross and we will believe him." So these authors disregard volumes of plain inspired evidence, and demand that which would be exactly right to their perverted judgments, which take wrong for right and right for wrong. In this view they require that our proofs should be drawn from the right book and the right part of the book. These texts must be in a right style, the same as the context; and of a right composition. invulnerable to heretical conjecture. These rules are recognized by my opponent, in the 209th page of his Lectures, in a note which he has copied from the improved Unitarian Version of 2 Pet. 2: 4, which informs us that "God spared not the Angels that sinned, but cast them down to hell." The following are his words; viz "If God spared not the messengers who had sinned, i. e. the spies who were sent to explore the land of Canaan, &c. See Simpson's Essays, p. 205, &c. But if the common interpretation be admitted, it will not establish the popular doctrine concerning fallen angels. For, 1. The epistle itself is of doubtful authority! 2. From the change of style, this is the most doubtful portion of the epistle! 3. By

<sup>\*</sup> Minutes, pp. 27, 30.

those who admit the genuineness of the epistle, this chapter is supposed to have been a quotation from some ancient apocryphal book, and the Apostle might not mean to give authority to the doctrine, but to argue with his readers upon known and allowed principles!" So it seems of no avail for us to prove the genuineness, authenticity and inspiration of our authorities, if even a nameless heretic can be quoted, by whom it is *supposed* that the writer did not mean what he said! Thus do these modern Pharisees and Sadducees make void the law of God by their traditions and impieus conjectures.

Besides these things, they demand in our authorities, what they or their friends suppose to be the right words and phrases. subject, and sense; with a right extent of reference and address; by a right number of authors, and a right frequency of repetition; and that at what they suppose to be the right times. In Hebr. 7: 16, we find the word endless or indissoluble\* in connection with life. In the 223d page of my opponent's lectures, he says, "if this word could have been so much as once found connected with death, in the same manner as it is here connected with life, it would have given more support to the doctrine of endless misery, than all that is contained in the Bible besides." He now asks, + "why did they [the inspired writers] not make use of this term about the meaning of which there could be no dispute!" He makes the same high sounding demands; concerning the phrases which is, in Isa. 45: 17, translated world without end. He says, "If he [his antagonist] can, let him, find the passage in which the words are found in connection with punishment, misery, or death, and again I say, I will give him the argument, and our discussion will end." Thus my opponent will not believe the truth, however plainly declared in Holy Writ, unless it is revealed in such words and phrases as he shall dictate: and he takes care to dictate such as he thinks can never be found in that connection. Neither would be yield, if all his demands were complied with; as is evident from his refusing so to do, when through the suggestion of a friend, Ps. 9: 5, was quoted, in which the Hebrew phrase used in connection with punishment, is, according to his own acknowledgment, equivalent to the expression used in Isa. 45: 17, in connection with happiness.

<sup>\*</sup> axaraturo; † Minutes, p. 105. † Minutes, pp. 175. 181, 252. קעולם ועד ¶ עולם ועד

After one Universalist has directed the inspired penman what word to use, it is no wonder that another should dictate the subject of his whole discourse. Balfour, in the 3d section of his enquiry, will not admit that the "damnation of hell," spoken of in Matt. 23: 33, can mean an eternal punishment, because the whole discourse is not exclusively confined to eternal subjects. He says that it "occurs in the fullest and plainest discourse ever uttered by our Lord concerning the temporal miseries coming on the Jewish nation." "How comes it to pass that if the damnation of hell means eternal misery, it should only be introduced in such a discourse?" It might as well be asked how 'our daily bread' can mean bodily nourishment, when spiritual blessings are evidently the chief subject of the Lord's prayer. Throughout the whole Bible, temporal and eternal things are connected as they are in 1 Tim. 4: 8, where godliness is said to have the "promise of the life that now is; and of that which is to come."

But if these writers cannot keep the Apostles from mingling different subjects in the same discourse, they are determined to remedy the evil, by giving to their works what they consider the right sense, or in other words, by giving to the Scriptures whatsoever sense will best suit their views of Universalism, even if it should attach to Christ and his apostles the charge of error. This, in fact, appears to be the real object of their distinction between the theocratical and popular sense of Scripture. Balfour in his 2d section, declares that the Jews in the time of Christ, believed falsely in future punishment; and that our Saviour's language with regard to Lazarus and the rich man, was only availing himself of their popular belief, to shew them the obstinacy of their unbelief." In the next section he informs us that all our Saviour's language concerning Abraham's bosom, and the place of torment in this conspicuous passage "is merely brought in as a part of its imagery," and that, on such subjects, it is not our Saviour's design to adhere "strictly to the truth of things." If by this distinction or any other, Mr. Balfour could prove the Son of God a liar. he would doubtless be congratulated by all the devils in hell, and by many of his brethren on earth. Of the felicitations of one of them at least, he would be absolutely certain. phlet, entitled "Presbyterianism versus Presbyterianism" published by my opponent, in the year 1819, you find him asserting in the 16th page, concerning the plainest historical and doctrinal declarations of Scripture, "all this is popular

language, and is true only in a popular sense;" that is in a "false" sense, as he afterward explains it. By the aid of this distinction, he inculcates that all those passages which are usually perverted to the defence of Unitarianism and Universalism are to be understood in the theocratical or true sense; and all those which convey most plainly the essential doctrines of Christianity are to be understood in the popular or false sense. Upon the ground of this distinction, furnished him by Mr. Balfour, he asserts "that God does whatever his creatures do," that God "is not resisted at all;" that "whenever the consequences of the actions of man are beyond his foresight or motive, those consequences cannot be imputed to him, but must be imputed to God alone;" that "man, considered as an instrument in the hands of God, is altogether passive." "This system considers man as having nothing to do." "Man, in this sense of speaking, is altogether passive, and acts only as he is acted upon." By this plan, my opponent has, in page 16, ascertained the theocratical faleshood of all those passages "in which men are said to go astray like sheep, or to return again to the great Shepherd; to resist the Spirit of God, or to yield obedience to his law; to be lost or to be saved"!!! " It is in the popular [that is the false] sense, only, that men can be subjects of either praise or blame." "In this sense, he is no more accountable than the axe is accountable to the man who useth it, or the saw to the hand which shaketh it."

After my opponent thinks that he has hewn down all the cedars of Lebanon by this newly invented weapon, he sits down with the self complacency of a certain character who "eateth and wipeth her mouth and saith I have done no wickedness." He even boasts, in his 22d page, that he has done much good. "Thus," says he, "according to this system, being well understood, and these rules adhered to in construing the Holy Scriptures, all those contradictions in language, and confusion of ideas, which are so obvious in other systems of divinity, are completely done away." He thinks that by denying the purity of God, the depravity and accountability of man, and the doctrines of perdition and salvation, we are to understand better, that revelation which was given for the express purpose of teaching those very truths which he denies! No wonder that Dr. James P. Wilson, of this city, said, in his printed+ animadversions upon

<sup>\*</sup>See pp. 16, 18, 20, 22.

<sup>†</sup> In 1890. !

the pamphlet which contains these sentiments, that "the principles of this Universalist appear to be nefarious, beyond

a parallel."

But as this way of contradicting the Scriptures by admitting their truth in a popular sense, is rather an unpopular thing among the churches, some writers have given to this popular or false sense, a more plausible name, by calling it parabolical. You would scarcely expect this from Mr. Balfour after his telling us that "a parable, like a fable, is designed to impress on the mind, in a pleasing manner, some important truth." He admits that they may be used to establish a "particular doctrine of christianity," but prudently remarks that "the atmost caution should be observed in reasoning from them," for this purpose. Yet when he comes to examine a particular case, instead of establishing a "doctrine of christianity," or discovering an "important truth," be takes it for granted that the dialogue between Abraham and the rich man is "a fiction," and pretends that thus far we agree with him; and he charges us with inconsistency for believing in opposition to him, that the account of the rich man being in torment is "a fact." In the next paragraph he gives this assumed position that the narrative is parabolical as one reason why we should not believe "what is said about Hades being a place of torment." In another place, he says concerning the rich man, " But if this is only a supposed person, I ask those who may differ from me, to prove that the person is a real being. If they advocate the torment to be a reality, they ought first to prove the person tormented in Hades to be not a parabolic person, before they draw the conclusion that the turment is not a parabolic torment. The first must be proved before the last can be admitted; for a person must exist before he can be tormented in any place. If the person mentioned is a real being, and the torment he complains of a reality, and not a sictitious or parabolic representation. we have a right to demand why every thing in this account is not considered a narrative of facts, and not a parable."\* According to this extract from one of my opponent's favourite authors, a parable cannot be a narrative of facts; a parabolic representation is a fictitious representation, and nothing can be truly predicated of a parabolical person, because he is only a supposed person, and not a real being. Is this like impressing "upon the mind, in a pleasing man-

<sup>&</sup>quot; Section 2d.

ner, some important truth?" This rule was invented to stamp with the seal of fiction, every important truth which stands in the way of the heretic. By this rule Mr. Ballou, in his first Lecture on the Parables, proved that the axe which " is laid unto the root of the trees," will not even penetrate the outer bark, much less prostrate them in the fire. In his second, he has shewn that there is no danger of the wicked being consumed like chaff in unquenchable fire; and in his third, that they need not fear having their bodies cast into hell as a place All these passages of Scripture are, in his view, of torment. parabolical, and fictitious of course. For the same reason, he and my opponent reject our Saviour's account of the day of judgment, which Mr. Ballou, in the 174th page of his Treatise on Atonement, has styled the "parable of the sheep and goats." He also thinks that he has closed the impassable gulph, not as Curtius did, (for he is probably more like the Jewish rich man, than the Roman hero,) but by pronouncing it a

parable, that is, a fiction.

But let us apply the rule as held by these characters, to some familiar cases. Mr. Ballou has not, I believe, told us that the ten commandments were a parable, but he might as well have done it, as to have made a fiction of Luke 16: 18, "Whosoever putteth away his wife, and marrieth another, committeth adultery; and whosoever marrieth her that is put away from her husband, committeth adultery." is Mr. Ballou's 37th parable. Of course he must consider the husband and wife parabolical persons, and not real beings. Then all that is said about marrying, divorcing, and marrying again, is only a fictitious representation. this make void the law of God? Besides much doctrinal and practical instruction, we have in the 78th Psalm, a long and strictly true history of God's people for many hundred years. But in the second verse, the inspired writer calls it a parable. Is it therefore a fiction? In the 23d and 24th chapters of Numbers, the Spirit of God foretells the future habitation, increase, prosperity and triumph of his people Israel, and the advent and kingdom of Christ. The inspired writer repeatedly calls these predictions a parable. Because Israel is mentioned in a parable, had this people no real existence? Was the star that should come out of Jacob only a "supposed person," or an imaginary being? And was his coming a mere fictitious representation? But remember that it was not more positively declared that Christ should come, and his people prosper, than that his enemies

should perish forever. In the 20th verse of the 24th chapter, the historian says, "and when he looked on Amalek, be took up his parable, and said, Amalek was the first of the nations, but his latter end shall be that he perish forever." To deny the truth of these parables could be expected from none but an infidel, and in Proverbs 26: 7, Solamon assures us that no wise man will lame them, by believing a part to be truth and a part fiction. "The legs of the lame are not equal; so is a parable in the mouth of fools."

But we have already observed that another of their rules of exposition is that a scriptural declaration must have a right extent of reference and address. My opponent says,\* "the punishment of Gehenna is never threatened to the Gentiles." He denies that Christ "threatened with the punishment of Gehenna, any others than Jews." Mr. Balfour says "that not a word about Hell or Gehenna is said to the Gentiles by any of the inspired writers." Hesays "that all that is said about Gehenna in the way of threatening or in any other shape was spoken to Jews: Jews and they only were the persons addressed when speaking of Gehen-It is not once named to the Gentiles in all the New Testament, nor are any of them ever threatened with such a ponishment"+ Their object is to shew that hell does not mean the eternal misery of every unbeliever, but only the temporal calamities of the Jewish nation, in the destruction of Jerusalem. To prove this they state what need not be disputed, that the inspired discourses about Gehenna were addressed to Jews only. Their conclusion is, that these discourses refer to them only According to this rule no part of the Bible can relate to Ireland or Philadelphia, because not addressed to their inhabitants. Most of the Scriptures were originally addressed to the Jews, yet a great portion of them refer either expressly or implicitly to the Gentiles. Can it be supposed that the poer in spirit, the meek, the mourners, the merciful, the prace-makers, the pure, and the persecuted among the Gentiles, cannot be happy because the beatitudes were addressed to the Jews? Our Saviour once said to certain Jews, "He that believeth and is baptised shall be saved, but he that believeth not shall be damned." Because this was not addressed to Gentiles personally, have they therefore no part in either salvation or damnation? Our Saviour intimates that those who are unwilling

<sup>Minutes, p. 177.
Section 2d and 3d.</sup> 

to part with an offending hand, or foot, or eye, shall "be cast into hell, into the fire that never shall be quenched." Are none but Jews unwilling to forsake their sins? If Gentiles resemble them in character, they must partake of their punishment. Our Saviour says to the Jews, "Ye serpents, ye generation of vipers! how can ye escape the damnation of hell?" Are no serpents and vipers to be found among the Gentiles? I should guess that all the hissing which we have had against the truth in this house has not come from Jews. If, then, unbelievers of all nations are the Children of the old serpent, it may be truly said of them, that they cannot escape the damnation of Gehenna. Neither will it avail in proof that Gehenna relates to the destruction of Jerusalem, to say, as Mr. Balfour has done in his 4th section, that John, who wrote after that event, "omits all our Lord's discourses in which it is mentioned :" since he has also omitted the sermon on the Mount and the apostolic commission above quoted, and many other things which relate to Heaven as well as Hell, to salvation as well as perdition.

It has already been announced that the Universalist polemics require that our proofs should be uttered by what they esteem a right number of authors, a right frequency of repetition, and at the right times. My opponent, on this subject, speaks as follows: Paul "never once made use of this term Gehenna or hell in all his preaching. Ah! Paul, have you preached the whole counsel of God? and yet we cannot find this wonderful term in all your preaching !!! Now my hearers, I ask you, how could Paul preach the whole counsel of God, and yet not preach the Gehenna or hell of my opponent, if this doctrine of hell be contained in any part of the counsel of God?"\* Although Mr. Balfour admits that our Saviour threatened unbelieving Jews with the damnation of hell, yet, in his 4th section, he sees no reason even for them to fear, because the Apostles "were commanded to preach the Gospel to every creature," and "they addressed the worst of characters, but to none of them did they ever say, how can ye escape the damnation of hell?". To prove the same point he tells us in chap. 2. sect. 2, " that the word Gehenna or hell is used by our Lord, and by James, but by no other person in the New Testament." Near the close of Section 4th, he says, "Now let it be supposed, that by this

<sup>\*</sup> Minutes, p. 176.

expression, our Lord meant endless misery in a future state. I ask is it possible our Lord should only mention this once? I ask again, can it be believed, that he who said on the cross, Father forgive them, for they know not what they do,' should have ceased, but with his dying breath, to warn these men that such a place of endless misery awaited them? I ask once more, is it possible that he, who, when he beheld the city, 'wept over it,' on account of temporal calamities, in which it was soon to be involved, should shed no tears in anticipating the endless misery of its wicked inhabitants?" From this it would appear that, with such characters, the authority of our Lord, or of an inspired Apostle is not sufficient. They will believe nothing but what has been declared by all the sacred college, very often, on all important occasions, and especially in the hour of death. In the second section of Balfour's first chapter, he leaves "it to any candid man to say, if Hades be a place of torment after death, whether our Lord would only mention this once." In the same section of the next chapter, he asks, " how is it to be rationally accounted for, that our Lord only once during his whole ministry, should say to the unbelieving Jews, bow can ye escape the damnation of hell?' if by this he meant future eternal punishment?" The same question might be asked concerning Paradise as a place of happiness. although the one time that our Saviour used this word was in his dying hour. Yet it is evident that those who will thus limit the Holy One will not believe when all their arrogant demands are complied with: for his unbelief still continues. although, according to his own acknowledgment,\* Gehenna is twice called in Mk. 9th, 'the fire that never shall be quench-He observes that "properly speaking, this expression occurs no less than five times; for it is three times said, by way of addition, 'where their worm dieth not and the fire is not quenched." In another place, + he appears to think that twelve repetitions are not sufficient to entitle their authors to credit. His words are the following; viz. "Admitting for the present, that it occurs twelve times, and in all these it is certainly used to express a place of eternal misery, it deserves notice, that this is not so often in the whole Bible, as it is used by many preachers in a single sermon;" and he might have added, as Mr. Balfour repeats this miserable subterfuge, in a single chapter.

<sup>\*</sup>Chap. 1, Sect. 3.

<sup>†</sup> Chap. 1, Scot. 2

#### UNIVERSALIST PRACTICE.

Having said thus much of their principles of interpretation. by which they have imposed upon themselves and others. a wend or two concerning their practice may not be improper. All ough their antagonists may accompany their scripture authorities with elaborate explanations and arguments, they scruple not to accuse them of quoting naked texts, without argument: yet when it suits their purpose, they can glory in perverting detached passages of scripture without expla-In the 201st page of Mr. Ballou's Treatise on Atonement, he says "Time would fail me, to write one half that might be quoted from the prophets on this subject. I ask for no explanation, on their testimony; if what they say do not prove my doctrine. I will not have recourse to explanations." As he is a professed writer on dark sayings, proverbs and parables, he ought to know what was revealed to Solomon in the introduction to his Proverbs: where we are taught that it is the part of a learned man who has attained to "wise counsels, to understand a proverb, and the interpretation, the words of the wise and their dark savings." My opponent, however, incorrectly attributes to me as a crime, the very thing of which his favourite boasts so vainly; and lest he should not be believed for the want of sufficient repetition he gives it to us often enough. accuses his antagonist of stating texts "without any argument to prove his interpretation of them correct;" of bringing "text after text without attempting to prove his interpretation of them to be correct by fair argument?" of giving "passage after passage without any argument or explanation;" of giving "a continued series of quotations without any argument to prove the meaning which was attached to them." &c. &c. &c.\* These groundless assertions appear intended to reduce his antagonist to a level with a man who repeatedly confesses that he has not wherewith to occupy his sluggish periods.

There is one very remarkable feature in the practice of my opponent. Sometimes he can scarcely converse, preach or print, without a perpetual recurrence to the dead languages. A sermon published by him this year, is quite richly interlarded with Hebrew. In this wonderful production, he tries to give the people some acquaintance with Hebrew radicals. In a note he informs them of the distinction in the

<sup>\*</sup> Minutes pp. 272, 181, 216, 236, 77, 58.

genders of Hebrew nouns; and what must have been very important to those who did not know one letter from another, he informs them that "the reader, must read all Hebrew words from right to left."\* He has referred+ to debates which he has had in the Commissioner's Hall with laymen and apprentice boys. These men were more remarkable for honesty and good sense than for biblical literature. It is well known that he was in the habit of appealing to the original scriptures with such disputants as could not follow him thither. This he does in letters written to one of these apprentice boys, dated Feb. 14th and March 8th, of the present year. In the latter of these he parades his several Latin versions, the same literary ware, which, like a pedlar with his pins and needles and buttons and combs, he has displayed before this assembly. Having endeavoured in vain to weaken the confidence of his young correspondent in our common version, and to get him to adopt my opponent's new translation, which he ridiculously pretends is a correct translation of Griesbach's Greek Testament, he plainly lets him know in a letter of Feb. 16th, that he must admit Griesbach, of which he knew not one word, or their correspondence should close. "Then" says he, "have I put an end to this discussion.": After thus making the sacred originals a sine qua non to a discussion with a youth who knew nothing of them, he proposed to me, in the commencement of our dis-

<sup>\*</sup> See his 18mo: Compendium of a Sermon, p. 13. Also, in the 126th page of the Minutes, Mr. Jennings has, in a note, given us a good deal of Greek and Hebrew, accompanied with the following instructions for those, who like, himself, could not read these languages, viz. " The Hebrew words which are written in the Hebrew characters, are read from right to left. These remarks may be of some use to the unlearned, and for them alone they are designed." As it is a noterious fact, and one which has been publiely acknowledged, that Mr. Jenaings never read nor wrote a word of Hebrew or Greek in his life, it is easy to see that this note was penned by the same wiseacre who wrote the Compendium of a Sermon. Yet Mr. Jennings begins the note by saying that " the Reporter writes the Hebrew without the points." This is a worthy disciple of a man who expects to teach unlearned readers to pronounce his " Hebrew words which are written in Hebrew characters" by simply telling them that these words " are read from right to left." It would not be a greater evidence of that imbecility to which the understanding is reduced by an overweening pedantry, if he were to expect a land-lubber to navigate a frigate from here to England, by being simply Formed that he was to sail from West to East.

Minutes p. 42.

<sup>3</sup> Sec "Letters of Correspondence between the Rev. Abner Kaceland and William Justice."

cussion, that we should lay them aside, and abide by our received Translation!!\*

#### REFUTATION.

The exposure now made of my opponent's canons of criticism, and his practice, and that of his brethren, in controverting the truth, may be considered an answer to a great part of what they preach and write on the question between us. Their doctrines remain unsupported, when their principles of interpretation are proved essentially wrong. That cause is always suspected, whose advocates frequently shift their ground; who fill up their time, not by answering their opponent's arguments, but by groundless complaints that he has none; and who make a great flourish of learning among the illiterate, and yet retreat from the light among those who can consult originals. That their cause ought to be suspected and condemned, that their doctrine is without evidence. will appear by a full and fair examination of the arguments advanced by themselves in its defence. With the help of God, this shall be done under the following heads. 1. The present character and sufferings of mankind. 2. The offer of salvation. 3. Arguments for a purgatory. 4. Restitution. 5. The Attributes of God. 6. His fatherly chastisements. 7. The will of God. 8. 9. 10. Christ's Prophetic, Kingly, and Priestly offices.

## FIRST UNIVERSALIST ARGUMENT.

A writer of some name, against the Universalists, gives the following division of their sources of argument. "1. The universal goodness of God. 2. The universal atonement of Christ. 3. The universal offers of salvation. 4. The univer-

<sup>\*</sup> In the Minutes pp. 256, 272, Mr. K. in opposition to his repeated dealarations that I had no argument, acknowledges that there were some argument and some criticism on my part; yet he seems to think it "not necessary" for me "to say any thing more about the meaning of certain Greek words." Before the debate he was so anxious to make the unlearned acquainted with aionion, hades, gehenna, tartarus, and sayot, that he could not wait to teach them the alphabet before he would have them reading from right to left. It is said that some of his followers were in the habit of encaping any argument or text, by crying, "Mr. Kneeland says it is not so in the Greek." At that time, he thought our Bible an imperfect as to need his New Translation and all his other critical labours. How much his mind was changed during the debate, will appear from an account of it which he published a few days after, in which, he says scornfully "there was account on fight about the words are gionian fight about the words are, storner, [17] &c. which was mostly lost to the audience, and which ended nearly where it commenced." He says moreover, "No rational man can believe any thing essential to salvation which is not platingly and clearly revealed, and which depends on something better than such equivocal terms for its support."

this life." His two last divisions I make my first; because they are the weakest and the least relied upon by their advocates. A single case is not now recollected in which they have urged them at all; and if they were to insist that all men are good, we could only say with the Spirit, "there is none good;" and the same Spirit declares that some sins shall not be forgiven; "neither in this world, neither in the world to come:" so that punishment in this world, whether partial or universal, does not preclude a future punishment.

### SECOND UNIVERSALIST ARGUMENT.

The argument drawn from the offer of salvation cannot be more fairly treated than by admitting that salvation is offered to all who hear the gospel, and are willing to be saved in God's appointed way. But the mere invitation to the supper mentioned in Luke 14th, did not avail those who made excuses, and concerning whom it was said, "that none of those which were bidden shall taste of my supper."

# THIRD UNIVERSALIST ARGUMENT.

As it is a fact that many Universalists advocate a sort of purgatory, a concise notice will be taken of those texts which are erroneously thought to countenance that doctrine.

- 1. Isa. 4: 4. "When the Lord shall have washed away the filth of the daughters of Zion, and shall have purged the blood of Jerusalem from the midst thereof by the spirit of judgment and the spirit of burning." This is explained in Isa. 31: 9. 48: 10, where it is declared that the Lord's "fire is in Zion and his furnace in Jerusalem;" and that his people are chosen, or as some copies have it, they are tried, "in the furnace of affliction."
- 2. Zech. 9: 11. "As for thee also, by the blood of thy Covenant, I have sent forth thy prisoners out of the pit wherein is no water." Concerning a temporal captivity, Isa. 51: 14, uses the following similar language. "The captive exile hasteneth that he may be loosed, and that he should not die in the pit, nor that his bread should fail." The Israelites owed to the blood of the Great Covenant Sacrifice, their deliverance from Egypt and Babylon a well as their preservation from Tophet.
- 8.1 Cor. 3: 13-15. "Every man's work shall be made manifest, for the day shall declare it, because it shall be revealed by fire, and the fire shall try every man's work, of what sort it is. If any man's work abideth, which he hath

built thereupon, he shall receive a reward. If any man's work shall be burnt, he shall suffer loss; but he himself shall be saved, yet so as by fire." The following are verses 9, 12. "For we are labourers together with God. Ye are God's husbandry, ye are God's building. Now if any man build upon this foundation, gold, silver, precious stones, wood, hay, stubble." Here the officers of the church are said to build it up of good and bad members. When the building is assailed by the fire of persecution, the wood, hay, and stubble are consumed; that is, hypocrites apostatize, while the faithful pastor and the sound members, the gold, silver, and precious stones, endure the flame, and shall be saved or refined and

preserved, yet so as by or through the fire.

4. 1 Pet. 3: 0, "By whom," (that is, the Spirit, see verse 18.) "By whom also he went and preached unto the Spirits in prison." That these spirits were in hell at the time of the Apostle's writing is agreed. But that they were in prison when the Spirit of a long-suffering God preached salvation to them, is disputed on the authority of the next verse, which confines the long-suffering of God in respect of them, to the days of Noah, and confines the salvation of God to the few. that is to the eight souls which were in the ark, Verse 20, "which [spirits now in prison] sometime [that is long ago] were disobedient, when once [that is long ago] the longsuffering of God waited in the days of Noah, while the ark was a preparing, wherein few, that is eight souls were saved by water." If salvation was preached to them in hell or after their death, why should the long-suffering of God be thus restricted in their case, to "the days of Noah while the ark was a preparing?"

# FOURTH UNIVERSALIST ARGUMENT.

Mr. Ballou,\* in shewing "that all will be brought, finally, to the enjoyment of spiritual life and peace," says, "There is a passage in Acts 3: 20, 21, which reads very literally in proof of my argument. 'And he shall send Jesus Christ, which before was preached unto you, whom the heaven must receive until the times of restitution of all things, which God hath spoken by the mouth of all his holy prophets since the world began." As the word apokatastasis+ here rendered restitution, occurs only once in the New Testament, and not once in the Septuagint, there may appear great room for fanciful and erroneous interpretations. Yet in the 70 of

<sup>\*</sup> Treatise on Atonement, p. 193.

<sup>+</sup> MITCHATRETHES

Amos 5: 15, we find a conjugate used in connection with the judgment of civil courts on earth, in exactly the same meaning which the word has in Acts 3: 21, in relation to the judgment of the last day. The prophet says, "Hate evil and love good, KAI APOKATASTESHTE EN PULAIS KRIMA; and establish judgment in the gates." In Acts 1:11, it is said that Christ "shall so come in like manner as ye have seen him go into heaven." In the passage in question, it is said that the Lord shall send him; and that this sending and coming shall be at the period of the restitution, or constitution, or establishment, or settlement, or consummation of all things. In I Cor. 15: 24, 25, we find that one feature of this important settlement is the restitution of "the kingdom to God, even the Father;" and another is, shall we say a restitution of all enemies to the bosom of the Redeemer? No: but at that period, he shall have " put all enemies under his feet;" " and these shall go away into everlasting punishment, but the righteous into life eter-It is true that Mr. Ballou and my opponent who quote this prophecy of Peter concerning the restitution of all things, deny that a general judgment shall ever take place. But the same Apostle\* has predicted this denial also, when he says "that there shall come in the last days, scoffers, walking after their own lusts, and saying, where is the promise of his coming!"

# FIFTH UNIVERSALIST ARGUMENT.

As it is believed that God's holiness, justice and truth require the eternal punishment of incorrigible offenders, and that the scriptures limit the application of his love, mercy, and grace accordingly, the consideration of these attributes shall be postponed to my first argument in favour of future punishment. We shall in the mean time attend to what is urged from God's fore-knowledge, his immutability, and his being the God of universal creation and providence. Although Winchestert thinks that the argument from God's universal providence " has weight in it," and professes to give the authority of—1st, the American Indians, and 2dly, the Apostle Paul, in support of it; yet he says, "this I do not insist upon." It is boped, therefore, that I shall be excused from insisting upon a sophism which can as well refute the doctrine of present suffering, as of eternal punishment. As he supports the argument from the universal creation only by a perversion of

<sup>\*2</sup> Pet. 5; 8, 4. † RRI ARRESTATION, dialogue 4th. THE ADDRESS OF STREET SECTION

Isa. 57: 16, which is a promise to the church of Christ, that passage may be attended to among others of the same description, under the 9th argument, to which it properly belongs.

In Mr. Winchester's 4th dialogue, he professes to display the strong holds of Universalism. 1. God is the universal and only creator of all. 2. The universal benevolence of the Deity, or love of God to his creatures. 3. Christ died for all. 4. The unchangeableness of God. 5. The immutability of God's counsels. 6. God hath given all things into the hand of Christ. 7. The scriptures must be fulfilled; the scriptures cannot be broken.\*

The 3d of these arguments will be my last. The 6th, the one before the last. The 1st and 2d have been already postponed. The texts under his 5th head shall be generally considered under my 7th and 9th. To his 4th, 5th, and 7th, it may now be briefly answered that because we believe in the truth of God's word, and the immutability of the counsels of an ununchangeable God, therefore we declare that the wicked "shall go into everlasting punishment, and the righteous into everlasting life:" for this is declared by that God whose nature and counsels cannot change, and by those scriptures which "cannot be broken."

The argument from the fore-knowledge of God has, notwithstanding its daring impiety, been urged very much, to my own knowledge, even by the followers of Winchester, who are generally esteemed the better sort of Universalists, and sometimes appear to be almost christians. Mr. Ballou declares that if "the Almighty" knew "before he made man" " that he would deserve an endless punishment," "it proves that an infinite cruelty existed in God!!" The only reason why this profane language is repeated, is that it has been taken for solid argument, by some who did not consider that if it be cruel to fore-know eternal punishment, it must be cruel, only in a less degree, to fore-know temporal suffering, without preventing it. "Man is born to trouble as the sparks fly upward." The God of providence foresees all his temporal sins and sorrows,+ and the God of judgment fore-knows all his eternal sins and sufferings: yet this does not attach an infinite degree, no the least degree of cruelty to the immaculate character of Jehovah: and that blasphemer who can say that it does, needs

<sup>\*</sup> Did Mr. Jennings, in the 22d page of his Report, give me this last argument, to make me talk as childichly as Mr. Winchester?

<sup>† 2</sup> Kings, 8; 1213. \$ Matt. 25; 41, 46.

not a mark in his forehead to convince all tender christians that he is an enemy to revealed religion.

### SIXTH UNIVERSALIST ARGUMENT.

Fatherly Chastisements. My apponent has quoted the following passages. Job. 5: 17. "Behold! happy is the man whom God correcteth, therefore despise not the chastening of the Almighty." Prov. 8: 12. "For whom the Lord leveth he correcteth, even as a father the son in whom he delighteth."\* My opponent observes, # "although the Father of all mercies : has said [Ps. 89: 32, 33,] that he will visit the transgressions of his children 'with the rod, and their iniquity with stripes,' be adds, 'nevertheless, my loving kindness will I not utterly take from him, nor suffer my faithfulness to fail.' And again, Hebr. 12: 9-11, 'Furthermore, we have had fathers of our flesh which corrected us, and we gave them reverence; shall we not much rather be in subjection unto the Father of our spirits, and live? For they verily for a few days chastened us after their own pleasure; but he for our profit, that we might be partakers of his holiness. Now no chastening for the present, seemeth to be joyous but grievous; nevertheless afterward it yieldeth the peaceable fruit of righteousness unto them which are exercised thereby." The inference which my opponent would have us draw from these and similar passages is, that none can be punished forever, because this would be to their inexpressible injury; whereas in these texts, God is represented as viewing all mankind in the light of children, and as correcting them in loving kindness, that they may be profited by a growth in holiness, righteousness and happiness.

But in each of the chapters from which the above texts are taken, there is evidence that these things are said of the pious and not of all mankind. In Job 5: 12—14, we find that he who corrects his children for their good, also "taketh the wise in their own craftiness; and the counsel of the froward is carried headlong." In Prov. 3: 32—35, we find that "the froward is abomination to the Lord;" "the curse of the Lord is in the house of the wicked;" "he scorneth the scorners;" shame shall be the promotion of fools:" and in other passages of scripture, this shame is said to be "a perpetual shame," and an "everlasting confusion." In the 55th

Minutes, p. 140.

<sup>†</sup> Minutes, p. 180.

Jer. 20, 11. 23, 40.

Psalm\* we are taught that God's children whom he corrects are those who know the joyful sound of justice and judgment, mercy and truth; who walk in the light of his countenance; who rejoice in his name all the day; and are exalted in his righteousness, and not their own: that is, they are persons who are justified, adopted and sanctified through grace. Concerning others he says in verse 10th, "thou hast scattered thine enemies with thy strong arm." Hebrews 12: 8, tells us that these enemies are not children but bastards, and are therefore let alone, as Ephraim was when joined to his idols. Verses 5th and 7th imply, as many other scriptures plainly declare, that these bastards do not endure the chastening of the Lord either with reverence or fortitude, but first despise it and then faint under it; whereas his children are supported under it, and profited by it.

# SEVENTH UNIVERSALIST ARGUMENT.

The will of God. As we are now entering upon the strong holds of Universalism, in which time and labour must be spent to present their system in the most advantageous light. let it be remarked that when, in the name of the adversary, the orthodox are charged with denying scripture, it is not to be understood that the charge is admitted on our part : neither are the Universalists to be understood as making this confession, when, either now or hereafter, I lay such errors at their With this explanation, it may be said that the orthodox limit to a part of mankind, that "good will towards men" which the angels, at the birth of Christ, proclaimed to belong "to all people." + Orthodoxy says that God wills the death of the sinner; but in Ez. 33: 11. 18: 23. 32, "I have no pleasure in the death of him that dieth, saith the Lord God, wherefore turn yourselves and live ye." The scriptures say in 2 Pet. 5: 9. "The Lord is not slack concerning his promise, as some men count slackness, but is long suffering to us ward, not willing that any should perish, but that all should come to repentance." Does not Orthodoxy say, that he is willing that many should be lost without repentance? The Bible assures us in 1 Tim. 2: 4, that God "will have all men to be saved, and to come unto the knowledge of the truth:" Whereas Orthodoxy says that he will have only some men to be saved, and the rest to perish without knowledge. In vain will they endeavour to escape the force of these declarations by distin-

† Lake 2: 10, 14.

<sup>\*</sup> See verses 14-16, 28-36.

grishing between God's secret and revealed will, for he does not contradict himself and speak deceitfully: and as to their admission that it is his will of precept only, and not his will of purpose that all men should be saved, is not this in direct opposition to his own word, in Eph. 1: 9-11, where it is said that he hath " made known unto us his will, according to his good pleasure which he hath purposed in himself, that in the dispensation of the fulness of times, he might gather together in one all things in Christ, both which are in Heaven, and which are on Earth, even in him; in whom also we have obtained an inheritance, being predestinated according to the purpose of him who worketh all things after the counsel of his own will." Here God is said to gather together in one all things in Christ. Does not this mean that he will save all men? But this is said to be according to his will; "according to his good pleasure which he hath purposed in himself;" according to his purpose of predestination, and the counsel of his own will." On these grounds we† confidently argue that God's will of purpose is always accomplished;—that it is his will of purpose that all men, universally shall be saved;—and that therefore, all men universally shall be saved.

The texts already adduced are given in proof that it is God's will of purpose that all men universally shall be saved. The only difficulty therefore which can remain is, to prove that his will of purpose is always accomplished. But this is acknowledged by the standards of the Orthodox, and the above passage from Ephesians which says that God "worketh all things after the counsel of his own will" is produced by the Presbyterian Confession of Faith, Chapter 3, Section 5, to prove it. To support the 1st Section of the same Chapter they have quoted Romans 9: 15, 18, also, which is much to our purpose. "I will have mercy on whom I will have mercy, and I will have compassion on whom I will have compassion." "Therefore hath he mercy on whom he will have mercy." Speaking of God's creatures, the 2nd section of the 2nd chapter declares that he "hath most sovereign dominion over them, to do by them, for them, and upon them, whatsoever himself pleaseth." In support of this most certain truth, they quote Dan. 4: 25, 35. "The Most High ruleth in the kingdom of men, and giveth it to whomsoever he will; and all the inhabitants of the earth are reputed as nothing; and he

<sup>\*</sup> For John 6: 39, see argument 9th, to which it belongs in common with the seventh.

<sup>†</sup> The Universalists.

doeth according to his will in the army of heaven, and among the inhabitants of the earth, and none can stay his hand, or say unto him, what doest thou?" God says, in Isa. 46:10, "My counsel shall stand, and I will do all my pleasure." God's will of purpose is the desire of his heart, the determination of his divine mind. It is sovereign and immutable and cannot be thwarted. Job, 25:13, "He is in one mind, and who can turn him? and what his soul desireth, even that he doeth."

In answering the above argument, the Orthodox need not oppose the sovereignty of God. Most conscientiously do I agree with the Bible and the Confession of Faith. that his will of purpose is always accomplished. But although my opponent at this moment, professes the same doctrine, to serve a turn, he has in other stages of the debate, filled up his wearisome minutes by holding it up to the derision of the audience. Neither shall I explain the above texts by saying, as Mr. Ballou has attributed to us,\* that they are "expressive of God's revealed will, but not of his secret will; arguing that his revealed will is in direct opposition to a will which he has seen fit not to reveal." His revealed word, though not a full developement of his secret will, is nevertheless perfectly consistent with it. Yet as his commands are often broken, and thus his mandatory will not always fulfilled, it is called his will of precept to distinguish it from his will of purpose which is ever fulfilled. For this distinction we have plain authority in many scriptures where there is a certain will of God which is expressly declared not to be done. Luke 12: 47. "And that servant which knew his Lord's will and prepared not himself, neither did according to his will." Matt. 21: 31. "Whether of them twain did the will of his father? They say unto him the first." It is plainly implied that the second did Now that will of God which this second son did not perform, and which the above mentioned servant did not perform, cannot be the desire of his heart, or the determination of his soul, or his will of purpose, because this is always performed: but it must be his law, or his commandments of whatsoever description, which we therefore call his will of precept and which, though like their author, holy, just and good, are often disregarded. It is to this that our Saviour must have referred when, in weeping over Jerusalem, he said "how oft did I will," (as it is literally rendered.) " how oft did I will to

<sup>\*</sup> On Atonement p. 202.

gather thy children together as a hen gathereth her chickens under her wings, and ye would not!" Because of their " would not," his precepts were disregarded, while his divine purposes were accomplished in their just destruction. So it is with regard to most of the texts quoted concerning the will of God for the salvation of all. In Ez. 18: 32, God took no pleasure in the death of him that dieth; yet he did die, as every persevering unbeliever must forever. In the next authority quoted, the Apostle Peter tells us\* that "the Lord is not willing that any should perish." If this were his will of purpose, none would ever perish: yet the same Apostle tells us in the same epistlet that some, "as natural brute beasts, made to be taken and destroyed, speak evil of the things that they understand not, and shall utterly perish in their own corruption." The Apostle Paul tells ust that "the preaching of the cross is to them that perish foolishness." But Peter tells us moreover that it is God's will "that all should come to repentence." If this be the desire of his heart, then all must and will repent: but if it be only his will of precept, some may violate this will, and remain impenitent. That this is the fact, Paul teaches; "For it is impossible for those who were once enlightened" "if they shall fall away, to renew them again unto repentance." Therefore this will of God that they should repent, must be his command. This is so evidently the meaning of such passages, that M'Knight, who is generally very cautious in admitting any important truth, manifests on this subject an unusual degree of candour and even gives the word command instead of will in his translation of 1 Tim. 2: 4. "Who commandeth all men to be saved, and to come unto the knowledge of the truth." If it were not his precept but the determination of his soul, all men would be saved, and all would come to the knowledge of the truth. But God says, in Hosea 4: 6, "My people are destroyed for lack of knowledge;" and in John 5: 29, "they shall come forth, they that have done good unto the resurrection of life, and they that have done evil unto the resarrection of damnation." Since, therefore, some are damned and not saved; since some are destroyed for lack of knowledge instead of coming to the knowledge of the truth, God's will on this subject must be that of precept and not of purpose. The same doctrine is established by Rom. 9: 15, 18,

<sup>. 2</sup> Peter 5: 9.

<sup>† 2</sup> Pet. 2 : 12. ‡ 1 Cor. 1: 18. † Heb & ---

quoted against us, let the will of God in that passage be understood as it may. After saying that God hath " mercy on whom he will have mercy," the Apostle adds by way of con-

trast, " and whom he will, he hardeneth."

The only remaining text advanced against us under this head of argument, is Eph. 1: 9-11, where it is said, "that in the dispensation of the fulness of times, he might gather together in one, all things in Christ, both which are in heaven, and which are on earth, even in him." This gathcring of all things in Christ, is acknowledged to be according to God's will of purpose, as asserted by my opponent, and proved by the verses immediately preceding and following the 10th just now quoted. On this subject there is no dispute, and my opponent might have saved himself much unnecessary labour\* by passing it over as a thing admitted by both parties. The only question is, what is meant by this gathering of all things in Christ which is to take place in the dispensation of the fulness of times? My opponent has identified it with "the times of restitution of all things,"+ already explained in our 5th Universalist argument. If this be correct, and I believe it is, then this gathering of all things in Christ is that restoring, constituting, establishing, or settling of all things, which shall take place at the general judgment, when there shall be a restoring of "the kingdom to God, even the Father," and when he shall "put all enemies under his feet." Not only is the innumerable multitude of the redeemed called "all things," by the Apostle, but in this same chapter, he speaks of these enemies upon whom he tramples, by the same general description of "all things." Verses 22. 23. "And hath put all things under his feet, and gave him to be the Head over all things to the church, which is his body, the fulness of him that filleth all in all." Here are two very different classes of mankind, and each of them is called "all things." The first "all things" which our heavenly conqueror puts under his feet, we are informed by the same Apostle in I Cor. 15: 25, constitutes the body of his enemies. The second "all things" constitutes "the church which is his body;" "for we are members of his body, of his flesh and his bones," as the same epistle declares. I Now although we read of Christ gathering the members of the church invisible into his arms as the shepherd does the lambs,

<sup>•</sup> Minutes, pp. 292, 293.

<sup>†</sup> Minutes p. 293. \$ 5: \$0.

and of his placing them, in the great day of restitution, on his right hand, as sheep, we never once read of his putting them under his feet. The scriptures expressly inform us that this treatment is given to enemies, both by God and man.

The amount of this authority which has been cited against 58, when taken with its context, appears to be this; that it is God's will of purpose that when the trump of judgment shall sound, Christ's mystical body which was before divided, a part being in heaven and a part on the carth, shall be gathared in one, and that those who have not obtained that predestinated inheritance, mentioned in the 11th verse, shall according to the 22nd, be put under his feet. The argument then, from that class of texts which relates to the will of God. instead of proving Universal Salvation, proves only that the invisible church, the body of believers, shall be saved, while the synagogue of Satan, the enemies of the Divine Redeemer, shall be lost forever. The Apostle's saying, as in the 10th verse, that God will gather "all things" in Christ, is no better evidence for universal salvation, than his saying, as in verse 22nd, that he shall put "all things" under his feet, is proof of universal damnation. But as the scriptures are consistent and not contradictory, we may and ought to explain this to mean, as the Bible uniformly declares, that he that believeth shall be saved, and he that believeth not shall be damned.

## EIGHTH UNIVERSALIST ARGUMENT.

Christ's Prophetic Office.—When he speaks to his followers of his being with them, he says, in John 12: 35, "the light is with you;" that is, with the Jews: but in Acts 26: 18, he sends Paul to the Gentiles, "to open their eyes, and to turn them from darkness to light." In Luke 1: 79, he is said "to give light to them that sit in darkness and in the shadow of death;" and in Luke 2: 32, he is called "a light to lighten thy Gentiles and the glory of thy people Israel." Neither does this light shine in vain, but it dispels the darkness, and illuminates the world universally.\* It is said, in 1 John, 2: 8, "the darkness is past, and the true light now shineth." In Ephes. 1: 8, it is written, "ye were sometimes darkness, but now are ye light in the Lord." On this subject Mr. Ballout quotes Isa. 49: 6. "And he said, it is a light thing that thou shouldest be my servant to raise up the tribes.

<sup>\*</sup> Say the Universalists.

<sup>†</sup> On Atonemeut, p. 200.

o Jacob, and to restore the preserved of Israel; I will also give thee for a light to the Gentiles, that thou mayest be my salvation unto the ends of the earth." To the same amount, Mr. Murray repeatedly \* quotes Habb. 2: 14, "For the earth shall be filled with the knowledge of the glory of God, as the waters cover the sea." But none appears more pointed than John 1:9, "That was the true light which lighteth every man that cometh into the world."+ Compare this with the 4th verse, and you will see that this is a quickening, saving light. "In him was life, and the life was the light of men." In the text just quoted from Isaiah, this light is identified with salvation. In Acts 26: 18, we are taught that turning them from darkness to light, is the same as turning "them from the power of Satan unto God, that they may receive forgiveness of sins, and inheritance among them which are Banctified." Now let it be observed that in consequence of this saving light, the above authorities prove that "the darkness is past," that those who "were sometimes darkness," are now "light in the Lord;" that " the earth shall be filled with" this light, even "unto the ends of the earth;" embracing Jews and Gentiles, even "every man that cometh into the world." The amount of the evidence is this; - Christ saves all whom he enlightens; -- but he enlightens all universally;—Therefore all universally shall be saved.

A great portion of the plausibility of the above argument is owing to the texts being detached from their connection. Examine them as they are found in the Bible, and the illusion vanishes. It will then appear that the minor proposition of the above syllogism is unsupported in scripture. hardly necessary to mention that a more correct translation. probably, of John 1: 19 is "That was the true light, which coming into the world, enlighteneth every man." Certain it is, that his coming into the world is recognized in all the passages quoted, as the occasion of this extraordinary diffusion of light. Its being extraordinary at his advent, is itself a denial of its antecedent universality. At his coming, it is said "the darkness is past," but before he came "to turn them from darkness to light," the nations had sat for four thousand years "in darkness and the shadow of death;" and in this moral darkness, many millions had passed, without hope or comfort, "through the dark valley and shadow of

<sup>\*</sup>Universalism Vindicated, pp. 49, 75.

<sup>†</sup> John 6; 45, is not here noticed, because it was more convenient to give in the 8th argument the force of the word all on which the Universalist cause hangs.

death." When he told his followers, "The light is with you," as in the first text quoted in their favour, he let them know, that, as it had lately come, it would soon disappear, unless they profited by it. "Then Jesus said unto them, yet "a little while is the light with you: walk while ye have "the light, lest darkness come upon you: for he that walketh "in darkness, knoweth not whither he goeth. While ye have the light believe in the light, that ye may be children of "light." From this it would appear that even after the light has come, men are liable to walk in darkness and be children of darkness; and that this will be their character; unless they believe in the light. In the second authority quoted in their favour.+ Christ promises "to open their eyes, and to turn them from darkness to light, and from the power of "Satan to God, that they may receive forgiveness of sins, "and an inheritance among them which are sanctified by "faith that is in me." These last words deny the universality of this light, unless it can be shewn that all men living, or at least, all men dying, are sanctified by faith in Christ. The same is taught in the context of the passage from John.t "But as many as received him, to them gave he power to become the sons of God, even to them that believe on his name: which are born, not of blood, nor of the will of the flesh, nor of the will of man, but of God." But did they all believe on him? Did they all receive him? The context says, 5 "the light shineth in darkness, and the darknes comprehended it not." " He came unto his own, and his own received him not." Are those persons enlightened who hate their brother, I or who refuse to receive Christ and his Gospel? "To the law and to the tes-"timony: if they speak not according to this word, it is be-"cause there is no light in them." \*\* "In that day shall the deaf hear the words of the Book [precious book!] and the "eyes of the blind shall see out of obscurity, and out of dark-" ness."++ But this same prophet Isaiah, tt pronounces a woe upon some in his day, who, like my opponent, took "darkness for light, and light for darkness." To such our Saviour says, §§ " if therefore, the light that is in thee be darkness, how great is that darkness!" This rejection of the

‡‡ Isa. 5; 20.

John 12, 35, 36, \$\; Asts 26; 18, \$\; John 1; 12, 13, \$\; Verses 5, 11, \$\] 4 John 2; 9. \$\int Theo. 3; 90, \$\int Theo. 39; 18, \$\; Matt. 6; 23,

light, and evil-eyed perversion of the truth, he declares to be a subject of just condemnation, and a proper cause of eternal punishment. " And this is the condemnation, that light "is come into the world, and men loved darkness rather than "light, because their deeds were evil." "Then said the "King to the servant, bind him hand and foot, and take "him away, and cast him into outer darkness; there shall "be weeping and gnashing of teeth." + "Raging waves of the " sea, foaming out their own shame; wandering stars, to "whom is reserved the blackness of darkness forever." If all men universally are savingly enlightened, how comes it that some " are in darkness, even until now?" that some have "no light in them?" that there are some who take darkness for light? some whose very light is darkness, and great darkness? How comes it that there are some whose condemnation is, that they have "loved darkness rather than light?" and whose punishment is, that they are to be cast "into outer darkness." and into "the blackness of darkness FOREVER ?"

# NINTH UNIVERSALIST ARGUMENT.

Christ's Kingly Office. - Do not the Scriptures represent Christ as destroying the works of the Devil, and trampling all evil under his feet? "For this purpose, the Son of God "was manifested, that he might destroy the works of the "Devil." "He hath put all enemies under his feet. " last enemy that shall be destroyed is death. For he hath " put all things under his feet .. " " Behold I shew you a mys-"tery: we shall not all sleep, but we shall all be changed, " in a moment, in the twinkling of an eye, at the last trump: "for the trumpet shall sound, and the dead shall be raised "incorruptible, and we shall be changed. For this corrupti-"ble must put on incorruption, and this mortal must put on "immortality. So when this corruptible shall have put on in-"corruption, and this mortal shall have put on immortality, then shall be brought to pass the saying that is written, death "is swallowed up in victory. Oh death! where is thy sting? O "grave! where is thy victory? The sting of death is sin, and "the strength of sin is the law; but thanks be to God, who "giveth us the victory through our Lord Jesus Christ."\*\*

<sup>\*</sup> John 3; 19.

<sup>+</sup> Matt. 22; 18.

Jude 18. § 1 John, 2; 9. ¶ 1 John, 3; 8. ■ 1 Cor. 15; 25—27. 51—67.

Thou madest him to have dominion over the works of thy hands; thou hast put all things under his feet." "Thou hast put all things in subjection under his feet. For in that he put all in subjection under him, he left nothing that is not put under him. But now we see not yet all things

" put under him."

While he thus wages a war of extermination against sin of which he is not the author, does he not cease to contend with the souls which he has made? Mr. Winchester's text on the universality of God's creation, postponed from my fifth Universalist argument to this place, says, " For I will "not contend forever, neither will I be always wroth; for "the spirit should fail before me, and the souls which I have made."+ To this he might have added Ps. 86: 9, " All nations whom thou hast made shall come and worship before thee." Although he will not contend forever, he says? "I have loved thee with an everlasting love." As there is no end to his love, so "of the increase of his government and peace there shall be no end." In his days shall the "righteous flourish, and abundance of peace as long as the "moon endureth. He shall have dominion also from sea to " sea, and from the river unto the ends of the earth. They "that dwell in the wilderness shall bow before him, and his "enemies shall lick the dust." "All kings shall fall before him, all nations shall serve him." "He shall re-"deem their soul from deceit and violence, and precious "shall be their blood in his sight." "Men shall be blessed "in him: all nations shall call him blessed."

Are not the unlimited extent and the happy results of the Saviour's dominion plainly revealed in Rom. 8: 19—24? "For the earnest expectation of the creature waiteth for the "manifestation of the sons of God. For the creature was "made subject to vanity, not willingly, but by reason of him who hath subjected the same in hope; because the creature itself also shall be delivered from the bondage of corruption into the glorious liberty of the children of God. For we know that the whole creation groaneth and travaleth in pain together until now. And not only they but ourselves also, which have the first fruits of the Spirit, even we ourselves, groan within ourselves, waiting for the adoption to wit, the redemption of our body. For we are saved by hope: but hope that is seen is not hope: for

"what a man seeth, why doth he yet hope for?" On the same subject, is it not sung by the myriads on high, "And "every creature which is in Heaven, and on the earth, and "such as are in the sea, and all that are in them, heard I "saying, blessing and honour, and glory and power, be un"to him that sitteth upon the throne, and unto the Lamb "forever and ever."

In Hebr. 1: 2. he is declared to be "Heir of all things."

I shall give thee the heathen for thine inheritance."

He himself declares "all things are delivered unto me of my Father." "The Father loveth the Son, and hath given all "things into his hands." "Thou hast given him power over all flesh, that he should give eternal life to as many "as thou hast given him." "And this is the Father's will "which hath sent me, that of all which he hath given me, "I should lose nothing, but should raise it up again at the

" last day."

According to the tenor of this universal transfer to Christ it is written. "All the ends of the earth shall remember and "turn unto the Lord, and all the kindreds of the nations "shall worship before thee. For the kingdem is the Lord's, " and he is the Governor among the nations. All they that "be fat upon earth shall eat and worship: all they that "go down to the dust shall bow before him, and none can " keep alive his own soul." " And there was given him " dominion and glory, and a kingdom, that all people, na-"tions, and languages should serve him: his dominion is an " everlasting dominion, which shall not pass away, and his "kingdom that which shall not be destroyed." Look un-" to me and be ye saved, all the ends of the earth; ++ for I " am God, and there is none else. I have sworn by myself, "the word is gone out of my mouth in rightcousness, and " shall not return, that unto me every knee shall bow, every "tongue shall swear. Surely shall one say, in the Lord have " I righteousness and strength: even to him shall men come; " and all that are incensed against him shall be ashamed. "In the Lord shall all the seed of Israel be justified, and "shall glory." " Wherefore God also bath highly exalt-"ed him, and given him a name which is above every name:

<sup>\*</sup>Rev. 5; 18. † Ps. 2; 8. † Matt. 11; 27. Luke 10; 28. John \$; 26. 18; 8. 17; 2. 6: 39. TPs. 23; 27—29.

Dan. 7; 14.
†† See Argument 2d.
††Im. 45; 29—25.

Digitized by Google

"that at the name of Jesus, every knee should bow, of things in heaven, and things in earth, and things under the earth, "and that every tongue should confess that Jesus Christ is "Lord, to the glory of God the Father." "For the Father \* judgeth no man, but hath committed all judgment unto the "Son; that all men should honour the Son, even as they " honour the Father."

It is expressly declared that "God sent not his Son into "the world, to condemn the world, but that the world through "him might be saved." "I came not to judge the world, "but to save the world." "But Israel shall be saved in "the Lord with an everlasting salvation: ye shall not be "ashamed nor confounded world without end." "No wea-" pon that is formed against thee shall prosper; and every "tongue that shall rise against thee in judgment thou shalt This is the heritage of the servants of the Lord, "and their righteousness is of me, saith the Lord." \*\*

Although the number and aggravation of their offences may increase their necessities, can they hinder the mercy of God? "They that be whole need not a physician, but they "that are sick. But go ye and learn what that meaneth, I "will have mercy, and not sacrifice: for I am not come to "call the righteous, but sinners to repentance." ++ "This is "a faithful saying and worthy of all acceptation, that Christ "Jesus came into the world to save sinners, of whom I am "chief." "Who was before a blasphemer, and a persecuter, "and injurious; but I obtained mercy, because I did it igno-"rantly in unbelief."k "Come now, and let us reason to-"gether, saith the Lord: though your sins be as scarlet, they "shall be as white as snow; though they be red like crimson, "they shall be as wool."

In fact, the end of Christ's coming, and of the preaching of his gospel is to deliver men from sin and sorrow. "And thou "shalt call his name Jesus; for he shall save his people from "their sins."x "For the law of the spirit of life in Christ "Jesus, hath made me free from the law of sin and death." "The Lord hath sent me to preach good tidings unto the meek; " he hath sent me to bind up the broken hearted, to proclaim "liberty to the captives, and the opening of the prison to them "that are bound."q "Stand fast, therefore, in the liberty

<sup>\*</sup> Phil. 2: 9—11. ‡ John 8; 17. 12; 47.

<sup>•</sup> Isa. 54; 17. k 1 Tim. 1, 15, 13.

z Rom. 8. 2.

<sup>†</sup> John 5; \$9, 23. ¶ lsa. 45; 17. †† Matt. 9. 12, 13. x Matt. 1, 21. q Im. 61. 1.

wherewith Christ hath made us free, and be not entangled

"again with the yoke of bondage."\*

All being thus relieved from sin, they are from misery also. "And in this mountain shall the Lord of Hosts make unto "all people a feast of fat things, a feast of wines on the lees, of "fat things full of marrow, of wines on the lees well refined. "And he will destroy in this mountain the face of the cov"ering cast over all people, and the vail that is spread over "all nations. He will swallow up death in victory; and the "Lord God will wipe away tears from off all faces; and the "rebuke of his people shall be taken away from off all the "earth; for the Lord hath spoken it." + "And God shall "wipe away all tears from their eyes; and there shall be in "more death, neither sorrow nor crying, neither shall their "be any more pain: for the former things are past away." \tag{The More of the former things are past

To the foregoing authorities which one would suppose universal enough, we add the following; which, as well as those already recited, are found scattered among the rubbish of my opponent and other Universalist authors, some in one and some in another, but in none of them brought to a focus, and presented in that perfection of perversion of which they are

Busceptible.

Our Saviour, in prospect of his crucifixion, says "And I, if "I be lifted up from the earth, will draw all men unto me." The Patriarch Jacob said, "unto him shall the gathering of . "the people be." "That he might gather together in one "all things in Christ."++ "Who shall change our vile body, "that it may be fashioned like unto his glorious body, accord-"ing to the working whereby he is able even to subdue all "things unto himself." ## "For it pleased the Father that in "him should all fulness dwell. And (having made peace "through the blood of his cross,) by him to reconcile all things "to himself; by him, I say, whether they be things in earth "or things in heaven." \"Thus saith the Lord God; I will "also take of the highest branch of the high cedar, and will "set it; I will crop off from the top of his young twigs a ten-"der one, and will plant it upon an high mountain and emi-" nent: in the mountain of the height of Israel will I plant it: "and it shall bring forth boughs, and bear fruit, and be a "goodly cedar; and under it shall dwell all fowl of every wing; " in the shadow of the branches thereof shall they dwell. And

† Isa. 25. 6-8.

Gal. 5. 1.

† Rev. 21. 4.

Gen. 49. 10.

† Phil. 3. 21.

<sup>¶</sup> John 12: 32. .†† Eph. 1. 10. § Cell. 1. 19, 20.

"all the trees of the field shall know that I the Lord have "brought down the high tree, have dried up the green tree, "and have made the dry tree to flourish." "He that des-"cended is the same also that ascended up far above all "heavens, that he might fill all things."+ "For God hath "concluded them all in unbelief, that he might have mercy "upon all." + "And the scripture foreseeing that God would "justify the heathen through faith, preached before the gos-"pel unto Abraham, saying, in thee shall all nations be bles-"sed." "Now to Abraham and his seed were the promises "made. He saith not and to seeds, as of many; but as of one, "and to thy seed, which is Christ." \ "And I will bless "them that bless thee, and curse him that curseth thee: and " in thee shall all families of the earth be biessed." " And in "thy seed shall all the nations of the earth be blessed, because "thou hast obeyed my voice." "

Thus wett see that Christ came to destroy the works of the devil, so that death itself shall be swallowed up in victory. He came to put all evil under his feet. He came not to condemn the world but to save it; not to call the righteous but sinners, even the chief of sinners. He came to put an end to sins, and their consequent sorrows. He came to show mercy to all; to draw, gather, and subdue all; to change, tt reconcile, and protect all; to fill, justify and bless all. shall be no end to the increase of his government. He delivers the whole ereation from corruption. Every creature in heaven and in the earth, and under the earth, all the ends of the earth, all kindreds of mankind, all fowls of every wing, all the trees of the field, all people, nations, languages, and tongues shall confess and praise, worship, bow, and kneel before him, and honor him as they honour the Father. cease to contend forever, but will exercise an everlasting love; so that men shall not be ashamed nor confounded world with-He bestows righteousness and strength, glory and out end. salvation, on all followers, servants, or children whom he claims, and whom the Father has given him; ---- But the Father has given him, and he claims for his followers, all men universally; Therefore all men universally shall be saved.

In answer to the argument just given, it may be observed that the major proposition of the syllogism with which it

<sup>\*</sup>Ez. 17. 22—24. † Eph. 4. 70.

‡ Rom. 11. 32. \$ Gal. 3. 8, 16.

# Gen. 12. 3, 22. 18. †† The Universalists.

‡† This changing relates to the bodies of God's people.

closes, is so entirely acceptable to the most rigidly Orthodox, that it has the appearance of being manufactured to suit their taste. This is a mistake. My opponent often declares that Christ came not to save men in their sins, but from their sins; they must therefore be followers, servants and children: and Mr. Ballou actually quotes at large,\* the passage from Isaiah given in the foregoing argument, in which it is written, "surely shall one say, in the Lord have I righteousness and "strength." "In the Lord shall all the seed of Israel be "justified and shall glory."

Equal justice is done to them in the statement of the minor proposition, about which we differ. In order for them to support this, and thus legitimate the conclusion, it will not suffice for them to prove that Christ is King of nations or the King of the universe; this is admitted: but they must prove that all men universally are his willing subjects, his worthy followers. If, instead of this, it should be found that some of their authorities only prove Christ's universal dominion over friends and foes, saved and lost; and that others prove only a part of mankind, such as those who have their righteousness and strength in the Lord, to be given to him and claimed by him as his followers, the conclusion falls.

There is much stress laid upon Rom. 8: 19-24, in which the word creature or creation (an extensive word) is used four times. It is said that this whole creation was involuntarily subjected to vanity, and that it "groaneth and travaileth in pain together until now:" but that, "in hope," it "waiteth for the manifestation of the sons of God;" and "shall be delivered from the bondage of corruption into the glorious liberty of the children of God." It is here declared that the whole creation sympathizes with man in the corruption, toil and pain consequent upon the fall, and shall participate with the children of God in their glorious emancipation. As the whole human race fell in Adam, and as the Universalists say that the whole human race shall be children of God, therefore they say that the whole creation here means the whole human race universally, and exclusive of every other sort of creature. Their system depends upon this unauthorized interpretation. So far from its being used for all men to the exclusion of the inferior creation, in the only two instances, in which it occurs in the Septuagint, tit means irrational creatures to the exclusion of the human race. That the Apostle Paul did not

<sup>\*</sup> On Atonement p. 214. ? 2 Chr. 14, 15. Ezr. 8, 21.

<sup>4 20 100</sup> 

intend it as synonimous with the children of God is evident from the express distinction which he makes between them and the whole creation, in the passage under consideration. After telling us in the 22d verse, that the whole creation groaneth and travaileth, he says in the 25d, "and not only they, but ourselves also, which have the first fruits of the Spirit, even we ourselves groan within ourselves." That he did not use the whole creation to embrace every child of Adam, is plain from Col. 1: 25; where he declares that even in his day, the gospel " was preached in the whole creation." In this place, and in Romans 8th, it appears to signify the whole earth: that earth which, according to Gen. 3: 17. participated in the curse pronounced upon fallen man; that earth. which, with all its irrational appendages animate and inanimate, yet groans and travails in pain under the abuse which men have made of it: that earth which is destined to be delivered from this abuse, and to rejoice, with its hills and forests\* herds and flocks, in the liberty of the children of God.

Long before this deliverance, it is said, in Rev. 5: 13, that "every creature which is in Heaven, and on the earth, and under the earth, and such as are in the sea, and all that are in them, heard I saying, blessing and honour and glory and power, be unto him that sitteth upon the throne, and unto the Lamb forever and ever." By examining the context it will be seen that this took place before the opening of the Apocalyptic seals; that is, many hundreds of years ago. cannot, therefore, mean that every descendant of Adam thus praised God, because many were not yet born. Neither can it mean that all then living praised him as the children of God, because the mass of mankind were then, as they are yet, enemies to God. But it was true then, that " the heavens declare the glory of God,"+ and it is yet true that "surely the wrath of man shall praise thee: the remainder of wrath shalt thou restrain."

In the same way that universal homage which is expressed in many of the above texts, is explained by an inspired writer. Besides other passages of the Old and New Testaments, the Apostle Paul is quoted as saying! "that in the name of Jesus, every knee should bow, of things in heaven, and things in earth, and things under the earth, and that every tongue should confess that Jesus Christ is Lord, to the glory of God the Father." The same Apostle has clearly shewn that such declarations do not contain the doctrine of universal salva-

<sup>\*</sup>Lea. 55, 12. +Pa. 19. 1.

<sup>‡</sup> Phil. 2: 10, 11.

tion, but that of a general judgment, after which he assures us that some shall be punished with everlasting destruction. The passage is in Rom. 14: 10-12. "But why dost thou "judge thy brother? or why dost thou set at nought thy bro-"ther? for we shall all stand before the judgment seat of "Christ. For it is written, as I live saith the Lord, every "knee shall bow to me, and every tongue shall confess to "God. So then, every one of us shall give account, of him-"self to God." It would be strange indeed, for the Apostle to preach universal salvation as a motive to deter a mortal from judging his brother! Instead of this he reminds him that every tongue thus judging, must confess before the judgment-seat of Christ, and every stiff knee must bow there, however reluctantly. And let it be remembered that the Judge himself has already informed us of the result of this awful account. "Then shall he say also, unto them on the left hand, "depart from me, ye accursed into everlasting fire, prepared "for the devil and his angels."\*

It has already been shewn in the refutation of the 7th argument, that Christ's gathering all things related to this same great consummation. In the context+ it was shewn that at that period, he should put all things, that is, his enemies, under his feet. It was there said, that the scriptures affirm that this treatment is given to enemies, both by God and man.— When Joshua had conquered the five Kings of Canaan, he ordered his followers to bring them out of the cave in which they had taken refuge. " And they did so, and brought forth "those five Kings unto him, out of the cave, the King of Je-"rusalem, the King of Hebron, the King of Jarmuth, the "King of Lachish, and the King of Eglon. And it came to " pass, when they brought out those Kings unto Joshua, that "Joshua called for all the men of Israel, and said unto the "captains of the men of war which went with him. Come " near, put your feet upon the necks of these Kings. And "they came near and put their feet upon the necks of them. "And Joshua said unto them, fear not, nor be dismayed, be " strong and of good courage: for thus shall the Lord do to all "your enemies against whom ye fight." He does not say that God will put put his feet upon their sins, but upon their necks; and that not as children, but as enemies. How utterly preposterous, then, to say, that when he speaks of putting all things and all enemies under the feet of Christ, and of his

<sup>\*</sup>Matt. 25. 41.

<sup>†</sup> Eph. 1, 10. 28. 23.

<sup>#</sup> Joshua 10th.

destroying, subduing, and subjecting them, he means saving them!!

Those passages are of a different description, which represent Christ as not coming to condemn the world, but to save the world; not contending with men but shewing mercy to them; drawing, reconciling, shadowing, filling, justifying, and blessing them. And here it may not be amiss to notice a very few of the apparent inconsistencies of my opponent and other Universalist polemics. Although they dispute the inspiration of the Apocalypse, yet if Rev. 5: 13, will supply matter for declamation or sophistry it is used as good evidence, by my opponent, \* or Mr. Ballou, † or any other. Although they profess to believe parables mere falsehoods, yet as Ezek. 17: 22-4, speaks of "all fowl of every wing." and "all the trees of the field," coming under the shadow of the "goodly cedar," this must be true, while they can see nothing but mere fiction in the torment of the rich man and others whose hearts are as a cage of unclean birds; or in casting out of the garden and into the fire, those fruitless trees which cumber the ground. My opponent denies the Divinity of the Son of God, and of course refuses to honour him as he pretends to honour the Father; yet to serve a turn, he blushes not to quote John 5: 23, which declares "that all men should honour the Son even as they honour the Father." Universalists deny that everlasting and forever denote an interminable duration; yet if God is said not to contend forever; t if he is said to exercise an everlasting love, s to bestow an everlasting joy, s or an everlasting salvation.\*\* they receive the words in their unlimited sense, without thinking any criticism necessary to prove it. inconsistent are they, that for the sake of general words and phrases which may easily be perverted when detached from their connection, they will quote passages which contain the despised but essential doctrine of justification by faith, and even the destruction of the wicked.

In that class of texts now under review, these general expressions are the reliance of the Universalists. When Christ is represented as drawing and reconciling, shadowing and filling, justifying, blessing, and saving every creature, all men, all kindreds and nations, languages and tongues, they insist upon it, without one particle of proof,

Minutes, p. 124.

<sup>#</sup>Im. 57; 16.

<sup>¶</sup> Im. 35. 10.

<sup>†</sup> On Atonement, p. 209.

<sup>4</sup> Jer. 31, 3.

that all and every must be understood universally, and as embracing each individual of the human race, from the creation of Adam to the consummation of all things. When the absurdity of this interpretation is shewn by a concise appeal to general usage, and to the terms and connexion of the authorities containing these expressions, this 9th argument shall be considered as answered.

Although a certain acquaintance of the Apostle John was known to but few of the human race, and hated and slandered by many of those who knew him, John says,\* " Demetrious hath good report of all men." The Apostles knew comparatively few, and of them a goodly little number loved them. Yet our Saviour says to them+" Ye shall be hated of all men." " ve shall be hated of all nations for my name's Bake." It is required that "supplications, prayers, intercessions and giving of thanks be made for all men." Yet it is said. "There is a sin unto death; I do not say that he shall pray for it." The Universalist explanation of Paul's aphorism, " all things are lawful," would legalize even the sin against the Holy Ghost. In the universal sense, it is neither right nor possible for any one, wise or simple to believe all things or every word. Yet Paul tells us finat " charity believeth all things," and Solomon says\*\* "The simple believeth every word." It is neither right nor possible for any one to please every child of Adam universally in any thing: yet Paul says++ "I please all men in all things." God told Pault1 that he should be Christ's " witness unto all men." Paul called the Corinthiansa his epistle "known and read of all men." When he said & that he preached Christ, "warning every man, and teaching every man in all wisdom," did he mean that he was infinitely wise, and that he imparted this wisdom to every individual of the human family? Did our Saviour make this the duty of any of the Apostles, when he sent them to "teach all nations," and to "preach the gospel to every creature?" ¶¶ What did he mean, when he said "this gospel of the kingdom shall be preached in all this world, for a witness unto all nations?" or what did Paul mean, when he told the Collosians\* that, in his day, the gospel " was preached to every creature which is under heaven." and "in all the world?"



<sup>\*3</sup> John 12. 1 Tim. 2; 1. ¶ 1 Cor. 13: 7. †† 1 Cor. 10: 33. § Col. 1: 23. 1: \$3. €.

<sup>†</sup>Matt. 10; 22. 24; 9.] § 1 John 5; 16. • • Prov. 14; 15. ‡‡ Acts 22; 15. ¶¶ Matt. 28; 19. Mk. 16; 15. & 2 Cor. 3; 2.

It was told to Christ, "all men seek for thee." The Scripture 1 says that many followed our Saviour "out of all cities;" that he "looked round about upon all things," healing every sickness, and every disease among the people: "s that even in the Apostolic day, "he maketh manifest the savour of his knowledge by us in every place;" that he was "glorified of all;" hand that in the first century "all men glorified God." n

Paul says\* that God, "in times past, suffered all nations "to walk in their own ways:" did he suffer all individuals so to walk? John says, + that a certain beast "causeth all, "both small and great, rich and poor, free and bond, to "receive a mark in their right hand, or in their foreheads." Was this intended to be understood universally of all ages. or even of any particular age? God says, concerning Mystical Babylon "by thy sorceries were all nations deceived;" that "all nations have drunk of the wine of the wrath of her "fornication;" " she made all nations drink of the wine of "the wrath of her fornication." He says that power was given to the Beast "to make war with the saints and to overcome them: and power was given him over all kindreds and "tongues and nations." "And the kings of the earth, and " the great men, and the rich men, and the chief cap-"tains, and the mighty men, and every bond man, and every "free man, hid themselves in the dens and in the rocks of "the mountains." || Concerning our Saviour's second coming. he says. "And then shal! ali the tribes of the earth " mourn." Behold he cometh with clouds; and every eye "shall see him, and they also which pierced him: and all kindreds of the earth shall wail because of him." Here also, as well as in the prophet Ezekiel, we have some mention of what my opponents would, in this case, call parabo-"And I saw an angel standing in the sun; and lical fowls. "he cried with a loud voice, saying to all the fowls that fly in "the midst of heaven, come and gather yourselves together " unto the supper of the Great God; that ye may eat the flesh "-of kings, and the flesh of captains, and the flesh of mighty

```
a Mk. 1; 37.
1 Mk. 6. 33.
g Matt. 9. 35.
h Luke 4. 15.
a Acts 14. 16.
t Rev. 13. 16.
d Rev. 18. 23, 3. 14° 8.
g Rev. 13; 7. 6° 15.
```

k Mk. 11. 11. b 2 Cor. 2· 14. n Acts 4. 21.

q Matt. 24; 50. ¶ Rev. 1. 7.

"men, and the flesh of horses, and of them that sit on them, " and the flesh of all men, both free and bond, both small and Well might Peter say, "the end of all things is at "great."x "hand."z

Suppose for a moment that the word all or every must be interpreted in an universal sense. Then the above texts would prove that the Apostles pleased all men, and yet were hated of all; that they believed all things, and thought all things lawful, and yet preached to all men universally, that gospel which rejects every thing inconsistent with truth and They prove that our Saviour, while on earth, righteousness. was sought by all men universally, from all cities universally, and that, with his bodily eyes, he looked around upon all the human race of every age of the world, cured all their diseases universally, and diffused among them all universally "the "savour of his knowledge," so that all universally, whether in heaven, earth or hell, dead, living, or yet unborn, did, at that time, give glory to Christ and to God. Notwithstanding this, the above passages prove, according to this Universalist mode of interpretation, that all men universally walk in their own ways; that they receive the mark of the Beast; that they are deceived and made drunk by him, and brought under his power; that all men universally shall hide "themselves in the dens and in the rocks of the mountains;" that all universally shall mourn and wail and finally be eaten up by "all the fowls that fly in the midst of heaven:" and after Paul's man who "believeth that he may eat all things,"q universally, has eaten all these fowls universally, then nothing will remain but to annihilate him, and Peter's end of all things" universally shall have come to pass.

Universalists themselves see that in each of the above passages, and very many others which might be mentioned, these general expressions are used in a limited signification So it is with the same expressions in that class of texts now under consideration. This will appear in the case of Gen. 12: 3, by simply quoting the whole verse. "And I will " bless them that bless thee, and curse him that curseth thee: "and in thee shall all families of the earth be blessed." Now it is very well known that Balak the son of Zipporg is only one of many millions who curse the people of God. fore Balak and many others shall be cursed of God, and must

z 1 Pet. 4. 7.



x Rev. 19. 17, 18.

q Rom: 14. 2. g Num. 22. 6.

be exceptions to the general promise given in the same verse, that all the families of the earth shall be blessed in Christ. Although in Ez. 17: 23, God promises that the goodly Cedar shall protect "all fowl of every wing;" yet in the next verse he promises that all the trees of the field shall know that he has "brought down the high tree" and "dried up the green tree," as well as "exalted the low tree" and "made the dry tree to flourish." These that are brought down and dried up, must therefore be exceptions to the general pro-

mise made in the preceding verse.

When Christ is said to "fill al! things," it means that he will fill the church of believers with all necessary gospel officers and ordinances. He is " the Head over all things " to the church, which is his body, the fulness of him that filleth all in all." When in Eph. 4: 10, we are told that he fills all things the Apostle adds immediately, "and he gave some, Apostles; and some, prophets, and some, evangelists; and some, pastors and teachers; for the perfecting of the saints, for the work of the ministry, for the edifying of the body of Christ: till we all come in the unity of the faith, [and not in unbelief,] and of the knowledge of the Son of God, unto a perfect man, unto the measure of the stature of the fulness of Christ." Is there not a great difference between saying that Christ fills his church with all necessary ecclesiastical and spiritual supplies, for their edification in saving faith and knowledge, on the one hand; and saying on the other hand, as the Universalists pretend, that he fills with salvation hereafter all those who live and die without this knowledge and faith?

In Col. 1: 23, the Apostle informs us that if those to whom he wrote belonged to the all things which God reconciled to himself by Christ Jesus, as in verses 19, 20, then they would prove it by their faith and perseverance: "If ye continue in "the faith, grounded and settled, and be not moved away from the hope of the gospel, which ye have heard, and "which was preached to every creature which is under "heaven." The writer did not mean here that every creature universally had heard or believed the gospel: neither did he mean that all men universally were reconciled to God, but those only who continued in the faith, rooted and grounded. In Rom. 11: 15, the same Apostle is so far from attributing universality to this reconciliation, that he informs us that the reconciliation of the Gentile world was effected by

a Eph. 1. 93, 93.

b That is, the body of Christ.

the casting away of the Jews. "For if the casting away of "them be the reconciling of the world, what shall the re-" ceiving of them be but life from the dead?" As in this passage, the world, a general word, is used to distinguish the Gentiles from the Jews, so in the 32nd verse, the word all is used to embrace both Jews and Gentiles generally, though not universally. "For God hath concluded them all in "unbelief, that he might have mercy upon all." This appears from the fact that throughout the chapter, and particularly in the two preceding verses, faith and mercy, unbelief and rejection are used as interchangeable terms; from which we are left to understand, that God will "have mercy upon all" believers, whether Jews or Gentiles, and cast away all unbelievers, whether they be branches of the olive-tree or the wild olive-tree. Certain it is that the Apostle Jamesb did not think that God would "have mercy upon all" indiscriminately, but only upon those who shew mercy to others. "For he shall have judgment without mercy, that hath "shewed no mercy." And notwithstanding the doubts of some, these Apostles, Paul and James, spoke the same thing, and by the same Spirit, both on justification and condemnation.

But Mr. Ballou c seems to think that he can admit this much, and yet prove universal salvation, by shewing that all universally shall be blessed with faith. For this purpose, after quoting the promise that in Christ "shall all the nations of the earth be blessed," he informs us unequivocally that this blessing is justification through faith, and refers to Gal. 3; 8, for his proof. "And the Scripture foreseeing that "God would justify the heathen through faith, preached be-"fore the Gospel unto Abraham, saying, in thee shall all "nations be blessed." But suppose that he does justify all the heathen through faith; is this saying that he will justify all men universally through faith? What will he do with the Jewish branches of the olive tree, concerning which the same Apostle says d" because of unbelief, they were broken off?" And it is a matter of historical evidence that millions of them have died in this unbelief. But observe that the promise that God "would justify the heathen through faith," never was intended to take effect to any great extent, until the Christian dispensation; before which time, millions of the Gentiles had died in unbelief. Mr. Ballou, instead of

b 2; 18. d Rom. 11. 20.

c On Atonement, p. 195.

claiming for himself and the rest of the heathen, the honor of being Abraham's seed, and heirs according to the promise, ought to remember that our Saviour said to such vain pretenders; "If ye were Abraham's children, ye would do the works of Abraham."e That many perform not the works of Abraham in this life is too plain from Scripture and daily observation; and it is declared upon infallible authority, that "there is no work, nor device, nor knowledge, nor wisdom, in the grave whither thou goest." If therefore, salvation depends upon Abraham's faith and work, and if there , are some who neither believe nor work here nor hereafter, there are some who are lost in this world and theworld to come. When my opponent reminds us that our Saviour came not to condemn the world, but to save the world, it would be well to recollect also that he has said, and has commanded his servants to say, "he that believeth and is baptised shall be saved, but he that believeth not shall be damned."f

In those very passages which speak in direct terms, of the Father giving all things to the Son, the context proves that all men were not given to him as his followers, neither does be claim them as such. In Luke 10: 22, he says "all things are delivered to me of my Father." But no farther back than the preceding verse, he had thanked that Father, that in his sovereignty, he had hidden the things connected with salvation, from the wise and prudent, and revealed them unto babes: g In John 3: 35, he says, "the Father loveth the Son, and hath given all things into his hand." But no farther on than the next verse he says, "He that believeth on "the Son hath everlasting life: and he that believeth not "the Son shall not see life; but the wrath of God abideth "on him." In John 17: 2, he says, "Thou hast given him "power over all flesh that he should give eternal life to as "many as thou hast given him." Yet in the next verse he tells us that this eternal life is connected with that eaving knowledge, for the lack of which an inspired prophet h tells us that many are destroyed. In verses 6-9, we are expressly taught that this knowledge is manifested not to the world universally, but to those whom the Father had given him out of the world; and by them it was believingly received.

To prove that Christ does not lose one of the human race which they say is universally given to him, they quote John 6: 39: "And this is the Father's will which hath sent me,

e John 8. 39, g See also Matt. 11; 25. 27.

f Mk. 16. 16. h Hosea. 4: 6.

"that of all which he hath given me, I should lose nothing. "but should raise it up again at the last day." But the very next verse proves that none but believers are raised to everlasting life. "And this is the will of him that sent "me, that every one which seeth the Son, and be-"lieveth on him, may have evertasting life: and I will raise "him up at the last day." To shew that all men were not possessed of this faith, through which some receive eternal life. our Saviour says, in the 64th verse, "But there are "some of you that believe not. For Jesus knew from the "beginning, who they were that believed not and who should "betray him." The Universalist position which we are now discussing, says that "the Father has given him, and he claims for his followers, all men universally." the 66th verse of this same 6th chapter of John, says, From that time many of his disciples went back, and walked no more with him." Here then are some who, after having been his professed followers, became offended at his heavenly doctrine: tollowed him no longer: and thus fell short of that eternal life which is connected with faith.

The only remaining authority under this head is John 13: 3. in which Jesus is represented as "knowing that the Father had given all things into his hands." But to his own family he says in the 10th verse, "ye are clean but not all." Now without holiness no man shall see the Lord. In John 6: 64, just now quoted, it is intimated that Judas the traitor was one of those who "believed not:" he therefore was this unclean person. In the verse immediately preceding the authority now in hand, and in a subsequent verse of the same chapter, \*it is said that the devil put it "into the heart of Judas Iscariot, Simon's son, to betray" Christ: and that for this purpose, "Satan entered into him." As one cannot serve two masters, and Judas had undertaken the service of Satan, and was therefore an unclean unbeliever. he was not a follower of Christ. But in the 35th verse, our Saviour announces a rule which evidently leaves many others in the same disgrace. "By this shall all men know that ye are my disciples, if ye love one another."

Let it be remembered that the argument under discussion is as follows;—Christ saves all his followers whom the Father has given him;—But the Father has given him all men universally as his followers;—Therefore all men universally shall be saved. After an examination of the au-

<sup>\*</sup> John 13: 2, 27.

thorities advanced in support of the minor proposition of this syllogism, it appears from the context and the whole tenor of God's word, that the Father has not given to Christ as his followers, all men universally, but only those who repent, and believe, obey and love. The argument therefore falls to the ground.

#### TENTH UNIVERSALIST ARGUMENT.

Christ's Priestly Office. Do not the scriptures give us frequent assurances that the High Priest of our profession atones and intercedes for all men? In 1 Tim. 2: 1. he requires us to pray for all men: Will he then pray for a part only? No wonder that he would exercise this function of his priestly office in behalf of all, when he gave his life for all. In 1 Cor. 15: S, it is said that " Christ died for our sins according to the scriptures." Now when we refer to these scriptures as in Dan. 9: 24, do we not find that instead of some remaining under their sins forever, the atonement makes an end of all sins? "Seventy weeks are determined upon "thy people and upon thy holy city, to finish the transgression, and to make an end of sins, and to make reconciliation "for iniquity, and to bring in everlasting righteousness, and "to seal up the vision and prophecy, and to anoint the most "Holv." " Thou shalt call his name Jesus, for he shall save "his people from their sins." "He shall redeem their souls from deceit and violence:" That is, from sin and punishment. "But he was wounded for our transgressions, he was "bruised for our iniquities: the chastisement of our peace "was upon him, and with his stripes we are healed. All "we like sheep have gone astray; we have turned every one \*to his own way; and the Lord hath laid on him the ini-"quity of us all. He shall see of the travail of his soul and " shall be satisfied: by his knowledge shall my righteous ser-"vant justify many; for he shall bear their iniquities." "For when we were yet without strength, in due time Christ "died for the ungodly." For Christ also hath once suf-ered for sins, the just for the unjust, that he might bring "as to God." "Who died for us that whether we wake or. " sleep, we should live together with him." " God is no respecter of persons."b "For therefore we both labour and \*suffer reproach because we trust in the living God, who is The Saviour of all men; especially of those that believe.".

Matt. 1: 21. § Rom. 5: 6. B Acts. 10 ; 38.

<sup>†</sup>Ps. 72: 14. ¶ 1 Pet. 3; 18, e 1Tim. 4; 10.

"For the love of Christ constraineth us; because we thus "judge, that if one died for all, then were all dead: and that "he died for all, that they which live should not henceforth "live unto themselves, but unto him which died for them and "rose again." "The Father sent the Son to be the Sa-"viour of the world."+ "For God so loved the world that "he gave his only begotten Son, that whosoever believeth in "him should not perish but have everlasting life. For God "sent not his Son into the world to condemn the world, but "that the world through him might be saved." "t " Behold "the Lamb of God which taketh away the sins of the world." "And he is the propitiation for our sins: and not for ours "only, but also for the sins of the whole world." \" "grace of God that bringeth salvation hath appeared unto "all men." "But we see Jesus who was made a little low-"er than the angels for the suffering of death, crowned with "glory and honor, that he by the grace of God, should taste " death for every man." "Who gave himself a ransom for "all, to be testified in due time." He "delivered him up "for us all." "For as in Adam all die, even so in Christ "shall all be made alive." "But not as the offence, so also "is the free gift. For if through the offence of one many "be dead, much more the grace of God, and the gift by "grace, which is by one man, Jesus Christ, hath aboun-"ded unto many. And not as it was by one that sinned, so is the gift; for the judgment was by one to condemnation. "but the free gift is of many offences unto justification. "For if by one man's offence death reigned by one, much "more they which receive abundance of grace and of the "gift of righteousness, shall reign in life by one, Jesus Therefore as by the offence of one, judgment " came upon all men to condemnation, even so by the righ-"teousness of one, the free gift came upon all men unto jus-"tification of life. For as by one man's disobedience many " were made sinners, so by the obedience of one shall many "be made righteous.-Moreover the law entered that the " offence might abound: but where sin abounded grace did "much more abound; that as sin hath reigned unto death, "even so might grace reign through righteousness, unto "eternal life by Jesus Christ our Lord."f

<sup>\* 2</sup> Cor. 5; 14, 15. 6 John 1; 29. b Heb. 2; 9.

e 1 Cor. 15; 22.

<sup>† 1</sup> John 4; 14. ¶ 1 John 2; 2.

c 1 Tim. 2; 6. f Rom. 5; 15-21.

<sup>‡</sup> John 3; 16, 17. a Tit. 2; 11. d Rom. 8. 32.

Do not these passages prove that Christ, in his Atonoment, takes away our sins and makes an end of them? that he redeems the soul from deceit and violence, so as to give us peace for his chastisement, and healing by his stripes? Is it not declared that through his condemnation and death, he procures for us, justification and eternal life? And is it not affirmed by great strength and variety of expression, that he is a ransom for all, the Saviour of every man, of the world, and of the whole world? And as he spared not his life can he withhold his intercessory prayers? especially as he commands us to pray for all? May we not therefore reason that—Christ saves all for whom he dies;—He dies for all men universally;—Therefore all men universally shall be saved?

On this subject Mr. Ballou reasons as follows, viz. "God would not have given his Son a ransom for all, if it were on not his will that all should be saved; and if it be God's will, "it ought to be ours, therefore it is right to pray for all. " the ransom were paid for all, it argues, that it was the intention of the Ransomer, that all should be benefited. What would have been the astonishment of the world after the "immortal Washington had caused to be paid a ransom for all "the American prisoners who were in Algerine slavery, if "he had told the Dey that he did not want more than one "quarter of those captives sent home to the land of liberty "and to the enjoyment of their families, for which they had "so long sighed in bondage; and that he might wear out the "rest with fatigue and whips? But the good man's soul was " never satisfied until they all came home, and with songs of "joyous liberty hailed the land of their nativity! And bles-"sed be the Captain of our salvation; he, also, shall see of the "travail of his soul and be satisfied, when all the ransomed of "the Lord shall return and come to Zion, with songs and everlasting joys upon their heads, when they shall obtain "joy and gladness, and sorrow and sighing shall flee away."\* My opponent also quotes Isa. 53: 11. "He shall see of "the travail of his soul and be satisfied." He then speaks as follows, viz. "I think there is no christian but will agree with me that this is spoken in relation to the salvation by "Jesus Christ. Then I ask will the Messiah, Christ, the "Son of God, be satisfied with coming short of what he came a into the world to do? And for what did the Redeemer's

<sup>\*</sup> Bellou on Atsaement, p. 205.

"soul travail? What did he come into the world to do? His soul travailed for the spiritual birth of the world. He "came into the world to save it. If, then, this is not done, will he be satisfied? But 'he shall see of the travail of his "soul'. The word, travail, here means labour, sorrow and "trouble; and Jesus was a man of sorrows, and acquainted with grief. I dare say there are many in this assembly "who know the full meaning of the metaphor without far-"ther explanation; and to bring the matter home to them, "may I not be permitted to apply it in a natural sense, and "modestly ask this question, is it possible that any thing "short of the birth or deliverance of all for whom the soul "travaileth should give satisfaction? If two or more were " the cause of the labour, would such a soul be satisfied with "the birth of one? Certainly not. Just so it is, in relation "to the travail of the Redeemer's soul: and if this travail, "this labour, toil, and bloody sweat be undertaken for all amankind, — and who can say it was not, — must not "all men be born again, and consequently be saved, before "the Redeemer's soul is satisfied? Yes, my hearers, for he "never can be satisfied with any thing short of it."a

A disciple of Winchester, might strengthen the argument

by the following view of the passages already quoted.

1. They prove the incompetency of man to his own salva-In 2 Cor. 15: 14, it is said that "if one died for all, then were all dead." In Rom. 5: 6, it is said that "when we were yet without strength, Christ died for the ungodly." Ungodly sinners who are all dead, and without strength, cannot save themselves.

2. They prove the design of Christ in suffering, and the design of the Father in sending him. He came to "save his people from their sins;"-"that he might bring us to God;"—" that whether we wake or sleep, we should live together with him;"-" The Father sent the Son to be the Saviour of the world;"-" that the world through him might be saved."

3. They prove that Christ actually tasted death as a substitute for sinners; for substitution is the force of the word huper, b for, in several of the passages adduced. He tasted "death, huper, for every man;" that is, as the substitute of every man. "For Christ also hath once suffered for sins, the just, huper, for the unjust;d that is, as the substitute of the

b ûme d 1. Pet. 3: 18.

a Minutes, pp. 290, 291. o Hebr. 2, 9.

"For when we were without strength, in due time, Christ died, huper, for the ungodly. For scarcely, kuper, for a righteous man will one die; yet peradventure, huper, for a good man some would even dare to die; but God commendeth his love toward us, in that while we were yet sinners, Christ died, huper, for us."a On this last verse Doddridge, who is by no means a Universalist, quotes a note of Raphelius from Zenophon, after which he remarks that Raphelius "has abundantly demonstrated that huper hemon apethaneb signifies he died in our room and stead: nor can I find that apothanein huper tinos has ever any other signification than rescoing the life of another, at the expense of our own; and the very next verse, (i. e. verse 7.) shews, independently of any other authority, how evidently it bears that sense here, as one can hardly imagine how any one would die for a good man, unless it were to redeem his life by giving up his own."

In 1 Tim. 2: 6, the word rendered ransom, contains the idea of substitution as clearly as the preposition which accompanies it. "Who gave himself a ransom for all, antilutron kuper panton."d On this subject, Turrettin, who was far from Universalism, says, "The word hitron might admit of quibbling, but nothing can be more express than the word antilutron. It denotes not merely a price, but such a price as is perfectly equal to the debt which it pays; this is the force of the preposition anti which expresses substitution. Aristotle, who surely understood the Greek language, uses the word antilutron in the 9th book of his Ethics, and 2d chapter to denote the redemption or purchase of a life by substituting another life in its room."

4. This supposed disciple of Winchester, might urge, moreover, from the foregoing authorities, that in virtue of this substitution, men are not merely brought into a state of salvability, but a state of salvation. Paul does not say that Christ may be the Saviour of all men, but that he "1s the Saviour of all men." He does not say that grace may bring salvation, and may appear to all men; but "the grace of God that bringeth salvation hath appeared to all men; and "where sin abounded, grace did much more abound." He does not speak of a possible, but of a positive justification. "The free gift came upon all men unto justification of life."

a Rom. 5; 6-8.
darriveren bere warran
g Rom. 5; 20.

b drag have auctors
e 1 Tim. 4; 10.
h Rom. 5; 18.

that eternal judgment and fiery indignation which shall devour the adversaries. That this means a condemnation to eternal fire after the general judgment, is evident from its being here contrasted with the most fearful temporal punishment, and represented a much sorer punishment; and from its being represented in chap. 6, verse 2, as an "eternal judgment," suc-

ceeding the resurrection from the dead.

Here then are Jews and Gentiles, who are determined to follow their own way of justification and sanctification. Some sin through the guile of false teachers, and others sin wilfully and knowingly. It is declared that Christ is of no effect to either of them; that neither the sacrifice of Christ, nor any other sacrifice shall profit them; but that after the resurrection of the dead, they must expect to be adjudged to eternal fire. Were the Father and the Son disappointed in their fall? No more than in that of rebel angels. Without either the one or the other, the Holy Jesus shall be satisfied, in receiving the full amount of the travail of his soul.

2. There are some from whom their own debt will be exacted to the utmost. This immaculate Redeemer speaks a very intelligible language on this subject, in his sermon on the mount. "Agree with thine adversary quickly whilst thou "art in the way with him; lest at any time, the adversary de-"liver thee to the judge, and the judge deliver thee to the of-"ficer, and thou be cast into prison. Verily I say unto you. "thou shalt by no means, come out thence, till thou hast paid "the uttermost farthing;" "the very last mite." When the Apostle tells the Galatians that on account of their reliance on circumcision, Christ should profit them nothing; that is, he should not pay their debt, he informs them that in consequence of their self-righteousness, they should be held accountable themselves. "For I testify "again to every man that is circumcised, that he is "a debtor to do the whole law." Like the debtors mentioned in Luke 7: 41. 42, one owes five hundred pence and the other fifty, and they have nothing to pay: for our Saviour says, "without me ye can do nothing," and these debtors have rejected him to depend upon themselves. Now here are persons deeply in debt, and perfectly insolvent. while running at large, in this world, and they are sentenced by an infallibly righteous Judge, to imprisonment hereafter, until the uttermost farthing is paid; and paid not by our Surety, whom they have rejected, but by themselves. If the

<sup>\*</sup> Matt. 5; 25, 26. Lake 12; 59.

debt had been paid by the Surety, justice would have pro-They would not only have been precured their release. served from the eternal prison, but delivered from the chains of unbelief in this life. Their being confined by infinite justice, as debtors to do the whole law, is a proof that Christ, who is the end of the law for righteousness to every one that believeth, did not satisfy the law, as the Surety of these particular debtors, any more than of fallen angels. in Christ's priestly office, that he paid the debt of believers by satisfying the law in their behalf: but here are unbelievers who are held personally responsible for the last mite. They, therefore, can have no interest in his meritorious substitution. and must be exceptions to those general expressions, in which he is said to be the Saviour of all men. As they have rejected Christ, and can do nothing without him, their insolvency is irremediable, and their confinement, of course, eternal. In this awful, but righteous dispensation, the Holy Trinity is not disappointed in any of its plans. The design of the Atonement is fully answered in the salvation of those for whom it was made. In their regeneration to holiness, and resurrection to glory, the Redeemer "shall see of the travail of his soul, and shall be satisfied."

To Mr. Ballou's illustration of this subject I can see no valid objection. General Washington could not be satisfied with the return of a less number of Algerine captives, than he had ransomed, But when he was fully satisfied in the return of all his ransomed countrymen, there remained behind, and there yet remain, many prisoners who are doomed to that severe servitude, until they shall pay the last mite of their own Does not this prove that they had no interest in Washington's ransom?—that it was not intended for them? but only for his own countrymen? This may appear a censurable partiality in Washington: Godwin may condemn him for loving his countrymen more than foreigners, as he has censured parents for a peculiar attachment to their own children, and as many censure Christ for a peculiar regard to his sheep, in laying down his life. Although I approve of all these attachments, it is not their morality that is now before us, The question is, did Christ ransom all men universally, or only such as he shall actually deliver from condemnation, corruption and punishment? Did he ransom those, who, according to his solemn declaration, shall be kept in prison, until they pay their own debt, to the very last mite? No more than Washington ransomed those unhappy Europeans who are still in Algerine bondage. But those whom Christ hasleft in hopeless punishment, are his enemies: and if any American in Algiers had manifested that rancorous and traitorous hostility to his country's President and constitution, which Mr. Ballou and my opponent have evinced toward Christ's Divinity and Atonement, Washington would either have left him in Africa, or brought him home to the enjoyment of that rope which he had prepared for Arnold.

3. It has been proved in the refutation of the 9th Universalist argument, that general terms are very often, if not generally, used in a limited signification. A dissertation was given on the word all, a word which frequently occurs, and on which much stress is laid, in the texts brought to support this 10th argument. The evidence there adduced, shows that in the passages here cited, this word may be used in a limited sense, but in some of these texts it proves not only that it may be, but that it is thus used. In one of them. Paul exhorts that "supplications, prayers, intercessions, and giving of thanks be made for all men;" and Mr. Ballou insinuates that this is a proof of the universality of Christ's priestly office. Now it is a well known fact, that the Scriptures deny a universal intercession, both in his case and in In John 17: 9, he says, "I pray not for the world. but for them which thou hast given me; for they are thine." And although in the passage from 1 Tim. we are exhorted to pray for all men generally, yet in 1 John 5: 16, we are relieved from praying for the unpardonable sin. This, then. establishes a matter of criticism, the meaning of the word all; and a matter of doctrine, the objective extent of Christ's priesthood. It is here asserted by himself, that he intercedes for none but those whom the Father has given him, and these are contrasted with the world, as constituting a part and not the whole of mankind. And would be die for those whom the Father, in divine sovereignty has not given him, and for whom he will not pray? I might rather ask, will not the Holy Trinity conspire to bestow every benefit upon those from whom it has not withheld Heaven's greatest blessing? "He that spared not his own Son, but deli. vered him up for us all, [that is, for believers like Paul: all whom the Father had given him; for he gave us to him, and him to us; how shall he not with him also freely give us all things ?"b Thus it appears that he imparts every necessary blessing to those for whom he died :- But there are

b Rom. 8:32.



a 1 Tim, 2; 1.

some from whom he withholds the benefit of his intercession, which is essential to their welfare: - Therefore there are some for whom he did not die. To show that his sacrifice and intercession, (both sacerdotal functions,) are coextensive, and that they are effectual to the salvation of all for whom they are made, we cannot easily invent plainer words than Paul uses in Rom. 8: 54. " Who is he that con-" demneth? It is Christ that died, yea, rather that is risen "again, who is even at the right hand of God, who also " maketh intercession for us." Here it is said concerning the same class of mankind, that Christ died and rose again, and intercedes in Heaven for them. This class is denoted by the pronoun US, the same US to whom, in the preceding context, he promises every good thing, because he had delivered up Christ for them. The question is, does this US embrace the world universally, or a part of mankind, who are given to Christ out of the world? Our Saviour has not more unequivocally limited his intercession, than his Apostle, in this 8th chapter, from first to last, limits his atonement. In the very first verse these us are described as being in Christ Jesus, and walking after the Spirit. In verse 9th he says, "now if any man have not the Spirit of Christ. he is none of his." How many have another spirit in them! He says that they suffer with him. How different from those who trample on his blood! They are saved by hope: b whereas many die in despair. They pray by the aid of the Spi-How different from those who blaspheme, or who pray denying the Son and the Spirit! They love God whereas in verse 7th, there are some who hate God. These loving, praying, hoping, suffering souls, who are in Christ, and have Christ and his spirit in them; these are the very ALL and the very us for whom, as in verses 32. S4, Christ was delivered up; and for whom he died and arose again, and intercedes at the right hand of God. These characteristics do not belong to all men universally: neither do the atonement and intercession of Christ.

Returning to the point of criticism now in hand, it may be observed that the word world, and the phrase, the whole world, found in some of the texts to be examined, are generally used in a limited sense. 1. The Roman Empire in the Augustan age. "There went out a decree from Cesar Augustus, that all the

a Verse 17.

c Verse 28.

b Verse 24.

"world should be taxed." 2. A multitude in a certain age or "country. "The Pharisees therefore said among themselves, "perceive ye how ye prevail nothing? Behold! the world "is gone after him." 3. The churches generally in the "first Century. "I thank my God, through Jesus Christ, "for you all that your faith is spoken of throughout the "whole world." 4. Believers in general. "For the bread " of God is he which cometh down from heaven, and giveth "life unto the world:" that is to believers, as the whole "chapter proves; in which he says " I am the bread of life: he "that cometh to me shall never hunger; and he that believeth "on me shall never thrist." "I am the living bread "which came down from heaven." "If any man eat of this "bread, he shall live forever: and the bread that I will "give is my flesh, which I will give for the life of the world."d 5. Those who are not given to Christ. "I pray not for the "world, but for them which thou hast given me." 6. For those whom the Father has given him, and for whose redemption he was slain, but particularly of the Gentiles, as distinct from the Jews. "Now if the fall of them [the Jews] be the "riches of the world, and the diminishing of them, the riches "of the Gentiles, how much more their fulness!"f " And he " is the propitiation for our sins: and not for ours only, but for the sins of the whole world." B Does he mean that he is the propitiation not only for the sins of believers, but for the sins of unbelievers? --- not only for the penitent and obedient, but for the incorrigibly impenitent and disobedient? Would not this make men indifferent to faith and holiness. and would it not teach that Christ became a propitiatory sacrifice for those whose cause he would not advocate in heaven as their interceding High Priest. That he wrote to excite an abhorrence of sin, and to teach that Christ's intercession and propitiation were coextensive, is evident from the preceding verse. "My little children, these things I write unto "you, that ye sin not. And if any man sin, we have an ad-"vocate with the Father, Jesus Christ the righteous." For whom is he an advocate? "I pray not for the world, but for "them whom thou has given me." For their sins then, he is a propitiation. These are called the whole world, as Paul in the first sense given above called Gentile believers the world, in contradistinction from the Jews, who thought that they were

Luke 2. 1. b John 12, 19.

o Rom. 1. 8.

d John 6, 35, 35, 51,

e John 17. 9. f Rom. 11. 19

g 1 John 2, 2.

brever to monopolize the benefits of revealed religion. The Apostles themselves relinquished this prejudice with great rluctance, even after the ascension of our Lord and the descent of the Holy Ghost. When Peter's pertinacity was overcome by the grace of God, accompanied with extraordinary visions, and communications, and effusions of the Spirit, "then Peter opened his mouth, and said, of a truth I perceive "that God is no respecter of persons:" that is, he has not now that exclusive love for the Jews, a mistaken apprehension of which made me unwilling to visit this Gentile family; but I find that he is a respecter of all men alike. How? Has he an equal respect for believers and unbelievers? Let Peter speak for himself. " Of a truth I perceive that God is "no respecter of persons: but in every nation he that fear\_ "eth him, and worketh righteousness is accepted of him." The Apostle John contended with the same prejudices and difaculties, and he comes to the same conclusion. "He is the repropitiation for our sins; [that is, for the sins of us belie-"ving Jews,] and not for ours only, but for the sins of the " whole world:" that is for all in every nation, who fear "God and work righteousness. That he was slain for the redemption of such and such only, the same Apostle has "declared in Rev. 5: 9. "For thou wast slain, and has re-" deemed us to God by thy blood, out of every kindred and "tongue, and people and nation." When therefore, we are " told that Christ is the propitiation for the sins of the whole "world, we are to understand, (himself being judge,) that " he redeems believers out of every nation: as when he tells "us, that in the day of judgment, " all kindreds of the earth "shall wail because of him," he means that unbelievers, out of all kindreds shall be condemned and punished. it appears that these general expressions are often used in a limited signification, and that their limits are defined by the writers who use them.

4. Before engaging in a fuller examination of the texts brought to support a universal atonement, one more point seems to claim our attention. While the purchase of the Redeemer is often denoted by general expressions with a limited signification, the boundaries of his blood-bought family are also frequently defined by limited expressions in an exclusive sense. Such a fact should relieve us from all difficulty in the explanation of texts relating to this subject.

a Acts 10: 34. 35.

The church invisible is pointed out in Scripture under the denomination of sheep and people, friends and brethren, children, bride, and body. In the day of judgment the skeep shall be placed on his right hand and the quats on the left. But the Judge himself said, during his humiliation. "I lay down my life for the sheep." The goats then are excluded from his atonement, as they shall be from his glory. He received the name of Jesus, because "he shall save his people from their sins." There are many people unwilling to the last, to receive him as a Saviour from sin. To the exclusion of these, it is said, "thy people shall be willing in the day of thy power, in the beauty of holiness." Many of this sort of people, whom God had determined to make willing, were in Corinth in Paul's day; for God told him, "I have much people in this city."d Did this embrace the heathen population universally? Not unless they were universally willing to renounce sin and follow holiness, through the spirit of a holy Saviour. Although Christ died for his enemies, it was only for those whose enmity was to be destroyed, In John 13: 14, he speaks of dying for his friends to the exclusion of those, concerning whom he shall say in the great day, "But these mine enemies, which would not that I should reign over them, bring hither, and slav them before me."e There are some who are ashamed of Christ in this crooked and perverse generation. Of these he has said that he will be ashamed before his Father and the holy Angels. To the exclusion of these, therefore, he says of some, that "he is not ashamed to call them brethren." This is only two verses after Hebr. 2: 9, where it is said that "he should taste death for every man:" or as it might be more consistently translated. "for every brother," from verse 11 th: or " for every child," from verse 15th: for let it be remembered that this is the evident meaning of the writer, since the word man is only given him by our translators, and he actually mentioned brethren and children as the exclusive subjects of the atonement. This observation concerning children is confirmed by the inspired words of Caiaphas, who "prophecied that Jesus should die for that nation, and not for that nation only, but that also he should gather together in one, the children of God that were scattered abroad." These children of God have thus far been a sparse

a John 10; 15. cPs. 110. S. d Acts 18. 10. b Matt. 1. 21. c Luke 19. 27.

f John 11; 51. 52. How this resembles 1 John 2; 2, in expression and meaning!

population, but they are destined one day to fill the earth. They are the travail of the Redeemer's soul, with which he shall be satisfied. "When thou shalt make his soul an offering for sin, he shall see his seed, he shall prolong his days, and the pleasure of the Lord shall prosper in his hand." Instead of confusing the spiritual seed with the seed of the serpent, which embraces incorrigible offenders, the Scriptures contrast them. To the serpent God says, " I will put enmity between thee and the woman, and between thy seed and her seed." If he had intended to embrace both in the promise to Abraham, " and in thy seed shall all the nations of the earth be blessed,"b he would have given us the word in the plural and not in the singular number. "Now to Abraham and his seed were the promises made: he saith not, and to seeds, as of many, but as of one, and to thy seed, which is Christ:"e that is, Christ mystical, embracing all the members of that one body of which he is the head; for "Christ is the head of the church, and he is the Saviour of the body."d Does this body include that Man of sin, the son of perdition. who opposeth and exalteth himself above all that is called God, or that is worshipped? Does this "church of God which he hath purchased with his own blood,"e include the synagoque of Satan? The inspired illustration of the context, makes it as palpable that it does not, as language can make it. "Husbands love your wives, even as Christ also loved the church and gave himself for it." Here, then, we have the extent of the atonement plainly defined. Our Saviour proves from the very creation of one pair in the beginning, that the connubial attachment should be exclusive. He has therefore pronounced judgment upon every roving emotion of the heart. The more we confine this affection, to its proper object, the more we resemble the Lamb of God in his love to the heavenly bride. Would this be the case if he had had an indiscriminate attachment to his own church and the synagogue of Satan?—if he had given himself, that is, if he had made an atosement, for the man of sin and his own body indifferently? As, then, his atonement is, in infinite and adorable sovereignty. made for men to the exclusion of devils, so is it made for his own church invisible, to the exclusion of the devil's church among men. It is made for his sheep to the exclusion of the goats; for his people friends and brethren, to the exclusion of

a Iaa. 58; 10. d Eph. 5. 23, b Gen. 22; 18. e Acts 20. 28. e Gal. 3; 16. See Jamieson's Sacred History. f Eph. 5. 25. g Rev. 21. 9.

those who remain enemies, and aliens from the commonwealth of Israel, and strangers to the covenants of promise; for his seed, children, bride, and body, to the exclusion of the seed of the serpent, the generations of Amalek, and those who persevere in loving the creature more than the Creator.

The discussion of the above four particulars was intended to facilitate and curtail the explanation of the authorities advanced for a universal atonement. In its progress several of those texts were partly, and some sufficiently cleared. The first of those which remain, was, if I mistake not, used by Dr. Chauncey, that great champion of Universalism, from whom many of the others also were taken. It is 1 Cor. 15: 3, "Christ died for our sins, according to the scriptures." Whose sins are these? They are the sins of us; and in what was said in Rom. 8th, in the 3rd head above, it will be recollected that the us for whom Christ died, was composed of believers. In this case the same thing appears from the verse immediately preceding. "By which also ye were saved, if ye keep in memory what I preached unto you, unless ye have believed in vain." By putting these 2d and 3rd verses together, we find that Christ's dying for our sins, is the meritorious cause, and faith the instrumental cause of our aslvation.

But this is said to be according to the scriptures; that is, of the old Testament: for the testimony of Jesus is the spirit of prophecy, and Moses wrote of him. There, the redemption of Christ is usually adumbrated by a temporal redemption. "What nation in the earth is like thy people, even like Israel, whom God went to redeem for a people to himself?" This temporal redemption, however, was from God's peculiar love to Israel, to the exclusion of other nations, and at their expense. This is attested by the law and the prophets. Moses says "I will put a division, (Engl. margin and Hebr. a redemption,) between my people and thy people." Isaiah says, "Thus saith the Lord your Redeemer, the Holy One of "Israel; for your sake I have sent to Babylon, and have "brought down all their nobles and the Chaldeans whose cry "is in the ships." " For I am the Lord thy God, the Holy "One of Israel, thy Saviour. I gave Egypt for thy ransom, Ethiopia and Seba for thee. Since thou wast precious in "my sight, thou hast been honourable, and I have loved thee; "therefore will I give men for thee, and people for thy life."

a Ex. 15. 13. b Ex. 8. 25. c Isa, 43. 3. 14. See Jamieson's Sacred History.

This love of God to Israel caused him so to redeem them, that this redemption served as a division or distinction between them and other nations. It is so peculiar that other nations, with their men and people, are said to be given for the range of the Israelites.

In 1 Cor. 5: 7, Paul plainly alludes to the Old Testament history, in such a way as to shew us what he meant by saying that "Christ died for our sins according to the Scrip-"tures." "For even Christ our Passover is sacrificed for "us." This is our Passover, the Passover of us; which us he speakes of in the next verse as keeping the ordinance "with the unleavened bread of sincerity and truth," and as differing very widely from some who had the old leaven of malice and wickedness, like the Egyptians of old, between whom and the Israelites, God made the typical Passover a redemption or mark of distinction. He said to Moses, "It is "the Lord's passover. For I will pass through the land of "Egypt this night, and will smite all the first born in the "land of Egyt, both man and beast: and against all the "Gods of Egypt I will execute judgment. I am the Lord. "And the blood shall be to you for a token upon the houses "where you are: and when I see the blood, I will pass over "you, and the plague shall not be upon you, to destroy you, "when I smite the land of Egypt." For whom was this typical passover sacrificed? Was it for all men universally? It was for the Israelites alone, to the exclusion of those stubborn foes who sank to the bottom of the Red Sea. For whom is Christ our antitypical Passover sacrificed? For whose sins did he die according to the scriptures? For the spiritual Israel who believe in his name, and not for those incorrigible enemies, who sink, in just judgment, to the bottomless pit.

The next passage which claims our attention is Dan. 9: 24. "Seventy weeks are determined upon thy people, and "upon thy Holy City, to finish the transgression, and to "make an end of sins, &c." Its being said here that the Messiah comes to finish transgression, and to make an end of sins, is considered evidence of universal salvation, because there can be no state of eternal sin and misery, after all transgression and sin shall have been finished and brought to an end. But according to their explanation, this text not only proves that there will be no sin nor punishment af-

a Br. 18 11-f31

ter death, but that there has been no sin nor punishment for near two thousand years past; for it was then that the Messiah came and fulfilled this prophecy. The word here translated finish, is, in one of the Psalms, h correctly rendered refrain. "I have refrained my feet from every "evil way, that I might keep thy word." My opponent himself will admit that David did not mean that he had finished his feet by annihilation, or that he had obtained a perfect deliverance from sin and sorrow in this life. other places it is rendered stay or restrain. "Therefore "the heaven over you is stayed from dew, and the earth is " staved from her fruit." "So the people were restrained "from bringing work for the offering of the sanctuary." Would my opponent understand from these passages, that the heavens and the earth and the people were finished by annihilation? Neither did the Messiah come to finish transgression in this sense, but to restrain it, as our translators have rendered it in the margin of the text under consideration. What is meant by his making an end of sins, or sinofferings as it is elsewhere rendered, b is made clear in the close of the chapter, where it is said, "he shall cause the \* sacrifice and the oblation to cease." These typical sins or sin offerings were of no farther use, after God had "made him who knew no sin, to be sin or a sin offering for us, that we "might be made the righteousness of God in him." To say that he made an end of sins, so that no sin should be afterward committed or punished, is not only contradicting the scriptures, but contradicting palpable matter of fact. d

Other passages are in the writings of John, where Christ is represented as "the Lamb of God which taketh away the "sin of the world:"e that is the sins of believing Gentiles: whereas the typical lamb was slain for Jews only, and that not to cleanse the conscience. In this sense the same writer tells us that "the Father sent the Son to be the Sa-"viour of the world." Whether this embraced stubborn unbelievers, our Saviour himself declares. "For God so " loved the world, that he gave his only begotten Son, that "whosoever believeth on him should not perish, but have "everlasting life." This is as much as to say that the Father gave the son to die for those who should believe.

a Ex. 36 6. Hag. 1. 10. b Levit. 4. 3, 25. 29. c 2 Cor. 5. 21.

h 119. 101.

d See Dr. Wylie's numbers on Universalism, in the Presbyterian Magazine.

e John 1. 29. f 1 John 4. 14.

g John 3, 16.

A few passages of Paul's writings yet remain, which conain the same doctrine. One represents God as the "Saviour of all men, especially of those that believe." This shows that there is a salvation peculiar to believers. It is true, God is the Saviour of unbelievers who forget him: but he is only their Saviour from temporal calamities, such as the bondage in Egypt. The Psalmist b says that the idolatrous Israelites "forgat God their Saviour, which had done great things in Egypt" But although God saved them from the tyranny of Pharach, he says concerning some of them, " so I sware in my wrath, they shall not enter into my rest." "And to "whom sware he that they should not enter into his rest, but "to them that believed not? So we see that they could not enter in because of unbelief." . Although they had hardened their hearts through the deceitfulness of sin. God was still their Saviour, Deliverer, Preserver, But in the same sense, the Psalmist says. "Lord thou savest man and beast." This is a literal translation of the original and the Septuagint. Although God was the Saviour of the godly and ungodly, believers and unbelievers, Paul makes a great distinction between them. He says, concerning those who believed the gospel, "there remaineth, therefore, a rest to the people of God:" Whereas "they to whom it was first preached, entered not in because of unbelief," This is a comment upon the same Apostle's declaration that "God is the Saviour of all men, especially of those that believe." But we have a similar comment in the context of the passage itself: where he says "godliness is profitable unto all things, having promise of the life that now is, and of that which is to come." Thus God is the Saviour or preserver of all men in this life, but especially of those that believe, because they are preserved and blessed forever.

The context will also explain the general expressions used in 1 Cor. 15: 22. "For as in Adam all die, even so in Christ shall all be made alive." The next verse says, "But every man in his own order: Christ the first-fruits; afterward they that are Christ's at his coming." The all that are made alive in Christ are here said to be "they that are Christ's," that is, all who belong to Christ. Now does not the same Apostle tell us that none belong to Christ, but those who have his Spirit? "Now if any man have not the Spirit of Christ he is

a 1 Tim. 4. 10.

b 106, 21,

o Hebr. 3, 11, 18, 19.

d 36. 6.

e Heb. & 6. 9.

none of his." Although there is to be hereafter a general effusion of the Spirit, it is so far from being universal in all ages, that some who have enjoyed its miraculous gifts, and who have even been personal acquaintances and professed disciples of Christ, shall be pronounced none of his. "And then will I profess unto them, I never knew you: depart "from me, ye that work iniquity." As, therefore, the workers of iniquity, who are destitute of the Spirit of Christ, do not belong to him, and never did, they shall not be made alive in him; wherefore the word all must here be understood in the sense which is limited by the subject to which it is applied. The meaning of the passage is simply this. "As in Adam all [that belong to Adam] die, so in Christ, all [that belong to Christ] shall be made alive."

The last passage to be noticed in the latter part of Rom. 5. in which it is said that "the free gift came upon all men "unto justification of life," and "by the obedience of one "shall many be made righteous." We have already seen that the word all is often used for a part of mankind, and the younger Edwards d has proved, in opposition to Dr. Chauncy, that the same thing may be said of the word many. • The whole chapter shews that these all men who receive justification of life, and these many who are made righteous. are justified and made righteous through faith. The chapter begins, "Therefore being justified by faith, we have "peace with God, through our Lord Jesus Christ; by whom "also we have access by faith into this grace wherein we "stand, and rejoice in hope of the glory of God." He lets us know that these all men are the same pious us of which he speaks so often. Besides that faith and hope already attributed to them, he says in verse 5th, "the love of God is "shed abroad in our hearts by the Holy Ghost which is given unto us." In verse 4th, they have patience and experience. In verse 10, they are reconciled to God. No wonder then. that in verse 17, they are said to have "abundance of grace "and of the gift of righteousness." Are all men universally patient and experienced christians? have all men faith hope, and love? Are all universally reconciled to God? Is the Holy Ghost given to all men? Not so. But let it be remembered that Paul says in this same epistle, "if any man "have not the Spirit of Christ, he is none of his." Christ himself has declared that he that believeth not shall be damn-

a Rom. 8. 9.

b Matt. 7: 25.

d Of his writings and his father's, free use is made in this discussion.

ed, and that his enemies, who love him not, shall be slain before him. Surely if all men universally enjoyed justification unto life, our infallible judge would not condemn some to be slain.

What makes it evident beyond a reasonable doubt, that these all men are believers, is, that the 18th verse, in which they are said to be justified, is introduced by therefore, as an express inference from the 17th, in which they are said to receive abundance of grace, as well as the gift of righteousness: and in verse 2nd they are said to "have access by \* faith into this grace." His promises in verse 17th are concerning believers, and are therefore particular. This calls for a particular and not an universal conclusion. does not draw any of those lame conclusions of which my onponent's friends and the enemies of God accuse him, his inference in verse 18th must be confined to believers, which were contemplated in the promises. And Dr. Chauncy's fear that this interpretation would confound the antithesis maintained from verse 12th to the close, is entirely without foundation, as his great antagonist has shown. Is there no antithesis in saying that as the world of sinners transgressed and died in the first Adam their federal head, so the world of believers are justified and saved in the second Adam, their federal Head? This is the doctrine of the chapter, of the epistle, and of the scriptures.

The argument from the extent of Christ's atonement is considered the citadel of Universalism. The result of the examination which it has now received is, that there are some who are not profited by Christ's atonement, but must be sent to prison until they satisfy for themselves, which they can never do. Many plain authorities have been advanced, which shew that Christ died exclusively for those who shall be saved; for his sheep and people, brethren and friends, children and bride, body and church. It has also been shewn by the context of Universalist authorities that the sacred writers mean believers, even where they use such general expressions as many, all, every, the world, and the whole world, in relation to this subject. We conclude therefore, that un-

believers must perish.

In the course of this discussion my opponent has been represented as an enemy to the Atonement. The word occurs in our translation of Rom. 5: 11. In his Lecture on this passage, he says, "if this word had been correctly translated,

a The meaning of the word dame.

"the word atonement, and of course the doctrine of atone-"ment, as it is now understood, would not have been found, "(as it is not contained) in the New Testament. Neither is "the doctrine of atonement, i. e. in the sense of making sa-"tisfaction to divine justice, by the means of a suffering vic-"tim, any where to be found in the Bible." Soon after, in a note, he makes a similar remark concerning "the commonly received doctrine of atonement by vicarious sufferings." For farther information, he refers us to the article atonement. in Priestley's History of the Corruptions of Christianity, a work which he earnestly recommends to the attention of his readers. Of this work, its own author in the last century, professed to give a compend in this desk; in which he says. "I "regard with horror such doctrines as those of transubstan-"tiation, the trinity, atonement, and other corruptions of " christianity." Here then, is the consistency of my opponent and his Universalist and Unitarian friends. They quote scripture to prove their point, although in this very work of Dr. Priestley's, recommended earnestly by my opponent, the inspiration of the Scriptures is as unequivocally denied as in Thomas Paine's Age of Reason. They urge an argument from the extent of Christ's atonement, which presupposes his vicarious satisfaction, and is perfectly nugatory without it; yet they dare, in the face of high heaven, to express as blasphemous an abhorrence of the atonement and its author, as could be expected from devils incarnate. Yet this is the man who calls me brother. After thus impiously insulting my Divine Master, he gives the sacred name of brother to his unworthy servant. Worthless as I am. I desire no such confraternity. "O my soul! come "not thou into their secret: unto their assembly, mine honour be not thou united."

a See Lectures, pp. 105. 106.
b. Minutes p. 256. " But my brother,—yes, I say my brother, though he is my opponent in this debate,—mys &c."

# DEFENCE.

#### PART SECOND.

Thus much concisely concerning the arguments of the Universalists. My own evidence for the Orthodox opinion may now be expected. Preparatory to this, allow me to say a few things concerning the true statement of the question, and the sources from which this evidence shall be drawn. Nothing but the subtlety of Universalist writers makes it necessary, formally to declare that these sources are the inspired oracles exclusively. Mr. Balfour insinuates that we consider the Bible rather scarce of proof, and that on this account, we resort to uninspired Jewish authority. The doctrine of the Targuma which he has proved to be on our side, appears to grieve him. He also shews that the Apocrypha which Mr. Winchester had quoted against us,a is really in our favour: and it is very remarkable that they generally denote the state of eternal torment by that word b which is used in the account of the rich man and Lazarus. Although he gives us Josephus and Philo also, we lay but little stress on such writers, in doctrinal matters. If however, my opponent is driven to the hard necessity, of resting an affair of criticism upon uninspired Jewish authority, it would surely be more reasonable to resort to them, than to that Hebrew Professor whom he is so often calling upon in this debate. Instead of comparing Spiritual things with Spiritual, and determining the meaning of Scripture words by scripture usage, he is perpetually appealing to my friend the learned Professor, an uninspired Hebrew, for an ultimate decision on the meaning of the words of inspiration. So anxious is he to have him for an umpire between us,

a In his 4th Dialogue he quotes Wisdom xi. 23-26. xii. 1, 2, 16. xv. 1. 2.

b 65x Hades.

• 2 Esdras, ii. 29 iv. 2. viii. 53. Tobit xiii. 2. Wisdom xvii. 14. Esslyp. xxi. 20. li. 5,6. Song of the three shiften, verse 64.

that he calls upon him no less than four times in the same half hour. In the last of these instances he says, "I now once more "call upon my opponent to submit to the determination of this "point depending on the meaning of these words to the decision "of the Professor, and if he will not consent. I appeal to the "Professor myself, or to any other competent person who "may now be present. to tell me if I am wrong." my opponent who unjustly accuses us of servile regard to human authority, actually goes begging for some Father Confessor, either Jew or Gentile, to tell him whether he is right or wrong; and while he professes not to know what opinion the umpire may express, he promises submission to his determination in matters of doctrine and criticism. We might as well decide the question by lot. He has been long challenging the clergy "to discuss this important subject with him." Is this decision by unknown and uninspired arbitrators what he means by a discussion? In ascertaining the meaning of words, we should look for higher authority than the mere assertion of any man living? yet in an affair of this sort, my opponent gives Dr. Campbell of Aberdeen and a gentleman of this city, who is really a greater man, as paramount authority. Says he "I give them as my authors-"ty for not using these words according to the common ac-"ceptation." These gentlemen are really in my favour : vet the Bible itself is my authority.

With regard to the christian church in general, and in every age, my opponent is much more modest and more correct. He appears to know that his system has never been considered a part of their religion. In his article on the word Universalists, in the spurious edition of Buck's Theological Dictionary, he says, "The sentiments of the Univer-"salists were embraced by Origen in the third century, and in more modern times by Chevalier Ramsay, Dr. Cheyne, Mr. "Hartley and others:" Although I could not, with Bishop. Horsley, deny Origen's credibility in matters of fact, the whole Christian world will admit that a diminution of his heathenish eccentricities, would have been a great addition to his Christian character. His follies were many: yet a total denial of future punishment, a doctrine which my oppenent has borrowed from Mr. Huntingdon, and which he advocates in this debate, is not acknowledged to be among the articles of Origen's creed. The above extract is, how-

Digitized by Google

a Minutes pp. 161. 163. 166. 2 ice. b Minutes pp. 165. 176.

ever, an indirect confession of a remarkable fact, that Universalism in any shape, had no footing whatever in the church of Christ, until Origen, and very little since his day. Clemens Romanus who probably heard some of the Apostles preach. says, " All souls are immortal, even those of the impious; to "whom it would be better not to be incorruptible. For as "they are punished by inextinguishable fire, with an eternal " punishment, and do not die, they can obtain no end to their great evil." With this testimony Justin Martyr and Irenzeus agree. When Origen swerved from this Scriptural doctrine, he taught that the wicked were subjected to an eternal alternation of misery and happiness. This is represented by Augustine as one of Origen's dogmas which the church did not receive; one which is inexcusable and indefensible; and one which is to be vehemently abhorred by every chris-TIAN, WHETHER LEARNED OR UNLEARNED. As his own belief and that of the scriptures and the church, he tells us, " that Christ shall appear in the consummation of the world, "to judge it, and that he will raise all the dead, and will "give to pious men eternal life and perpetual joys; but will "condemn impious men and devils, that they may be punish-"ed without end." He shortly adds; "and we condemn "the Origenists, who pretended that there will be an end of the future punishment of condemned men and devils:" From the time of Christ to the present day, this doctrine of Augustine has been the creed of all christendom, with the exception of Hobbes, Spinosa, Priestley, and Huntingdon, with those Atheists. Deists. Unitarians. and Universalists who choose to follow them.

Among their devoted followers my opponent occupies, at present, a conspicuous station. He has debated and lectured, preached and printed largely in their defence. For his publications he claims much of your esteem, because as he has told you, they have not yet been censured, although sent to all the Universities and Colleges in the United States, for their critical examination, and respectfully submitted to the whole body of American clergy of every sect and denomination. He forgot to tell you that he had requested them to pay the postage of their remarks. Their refusing to do this, he takes for a certificate of approbation. This is quite an easy way of becoming famous. It must be because my opponent is

<sup>•</sup> See Lempe's Philologico—theological Dissertation on the Eternity of Punishment.

extraordinarily modest, or because he is saturated with applause, that he has not procured the same renown among the clergy and the colleges of Europe. And if refusing to write remarks upon every trifle, and transmit them by mail at their own expense, be considered a favourable attestation, there is no doubt that Captain Simms's Lectures on the practicability and importance of penetrating to the centre of our globe through a supposed opening at the north pole, might soon receive the respectful, though silent approbation of all the literary and ecclesiastical establishments on the surface of the earth, and even of those interior regions which he wishes to explore.

But how comes it to pass, that after having vauntingly submitted his books to unlimited investigation, and challenged all America to discuss with him the subjects of which they treat:—how comes it to pass that after these steps, my opponent should object to my noticing these very books, in a debate which arose from the acceptance of his challenge? You have heard him make bitter complaints of my referring to those works which he has brought into question: and although he has heretofore boasted that they contained an unanswered and an unanswerable defence of that doctrine which he here advocates, he has now become so much ashamed of them as openly and repeatedly to declare in your hearing, that "they have no bearing upon the point whatever." Again, he says, "Now I would ask, what has the writings of your " speaker to do with the question before us for discussion?" What strange literary phenomena must these books be !! A Universalist Version, or to speak more properly, a Universalist Perversion of the New Testament, adorned with Unitarian notes, and yet having nothing to do with the Universalist question now under discussion! " A series of Lectures on the "Doctrine of Universal Benevolence, delivered in the Uni-"versalist Church," by a Universalist preacher, and yet they have no bearing on the point now in hand! It is not wonderful that he should deny the bearing of my arguments: It is natural enough for such a character to deny that even the case of the rich man and Lazarus has any relation to the question: But must be not have incurred a doleful dizziness in the dust of debate, before he could say this of his own elaborate and boasted productions, which were written professedly in defence of Universalism? If, however, his report be

a Minutes, pp. 27. 42.

true, that his works on Universalism say nothing to the point, it is easy to account for the silence of the American literati. With the exception of a few Unitarian establishments, which are in the habit of dealing in such wares, no College to whom they were sent, would think them worth the postage.

Worthless as they are, I shall still take such notice of them as I would of the works of Dr. Priestley, Thomas Paine, or any other infidel They all bear testimony against their authors. Although my opponent, in denying the inspiration of certain books of Scripture, endeavours to shield himself under the name of Dr. Lardner and the Improved Version. any person of understanding, who reads his quotations from them in his New Testament, will see that he is responsible for the sentiments there expressed. Although he has, of his own motion, in your presence, taken an awful oath "before that God who is the searcher of all hearts." that he maintains an "unbounded faith in the volume of Revelation." still adheres to that earnest recommendation which his Lectures give of that work of Dr. Priestley, in which the inspiration of that volume is deried in the roundest terms. How can these two things be reconciled? It is only a less learned and a less witty Voltaire, taking the sacramental obligation of the Lord's Supper. When, in the call of Providence, I have vowed an adherence to the Scriptures, it was done sincerely. Whether, therefore, in this debate, I quote my opponent or any other writer, on either side, I shall still treat the Bible. with the whole of its sacred contents, as the only infalliblerule of faith and practice.

It is of importance to have well defined views of the real-question to be supported by such authority. In this respect justice is seldom done to the orthodox. "Is the punishment "of the wicked absolutely eternal?" Instead of this question about the punishment of the wicked, my opponent would persuade you that I have undertaken to prove that the greater part of the universe will be destroyed. His words are the following, viz. "But my opponent would have you be-"lieve that the adversary, or devil, if you please, that goeth "about as a roaring lion, is heir to most of the creatures "whom God hath made." In another instance he would insinuate that, according to my doctrine, God will "doom the greater portion of his helpless offspring to never ending torment." I have never promised nor attempted to prove

a Minutes p. 76.b Minutes pp. 123. 179.

such a thing. My object is to prove that God will doom the wicked to eternal torment. If these embrace the greater part or the whole of his creation " though hand join in hand, "the wicked shall not be unpunished." But what evidence have I, or what evidence has my opponent that this character will fit "the most of the creatures whom God hath made?" Does either of us know what proportion of the angelic throng fell from the happiness of heaven? Can either of us tell what proportion of the human race shall be regenerated and saved through Jesus Christ? Thus far it is true, "many be " called but few chosen:" but when we consider the vast accession which the church is to receive from the incalculable population and the general piety of the millenial day, we know not that the wicked shall bear a greater proportion to the righteous, that our convicts in prison do to the citizens at large. "And I beheld, and I heard the voice of many " angels round about the throne, and the beasts and the elders: "and the number of them was ten thousand times ten thous-"and, and thousands of thousands." "After this I beheld, "and lo! a great multitude which no man could number, of "all nations, and kindreds, and people and tongues, stood "before the throne, and before the Lamb, clothed with white "robes and palms in their hands; and cried with a loud "voice, saying salvation to our God, which sitteth upon the "throne, and unto the lamb." a

The question is not whether we wish all men saved, or whether we hate the wicked, or love sin, but whether God has determined that incorrigible sinners shall be eternally punished. Yet Mr. Ballou would charge the orthodox with the above evils. While charitably representing them as the Prodigal's elder brother, as the "grumbling" labourers who bore the heat and burthen of the day, and as the murmuring Scribes and Pharisees, he asks, "Is it hard to see that my "opponent has made a very fair and full profession of his "love to sin in room of his love to God; and a strong " desire to injure his fellow men, in room of serving them in "love?" "At what do my opposers rage? At what are "they dissatisfied? Not because I exclude them from any " privilege or blessing of the gospel. What then? I am "sorry to name it. It is because I extend those blessings "farther, and hope they will do more good than what suits "them!" b To this it may be answered that Universalism

a Rev. 5; 11, 7; 9,10.

b On Atonement pp. 223, 224.

is an attempt to exclude us from the truth, which is an essential privilege and blessing of the gospel. Without rage, we are dissatisfied at this attempt. We hate falsehood and iniquity; and, through grace, we love God and our fellow creatures. Labours for the general diffusion of gospel blessings are certainly one mark of this love. The orthodox have been enabled to do something in this way. Ballou says, "I EXTEND THOSE BLESSINGS FARTHER." Vain man! What has he ever done for the extension of the Redeemer's kingdom? Does he suppose that his mere word can raise the dead? Or that his pen can write their names in the book of life? And yet the arrogant assumption of these prerogatives of Heaven, is the amount of Universalist exertions for the salvation of sinners. While, for this purpose, the Orthodox risk every expense and danger, and are instrumental in saving millions, not only in word but in deed, their enemies deride their pious labours, and continue crying peace peace, when there is no peace. They deny our moral maladv. and of course will not apply the remedy. "The "whole head is sick and the whole heart faint. From the a sole of the foot even unto the head, there is no soundness "in it: but wounds and bruises and putrifying sores: they " have not been closed, neither bound up, neither mollified " with ointment." The Orthodox see mankind to be in this condition, and, like the good Samaritan, they endeavour to apply the oil and the wine. For this service of love, they are reviled and opposed by the whole Iscariot band. Yet these Universalist and Unitarian priests and Levites, who passed by on the other side, boast of their superior skill, industry, and success in the healing art. After they have, in their own conceit, banished all disease from the earth, by a blast of their nostrils, and, with a dash of their pen, pronounced all men perfectly sound, they flap their triumphant wings, and proclaim to the world, "We extend the blessings of "health farther than it suits the good Samaritan to do." There is no better way of answering such empty and invidious boasting, than in the words of Job. . "But ye are forgers "of lies, ye are all physicians of no value."

While it suits the lovers of truth to preach the gospel of every creature, saying, "he that believeth and is baptized "shall be saved," it suits them to say moreover, "he that be"lieveth not shall be damned." And it is this unbeliever, one who lives and dies in a state of corruption and condem-

a 13: 4.

nation, that is meant by the wicked, in the question now under discussion. "Is the punishment of the wicked absolute-"ly eternal? or is it only a temporal punishment in this "world, for their good, and to be succeeded by eternal hap-" piness after death?" It has already been shewn that these two propositions exhibit the two sides of one and the same question. The latter clause, which was designed to present the negative of the main question in a peculiar form, my opponent has undertaken to support. In his 4th Lecture, a he says, "My evidence will be derived from two sources: first "from the law of reason; and secondly, from the law of the "scriptures" To shew you how perfectly unnecessary it is to meet him on the ground of reason, I will give you only one instance of his skill in this way, where he has made sad work of the attributes of God, as well as of the human constitution. The following are his own words, viz. "In a Phi-"losophical sense, there is not full power, so long as the ef-" fect is not produced; for all that we know of power is by "the effect." b It is easy to see that this principle would prove not only our own inability to procure, but the inability of the Creator to bestow, any blessing, cerporeal or spiritual, temporal or eternal, which has not already been obtained. And this he calls philosophy!

### CONFIRMATION.

To prove that the punishment of the wicked is absolutely eternal, my evidences shall be brought from the word of God. The importance of the subject and the necessity of giving it a candid and devout attention have already been urged. My proofs shall be given under five heads. 1. This doctrine shall be fairly inferred from the scriptural account of the attributes of God, and the character and condition of mankind. 2. Several scripture texts shall be produced, which, in various forms of expression, imply this doctrine. 3. It shall be shewn that the scriptures point out such a contrast between the righteous and the wicked, as to their character, standing, and future destiny, as can be satisfactorily explained, only by admitting the eternal punishment of the wicked. 4. Its eternity shall be proved by negative expressions of scripture, in which its termination is plainly denied. 5. It shall be proved by passages of scripture, in which the doctrine is affirmed. Thus you have my division, under the denominations of inference, implication, contrast, negation and

a p. 85.

b Presbyt-m verses Presbyt-m, p. 15.

affrmation. These terms, used for convenience, were the best that I could procure. The two first appear synonimous: though it will be found that the first is a deduction from general doctrines, and the second from particular figures of expression.

## FIRST ORTHODOX ARGUMENT.

#### INFERENCE.

This doctrine shall be fairly inferred from the scriptural account of the attributes of God, and the character and condition of mankind. As these are topics to which both parties resort, the impartial consideration of the divine attributes. postponed from the 5th Universalist argument, was promised in this place. These we propose to treat of in two classes; which, from the appearance of things, and for distinction's sake, we will call the milder and the severer attributes of God. The various subjects embraced under this first argument. shall with divine assistance, be considered in the following order. I. God's goodness, love, mercy and grace, 2. In connexion with these, the scriptural plan of salvation. 8. God's justice and righteousness, holiness and truth. 4. In connexion with these, the scriptural account of sin. 5. The helplessness of sinners. 6. In connexion with this, the scriptural account of the character, influence and dominion of that society to which the curse has exposed and subjected them.

I. The milder attributes of God, such as goodness, love, mercy, and grace. To shew what we are to expect from these, my opponent quotes such as the following passages. "For great is thy mercy toward me; and thou hast delivered my soul from the lowest hell." "They shall abundantly "utter the memory of thy great goodness, and shall sing of "thy righteousness. The Lord is gracious, and full of com-"pussion; slow to anger, and of great mercy. The Lord is " good to all; and his tender mercies are over all his works." "Thou openest thy hand and satisfiest the desire of every "living thing." "That ye may be like your Father which "is in Heaven; for he maketh his sun to rise on the evil and "on the good, and sendeth rain on the just and unjust." On this subject. Mr. Winchester, in his Fourth Dialogue, asks his friend the following question. "If you had as much pow-" er as good will, would you not bring all to bow to the scep-"tre of grace, and to be reconciled to God through Jesus

a Ps. Ixxxi. 13. exiv. 7. 8, 9, 16. Matt. v. 45. Miautes pp. 121, 179, 180.

"Christ?" In order to prove that God's goodness will impart happiness and salvation to all whom he knows and governs, that is, to the universe, Mr. Ballou says, "If God" be infinitely good, his goodness is commensurate with his power and knowledge; then all beings, whom his power produced, are the objects of his goodness; and to prove that any being was destitute of it, would prove that "Deity's knowledge did not comprehend such being."

If these men have spoken truly, and handled the Scriptures

aright, they have proved several very strange things!

1. As we are, in this, as well as in the future world, the subject of God's knowledge and power, and as he exercises infinite goodness toward all the subjects of his infinite knowledge and power, therefore we must enjoy a perfect exemption from all pain, and the full satisfaction of all our desires, in this world as well as that which is to come! Men who can, by so plain an implication, deny the suffering condition of this groaning and travailing creation, under the omniscient inspection of Almighty God, are ready, with Berkeley, to deny the existence of matter, and with Hume, to deny the existence of mind.

2. The use which they have made of Matt. v. 45, shews that they do not think faith and holiness necessary to our acceptance with God; but that he is equally favourable to the evil and the good, the just and the unjust, not only in sending temporal blessings, such as the sun and the rain, but in bestowing salvation. That this doctrine does encourage sinners to live in unbelief, and in the exercise of the most awful presumption, cannot be concealed from the knowledge of Universalists themselves. Here we need not recount facts which have occurred in our own country. or in this city. We shall be satisfied with one which came under Mr. Winchester's own observation. In his Fifth Dialogue he says, " A " Reverend Divine, whom I knew, (living not long since) had "encouraged this wickedly presumptuous disposition, so far "as openly to say, God cannot damn me; he can as soon cease " to be God, as he can cast me off; even though I should sin "ever so much. If I should kill a man, he could not damn me; " nay if I should kill all the men in the world, he cannot dams "me." Although Mr. Winchester had taught that God's good will and power were commensurate in their application;—the very doctrine which the above blasphemer applied to his own case; his ears are so stunned by the hissinga

a On Atonement p. 182.

of his own brood, that he is obliged to condemn and expose the fruit of his own labours. He says, "At length the displeasure of the Almighty coming visibly upon him, this "rhapsodist changed his tone, and exclaimed that God had "forsook him!" He then proceeds to "advise all men of that bold, presuming, self-confident spirit, to read and consider well those words in Jer, xxii. 24. 'As I live, saith Jehovah, though Coniah, the son of Jehoiakim, King of Judah, were the signet upon my right hand; yet would I pluck thee thence.'"

3. If the principles and authorities advanced by my opponent, and Messrs. Winchester and Ballou are relevant, they not only prove the present and eternal salvation and uninterrunted happiness of men and devils, believers and unbelievers. but of the irrational creation universally. They quote Ps. exly. 16. "Thou openest thy hand, and satisfiest the desire of every living thing," By this passage I understand that the daily provisions of the young lion, the raven, and the sparrow come from God, and that not one of these creatures falls to the ground without his knowledge. But many do fall to the ground and perish, with their desires satisfied or unsatis-So Providence sends all the comforts which men enjoy. yet many die because their wants are not supplied, and many perish forever because their wicked desires are satisfied. "For the wicked boasteth of his heart's desire, and blesseth "the covetous, whom the Lord abhorreth." "So they did eat. "and were well filled; for he gave them their own desire: "they were not estranged from their lust. But while their "meat was yet in their mouths, the wrath of God came up-" on them, and slew the fattest of them, and smote down the "chosen men of Israel." "And he gave them their request, " but sent leanness into their souls." "The desire of the " wicked shall perish."a

The view which the Orthodox give of the attributes of God is reasonable and scriptural. They never pretend to measure the perfections of God by the objects upon which they are exercised; for if they were, they must either find an infinite creation, or a finite Creator. Are the milder attributes of God less than infinite, because he has pronounced and inflicted a curse upon the inferior creation in consequence of Adam's fall? Are these perfections diminished because "man is born unto trouble as the sparks fly upward?" God foreknew, foretold, and brought to pass the

a Pa. x. S. bxxvili, 29. evi, 15. exii, 10.

destruction of Jerusalem; in which tender mothers were impelled by the phrenzy of unsatisfied hunger, to eat the desire of their eyes. Did these sufferings, or did the ineffable anguish of the Divine Redeemer, when bearing the curse due to our offences, tarnish the infinite lustre of the divine goodness? As infinite power has created a finite universe, so has infinite sovereignty made a limited application of divine mercy.

That this application of mercy is more limited than our finite creation, will appear from plain declarations of Holy Writ. The season of its exercise is there spoken of as a time of light, a day of grace, of salvation and of visitation, of God's presence, to open the eyes of sinners, and make their hearts tender and fruitful. The express limitations of this season of mercy may now be given

in the following particulars.

1. The scriptures speak of a time of light, which, with regard to some, lasts for a limited period, and is followed by darkness. "Then Jesus said unto them, yet a little while "is the light with you. Walk while ye have the light, lest darkness come upon you; for he that walketh in darkness, "knoweth not whither he goeth, While ye have the light, believe in the light, that ye may be children of light." a

2. There is a limited day of grace, after which sinners are hardened. "Wherefore as the Holy Ghost saith, to day if "ye will hear his voice, harden not your hearts, as in the "provocation, in the day of temptation, in the wilderness." But exhort one another daily, while it is called to day; "lest any of you be hardened through the deceitfulness of sin."

3. God has ordained an accepted time, and appointed a limited day of salvation and of visitation. "Thus saith the "Lord, in an acceptable time have I heard thee, and in a day "of salvation have I helped thee." "For he saith. I have "heard thee in a time accepted, and in the day of salvation "have I succoured thee: Behold! now is the accepted time, behold new is the day of salvation." "And when he was "come near, he beheld the city, and wept over it: saying, if "thou hadst known, even thou, at least in this thy day, the "things which belong unto thy peace! but now they are hid "from thine eyes. For the days shall come upon thee, that "thine enemies shall cast a trench about thee, and compass

a John xij. 85 55.

"thee round, and keep thee in on every side, and shall lay "thee even with the ground, and thy children within thee; and they shall not leave in thee one stone upon another; because thou knewest not the time of thy visitation." "Seek ye the Lord while he may be found, call ye upon him while he is near." "For this shall every one that is "godly, pray unto thee in a time when thou mayest be found. "Surely in the floods of great waters they shall not come nigh unto him:" "Then shall they call upon me, but I "will not answer; they shall seek me early, but they shall not find me-"a

4. There is a time when those who walk in the darkness of depravity, and harden their hearts, and blind their eyes, and refuse to seek the Lord, or call upon his name, shall, like barren trees, be cut down, and cast into the fire, and thus deprived forever of that mercy which they here despised. "Then said he unto the dresser of his vineyard, behold! these " three years I come seeking fruit on this fig-tree, and finding "none: cut it down; why cumbereth it the ground?" "And " now also, the axe is laid unto the root of the trees; therefore "every tree which bringeth not forth good fruit, is hewn "down, and cast into the fire." And there we are plainly told they shall lie, and never be able to rise again. " If the "tree fall toward the south or toward the north; in the place " where the tree falleth, there it shall be." "There are the "workers of iniquity fallen: they are cast down, and shall " not be able to rise." "Because I have called, and ve refus-"ed; I have stretched out my hand, and no man regarded; a but ye have set at nought all my counsel, and would none of "my reproof: I also will laugh at your calamity: I will "mock when your fear cometh: When your fear cometh as "desolation, and your destruction cometh as a whirlwind; " when distress and anguish cometh upon you: Then shall "they call upon me, but I will not answer; they shall seek "me early, but they shall not find me: for that they hated "knowledge, and did not choose the fear of the Lord: They "would none of my counsel: they despised all my reproof: "Therefore shall they eat of the fruit of their own way, and "be filled with their own devices: For the turning away of " the simple shall slay them, and the prosperity of fools shall " destroy them." We need hardly mention that Paul calls this, being "punished with everlasting destruction." Thus

a Isa. xlix. 8. 2 Cor. vi. 2. Luke xix. 41—14. Isa. lv. 6. Ps. xxxii. 6. Prov. i. 28.

b Luke xiii. 7. Matt. iii. 10. Beeles.xi. 3. Ps. 36. 12. Prov. i. 24—32. 2 Thess. i. 9

shall stubborn unbelievers have judgment without mer-

cy.

II. Having shewn that the eternal punishment of the wicked is fairly inferred from scriptural limitations of divine mercy to those who embrace this mercy by faith, (a doctrine which has, in one shape or another occupied our time from the commencement of this debate,) much has, of course been anticipated, in proof that the scriptural plan of salvation infers the eternal punishment of incorrigible offenders. God has shewn plainly the channel through which exclusively his salvation is conveyed to lost sinners. It is through the love of God. the mediation of Christ, the operations of his Spirit, the dispensations of his Providence, the revelation of his will, and a corresponding subjugation of our will to his. All who are saved, must, according to the scriptures, be saved in this way. That there are some sinners who are not saved in this way. and must, of course, be eternally lost, may be concisely shewn by an induction of particulars.

1. The love of God. From what was said above, of God's milder attributes, such as his goodness, love, mercy, and grace, it appears, that there are some whose day of light and grace, of visitation and salvation, shall be succeeded by darkness and despair, banishment and everlasting destruction. It was proved that they should have judgment without mercy, and divine wrath instead of love. Before Rebecca's children were yet born, or had done good or evil, God said "Jacob have I loved, but Esau have I hated." Their lives corresponded with their respective destinies: and there is not an iota of evidence that God's love shall ever be withdrawn from pious Jacob, or his hatred removed from wicked Esau. "What shall we say then? Is there unrighteousness with "God? God forbid. For he saith to Moses, I will have "mercy on whom I will have mercy, and I will have com-"passion on whom I will have compassion." There are thousands who are so much engaged in making laws for their Creator, and passing judgment upon his actions, that they fall short of that repentance to which his goodness might otherwise lead them. "Despisest thou the riches of his "goodness and forbearance and long suffering; not knowing "that the goodness of God leadeth thee to repentance? but "after thy hardness, and impenitent heart, treasurest up "unto thyself, wrath against the day of wrath, and revela-

a Rom. 9; 10-15.

" tion of the righteous judgment of God, who will render to

"every man according to his deeds."

2. The mediation of Christ. In what was said of Christ's offices, under the 8th, 9th, and 10th Universalist arguments, it was proved that although he was the light of the world, there were some who loved darkness rather than light, and who should be cast into the blackness of darkness forever, -that although he was the universal sovereign, some of his subjects would continue rebellious, and should be slain before him; --- and that although he was a successful High Priest for Jews and Gentiles, without respect of persons, it was only for those among them who feared God and wrought righteousness, only for those whom the Father had given him. For the lost part of mankind he refuses to pray. Nay, he prays against Judas, and his spiritual children who have since canonized that Arch Traitor. "Add iniquity unto "their iniquity, and let them not come into thy righteousness. "Let them be blotted out of the book of the living, and not be "written with the righteous." "Set thou a wicked man " over him, and let Satan stand at his right hand. When he "shall be judged, let him be condemned, and let his prayer "become sin." "Let there be none to extend mercy unto "him; neither let there be any to favour his fatherless chil-"dren." "Let this be the reward of mine adversaries from "the Lord, and of them that speak evil against my soul." These are the words of the Spirit of Christ, and these are the scriptures which were fulfilled, when the son of perdition went to his own place. b

3. The operations of the Holy Spirit. "If ye live after the flesh, ye shall die: but if ye, through the Spirit do mor'tify the deeds of the body, ye shall live. For as many as 
'are led by the Spirit of God, they are the Sons of God."
'Now if any man have not the Spirit of Christ, he is none 
'of his." "Except a man be born of water and of the Spirit, 
'be cannot enter into the kingdom of God." But there are 
some concerning whom God has said, "My Spirit shall not 
'always strive with man." "But they rebelled and vexed 
'his Holy Spirit: Therefore he was turned to be their ene'my, and he fought against them." "Whosoever speaketh 
'against the Holy Ghost, it shall not be forgiven him, 
'a meither in this world, neither in the world to come."

a Rosa. 2; 4—11. b John 17; 12. Acts 1; 16—20, 25. Ps. 69; 27, 28. 109: 6—20. c Rosa, viii. 12. 14. 0. John iii. 5. Gen. vi. 3. Isa. lxiii. 10. Matt. xii. 39.

4. The dispensations of Providence. "All things work " together for good to them that love God, to them who are " the called according to his purpose." In the reception of sanctified mercies and sanctified afflictions, they grow in knowledge, grace, and usefulness. That it is not so with the wicked, is attested by those who have seen them in prosperity and adversity. "I was envious at the foolish, when "I saw the prosperity of the wicked. For there are no "bands in their death; but their strength is firm. They "are not in trouble as other men: neither are they plagued "like other men. Therefore pride compasseth them about "as a charm; violence covereth them as a garment. Their "eyes stand out with fatness; they have more than heart "could wish. They are corrupt, and speak wickedly con-"cerning oppression; they speak loftily. They set their "mouth against the heavens, and their tongue walketh "through the earth." "They shall fret themselves, and curse "their King and their God, and look upward." "And men "were scorched with great heat, and blasphemed the name " of God, which hath power over these plagues: and they "repented not to give him glory. And the fifth angel " poured out his vial upon the the seat of the beast; and his "kingdom was full of darkness; and they gnawed their tongues for pain; and blasphemed the God of Heaven be-"cause of their pains and their sores, and repented not of "their deeds."b

5. The revelation of the will of God. "This is life eter-" nal; that they might know thee the only true God, and "Jesus Christ whom thou has sent." " By his knowledge "[by the knowledge of him,] shall my righteous servant "justify many." "The law of the Lord is perfect, convert-"ing the soul: the testimony of the Lord is sure, making "wise the simple: the statutes of the Lord are right, rejoic-"ing the heart: the commandment of the Lord is pure, en-"lightening the eyes." "Wherewithal shall a young man " cleanse his way? By taking heed thereto, according to "thy word." "From a child, thou hast known the Holy "Scriptures, which are able to make thee wise unto salva-"tion, through faith which is in Christ Jesus. All scrip-"ture is given by inspiration of God, and is profitable for "doctrine, for reproof, for correction, for instruction in "righteousness: that the man of God may be perfect, tho-"roughly furnished unto all good works." " Behold the davs

b Rom, viii, 28. Pa. lxxiii, 3-9. Isa, viii, 21. Rev. xvi, 9-11.

"come, saith the Lord God, that I will send a famine in "the land; not a famine of bread, nor a thirst for water, " but of hearing the words of the Lord: and they shall wan-" der from sea to sea, and from the north even to the east. "they shall run to and fro, to seek the word of the Lord, "and shall not find it." "And the word of the Lord was "precious in those days; there was no open vision." "Where there is no vision the people perish; but he that "keepeth the law, happy is he"a These authorities shew that in the salvation of sinners, the scriptures are an important and necessary means, of which many are left destitute in divine sovereignty, and without which, divine justice

permits many to perish.

6. The subjection of the heart to the law of Christ. "Kiss "the Son, lest he be angry, and ye perish from the way, "when his wrath is kindled but a little." "Except ye re-" pent, ye shall all likewise perish." "Except a man be, "born again, he cannot see the kingdom of God." "He that " believeth not is condemned already "-shall be condemned at the day of judgment;—and "shall go away into everlasting punishment." In relation to this subject we might quote all that the Scriptures say of the necessity of regeneration, repentance, and faith; justification, adoption and sanctification. Yet how many are there, who, instead of receiving Christ's yoke which is easy, and his burthen which is light, have "sent a message after him, saying, we will not "have this man to reign over us!" how many still retain that temper "which is not subject to the law of God neither "indeed can be!" Concerning every such character, the Judge has declared that he "will appoint him his portion " among unbelievers."b

It will be observed that the above six particulars all converge in the cross of Christ. "Neither is there salvation in "any other: for there is none other name under heaven "given among men, whereby we must be saved." The love of God sent a Saviour, who willingly undertook the mediatorial work. The Spirit and Providence and word of God present and convey a Saviour; and, blessed be God, the hearts of his people, through grace, receive a Saviour. That there are many who forever reject this salvation, cannot rea-

Digitized by Google

s John xvii. 3. Isa, liii. 11. Ps. xix. 7. 8. 11. ix. 9. 2 Tim. iii. 15—17. Amos viii. 11. 12. 1 Sam. iii. 1. Prov. xxix. 18. b Ps. ii. 12. Lake xii. 3. John ili. 3. 18. Matt. xxv. 41. 46. Lake xix. 14. Rom. viii. 7. Lake xii. 46. e Aets iv. 12.

sonably be denied, and is in fact admitted by Winchester himself. Their salvation then is an effect without a cause. They have no interest in the love of God, which is the procuring cause of salvation; -in the mediation of Christ, which is the meritorious cause; -in the grace of his Spirit which is the efficacious cause; -no improvement under his providence, which is the accidental cause;—nor under his word, which is the instrumental cause on the part of God; nor do they exercise that saving faith, out of a new heart, which is the instrumental cause on the part of man. Now, according to the Scriptures, these are the only possible causes of salvation.—But there are confessedly some on whom they do not, and never shall operate. Therefore, there are some who never shall enjoy that salvation which is the effect of these causes.

It was asserted above, that Mr. Winchester admits the minor proposition of the syllogism just now repeated; that there are many, who forever reject this salvation. This was said on the authority of the Rev. Elisha Andrews, who quotes from his works the following words, viz. "They who lived "and died in rebellion against God, will be eternally depri-"ved of the glories and honours of the kingdom of Christ" As he advocated a limited punishment in the future world, it is his well-known sentiment that some have lived and died in rebellion against God, and "will be condemned in the day of judgment, and punished in the lake of fire." To the same amount Mr. Andrewsd quotes another passage from Mr. Winchester, in the following words, viz. "The sin against "the Holy Ghost is an offence of that kind, that, either owing " to its uncommon malignity, as is most likely, or some other "cause, exposes the guilty person to the age of judgment from "which he cannot escape by repentance, pardon, and sprink-"ling of the blood of Christ, as other sinners may." does Mr. Winchester admit that there are some sinners who are "eternally deprived of the glories and honors of the "kingdom of Christ" and "cannot escape by repentance, "pardon, and sprinkling of the blood of Christ." These who believe that there is no salvation in any other, will conclude that these sinners can never be saved.

Mr. Winchester has generally passed himself off for a firm

ments. Part I. Section 31.

b See his Candid Examination of the Moral Tendency of the Doctrine of Universal Salvation, as taught by its advocates, p. 78.

a See Lampe's Philologico-theological Dissertation on the Eternity of Punish-

<sup>·</sup> Dislorue 5th. d p.77. e Acts 4 : 12.

believer in the divinity and atonement of Christ. Unless these professions were swelling words of vanity, he must have considered him not only the Saviour of minor offenders, but of the most desperate transgressors that shall ever see heaven. Yet how different is the representation given in the above extracts! Does he believe that some who are saved. shall nevertheless be "eternally deprived of the glories "and honors of the kingdom of Christ?" Is it because Christ will frown upon any of his own purchase? No; but because their sins are of such "uncommon malignity" that they "cannot escape by repentance, pardon, and sprinkling of the "blood of Christ." He would have us believe that there are sins of too deep a dye to be washed away in that blood "that speaketh better things than that of Abel." He would persuade us that Christ, whom God, hath "exalted with his "right hand, to be a Prince and a Saviour, for to give repen-" tance to Israel, and forgiveness of sins," cannot impart "repentance and pardon" to such gross offenders. They must therefore perish forever, or find a Saviour whose blood can cleanse them from sins of such "uncommon malignity." Who this transcendant Saviour is, will appear from the following extract from the same author, and through the same channela viz. "As they have lived and died in sin, their " destruction or misery is certain, and there is no remedy " that can prevent their experiencing the consequences of their "crimes, and suffering the just punishment which shall be " inflicted upon them, according to their different deserts." From the pen of a christian, this language would evidently convey the doctrine of eternal punishment. This was far from Mr. Winchester's meaning. He is here speaking of persons whom he vainly expects to reach heaven at last. Upon what ground? Because Christ suffered, the just for the unjust? No; they cannot escape by the sprinkling of the blood of Christ; this will not do for sins of such uncommon malignity! How then would Mr. Winchester save them? He would have them save themselves, by meeting the demands of the law in their own persons, and " suffering the "just punishment which shall be inflicted upon them, accor-"ding to their different deserts." One would suppose that it is not now difficult to tell what Mr. Winchester means by saying that such a man will be eternally deprived of the glories of Christ's kingdom. Would that man who, after committing crimes of uncommon malignity, had, in his own per-

a Andrews p. 74.

son, breasted the storm of divine vengeance, and made full satisfaction to the law of God, without any need of repentance, pardon, or vicarious sufferings; ——would that man be satisfied with the glory of a Saviour whose blood was inadequate to an atonement which he had effected in his own person? Is it not easy to see that he would assume as great a pre-eminence over the church and its Divine Head in the other world, as such characters generally do in this world? Such is the profane contempt which Universalism, even in the hands of this specious impostor, throws upon the only Redeener of lost sinners.

III. The several attributes of God, such as his justice and righteousness, holiness and truth. On these subjects I shall give plain scripture authority, which goes to shew that these attributes of God require the punishment; and the eternal punishment of the wicked. "And they cried with a loud "voice, saying, how long, O Lord Holy and TRUE! dost "thou not judge and avenge our blood on them that dwell "on the earth?" "And the third angel poured out his vial "upon the rivers and fountains of water, and they became "blood. And I heard the angel of the waters say, thou art "RIGHTROUS. O Lord! which art and wast and shalt be. "because thou hast judged thus. For they have shed the "blood of saints and prophets, and thou hast given them "blood to drink; for they are worthy." "And after these "things, I heard a great voice of much people in heaven, say-"ing. Alleluia! Salvation, and glory, and honor, and power "unto the Lord our God: for TRUB and RIGHTBOUS are his "judgments: for he hath judged the great whore, which did "corrupt the earth with her fornication, and hath avenged "the blood of his servants at her hand. And again they said. "Alleluia! and her smoke rose up for ever and ever." " See-"ing it is a RIGHTEOUS thing with God, to recompense tri-"bulation to them that trouble you; and to you who are "troubled, rest with us, when the Lord Jesus shall be re-"vealed from heaven, with his mighty angels, in flaming fire, "taking vengeance on them that know not God, and that "obey not the gospel of our Lord Jesus Christ; who shall "be punished with everlasting destruction from the presence " of the Lord, and from the glory of his power; when he " shall come to be glorified in his saints, and to be admired "in all them that believe, (because our testimony among you " was believed,) in that day." "

a Rev. 6; 10. 16; 4-6. 19: 1-3. 2 Thess. 1; 6-10.

In these passages God is said to judge the wicked,—his decisions are called judgments;—they are said to be pronounced in that day, when the Lord Jesus shall be revealed from heaven with his mighty angels;——the punishment is everlasting even forever and ever:—it is inflicted because the wicked are worthy according to the strict principles of justice;—because it is a righteous thing with God to recompense tribulation to them; because God is the "Lord Holy and "True," and "True and Righteous are his judgments." These authorities shew that divine justice and truth require the everlasting punishment of the wicked, and that their bebeing imprisoned until they pay the uttermost farthing of their debt, in a confinement to an absolute eternity. They prove that those who have vainly depended on their own sufferings to make satisfaction to justice, will be awfully disappointed; and it has already been shown in the two foregoing heads, that it will be then too late to escape through the vicarious satisfaction of Christ.

But there is another way of trying to evade the force of this argument. Lampe, in his "Dissertation on the Eternity of "Punishments." a tells us of certain characters "who admit "that God has threatened those punishments in his word, "although, as Supreme Legislator, he is at liberty to dis-"pense with the execution, and to grant some mitigation, "though unknown to us. That there were some, even in " Chrysostom's time, who held this sentiment, I gather from " his Homily on the resurrection. 'How,' says he, 'shall I " persuade you? When I say their worm shall not die, their " fire shall not be quenched; when I say they shall go "into eternal fire; when I set before you the rich voluptua-"ry now wailing in the flames, you say, these are only threats. "This is the satanical doctrine b which renders the grace "given to you useless, and makes you unfruitful.' Of the "same stamp were those of whom Augustine, in his City of "God, 21: 24, says, 'This holds good against those, who in \* pleading their own cause, attempt, under pretence of great-" er compassion, to contravene the words of God, asserting That they are true only in as far as they shew what men deserve a to suffer, not what they actually shall suffer." To come nearer home, Dr. Huntingdon has adopted the same mode of interpretation, in the following words, viz. "That the wis-"dom of God saw fit, for a time, to leave man in such ignor-

b Satanicum hos est verbum.

a Part 2 Seet. 8.

" rance and darkness of mind, that he should mistake that " which was spoken only as the voice of justice, for a decla-"ration of very fact." If our interpretation be a mistake, as he here represents it, then the scriptures do not really threaten eternal punishment, but only appear to do it, on ac. count of our "ignorance and darkness of mind." What shall we think then, when Dr. Huntingdon himself confesses that this is no vain appearance, but a solid reality. The following question and answer are in his own words; viz. " does the bible plainly say, that sinners of mankind shall be "damned to interminable punishment? It certainly does, "as plainly as language can express, or any man, or even "God himself can speak." a His assertion therefore, that God will not execute a threat which he has made, "as plaino ly as language can express," is a denial of his truth; is a contravention of the words of God, as Augustine represents it, and of course, a satanical doctrine, as Chrysostom calls it. It deserves, to be classed with those rules of interpretation by which they first assert that the language is parabolical, or popular, and then conclude that it is false.

Among the many cases which are cited against the veracity of heaven, we need mention only two which appear to be chiefly relied upon. The first is in Gen. ii: 17. "But of " the tree of knowledge of good and evil, thou shalt not eat "of it: for in the day that thou eatest thereof, thou shalt " surely die." The second is in Jonah iii. 4, " And Jonah "began to enter into the city a day's journey, and he cried " and said, yet forty days, and Nineveh shall be overthrown." These threatenings, it is declared, never were executed, and never will be, either in the actual transgressors, or their Substitute or Surety. "The argument," says Lampeb "upon " which they chiefly rest their opinion, is this; that threat-" enings do not produce the same obligation which promises " do: since he who threatens, assumes the character of a cre-"ditor, whilst he against whom the threat is denounced, be-" comes a debtor. On the contrary, he who promises be-" comes a debtor to him to whom the promise is made. Now " it is perfectly competent to a creditor to remit a debt, "whereas a debtor is bound to all that he has promised." This theory has at least, as much evidence in Revelation, as the vortices of Cartesius have in nature. It is a profane figment, invented to account for that which was not under-

a Andrews pp. 32, 33.b Part 2d, Sect. 8.

stood: and it, doubtless came from the same stupid irreverence, which has so often charged the Almighty with neglecting to fulfil his promises, as well as his menaces. "There "shall come in the last days scoffers walking after their own "lusts, and saying, where is the promise of his coming?" For charging God with a breach of promise, he made the

Israelites wander forty years in the wilderness.

The Bible says that God "doth not afflict willingly, nor grieve "the children of men:" by yet, according to the above theory, all the sufferings of the creation in time and eternity are inflicted, not because the honour of God requires the execution of justice, but because he afflicts willingly and gratuitously. The distinguishing love of Christ, in taking upon him our nature, instead of the nature of fallen angels, is highly celebrated in the Scriptures: yet, according to this theory, his sufferings were unnecessary, as there was nothing in divine truth and justice, to hinder the salvation of men and devils without a Mediator.

But what saith the scripture about the faithfulness of God in the execution of his threatenings? "Hear this word that "the Lord hath spoken against you, O Children of Israel! "against the whole family which I brought up from the land " of Egypt, saying, you only have I known of all the families " of the earth: therefore I will punish you for all your iniqui-"ties." "As I live, saith the Lord, though Coniah, the son " of Jehoiakim, King of Judah were the signet upon my right "hand, yet would I pluck thee thence." "And also the 44 strength of Israel will not lie nor repent: for he is not a "man that he should repent." On the two first of these texts Winchester speaks well. Take a part of his remarks on the second. "Consider first, who speaks. Jehovah: He not "enly speaks, but confirms his threatening with an oath; and "because he can swear by no greater, he swore by himself, "by his own life: As I live, saith, Jehovah, though Coniah, "the son of Jehoiakim, King of Judah;' though he is of the fami-" ly of David, with whom I have made an everlasting coven-"ant, ordered in all things, and sure; and though he is " anoisted King over my people; I will not spare him: yea "though he were the signet upon my right hand, yet " would I pluck thee thence." In another place he says, "I as much believe as you or any other man can do, that all

a 2 Pet. iii. 3, 4. Num. xiv. 3. 34.

b Lam. iii. 33.

c Amos iii. 2. Jer. xxii: 24. 1 Sam. xv. 29.

"the threatenings will be fulfilled upon the finally impeni"tent." Although Bishop Newton was an Universalist, he speaks on this subject in the following manner, viz. "If God "will not execute as well as threaten, why doth he threaten "at all? Is it not more suitable to the character of a God of "truth, and becoming the simplicity and sincerity of a divine "revelation, to declare the truth, and nothing but the truth, "and leave it to work upon men as it can, rather than de"nounce, in the most solemn manner, what was never in"tended, and what shall never come to pass; and so en"deavour to alarm them with false fears, and to work upon "them with false persuasions, which have nothing to answer "them?"

That the theory which we are opposing does impeach the veracity of the Almighty, as the Bishop here intimates, is evident from the third text quoted above, from 1 Sam. 15: 29. In the preceding verse, the prophet Samuel said to king Saul. "The Lord hath rent the kingdom of Israel from thee "this day, and hath given it to a neighbour of thine that is "better than thou." Although this, like other predictions, is given in an historical form, it is evidently a threat. repented not of sin, but, as he had seen much of the forbearance of the God of Israel, he was tempted to hope that he would repent of his threatening, after the manner of earthly parents, who, through a sinful infirmity, neglect to inflict a threatened punishment. Samuel, aware of this, intimates unequivocally, that such a suspicion would virtually give the lie to his Maker. He therefore adds in the very next words to the threatening, " And also the strength of Israel will not "lie nor repent: for he is not a man that he should repent."

What does the prophet mean by saying that God " is not a "man that he should repent?" Do not the scriptures frequently ascribe repentance to God? Yes, but not man's sort of repentance. When man repents of a threatening, or neglects to execute it, we may account for it on the ground of caprice, corruption by bribery, or conviction of error; on the ground of forgetfulness or fickliness, fear, favour or feebleness. But none of these causes can justly be attributed to that God who is infinitely wise and powerful, steadfast, holy and upright. He has no such pusillanimous fears, nor personal partialities as would move him to pass by sins for which there is no atonement. His is the repentance of God

b Andrews, p. 27.

a Dialogue 5th. Andrews p. 74.

He never repents of his threatenings and not of man. against the finally impenitent, and whenever a man repents of sin, God never fails to repent of his previous threats against him. "At what instant I shall speak concerning a nation. "and concerning a kingdom, to pluck up, and to pull down, "and to destroy it; if that nation against whom I have pro-"nounced, turn from their evil, I will repent of the evil that "I thought to do unto them. And at what instant I shall "speak concerning a nation, and concerning a kingdom, to "build and to plant it; if it do evil in my sight, that it obey "not my voice, then I will repent of the good, wherewith I "said I would benefit them." These are the gospel principles, in the maintenance of which, Jeremiah threatened the house of Israel. To these principles Jonah yielded a reluctant accordance when threatening the Ninevites. "Was not "this my saying when I was yet in my country? Therefore " I fled before unto Tarshish; for I knew that thou art a gra-"cious God, and merciful, slow to anger, and of great kind-"ness, and repentest thee of the evil." From Jonah or from Jonah's God, the king of Nineveh had learned the same doctrine: for which reason he proclaimed a fast, and said "Let "man and beast be covered with sackcloth, and cry mightily " unto God: yea, let them turn every one from his evil way, and from the violence that is in their hands. Who can tell aif God will turn and repent, and turn away from his fierce \* anger, that we perish not."

These passages are abundant evidence in the question whether the threatening against Nineveh was absolute or conditional. If Jonah believed it to be absolute, why did he complain of that grace and mercy through which God remits the threatened punishment on condition of repentance and faith? If the Ninevites considered it absolute, why did they repent and believe, with the express hope that on these conditions, God would "repent and turn away from his fierce "anger that we perish not?" If God intended it to be absolute, why did he publish, as an invariable rule of his universal government, that if a nation repented of the sin, he would repent of the evil? These things shew that the menace was really conditional; that it was so intended on the part of God, and that it was so understood by the prophet and those to whom he addressed it. They were to be destroyed in forty days unless they repented ;-but they did repent ;-there-

a Jer. 18 : 7-10. b Joseph 4 : 2.

o Jonah 3; 8, 9.

fore the threat did not call for the immediate destruction of the identical offenders, but was accomplished without it.

Whether the same principle will apply to the threat against Adam for eating the forbidden fruit, need not now be discussed. "For in the day that thou eatest thereof thou shalt surely die." Suffice it to say, that if this threat, like that against Nineveh, left room for the interposition of the seed of the woman, and if Adam laid hold of this gracious plan of salvation, as did the Ninevites, then there was no call for his destruction. In such a case it was not the intention of the Almighty that the punishment should be inflicted upon the offending individual, but upon that Saviour whom his faith embraced. If, by a living faith, he did receive the promise offered to him directly after his fall, then he was immediately justified; and in receiving forgiveness through faith. his sins were transferred by imputation to "the Lamb slain from the foundation of the world; in whom he died vicariously, as Isaac is said to have died and arisen again figuratively, in the ram which God sent to die in his place, as a type of his divine Surety.b

But even supposing that Adam did not receive the offered mercy, does the language of the threat necessarily imply that he should expire within twenty-four hours of his transgression? Let it be remembered that this whole theory rests upon a restricted, and I might say, arbitrary interpretation of a monographical Hebrew particle. But this particle which is here used in connexion with day, and translated "in the day," is; in Numb. xxviii. 26, used in connexion with weeks, and as correctly translated, "after the weeks." Even if the threat had been executed a thousand years after that day instead of in that very day, the Apostle has told us that "one day is with the Lord as a thousand years, and a thousand years as one day." This declaration is made by Peter for the very same purpose for which it is quoted here; to prove that " the Lord is not slack concerning his promise as some men count slackness."d

The truth is that the prophetic Scriptures often, if not usually, speak of a thing as being done on the day in which a decree is published that it shall be done. Of this description is

d 2 Pet. iii. 8. 9.

Digitized by Google

a Rev. xiii. 8. bHebr. xi. 19.

eThis fact, though mentiohed by Parkhurst, never occurred to me, until suggested by a Physician of this place, to whose kindness I am much indebted, in common with many of my Ministerial brethren.

that threatening which Samuel uttered against Saul, when he so forcibly assured him of the divine veracity in the execution of his menaces. "The Lord hath rent the kingdom of Israel " from thee THIS DAY, and hath given it to a neighbour of "thine that is better than thou." In respect of the date this threat is as express as that against Adam. The stripling who slew Goliah had not yet left his father's flocks. to the theory now before us, the transfer of the kingdom from Saul to David is to take place this day, or the threatening is not fulfilled at all. Was this the meaning of Samuel? or did Saul understand it thus? Both of them proceed as if they considered it only the declaration of a decree which was as certainly to be accomplished hereafter, as if it had already been fulfilled on this day. Why is Christ called "the Lamb slain from the foundation of the world," when, in fact, he was not literally slain until four thousand years after? Because the eternal decree of God, which contemplated this sacrifice. was published to Adam, in the promise of the seed of the woman to bruise the serpent's head; and because Adam commenced immediately to make believing draughts upon those resources of grace which, according to the purpose of God were egiven us in Christ Jesus before the world began." In Paul's discourse to the people of Antioch, b he shewed that David in Ps. ii. 7, spoke of the resurrection of Christ. "will declare the decree: The Lord hath said unto me, Thou "art my Son; this day have I begotten thee." Although the Son of God was mysteriously and imcomprehensibly begotten of the Father from all eternity (for there can be no Father without a Sono) yet was the body of Christ literally raised from the dead on this day, even the day on which David wrote! No: but the prophet declared the decree on this day; and this made his resurrection as certain as if it had already occurred: since it was the promise of that "God who quickeneth the " dead, and calleth those things which be not as though they "were."d But if HB who is the author of language and the judge of language, has chosen, in infinite sovereignty, to adopt such a style of prophecy, as declares the death and resurrection of the second Adam to be already past, thousands of years before they come to pass, is it any wonder that he should speak of the death of the first Adam, as taking place hundreds of years before he expired? If, in the true, consistent, and intelligible language of prophecy, he declared that

a 2 Tim. i. 9. b Acts xiii. 33.

e Hebr. i. 8. d Rom iv. 17.

David a type of Christ, had supplanted Saul, a type of Satan, on the very day of Saul's transgression, shall we impeach his veracity, when he declares that Adam shall die on the day of his transgression? If he who is seized with a mortal disease, or capitally condemned by the civil court, may say with truth, "I am a dead man," how much more emphatically may this be said of one against whom the sentence of heaven is past, and whose soul and body are seized with the leprosy of sin and mortality!

If therefore, the threat against Adam subjected him to disease and mortality, which is an inceptive death;—if it was pronounced in prophetic language which speaks of things in distant futurity as already past, and speaks of the publication of a decree as its substantial accomplishment;—if moreover, it was conditional, and that death which he afterward suffered was sent as a gracious blessing, instead of a legal curse;—then we say that the threat was executed in that sense in which it was intended to be performed, and according to the true import of language: wherefore, it was not only uttered as a measure of divine justice, but executed as an evidence of divine veracity.

When God pronounced the sentence of death upon Adam and the Ninevites, and when he says concerning all men, "the soul that sinneth, it shall die." how can these threats be truly considered a measure of divine justice, without being executed? Justice is a distribution of rewards and punishments, according to our deserts. According to justice, sin must be punished wherever it is found, and no being must be punished without sin. As it would be unjust to punish one who had no guilt, either personal or imputed, so would it be unjust to permit any sin of any responsible being to escape. without its legal measure of punishment, either in the individual offender or an adequate substitute. That the plan of redemption is not only a glorious illustration of divine grace and mercy, but an equally glorious vindication of divine truth and justice, is manifest from the whole word of God, and sometimes most conspicuously from those passages which are obtrusively urged against this doctrine. In the case of the Ninevites we do not find Jonah dreading their forgiveness under an apprehension that God was deficient in truth or justice, but he says "I knew that thou art a gracious "God and merciful." In the case of Adam we find that

a Ex 18: 4.

mercy and grace are revealed through the Seed of the woman. From a later writer we learn that this seed of the woman " is the end of the law for righteousness to every one "that believeth." . The end of the law! For what end was the law made? For obedience or disobedience? If the former then Christ, in becoming the end of the law, obeyed the law. Was the law made for the end that it might be prostrated, or that it might be satisfied! If Christ fulfilled all righteousness; if he magnified the law and made it honorable, then, in becoming the end of the law, he satisfied all its demands preceptive and penal. This he did for the forensic justification of believers; for he became the end of the law for righteousness, (or justification,) to every one that believeth," whether in the time of Adam or Jonah, or John the Baptist or John Wickliffe; "For all have, sinned and come "short of the glory of God; being justified freely, by his "grace, through the redemption that is in Christ Jesus; whom "God hath set forth to be a propitiation through faith in his "blood." As this redemption or propitiation was effected long after the time of these ancient transgressors, one might be tempted to question the righteousness of the most High, in the remission of these sins which were committed so long 250, and passed by with impunity by the forbearance of God. How can you at this time, vindicate the righteousness of God in this procedure? How can be just, and the justifier of sinners who lived and died before the atonement was made? The very next words of the Apostle, to those last quoted, are an answer to these enquiries. He represents God as setting forth this propitiation, not to relax his righteousness, or to show how he can justify a sinner contrary to his justice; but "to declare his righteousness for the remission of sins that "are past, through the forbearance of God; to declare, I "say, at this time, his righteousness; that he might be just, "and the justifier of him which believeth in Jesus." that the claims of the law are perfectly satisfied, through his one offering, in behalf of all believers in every age of the world, God's faithfulness and justice, which doom the impenitent to hell, require the salvation, of those who like the Ninevites, embrace the atonement. "If we confess our sins, "he is faithful and just to forgive us our sins, and to cleanse "us from all unrighteousness." d

a Rom. 10; 4. b Matt. iz. 15. Isa. xiii. 21.

e Rom. iii. 23—26. d 1 John i. 9. Z

Before my remarks on the divine perfections, as they have a bearing upon future punishment, are brought to a close, it is right to take some notice of that incongruity which the enemies of God think that they see between his severer and How, they say, can he be merciful, if he milder attributes. must satisfy his justice by the infliction of punishments which we would suppose cruel in the extreme? Can he who requires the condign punishment of every sin, either in the offender or his substitute, be said to exercise any grace or forgiveness at all? and can that heart be ineffably tender, which can voluntarily witness the most excruciating anguish in his fallen creatures to all eternity? Such objectors think that it is impossible for God to be just, and at the same time the merciful and forgiving justifier of the believer; although the scriptures assure us that the reconciliation of these two things, in the salvation of sinners, was the reason why Jesus

Christ was set forth as a propitiation.

If it would derogate from the mercy of God to inflict the threatened punishment upon Adam, what shall we say of the fact that through his sin, the whole world is brought into a a state of sin and misery? "For the creature was made "subject to vanity, not willingly, but by reason of him who "hath subjected the same in hope." What shall we say of the fact that, on account of this general and involuntary subjection to vanity, through Adam, "the whole creation groan-"eth and travaileth in pain together until now?"a takes place under the sovereign superintendance and the omniscient inspection of that God who is infinitely merciful as well as infinitely just. He is a voluntary witness of the cries of nascent infancy, the agonies of mature vigour, and the groans of expiring age. In the appropriate exercise of infinite tenderness of heart, he beholds his rebellious creatures in every country, and in every period of their protracted history, writhing under the pressure of sickness and sin, penury and persecution. He commissions his angels to destroy them; and his own providence sends the sword and pestilence, hurricane and earthquake, fire, flood and famine, to sweep millions from a life of suffering here, to fiercer and more enduring pains in another world: yet these terrible things in righteousness are consistent with infinite mercy.

Even in the same human bosom we sometimes find such a mixture of mercy and justice,—of lovely softness, and awful sternness, as excites the admiration of mankind. Of this we

a Rom. viii. 20, 22.

have a memorable example in the conduct of one whose name is dear to every American, and who was no less conspicuous for his love of peace, than for his skill in war. You all recollect that, under Providence, a single word from Washington could once have saved the life of Major Andre. Without detracting from the female character, it may be said with truth, that not a bosom among my fair audience, glows with more tender and unfeigned pity for suffering humanity, than did the breast of Washington. Yet justice steeled his heart against the united importunities of two contending na-The law condemns the prisoner to death. The vital interests of our country demand the execution of the righteous sentence. But can no mitigation be allowed? Remember that it was not personal or political animosity, but professional enthusiasm that brought him to our shores. He traversed the ocean to heal a wounded heart, and seek for glory in a foreign land. Whether he shall die the most disgraceful death, or die at all, is now to be decided. The General's feelings, needing no excitement, have already been excited by numerous written petitions. At last the youthful, blooming, smiling, accomplished soldier stands before him. His person and his manners kindle the admiring eves of myriads of spectators. Touched with the history of his life and his impending death, they turn their supplicating looks toward the arbiter of his fate. Compassion revelled in his bosom, glistened in his eye, and bedewed his manly cheek. But justice was enthroned in his inmost soul: and although the waves of popular desire added force to the flood of his own paternal feelings, they dashed against a rock which hid its summit in the clouds, and its base in the centre of the earth. He pitied but he pardoned not.

Hear what the God of justice and mercy says concerning impenitent convicts. "I will not pity, nor spare, nor have mercy, but destroy them;" or as the margin reads, "nor have mercy from destroying them;" that is, pity shall not make me spare them, nor mercy keep me from destroying them, "But is there no balm in Gilead? Is there no Physician there?" "Let Israel hope in the Lord: for with the Lord there is mercy, and with him is plenteous redemption." Every believer is "justified freely by his grace, through the redemption that is in Christ Jesus." "In him mercy and truth are met together: righteousness and peace have kissed each other."

e Jer. xiii, 14. Lam. il. 2. Zech. xi. 6. b Jer. viii, 22. Ps. exxx. 7. Rom. iii, 24. Ps. exxxv. 10.

Without this interposition of the Son of God to procure mercy for us, by satisfying justice for us, sinners never could have been saved in consistency with the divine attributes, and, therefore, never could have been saved at all. And so far is this plan from not deserving the name of mercy or grace, because justice is satisfied. this is the very consideration which enhances the lustre of these perfections beyond all conception. To forgive at the expense of purity or veracity, claims not our admiration; to save without a sacrifice is cheap and easy, and claims comparatively little gratitude. But when God's justice, which is essential to his nature, required that the sins of his enemies should be fully punished either in their own persons, or in his eternal Son, the only adequate substitute which the universe afforded; his interposition with such a sacrifice, may well excite the admiration of angels, and the contrition and eternal gratitude of all his blood-bought people. The sweetest ingredient in the glorious cup of salvation, is, that when immutable justice called for our destruction. "God so leved " the world, that he gave his only begotten Son [the just for "the unjust that whosoever believeth in him should not " perish but have everlasting life.".

IV. In connexion with the severer attributes of God, it was proposed to infer the doctrine of eternal punishment from the scriptural account of sin. It is not my intention here to discuss the question, whether there is or is not an infinite evil in every sin. You may easily conjecture my reason for omitting an argument which has been deemed of so much importance in this controversy, by President Edwards, Dr. Wylie, and other excellent writers. My remarks might possibly be adopted with equal ease by them, and by their brethren who deny that absolute infinity belongs to a creature or any of his actions; and who believe that there is as much of an objective infinity in obedience as in disobedience. As the best men that have everlived, inspired or uninspired, have had reason to pray that God would convince them of secret faults, it is probable that none but the infinite mind can ever see the least sin of the least sinner, in the extent of

its turpitude.

But let us see how universalism represents this greatest of all evils. My opponent speaks in the following language, viz. "As the diseases of the body are mere privations, of health, so the disorders of the mind are all merely negative,

a John iii. 16. 1 Pet. iii. 18.

" a lack or want of their contrary virtues; the same as dark-" ness is nothing more than the absence or want of light. " Hence ignorance, folly, injustice, hatred, cruelty, &c. are " only the want of knowledge, wisdom, justice, love, mercy, " &c. and therefore these are the sovereign remedies for the "mind. Yea, all the remedies for the moral maladies and "vices of the human heart, are to be sought for in their con-"trary virtues." Take notice, he declares that all sin is negative; and not only so, but it is "merely negative;" that is, there is nothing positive about it: and he applies this remark to such sins as "injustice, hatred, cruelty, &c." Now is it true that a man may lie, and cheat his neighbour and pollute his family and incur no positive guilt? Is there nothing more than a mere negation in swearing, gaming, sabbath-breaking, and intemperance? Does my opponent give this view of sin when he is speaking of the real or supposed offences of orthodox christians? What is it that gives such exquisite interest to the case of St. Augustine and his paramour, the case of John Knox and Cardinal Beatoun, and especially that of Calvin and Servetus? At the very mention of these subjects in an heretical circle, animation fills every heart, and eloquence moves every tongue. Every toad in the company thinks himself a pattern of purity and a giant of greatness. When they turn the conversation from characters of such transcendant talents, piety and usefulness, and contemplate the real faults of inferior men, their criminal code is revised and corrected; sin is not quite so odious: and by the time they are at the bottom of the scale, among Universalists and Unitarians, Atheists and Libertines, sin becomes a mere negation. In a character of this description, deliberate murder would only be a want of love! " Fools " make a mock at sin:"b and the necessary tendency of universalism towards this folly, shows its opposition to the Bible.

In that sacred volume we are taught that sin banished angels of light into eternal darkness. It was sin which removed Adam from the happy garden, and brought misery and death upon all his race. It was sin which destroyed the old world by water, and the fertile plain of Sodom by fire and brimstone. On account of sin, these elements shall melt with fervent heat; and for sin, he who made the world, and wields these elements, was made a whole burnt offering. Hereby perceive we the love of God, because he laid down "his life for us." So odious is sin that it must be fully pun-

a Lestures, p. 195. b Prov. ziv. 9. c 1 John, iii. 16.

ished even when found imputed to the Holv Jesus. So hateful is it, beyond a parallel, that the Apostle, for the want of a worse description, called sin "exceeding sinful," because there was nothing else as bad as itself. The purity of heaven cannot receive it: for "there shall in no wise enter " into it any thing that defileth, neither whatsoever worketh " abomination, or maketh a lie, but they which are written " in the Lamb's book of life.' b The holiness of God cannot bear it. "Thou art of purer eyes than to behold evil, and " canst not look on iniquity." He requires holiness in all "Follow peace with all men, and his glorified subjects. " holiness, without which no man shall see the Lord." His justice requires the punishment even of those sins which are committed while undergoing the punishment of former offen-"Every transgression and disobedience receiveth a "just recompense of reward." Thus does the scriptural account of sin, in connexion with the inspired account of God's holiness and justice, infer the eternal punishment of the finally impenitent.

V. We infer the same doctrine from what the Bible says of the helplessness of sinners. Here I shall undertake to speak but little of a distinction which some affect between natural and moral inability, whereby they would prove that a man who can do nothing without Christ, can do every thing without him. My sentiments on this subject, are those of the reformation; those which Luther advocated in opposition to Erasmus: those which the Westminster Assembly adopted; those which the Presbyterians of Scotland and America have received: those which the General Assembly of our church have ratified by a judicial decision; and those which are found in the oracles of God. I believe sincerely, as I professed to believe, when consenting to the Confession of Faith in my ordination, that " man, by his fall into a state "of sin, hath wholly lost all ability of will to any spiritual " good accompanying salvation: so as a natural man being " altogether averse from that which is good, and dead in sin, " is not able by his own strength, to convert himself, or to "prepare himself thereunto;" that sinners "are utterly in-"disposed, disabled and made opposite to all good, and whol-"ly inclined to all evil;" that "their ability to do good

a Rom. vii. 13. c Habb. i. 13.

e Heb, ü, 2,

b Rev. xxi. 27. d Heb. xii, 14.

"works is not at all of themselves, but wholly from the Spi"rit of Christ."

These declarations describe our condition in a state of nature, while unconnected with an Almighty Redcemer; and ktit be remembered that Mr. Winchester admits that some are "eternally deprived of the glories and honours of the "kingdom of Christ." According to the Scriptures, some of these characters owe five hundred pence, and others fifty, and they have " nothing to pay." Joshua says, " ye cannot serve the Lord." Our Saviour says, " Without me ye can do nothing." Paul says, "the carnal mind is enmity against God; " for it is not subject to the law of God, neither indeed can be. "So then. they that are in the firsh cannot please God." "Strive to enter in at the strait gate; for many, I say unto you, shall strive to enter in, and shall not be able." "Then "said Jesus again unto them, I go my way, and ye shall " seek me, and shall die in your sins. Whither I go, ye can-"not come." " For the grave cannot praise thee, death can-" not celebrate thee. They that go down into the pit, cannot "hope for thy truth." Thus there are many who are without Christ forever :- But without Christ they have nothing to pay their debt; they can do nothing; they cannot serve God; they cannot submit to his law; they cannot please him by faith in his gospel, for (" without faith it is impossible to please him;") they are not able to enter in at the strait gate; they cannot come to him in Heaven; they cannot hope in him when dying, nor praise nor celebrate him among the redeemed beyond the grave.—If they can do none of these things, can they be saved? Blessed be Jehovah, God of Israel, that "when we were yet without strength, in due time, Christ died for the ungodly;" that, when we were helpless, God "laid help upon One that is Mighty;" and that although Christ has said "no man can come to me, except the Father which hath sent me draw him," yet he has also said, "all that the Father giveth me shall come to me; and him that cometh to me, I will in no wise cast out." Although, as a punishment of sin, we are justly doomed to spiritual death, a state of powerless corruption and misery, yet it is promised, "thy people shall be willing in the day of thy power." As ours is a guilty helplessness, it does not release us from the obligations of the law or of the gospel, the spirit says, "work out your salvation with fear and trembling:" and to encourage sinners to look for divine assistance, it is added, "for it

a Confession of Faith, shap. 9, sect. 3. Chap. 6, sect. 3. Chap. 16. sect. 3.

is God which worketh in you, both to will and to do of his

good pleasure."a

VI. In connexion with the helpless condition of sinners, we proposed inferring the doctrine of their eternal punishment, from the character, influence, and dominion of that society to which the curse has exposed and subjected them. It is a very plain, though involuntary acknowledgment of the strength of this argument, when universalists, in order to elude its force, deny the very existence of fallen angels. This is evidently done through the suggestion of the devil, and to serve his purposes. When an army approaches unobserved, within cannon shot of our camp, while we believe that they are not in existence, this is as they would have it: and that traitor who had lulled us into this fatal repuse, in opposition to many faithful warnings, would be considered their humble servant. When this deceit of satan and his servants takes effect, it is no wonder that sinners are "taken " captive by him at his will." "When any one heareth the "word of the kingdom, and understandeth it not, then " cometh the wicked one and catcheth away that which was " sown in his heart." " The tares are the children of the "wicked one. The enemy that soweth them is the devil." "If our gospel be hid, it is hid to them that are lost; in "whom the God of this world hath blinded the minds of "them which believe not, lest the light of the glorious gospel " of Christ, who is the image of God, should shine unto them." He that committeth sin is of the devil, for the devil sinneth " from the beginning." "Ye do the deeds of your father." "Ye are of your father the devil, and the lusts of your father " ye will do: he was a murderer from the beginning, and "abode not in the truth, because there is no truth in him. "When he speaketh a lie, he speaketh of his own: for he is "a liar and the father of it." "O full of all subtlely "and all mischief: thou child of the devil! thou ene-"my of all righteousness! wilt thou not cease to pervert "the right ways of the Lord?" "If I, with the finger of " God, cast out devils, no doubt, the kingdom of God is come "upon you. When a strong man armed, keepeth his palace, " his goods are in peace: but when a stronger than he shall "come upon him, and overcome him, he taketh from him

a Matt. xviii, 24. Luke vii, 41, 42. John xv, 5. Josh. xxiv, 19. Rom. viii, 7, 8. Heb. xi, 6. Luke xiii, 24. John viii, 21. Isa. xxxviii, 18. Rom. 5, 6° Ps. lxxxix, 19. John vi, 44, 87. Ps. ex, 3. Phil. ii, 19, 13.

all his armour wherein he trusted, and divideth his spoils." Although the Divine Saviour, this stronger man, has delivered many captives, there are still many, who, according to Mr. Winchester's own acknowledgment, "will be eternally dewived of the glories and honours of the kingdom of Christ." According to the above Scriptures, the devil is a strong many and these lust and blinded sinners are his captives; the devik is a father, and they are his children. As might be expected; they resemble each other. He perverted the right ways of the Lord, and so do they; he is a sinner, an enemy of all righter ousness, a liar and a murderer, and so are they. As Christ is the only deliverer, and as these sinners have, to their own eternal destruction, renounced any dependance upon hims they must continue forever, under the instruction and example, dominion and oppression, of this more than Egyptian tyrant. An aggravation of their hopeless case is, that they must still continue to be rational and responsible beings. Their corruption and guilt must forever increase, and consequently, to all eternity, the cloud of veng ance must thicken over them, and the pit of their suffering shall be without bottom.

## SECOND ORTHODOX ARGUMENT.

IMPLICATION.

This argument is inexhaustible: for every commandment of the law implies a sanction; every promise of the gospel implies a threat against gospel despisors; and every expression of approbation to those who repent, believe, or obey, who are justified, adopted, or sanctified, implies the condemnation of the contrary character. A few passages of Scripture, which come under the form of insinuation, supposition, and interrogation, shall serve as specimens of implication.

1. Issinuation. Is not our Saviour's declaration against hypocrites, that "they have their reward," an insinuation that they have only a temporal reward? Is not the Psalmist's declaration concerning "men of the world, who have "their portion in this life," an insinuation that they have no portion in another life? When the Apostle Paul said "god-"liness is profitable unto all things, having promise of the "life that now is, and of that which is to come," did he not insinuate that the ungodly were without the blessing of heaven here and hereafter? When our Saviour said, "he that

a 3 Tim. ii. 26. Matt. xiii 19, 38—43. 2 Cor. iv. 3, 4. 1 John iii. 8, 10. John viii 41 44. Acts. xiii. 10. Lake xi. 20—22.

"helieveth on me hath everlasting life," and "he that be"lieveth on me shall never thrist," did he not insinuate that
unbelievers shall have their portion in the lake of fire, which
is the second death, and in which there is not a drop of water
to quench their thrist? All these questions are plainly answered by a voice directed from heaven to an inhabitant of
this lake. "But Abraham said, son, remember that thou in
"thy life-time receivedst thy good things, and likewise La"zarus evil things: but now he is comforted, and thou art
"tormented."

. When our Saviour promises mercy and comfort, satisfaction and sanctification, a royal inheritance, and a heavenly reward, to the poor in spirit, the mourners, the meek and merciful, the pure, the persecuted, and the peace makers, is not an opposite destiny insinuated against opposite characters? When Peter says by the spirit of God, "he that fear-"eth him, and worketh righteousness is accepted with him," is it not an insinuation that the presumptuous and disobedient shall be rejected? When Paul says that the gospel "is "the power of God unto salvation to every one that belie-"veth." does he not insinuate that the gospel shall not save the unbeliever? When our Saviour says, "blessed is he, "whosoever shall not be offended in me," and "he that en-"dureth to the end shall be saved." is it not an insinuation that those who are offended with Christ, and turn back from following him, shall not be blessed or saved? In the doctrinal, experimental, and practical reception of christianity, there are many dangers to encounter, and many prejudices and corruptions to overcome. Our Saviour says, "to him "that overcometh will I grant to sit with me on my throne." What does this insinuate concerning those who are led captive by the world, the flesh, and the devil?

2. Supposition. Although all the passages advanced under this head, are hypothetical expressions, all of them, except the last, contain almost as manifest an innuendo as those which have been adduced above. "Behold I stand at "the door and knock: IF any man hear my voice, and open "the door, I will come in to him, and will sup with him and "he with me." "IF any man therefore purge himself from "these, he shall be a vessel unto honor." These passages imply that those who are not cleansed, and who do not open the door, shall be banished from Christ, and treated with

a Matt. vi. 2, 16. Luke xvi. 25. In. vi. 47, 35. Rev. xx. 14. Ps. xvii. 14. Matt. v. 3—12. Acts. x. 35. Rev. iii. 21. Matt. xi. 6, 10: 22. Rom. i. 16.

contempt: and this is elsewhere called an "everlasting contempt." Concerning false teachers, our Saviour says, "IF "it were possible, they shall deceive the very elect." Does not this imply that all others are fatally deceived? Paul says, " let him that thinketh he standeth, take heed LEST he fall." If none could fall, by mistaking the ground upon which he stood, why this caution? The same caution against resting in a form of godliness without the power, and thus falling short of real religion, is taught in the following pas-"Looking diligently, LEST any man fail of the grace " of God: LEST any root of bitterness springing up tron-" ble you, and thereby many be defiled; LEST there be any "fornicator or profane person, as Esau, who for one morsel " of meat, sold his birth right." The sequel proves what is here implied: that these characters, like Esau, are rejected of God. "For if they escaped not who rejected him that " spake on earth, much more shall not we escape. IF we turn "away from Him that speaketh from Heaven."

My last text, which I observed, did not contain an inuendo, may be considered as amounting to positive proof, although it contains a supposition. "The Son of man goeth, "as it is written of him; but we unto that man by whom "the Son of man is betrayed! it had been good for that man

"IF he had not been born."

On this passage my opponent, with great confidence, repeatedly challenges me to meet him. The following are his words, viz. "I am willing to take the person and character of the traitor Judas, as the entire subject of the debate, to " decide the question under discussion; and if my opponent "can prove that Judas is to be eternally miserable, I admit "that he proves his doctrine; but if I prove from the testi-"mony of the inspired writers, that there is as much reason " to believe he will be saved, as there is to believe that any " of the other disciples will be saved, then he has lost the ar-"gument. If my opponent will not meet me on this, or on " any other argument, you, my hearers, will say it is because "he dare not." "I am not ashamed to appear before this "audience as the advocate for the final salvation of even "Judas, and if I am notable to prove that we have the same \*6 reason for believing in his final and eternal happiness, as "we have to believe in the salvation of Peter or of Paul, I

a Rev. iii. 90. 2 Tim. ii. 21. Matt. xxiv. 24. 1 Cor. x. 19. 9. 27. Heb, xii. 15—17, 23. Jer. iv. 4, 17. 27. 21, 12. Am. 5. 6. b Matt. xxvl. 24.

"must give up to my opponent, and acknowledge he has gained his argument. I must have the same hope for the salvation of Judas as I have for my own, or my faith in universal salvation is without foundation. Could I not prove from the testimony of the scriptures that Judas is finally to be saved, I tell you, my hearers, I could not so boldly advocate the doctrine of universal redemption; upon the salvation of Judas, therefore, I rest the truth of my doctrine. Judas, we acknowledge, was guilty, he was a traitor; but show me the law which requires that he should be endlessify miserable, that is, published to an absolute eternity for what he did."

In the above challenges, my opponent seems confident that Judas is as safe as any other Apostle, but at other times, he appears to think him much safer than one of them would almost think that be was afraid of Peter's being lost. because he was so much more hard-hearted and impenitent than his beloved Judas. The following are his words, viz. "There is nothing in the whole history of Judas, that should "cause us to suppose he will never be saved. If salvation be predicated on repentance, we have the same evidence. wea, if possible, better evidence of the repentance of Judas. than we have of the repentance of Peter. The one betrave "his master, the other denies him: but what does Judes "when he finds his master is in the hands of his enemies? "Does he, like l'eter, cowardly deny him, with imprecations "and curses! No, he comes forward to the enemies of his "Lord, and declares that he had sinned in that he 'had be-"traved innocent blood." He acknowledges and repents of "his guilt, and bears honorable testimony to the innocence of Jesus. But the priests, having obtained their end, in the apprehension of our Lord, care not for this confession. "but they say, 'What is that to us? See thou to that.' Does "Judas rest contented, saying, well, I have got the money, "and what I have done cannot now be undone? No, he des-" pises his ill-gotten gain; 'he cast down the pieces of sil-"ver' at the feet of the priests, and went out, and 'was suf-focated with grief.' I know that our common version of "the scri ptures, says, 'he went and hanged himself;' but "it is the part of my opponent to prove that this is the mean-"ing of the original, which he cannot do. How is the idea " of his having hanged himself reconcileable with the account " given in the book of Acts, of the death of Judas? 'Fall-

a Minutes, p. p. 77, 90.

"ing headlong, be burst asunder in the midst, and all his "bowels gushed out." Acts i. 13. The learned Mr. Wake-"field, who was no Universalist, translates the passage, "'Then he threw down the pieces of silver in the temple, "and withdrew: and after his departure, was choaked with "anguish:' and that excessive grief will produce this effect, "all who know any thing of the effects of the passions on "the body, must acknowledge. I would now ask, have we "as much evidence of the sincerity of the repentance of those "who come forward in our days, and declare themselves to be such great sinners in the sight of God and man? Do "they make restitution in those instances in which they have "injured their brother? I do sincerely wish that we had the "same evidence of the sincere repentance of christians, in "our day, as we have of the sincerity of Judas, when 'he re-" pented him, and brought again the thirty pieces of silver "to the chief priests and elders.' Matt. xxvii. 35. Let my "opponent, now, if he feels himself able, meet me on this a ground."

In the above effusion, my Universalist opponent tries his talents for criticism. The following effort in the same way, is in his own words, viz. "The original text is ambiguous: "it is literally 'good were it for him, if that man were not "born.' It will admit of the following constructions, 'Good "were it for him (the Son of man) if that man (the traitor) were not born.' Or, good were it for him (the traitor) if "that man (the Son of Man) were not born.' Or, (what is more probably the true sense) good were it for him (the " traiter) if that man (the traiter) were not born.' Or, lastly, "(which is nearly the same in sense) 'good were it for him, "(the traitor) if he were not born that man.' But 'good "were it for that man (the traitor) if he had never been born," "(that is, never existed) is a construction that the words "will not possibly bear. To prove this statement true, I "have only to refer to a passage where similar expressions "occur in the original. It is recorded in the book of Acts. "chapter seven, that Stephen made an address to his accu-"sers, in which he gives a history of all the great things "which God had done for his people in former times, from the time when he appeared unto 'our father Abraham, "when he was in Mesopotamia, before he dwelt in Char-"ran;" when he comes to speak of Moses, he says, 'at

<sup>1</sup> Minutes, p. p. 98, 98.

" which time Moses was born, or is xaight systemin Munder," " where the same verb, syswam, translated was born' occurs "in the text in reference to Judas, 'si oux sysvenon & avecures," " excepting that in the one, the idiom of our language requires "that it should be rendered in the indicative, and in the "other, being preceded by the conjunction a If, in the sub-"junctive mood. Now what is the difference between these "two passages? The only difference is, that the latter has "the modifying particles a translated if, and the negative " oux not, which, however, do not alter the meaning of the "verb, which is precisely the same in both. Now if the "verb eyewata in the former, express the natural birth of " Moses, by what mode of reasoning can we cause our systemic " in the latter to signify absolute non-existence? That these " statements are literally true I appeal to our judges, and to " all learned men. If I am wrong let me be contradicted.— "Thus, you see, ends the discussion in relation to the dis-" pute on the meaning of the passage in reference to Judas. "Even my opponent dare not dispute the correctness of my " statement. [ Here Mr. Kennedy, the second of Mr. M. Calla, " one of the bench, arose and commenced some remarks but " was silenced by Mr. M'Calla.] You see my hearers, that " his friend would have looked into the passage, but my op-" ponent shrinks from the decision; and you now see how "we stand on the subject to which I have called his atten-"tion, and on which I am perfectly willing to rest this dis-" cussion."

In the same strain my Universalist opponent speaks as follows, viz. "The phrase in relation to Judas, is 'xalm m' auto si oux systemen & autous; sessions, good were it for that man "if he had not been born.' My opponent says that the true meaning is 'good were it for Judas if he had never had any existence.' Now I ask, if Judas had never had a being, could any thing have been good for him? Could any thing be good for you, my hearers, if you had never been brought into existence? The idea of any thing being good for Judas, implies in itself that he must have had a being." He reasons at another time in the following words, viz "I am willing to rest the salvation on the plain testimony of God. This testimony, it is true, declares that by transgression Judas fell, that he might go to his own place." Acts i. 25. But my opponent must prove that this place

a Mioutes, p. p. 89, 90. b Minutes, p. 78.

"is a place of endless misery. What says Jesus to his dis"ciples? 'ye which have followed me in the regeneration,
"when the Son of man shall sit on the throne of his glory,
"ye also shall sit upon twelve thrones, judging the twelve
'tribes of Israel.' Matt. xix. 28. Let the time referred to
'here, be when it may, the promise was made to the twelve
"when Judas constituted one of them. It will not do to say,
"that the promise can be fulfilled by supplying the place of
"Judas by Matthias, who was elected after the ascension of
"our Lord. The promise was made to that twelve to whom
"Christ spake, 'ye who have followed me,' and that the tes"timonies should be true, to them it must be fulfilled. If
"my opponent feels disposed to dispute all this, he is at
"liberty, and I hope I shall be able to meet his argn"ments."

Mr. Winchester's mode of evading the force of this rigid authority, differs from that of my opponent. He thinks our Saviour's declaration concerning Judas equivalent to those of Job and Jeremiah concerning themselves. They cursed the day in which they were born, thinking that non existence was preferable to such an existence as theirs. He understands this to be the meaning of our Saviour with regard to Judas: that non-existance is preferable to such an existence as his. This author agrees in his calmer moments, with the hasty and improper decision of Job and Jeremiah, that annihilation is preferable even to these comparatively light afflictions which are but for a moment. He speaks as follows, viz. "And who would not, a thousand times, "choose rather never to have been born, than even to see, "far less experience, the miseries which came upon Jerusa. "lem and its inhabitants? Would it not have been better "for mothers never to have been born, than to have killed "and eaten their own children in the siege? and would it "not have been better for the children never to have been "born, than to have been food for their mothers?" b might as well have asked, was not annihilation preferable to the martyrdom of Ignatius and many others, who were food for lions? Surely not: because these martyrs inherit that everlasting glory which Mr. Winchester vainly expects for the devoted inhabitants of Jerusalem. If they were ever to attain this eternal joy, it is well for them to have been born, if, between their birth and their glorification, they had

Minutes, p. 91.

b Dialogue 2nd.

to endure ten thousand sieges of Jerusalem. The infinite superiority of endless happiness over temporary pain shews the rashness of the complaints and wishes of the inspired mourners above mentioned. But was our Saviour rash? Was he under the influence of ignorance, impatience, or resentment, when after weighing the good and the evil of this traitor's career, he declared that annihilation was preferable to such an existence? "It had been good for that man if "he had not been born."

After having rejected our translation, and amused the audience with a great variety of translations, my opponent at last agrees that the passage means, "good were it for him, "(the traitor,) if that man, (the traitor,) were not born." The result, then, of his pedantic criticisms is this; that he has given us a translation agreeing with our own, and has accompanied it with a paraphrase which establishes our interpretation! "Good were it for him, (the traitor,) if that man, "(the traitor,) were not born." It would be good for Judas, if he were not born: that is, if he were in a state of non-existence as he was before his birth. It is true that a state of non-existence has no positive good attending it; but is it not grammatical, intelligible and scriptural, to say that annihilation would be comparatively a blessing to that man, to whom existence is a curse? Yet this sort of language my oppoment endeavours to shew is inconsistent with sound philosophy, and the usages of Greek writers. He would have us believe that the fact of Moses, being born, means the same thing as a supposition that Judas is not born, because the word born is used in both cases. He admits that the one is an affirmative and the other a negative: and yet by quoting a great deal of Greek, and using many grammatical terms, he calculates on making you believe that a fact and a supposition, an affirmative and a negative, mean the same thing-"Now what is the difference between those two passages!" he gravely asks. I know not how to do justice to his learning and his powers of reasoning, better than by putting his argument into a syllogistic, and almost a poetical form.

Major proposition. eyennin Maudys = si oux eyennin & antende

Statues.

Minor proposition. Indicative, Subjunctive, Original,

Particles, Idiom.

Conclusion. Therefore, to be born, and not to be born, both presuppose existence, and mean the same thing, according to the philosophy of the Universalists.

If the mere use of the same verb in relation to Moses and Judas, will authorize such a wild conclusion, then it is as true, that Jonah swallowed the Whale, as that the Whale swallowed Jonah, because not only the same verb but the same words throughout occur in both these propositions.

When the Scriptures declare that "by transgression Judas fell, that he might go to his own place," my opponent
says that his own place is one of those twelve thrones on
which the Apostles shall judge the twelve tribes of Israel.
He considers his right to this glory secured to him by the
unconditional promise of Christ; and that veracity requires
that he be enthroned.

It is freely admitted that veracity requires the fulfilment of every promise, as it certainly does the execution of every threat. But where do we find a promise that Judas shall inherit a crown of glory, with or without regeneration, repentance, or faith? The promise referred to, in Matt. xix. 28, is so far from being unconditional, that it expressly confines the benefits promised, to those who had forsaken all, and followed him, "in the regeneration." "Then answered "Peter and said unto him, behold! we have forsaken all, and "followed thee: what shall we have, therefore? And Jesus "said unto them, verily I say unto you, that ye which have "followed me, in the regeneration, when the Son of man shall "sit in the throne of his glory, ye also shall sit upon twelve "thrones, judging the twelve tribes of Israel." Here the enquiry is made, not concerning unbelievers and traitors, but concerning self-denied and faithful disciples. The promise which the answer contains, describes the same characters, "ye which have followed me in the regeneration." That regeneration is essential to entering the kingdom of heaven, our Saviour has expressly declared. That Judas was born again, at the time when this promise was given, will not probably be contended; and it will soon be seen that he had no saving interest in the Christian dispensation, which some will understand by regeneration. That he had not forsaken all, is evident from the reason which is given for his recommending that the ointment be sold, and the money given to the poor. "This he said, not that he cared for the poor; but because he was a thief, and had the bag, and bare what was put therein." This also makes it plain that he had not followed Christ: for he could not serve God and Mam-

b In. iii. 3, 5.

a Acts. i. 25.

e la. xii. 6.

mon. That he followed the devil instead of Christ is manifest from scripture prophecy and history. Peter a refers us to a Psalm which says, concerning him, " let Satan stand at "his right hand." Peter, in quoting a part of this Psalm, the whole of which relates to Judas, says, "this scripture "must needs have been fulfilled, which the Holy Ghost, by "the mouth of David, spake concerning Judas, which was "guide to them that took Jesus." As a fulfilment of the above scripture, it is expressly stated that "Satan entered into him:" and our Saviour himself says to these twelve Apostles, to whose persons identically and universally my opponent says the promise was made; to these twelve he says, "one of you is a devil." Did he afterward promise that this devil should be enthroned as a judge of his people? That very scripture which was fulfilled in his diabolical possession, declared that, instead of judging Israel, he himself should be judged and condemned; and that instead of being enthroned as an Apostle, another should take that office from which he fell by transgression. David says, "When he " shall be judged let him be condemned; and let his prayer " become sin. Let his days be few; and let another take his "office." After the death of Judas, and the ascension of Christ, Peter says, " it is written in the book of Psalms, let "his habitation be desolate, and let no man dwell therein: "and his bishopric let another take." Accordingly, the Apostles pray God to appoint a successor, "that he may "take part of this ministry and Apostleship, from which Ju-"das by transgression fell, that he might go to his own "place." To his own place! Is heaven that place, as my opponent says? "How art thou fallen from heaven, O Lu-"cifer, son of the morning!" Judas is not called a son of the morning, but he is called "a son of perdition;" and of course, perdition with Lucifer, is his own place. "The transgressors shall be destroyed together . "Judas by transgres-"sion fell." "The wicked shall be cut off from the earth, and "the transgressors shall be rooted ont of it." I ask not whether he was rooted out of the earth by involuntary suffocation, voluntary strangulation, or precipitation from the top of a rock. Certain it is, as my opponent acknowledges, that " falling headlong, he burst asunder, and all his bow-"els gushed out:" and it is no less certain that this was

a Acts i. 16, 20. b In. xiii. 27.

c John vi. 70.

d Ps. cix. 7. 8. Acts i. 20, 25. e Ps. xxxvii. 38. f Prov. ii. 22.

predicted as an introduction to a place of cursing and not of blessing. "As he loved cursing, so let it come unto him: as "he delighted not in blessing, so let it be far from him. As "he clothed himself with cursing, like as with his garment, "so let it come into his bowels like water, and like oil into his "bones." What is the proper place of a fallen star but with those "wandering stars, to whom is reserved the blackness "of darkness forever?" b If Tophet be the proper place of a thief and traitor;—if perdition be the proper place of a "son "of perdition;"—and if hell be the proper place of "a devil;" —then it is plain where this most conspicuous of hypocrites.

went, when he went "to his own place."

But my opponent says, "if salvation be predicated on re-"pentance, we have the same evidence, yea, if possible, better "evidence of the repentance of Judas, than we have of the "repentance of Peter." Doubtless my opponent has read Campbell's preliminary dissertation on the word repentance, and has observed that the Scriptures generally use one wordto signify evangelical repentance, which is the work of the Spirit of sanctification, and another wordd to denote that regret which is no way inconsistent with the most depraved disposition. In the Septuagint, it is used to denote the malignant chagrin of the Moabites, on being conquered by the " And there was great repentance against Israel." In the Apocrypha, its conjugate is used to mark that repentance "which devoted sinners exercised" for having once performed their duty. " As for the ungodly, wrath came upon them without mercy unto the end; for he knew before, what they would do: how that having given them leave to depart, and sent them hastily away, they would repent and pursue them." In the Septuagint, it is used to denote that sorrow which the children of Israel felt for having left "For God said, lest peradventure the people repent when they see war, and they return to Egypt." It is also used to point out the final and irremediable sorrow of the despisers of God's word and ordinances. "And thou repent at the last, when thy flesh and thy body are consumed.

That Judas' repentance was of this sort is evident from the fact that his remorse was unavailing. He was condemned and degraded by divine authority. "For it is written in the book of Psalms, "let his habitation be desolate, and let no

a Ps. cix. 17, 18. ε μετανοια

b Jude xiii. d μεταμελεια

<sup>2</sup> Kgs, fii. 27. Wisd. xix. 2. Ex. xiii. 17. Prov. v. 11.

man dwell therein: and his bishopric let another take." Another was accordingly appointed, to "take part of this " ministry and apostleship, from which Judas by transgres-"sion, fell." If he sincerely repented, why was he thus disgraced? God has said, "If we confess our sins, he is " faithful and just to forgive us our sins, and to cleanse us "from all unrighteousness." Accordingly Peter was forgiven, and was never deposed from his office, either living or dying. Although he is not near so amiable a character as Judas, in the view of my opponent, yet before another judge, he was far more lovely. Was it ever said that he fell from his office by transgression, that he might go to his own place? His heart was set upon the place where Christ is, and thither Christ had promised that he should go. On his repentance he was immediately forgiven, and at his death he was immediately glorified. If Judas had repented sincerely, the same would have been true concerning him. It would therefore have been exceedingly good for him to have been born, if his life of suffering here had been prolonged to millions of ages. But now it is said, according to my opponent's translation and paraphrase, "Good were it for him (the traitor) if that man (the traitor) were not born." Was this ever said of Peter? or could it be said of him with truth? It may be said with truth, that no possible degree of suffering, howsoever protracted, can make it good for a man not to have been born, if that suffering be succeeded by eternal happiness;-But infallible wisdom and veracity have declared, " It had been good for that man if he had not been born :"-Therefore his punishment is absolutely eternal.

The evidence of Judas' condemnation to the torments of hell is so overwhelming, that Mr. Winchester, however reluctantly, admitted it; although he vainly supposed that his punishment in hell would not be absolutely eternal. The following extract will show his sentiments, viz. "If such a "man [as Job] had reason to say 'wherefore then hast thou brought me forth out of the womb? O that I had given up the ghost, and no eye had seen me! I should have been as though I had not been; I should have been carried from the womb to the grave,' (see Job x. 18, 19,) with what "amazing propriety might Christ say of Judas, the traitor, who sinned in such a dreadful manner, and had such hor-"rible guilt on his conscience: who died in black despair, "perished in such an awful situation, in his sins, and, proba-

a Acta i 20, 25,

"bly, by his own hands, who suffered the most violent agi"tations of mind, died under the power of the horrid sugges"tions of the great enemy of men, without one smile or look
"of forgiveness, from Jesus, or even daring to seek it;
"whose sorrow in this life far exceeded Job's, (for Job had
"no sense of guilt, treason, and ingratitude; nor was he
"filled with rage, blasphemy and despair) and who must pro"bably have his portion in the second death;—[Well may
"he say of such a character,] 'good were it for that man if
"he had never been born!" even upon the supposition that
"his torments are not designed to continue while God ex"ists."

As my opponent has exhibited a great anxiety to refer the case of Judas to a human tribunal, and as he has, without my consent, applied to the moderators for their decision, what would he think of having his criticisms and arguments referred to Mr. Winchester, who, as well as my opponent, may be considered as receiving his ordination in an uninterrupted line, from this true Universalist Apostle. My opponent says that the original "words will not possibly bear" the construction "good were it for that man (the traitor) if he had never been born." Mr. Winchester uses these very words, "good were it for that man, if he had never been " born," as the correct translation, and in the very meaning which my opponent says "the words will not possibly bear." In his day Universalism had not discovered, that a sufficient quantity of grammar and Greek would prove, that to be born, and not to be born meant the same thing. My opponent would persuade you that when Judas passed through the dark valley and shadow of death, he had the rod and staff of his heavenly shepherd to comfort him; Mr. Winchester intimates that he was attended by a very different character; that he "died under the power of the horrid suggestions of "the great enemy of men, without one smile, or look of for-"giveness, from Jesus, or even daring to seek it." Not so with Peter. My opponent would urge that the traitor died by excess of pious grief; Mr. Winchester admits that he "suffer-" ed the most violent agitations of mind," and that this arose from "horrible guilt on his conscience," but so far was he from believing that this noted convict obtained relief from guilt, and was filled with hope and love, he believed that he was filled with ingratitude and "rage, blasphemy and des-" pair." My opponent insists that he died a true penitent,

a Dialogue 2d.

cleansed from sin, without the guilt of suicide, and that he went to his own throne of glory, and not to his own place of torment. Mr Winchester believes that he died in "an aw-"ful situation, in his sins, and probably by his own hands," and must probably have his portion in the second death." Yes, Mr. Winchester believes that this traitor lived and died without an interest in Christ the only Saviour; and that he probably killed himself, and that he will probably suffer the punishment of his crimes in that hell which is after death. When one Universalist flatly denies the truth, it is pleasant to hear another admit, that the truth is probably true.

3. Interrogation. This familiar figure of speech differs from a simple affirmation, chiefly in its being a more animated mode of expression. The doctrine of the divine omnipotence and incomprehensibility, and of the pitiable impotency, and hereditary depravity of man, are not more pointedly asserted by the most direct affirmation, than by such expressions as the following; "Is the Lord's hand waxed short?" "Is any thing too hard for the Lord?" "Canst thou by "searching, find out God? Canst thou find out the Almighty "unto perfection?" "Can the Ethiopean change his skin, or "the leopard his spots?" "Who can bring a clean thing out "of an unclean? Not one."

Aware of the force of this argument, my opponent treats it as follows, viz. "I admit the force of a statement, by way "of interrogation, on subjects that are self-evident, or, are "not disputed, but no disputed proposition, it is evident, can "be settled by this species of argument." This view of the subject is quite original. If correct, it would make a great deal of the Bible as worthless as waste paper. That the views and wishes of writers and speakers, inspired and uninspired, in relation not only to axioms, but to matters of fact and reasoning, can be perspicuously communicated in the form of interrogation, is so obvious, and a matter of such constant experience, that courts of justice have, time immemorial, interposed their authority to prevent attornies from asking leading questions to witnesses; because these questions shew how the party wishes them swered. But all the questions in the Bible shew the belief. or desire of him who asks them; and this belief or desire is communicated in the interrogative form, not because it is equivocal, but because it is the very contrary, and exhibits the

a Num. xi. 23. Gen. xviii. 14. Job xi. 7. Jer. xiii. 23. Job xiv. 4. b Minutes p. 70.

sentiment with greater force. I never knew this principle denied by any man of common sense, my opponent only excepted. Mr. Ballou, in quoting the question, "how can ye escape the damnation of hell?" declares that when our Saviour proposed this interrogatory to his wicked audience, "he pronounced on them the damnation of hell." Thus, according to him, and according to every man who understands language, a threat or prediction, no way evident, but involving a disputed point, may be unequivocally pronounced in the form of interrogation.

In the same spirit of this denunciation of our Saviour, better says, "if the righteous scarcely be saved, where shall the ungodly and the sinner appear?" The foregoing question tells us that they will appear in hell; from which the impossibility of escaping is often urged. "How shall we escape, if we neglect so great salvation?" God says by Jeremiah, "How shall I pardon thee for this?" Our Saviour says, "What is a man advantaged if he gain the whole world, and lose himself, or be cast away?" or according to another Evangelist, "What is a man profited if he gain the whole world, and lose his own soul? or what shall a man give in

exchange for his soul ?"o

The only subterfuge which my opponent is observed to use, in order to escape the last authority, is, that "the word here rendered soul, is rendered life, in the verse immediately preceding."d In answer to this, let it be remarked that as the soul has a death of its own, so has the soul a life of its own: and this very preceding verse is directly in proof that it is the life of the soul that is here meant. "For whosoever " will save his [bodily] life, shall lose it, [the life of his soul.] "and whosoever will lose his [bodily] life, for my sake, shall "find it, [the life of his soul.] For what is a man profited "if he gain the whole world, and lose [the life of] his own "soul? or what shall a man give in exchange for [the life of his soul?" That this is the meaning in a similar passage of Jobe is plain. "For what is the hope of the hyfor pocrite, though he hath gained, when God taketh away " his soul? Will God hear his cry, when trouble cometh up-" on him? Will he delight himself in the Almighty? will " he always call upon God?" Universalism answers these

.. ....

a Notes on parable 20th. b Matt. xxiii. 35.

c Matt. xxiii. 33. 1 Peter iv. 18. Hebr. ii. 3. Jer. v. 7. Luke ix. 25. Matt. xvi. d Minutes p. 55.

" questions in one way, and it is evident that God intended "them to be answered in another."

## THIRD ORTHODOX ARGUMENT. CUNTRAST.

It was proposed to show that the scriptures mark such a contrast between the righteous and the wicked, as to their character, standing, and future destiny, as can be satisfactorily explained on no other ground than the doctrine of the eternal punishment of the ungodly. As many more authorities must be quoted here than in the last argument, time forbids that my comments should be frequent or copious: neither will it be of any use to repeat several passages or their parallels, which have already been quoted for other

purposes.b

The distinction between Mount Gerizzem and Mount Ebal was not more marked, that were the condition and prospects of those who were so abundantly blessed from the one, and those who were cursed from the other.º "Behold "I set before you this day a blessing and a curse: a blessing, "if ye obey the commandments of the Lord your God, which I "command you this day; and a curse, if ye will not obey "the commandments of the Lord your God; but turn aside "out of the way which I command you this day, to go after "other Gods which ye have not known." "For evil doers "shall be cut off: but those that wait upon the Lord, they "shall inherit the earth. For yet a little while, and the wick-"ed shall not be: yea, thou shalt diligently consider his "place, and it shall not be. But the meek shall inherit the "earth; and shall delight themselves in the abundance of " peace. The wicked plotteth against the just, and gnash-"eth upon him with his teeth. The Lord shall laugh at "him: for he seeth that his day is coming. The wicked "have drawn out the sword, and have bent their bow; to "cast down the poor and needy, and to slay such as be of "upright conversation. Their sword shall enter into their

a Job xi. 20, 36: 18. Ps. xix. 6,—9. Hebr. xii. 25.

b Luke vi. 20.-26. 2 Tim. ii. 20, 21. Pa. xxii. 29. Ez. xvii. 24. Gen. xii. 3. Pa. zvii. 14.

c Deut. Chapters 27 & 28. d Deut. 11; 26—28.

e Neither the original nor the translation gives any countenance to the opision of my opponent or of the destructionists, that there shall be no wicked persons hereafter; but only that they shall be brought to nought, by falling from a high to a low condition;—by suffering the disappointment of their vain expectations;—and receiving the punishment of their many sins.

"own heart, and their bows shall be broken. A little that "a righteous man hath, is better than the riches of many "wicked. For the arms of the wicked shall be broken: but " the Lord upholdeth the righteous. The Lord knoweth the "days of the upright: and their inheritance shall be fore-"ver. They shall not be ashamed in the evil time: and in "the days of famine they shall be satisfied. But the wick-"ed shall perish, and the enemies of the Lord shall be as "the fat of lambs: they shall consume: into smoke shall "they consume away. The wicked borroweth and payeth "not again: but the righteous sheweth mercy and giveth. " For such as be blessed of him shall inherit the earth; and "they that be cursed of him shall be cut off." "Shew "thy marvellous loving kindness, O thou that savest, by thy "right hand them which put their trust in thee, from those "that rise up against them." "Arise O Lord! disappoint "him, cast him down: deliver my soul from the wicked, "which is thy sword." "As for me, I will behold thy face "in righteousness; I shall be satisfied when I awake, with thy likeness." "Do they not err that devise evil? but "mercy and truth shall be to them that devise good." "By "the blessing of the upright, the city is exalted; but it is "overthrown by the mouth of the wicked." "They shall "be ashamed, and also confounded, all of them: they shall "go to confusion together, that are makers of idols. But "Israel shall be saved in the Lord with an everlasting sal-"vation: ye shall not be ashamed nor confounded, world "without end." "He preserveth not the life of the wicked, "but giveth right to the poor." "Envy thou not the op-" pressor, and choose none of his ways: for the froward is "abomination to the Lord: but his secret is with the righ-"teous. The curse of the Lord is in the house of the wicked: "but he blesseth the habitation of the just. Surely he scor-"neth the scorners: but he giveth grace unto the lowly. "The wise shall inherit glory: but shame shall be the promotion of fools." "Whosoever heareth these sayings of "mine, and docth them, I will liken him unto a wise man, " which built his house upon a rock; and the rain descend-"ed, and the floods came, and the winds blew, and beat up-"on that house, and it fell not; for it was founded upon a

a Ps. xxxvii. 9—22. b Ps. xvii. 7, 13, 15, c Prov. xiv. 22.

d Prov. xi. 11.

<sup>,</sup> e Is. lxv. 16, 17. f Job xxxvi. 6. g Prov. iii. 39-35.

"rock. And every one that heareth these sayings of mine "and doeth them not, shall be likened unto a foolish man which built his house upon the sand: and the rain descend "ed, and the floods came, and the winds blew, and beat up. "on that house, and it fell; and great was the fall of it.". "" Who then, is a faithful and wise servant, whom his Lord "hath made ruler over his household; to give them meat in " due season? Blessed is that servant, whom his Lord, when " he cometh, shall find so doing. Verily I say unto you, "that he shall make him ruler over all his goods. But and "if that evil servant shall say in his heart, my Lord delay-" eth his coming, and shall begin to smite his fellow servants, "and to eat and drink with the drunken; the Lord of that " servant shall come in a day when he looketh not for him. " and in an hour that he is not aware of, and shall cut him " asunder, and appoint him his portion with the hypocrites, "there shall be weeping and gnashing of teeth." "Take, "therefore, the talent from him, and give it unto him which "had ten talents. For unto every one that hath, shall be "given, and he shall have abundance: but from him that "hath not, shall be taken away even that which he hath. "And cast ye the unprofitable servant into outer darkness: "there shall be weeping and gnashing of teeth." "He an-"swered and said unto them, because it is given unto you to "know the mysteries of the kingdom of heaven, but to them "it is not given. For whosoever hath, to him shall be given, "and he shall have more abundance; but whosoever hath "not, from him shall be taken away even that he hath."d "As it is written, Jacob have I loved, but Esau have I "hated." "Therefore hath he mercy on whom he will have "mercy, and whom he will, he hardeneth." "Hath not the " potter power over the clay, of the same lump to make one "vessel unto honor, and another unto dishonor? What if "God, willing to shew his wrath, and to make his power "known, endured with much long-suffering, the vessels of " wrath fitted to destruction; and that he might make known "the riches of his glory on the vessels of mercy, which he "had afore prepared unto glory."e "Thou hast hid these "things from the wise and prudent, and hast revealed them "unto babes." "For the Lord knoweth the way of the "righteous: but the way of the ungodly shall perish." "And

a Matt. vii. 24—27. b Matt. xxiv. 45—51. c Matt. xxv. 28—31.

d Matt. xiii. 11, 12. e Rom. ix 13, 18, 20—23. f Matt. i. 25. g Ps. i. 6.

"the afflicted people thou wilt save: but thine eyes are upon "the haughty, that thou mayest bring them down.". "behold the day cometh that shall burn as an oven; and all "the proud, yea, and all that do wickedly, shall be stubble: "and the day that cometh shall burn them up, saith the Lord "of Hosts, that it shall leave them neither root nor branch. "But unto you that fear my name, shall the Son of righte-"ousness arise, with healing in his wings; and ye shall go "forth, and grow up as calves of the stall. And ye shall " tread down the wicked; for they shall be ashes under the soles of your feet, in the day that I shall do this, saith the "Lord of hosts." "And I will feed them that oppress thee "with their own flesh, and they shall be drunken with their "own blood, as with sweet wine. And all flesh shall know that I, the Lord, am thy Saviour, and thy Redeemer, the "Mighty one of Jacob." "Rejoice O ye nations! with "his people; for he will avenge the blood of his servants, "and will render vengeance to his adversaries, and will be "merciful unto his land, and to his people." 4 " Keeping mer-"cy for thousands; forgiving iniquity, and transgression. "and sin, and that will by no means clear the guilty."e "" But if ye be without chastisement, whereof all are par-"takers, then are ye bastards and not sons." "The king-"dom of heaven is like unto a net that was cast into the sea. "and gathered of every kind: which, when it was full, they "drew to shore, and sat down, and gathered the good into "vessels, but cast the bad away. So shall it be at the end of "the world: the angels shall come forth, and sever the "wicked from among the just; and shall cast them into the "furnace of fire: there shall be wailing and gnashing of "teeth." "The wicked is driven away in his wickedness; "but the righteous hath hope in his death,"h "The Son of "man shall send forth his angels, and they shall gather out "of his kingdom all things that offend, and them which do "iniquity; and shall cast them into a furnace of fire; there "shall be wailing and gnashing of teeth. Then shall the righteous shine forth as the Sun, in the kingdom of their "Father." "The hope of the righteous shall be gladness; "but the expectation of the wicked shall perish." "And

s 2 Sam. xxii. 28. b Mal. iv. 1-5.

e Isa. lxix. 26.

d Deut. xxxii. 43. e Ez. xxxiv. 7.

f Hebr. xii. 8. g Matt. xiii, 47-50. h Prov. xiv. 32.

i Matt. xiii. 41-43.

"while they went to buy, the bridegroom came; and they "that were ready went in with him to the marriage: and "the door was shut. Afterward came also the other vir-"gins, saying, Lord, Lord, open to us. But he answered "and said, verily I say unto you, I know you not." "I " create the fruit of the lips; peace, peace, to him that is far " off, and to him that is near, saith the Lord; and I will heal "him. But the wicked are like the troubled sea, when it "cannot rest, whose waters cast up mire and dirt. There "is no peace, saith my God, to the wicked." "For the "preaching of the cross is to them that perish foolishness; "but unto us who are saved, it is the power of God." "But "we are not of them who draw back unto perdition; but of "them that believe to the saving of the soul."d "He that "believeth on the Son, hath everlasting life; and he that be-" lieveth not the Son, shall not see life; but the wrath of "God abideth on him." "And the nations were angry, "and thy wrath is come, and the time of the dead, that they "should be judged, and that thou shouldest give reward un-"to thy servants the prophets, and to the saints, and them "that fear thy name, small and great: and shouldest des-"troy them which destroy the earth." "And there shall in no "wise enter into it, any thing that defileth, neither whatsoever "worketh abomination, or maketh a lie, but they which are "written in the Lamb's book of life." "Whose fan is in his "hand, and he will thoroughly purge his floor, and gather his "wheat into the garner; but he will burn up the chaff with "unquenchable fire."h "The men of Nineveh shall rise in "judgment with this generation, and shall condemn it: be-"cause they repented at the preaching of Jonas; and be-"hold, a greater than Jonas is here! The queen of the "south shall rise up in the judgment with this generation, "and shall condemn it: for she came from the uttermost "parts of the earth, to hear the wisdom of Solomon; and "behold a greater than Solomon is here. When the un-" clean spirit is gone out of a man, he walketh through dry " places, seeking rest, and findeth none. Then he saith, I "will return into my house from whence I came out; and "when he is come, he findeth it empty, swept, and garnished. "Then goeth he, and taketh with himself seven other spirits "more wicked than himself, and they enter in and dwell

a Matt. 25; 10-12. b Is. 57; 19-21.

c 1 Cor. 1; 18. d Heb. 10; 39.

e In. 3; 86. f Rev. 11; 18. g Rev. 21; 27. h Matt. 3; 12.

"there: and the last state of that man is worse than the "first. Even so shall it be also unto this wicked genera-"tion." "Let death seize upon them, and let them go "down quick into hell: for wickedness is in their dwellings, " and among them. As for me, I will call upon God, and "the Lord shall save me." "Cast thy burden upon the "Lord and he shall sustain thee: he shall never suffer the "righteous to be moved. But thou, O God! shalt bring "them down unto the pit of destruction: bloody and deceit-"ful men shall not live out half their days; but I will trust "in thee." "Who will render to every man accord-"to his deeds; to them who, by patient continuance ain well doing, seek for glory, and honor, and immor-"tality; eternal life: but unto them that are conten-"tious, and do not obey the truth, but obey unrighteous-" ness, indignation and wrath, tribulation and anguish, up-"on every soul of man that doeth evil, of the Jew first, and "also of the Gentile. But glory, honor, and peace, to every "man that worketh good; to the Jew first, and also to the "Gentile; for there is no respect of persons with God." "He that believeth, and is baptized shall be saved; but he "that believeth not shall be damned."d "Marvel not at "this; for the hour is coming, in the which all that are in "their graves shall hear his voice, and shall come forth: "they that have done good, unto the resurrection of life; "and they that have done evil, unto the resurrection of dam-"nation." "He that is unjust, let him be unjust still: and he which is filthy, let him be fifthy still: and he that is "righteous let him be righteous still: and he that is holy, "let him be holy still." "And these shall go away into "everlasting punishment; but the righteous into life eter-"nal."s "And besides all this, between us and you there is "a great gulph fixed: so that they which would pass from "hence to you cannot; neither can they pass to us, that " would come from thence."h

One would suppose that Mr Balfour himself could scarcely demand a greater multiplicity of authorities than I have cited. That they are to the point, will appear by remarking the contrast which they note, I. In the characters of men. They are spoken of as faithful and unprofitable; humble and

a Matt. 19; 41-45.

b Pa. 55; 15, 16, 23, 23. e Rom. 2; 6—11. d Mk. 16; 16.

e Jn. 5; 28, 29. f Rev. 29, 11. g Matt. 25; 46. h Luke. 16; 96.

proud; wise and foolish; good and bad; holy and unjust; righteous and wicked; believers and unbelievers. 2. There is a contrast in their standing in the sight of their Maker and Judge; as they are written or not written in the book of life; bastards or sons; adversaries or people; built on the sand or the rock; condemned or justified; cursed or blessed. 3. There is a contrast in their future destiny; as they perish or as they are saved; rewarded or destroyed; gathered as heirs of salvation, or cast down, cast away, and driven away, as heirs of perdition; stored in heaven or burned in hell; continuing holy still and unjust still; sentenced to eternal life and eternal punishment; to torment and comfort; between which there is an impassable gulph.

Now if my opponent can prove that good is evil and evil good; that bitter is sweet and sweet bitter; that darkness is light and light darkness; and if he can prove in opposition to the above passages, that the righteous shall fall for ever, then may he prove that the finally impenitent shall be

saved.

## FOURTH ORTHODOX ARGUMENT.

## NEGATION.

It is proposed to prove the absolute eternity of the sinner's punishment by negative expressions of scripture, in which the termination of that punishment is plainly denied. Eternity is correctly defined by endless duration. It is by such a mode of expression that the bible conveys to us the doctrine of the eternity of God, the eternity of Christ's kingdom, and the eternity of the saint's happiness. If these things can be shewn, then the use of such language in relation to the sinner's punishment will certainly prove its absolute eternity. These particulars shall now be made out in the order in which they are here mentioned.

1. The eternity of God is communicated, by denying that his existence has an end. "Of old hast thou laid the foundation of the earth, and the heavens are the work of thy hands. They shall perish, but thou shalt endure; yea, all "of them shall wax old like a garment; as a vesture shalt "thou change them, and they shall be changed: but thou

"art the same, and thy years shall have no end."

2. The eternity of Christ's kingdom is proved in the same way. "His dominion is an everlasting dominion

a Pa. cii. 25--27.

"which shall not pass away, and his kingdom that which "shall not be destroyed." "Of the increase of his go"ernment and peace there shall be no end, upon the 
"throne of David, and upon his kingdom, to order it, and to 
"establish it with judgment and with justice, from henceforth 
even for ever." In Mr. Ballou's Treatise on Atonement, 
he admits the force of these passages, when he says that in 
"Isaiah ix 6, 7, the Saviour is Prophecied of, as possessing 
a kingdom, the increase of which shall have no end. To

" the same purpose see also Dan. vii. 14."

5. The eternity of the saint's happiness is declared by negative expressions. "And when the chief Shepherd shall "appear, ye shall receive a crown of glory, that fadeth not away." Again, this is called "an incor-"ruptible" crown, and "a kingdom which cannot be mo-"ved."b "He that overcometh, the same shall be clothed " in white raiment; and I will not blot out his name out of " the book of life, but I will confess his name before my Fa-"ther, and before his angels." "For I will not contend for-" ever, neither will I be always wroth." "And God shall wipe away all tears from their eyes; and there shall be " no more death, neither, sorrow, nor, crying, neither shall "there be any more pain." Mr. Ballou, in the place above referred to, admits the force of this last text in the following words, viz. "How can it be said that God shall "wipe away all tears from the eyes of men, if millions are " to mourn to an endless eternity? Or, why is it said, there " shall be no more sorrow, crying nor pain, if sorrow, cry-"ing, and infinite pain are never to cease?" Also, the force of the text preceding the last, is almitted and urged by Mr. Winchester, in his 2d and 4th Dialogues.

Now if it be admitted by all parties; and if it be true, whether admitted or not; that this sort of language is valid proof of the eternity of God, of the eternity of Christ's kingdom, and of the eternity of the Saints' happiness, what but prejudice and unbelief, can prevent us from receiving it, in proof of the eternity of the sinner's punishment? But the relevancy of such evidence, if it can be found, even in support of this obnoxious doctrine, has already been implicitly acknowledged by Mr. Winchester himself, while trying to build a bridge across the impassable gulph. His words are the

a p. 200. b i Pet. v. 4, 1 Cor. ix. 25, Hebr xii, 28, c Ica. lvii, 16, Rev. iii, 5, xxi, 4;

following, viz. "We cannot positively conclude against the "restoration, from this passage of the rich man, unless we "could find some passages of Scripture, where God has promised never to restore, or reconcile such to himself, whom "he hath once cast off." This is as much as to say that if "we could find some passages of scripture, where God has "promised [or threatened] never to restore, or reconcile such "to himself, whom he hath once cast off," then the rich man and all who die in their sins, shall be in torment to an absolute eternity.

"And the Lord said, my Spirit shall not always strive "with man, for that he also is flesh: yet his days shall be an hundred and twenty years." The meaning of this passage evidently is, that the day of grace, or an opportunity of salvation, shall not last always, but its utmost extent shall be only during a man's life; which was usually seven or eight hundred years before the flood, one hundred and twenty after

it, and is now three score and ten.

" Not every one that saith unto me Lord, Lord, shall enter "into the kingdom of heaven." "For I say unto you that "none of those which were bidden, shall taste of my supper." "Plead with your mother, plead : for she is not my wife" " neither am I her husband:" " and I will not have mercy "upon her children." "I will no more have mercy upon the "house of Israel:" "for ye are not my people, and I will "not be your God." "He that made them, will not have "mercy on them, and he that formed them will shew them no "favour." "For he shall have judgment without mercy, that "hath shewn no mercy." "The same shall drink of the wine " of the wrath of God, which is poured out without mixture, " into the cup of his indignation; and he shall be tormented "with fire and brimstone, in the presence of the holy angels, " and in the presence of the Lamb. And the smoke of their "torment ascendeth up forever and ever: and they have " rest day nor night, who worship the beast and his image, "and whosoever receiveth the mark of his name." "But " if ye forgive not men their trespasses, neither will your "Father forgive your trespasses." "Follow peace with all " men, and holiness, without which, no man shall see the " Lord." "The Lord is slow to anger, and great in power, " and will not at all acquit the wicked." " He shall lean up-

a Dialogue Sud.

b Gen. vi. S.

e Matt. vii. 21. Luke xiv. 24. d Hosea ii. 2. 4, 1; vi. 9. Isa. 27; 1

"on his house, but it shall not stand: he shall hold it fast. " but it shall not endure." " Behold! I Paul say unto you, "that if ye be circumcised, Christ shall profit you nothing." "Whatsoever thy hand findeth to do, do it with thy might; a for there is no work, nor device, nor knowledge, nor wisdom, "in the grave whither thou goest." "I must work the works "of him that sent me, while it is day: the night cometh, "when no man can work." "He that, being often reproved. " bardeneth his neck, shall be suddenly destroyed, and that "without remedy.", "Therefore the ungodly shall not stand in the judgment, nor sinners in the congregation of the "There is no peace saith the Lord, unto the "righteous." " wicked." "He that believeth not the Son, shall not see "life." "No murderer hath eternal life." But the eyes " of the wicked shall fail, and they shall not escape, and their "hope shall be as the giving up of the ghost." "For thus " saith the Lord, thy bruise is incurable, and thy wound is "grievous." "Why criest thou for thine affliction? Thy "sorrow is incurable for the multitude of thine iniquity: be-\* cause thy sins were increased I have done these things un-" to thee." "For her wound is incurable." "Because there " is wrath, beware lest he take thee away with his stroke, "then a great ransom cannot deliver thee." "None of them a can by any means redeem his brother, nor give to God a " ransom for him; (for the redemption of their soul is pre-" cious, and it ceaseth forever;) that he should still live forever, and not see corruption." Seek ye the Lord, and ye a shall live; lest he break out like fire in the house of Jo-" seph, and devour it, and there be none to quench it in "Bethel." "But if ye will not hearken unto me, to hallow "the Sabbath Day, and not bear a burden, even entering in " at the gates of Jerusalem on the Sabbath Day: then will "I kindle a fire in the gates thereof, and it shall devour the " palaces of Jerusalem, and it shall not be quenched." "Cir-"cumcise yourselves to the Lord, and take away the fore-skins of your heart, ye men of Judah, and inhabitants of "Jerusalem: lest my fury come forth like fire, and burn "that none can quench it, because of the evil of your doings." "O house of David! thus saith the Lord; execute judgment " in the morning, and deliver him that is spoiled out of the

 $\mathbf{q}$ 

a Jaa. 2; 13. Rev. 14; 10, 11. Matt. 6: 15. Hebr. 12: 14. Nab. 1: 3. Job 8; 15.
b Gal. 5. 2. Becles. 9; 10. In. 9: 4. Prov. 29; 1. Ps. 1; 5. Isa. 48; 23. In. 3: 36. 1 In. 3; 15.
c Job 11: 20, Jer. 30: 12. 15. Mio. 1; 9. Job 36; 18. Ps. 49; 6--9.

" hand of the oppressor, lest my fury go out like fire, and "burn that none can quench it, because of the evil of your "doings." "Because they have forsaken me, and have burnt " incense unto other gods, that they might provoke me to an-" ger with all the works of their hands; therefore my wrath "shall be kindled against this place, and shall not be quench-"ed." "Son of man, set thy face toward the south, and drop "thy word toward the south, and prophecy against the forest " of the south field. And say to the forest of the south, hear "the word of the Lord: thus saith the Lord God; behold! " I will kindle a fire in thee, and it shall devour every green " tree in thee, and every dry tree: the flaming flame shall "not be quenched, and all faces from the south to the north " shall be burned therein. And all flesh shall see that I the Lord have kindled it: it shall not be quenched. Then said "I, ah, Lord God! they say of me, doth he not speak para-"bles?" "If thy hand offend thee, cut it off: it is better for "thee to enter into life maimed, than having two hands to go "into hell, into the fire that never shall be quenched; where " their worm dieth not, and the fire is not quenched. And if "thy foot offend thee, cut it off; it is better for thee to "enter halt into life, than having two feet to be cast into hell, " into the fire that never shall be quenched; where their worm " dieth not, and the fire is not quenched. And if thine eye "offend thee, pluck it out: it is better for thee to enter " into the kingdom of God with one eye, than having two "eyes, to be cast into hell-fire, where their worm dieth not "and the fire is not quenched." "He will burn up the chaff "with unquenchable fire." "There are the workers of ini-" quity fallen: they are cast down and shall not be able to "rise." And in hell he lifted up his eyes being in torments, " and seeth Abraham afar off, and Lazarus in his bosom. "And he cried and said, Father Abraham, have mercy " on me; and send Lazarus, that he may dip the " tip of his finger in water, and cool my tongue; for I am "tormented in this flame. But Abraham said, son, remem-" ber that thou in thy life time, receivedst thy good things, and likewise Lazarus evil things: but now he is comforted 4 and thou art tormented. And besides all this, between us and you there is a great gulph fixed; so that they which "would pass from hence to you cannot; neither can they " pass to us, that would come from thence."

a Amos 5; 6. Jer. 17; 27. 4; 4. 21; 12. 2 Kgz. 23; 17. Bz; 20. 45-48. b Mk 9, 46-48. Matt. 3, 12. Ps. 86, 12, Luke 16, 23-66.

"There is a sin unto death: I do not say that he shall "pray for it." "For it is impossible for those who were " once enlightened, and have tasted of the heavenly gift, and "were made partakers of the Holy Ghost, and have tasted "of the good word or God, and the powers of the world to "come, if they shall fall away, to renew them again unto re-"pentance; seeing they crucify to themselves the Son of "God afresh, and put him to an open shame." "For if we "sin wilfully after that we have received the knowledge of "the truth, there remaineth no more sacrifice for sins, but a "certain fearful looking for of judgment and fiery indigna-"tion which shall devour the adversaries." "And whose-"ever shall speak a word against the Son of man, it shall be "forgiven him: but unto him that blasphemeth against the "Holy Ghost, it shall not be forgiven." "But he that shall blas-" phome against the Holy Ghoat, hath never forgiveness, but "is in danger of eternal damnation" "It shall not be for-"given him, neither in this world, neither in the world to

It will not do to deny or despise the above passages, after giving them the name of parables: for this foil was tried in vain by the adversary, in the time of Ezekiel. It will not do to get clear of the worm that dieth not, by turning it into a butterfly, as it is said Mr. Mitchel of New-York does. Such objections prove nothing more than the deep depravity or profine levity of those who raise them. Neither will it avail, to show that God has threatened to pour out his unquenchable fury "upon man and upon beast :" for if by perversion, we apply to the irrational creation, that which is inapplicable to them, then, to be consistent, we must admit that heasts can sin, repent, and pray; and that they can obtie pardon and salvation; and of course be liable to an absolutely eternal punishment. This will appear from Jonah iii. 8-10. "But let man and beast he covered with sack-4 cloth, and cry mightily unto God: yea let them turn every " ene from his evil way, and from the violence that is in their hands." But such interpretations I consider much of a Diego with that which scoffing infidels give to Isa. xxxvii. 56. "And when they arose early in the morning, behold " they were all dead corpses."

a 1 Jn. v. 16. Hehr. vi. 4.—6. x. 26, 27. Luke xii. 10. Mk. iii. 29. Matt. **32.** 

b xx. 48.

e Jer. viii 20.

Many of the above threats were directed against the Jewish nation. It was on that unbelieving and disobedient people, that God said he would have no mercy; they were the sinners who were to suffer in unquenchable fire. This fact Mr. Winchester thinks very important to his cause; because there are abundant prophecies, (some of which are uttered in close connexion with the above threatenings, ) that this people shall hereafter be revived. On this account he thinks himself no loser by admitting that these menaces were executed. His words are the following, viz. "Now these threat-"enings were surely executed; for the people did not hear-"ken to God: he did certainly kindle a fire, and it burnt " and was not quenched, but consumed Jerusalem and all her " palaces; and the beautiful forests that were so much es-"teemed shared the same fate: but what person will argue "that the whole city and country must be now in flames; "and must have been consuming, from the days of Jere-"miah and Ezekiel, because of these expressions, 'the "flaming flame shall not be quenched,' &c. since we know "that Jerusalem, and the country round about, have been " since inhabited, and will be again, in a more glerious man-" ner than ever ?"b

This argument of Mr. Winchester seems to take it for granted that the above threatenings were chiefly, if not solely directed against irrational and inanimate objects; and that when these were destroyed, the prophecy was fully accomplished, and there was no further call for divine judgments. But did he really believe that these menaces were aimed at none but forests, fields, and palaces? Are not those men who traverse these forests, cultivate these fields, and inhabit these palaces, often expressly mentioned, and always intended, as the real objects of these threatenings? Did Jehovah ever marry or divorce these inanimate creatures? Did he ever condemn them as sinners? or was the fire which consumed them unquenchable? Mr. Winchester himself did not believe that the destruction of buildings, trees, and fruits, was a complete fulfilment of God's threatenings against iniquity. Speaking of futurity beyond the grave, he says that all the threatenings will be fulfilled upon the finally impeni-" tent." "As they have lived and died in sin, their destruc-"tion or misery is certain." This doctrine he draws from passages in which the language is fully as figurative as that

a Hos. i. 9. 10.

o Andrews, p. 74.

b Dialogue 2d.

which speaks of cities and their surrounding country. The following are his own words, viz. "He saith that it is more "tolerable for the land, that is, the inhabitants of Sodom, in "the day of judgment, than for the cities where his gospel "was preached, and his miracles wrought, and yet the in-"habitants remained impenitent: See St. Matt. xi. 24. x. "15. St. Mk. vi. 11. St. Luke. x. 12. From all which passages it is evident that the inhabitants of Sodom will be "condemned in the day of judgment, and punished in the "lake of fire." To be consistent, therefore, he should have told us that it was not only the conflagration of Jerusalem, but this lake of fire beyond the grave, to which the objects

of the above threatenings were consigned.

Now did Mr. Winchester, or do any of his followers, believe that those murderous unbelieving Jews, who were cut off in their sins near two thousand years ago, and who, according to his own confession, will be sent to the lake of fire, in the day of judgment;—do they believe, that long after that period, these same unbelieving Jews are to be taken from this lake of fire to heaven, as a fulfilment of those scriptures which predict the restoration of their distant posterity to the favour of God, and the possession of Jerusalem? If they do not believe an absurdity, so transcendantly absurd, they ought not to expect us to do it. These promises relate to the restoration of those Jews who shall hereafter live and die in the faith of Christ: those threatenings condemn to unquenchable fire, their remote ancestors who lived and died in unbelief and rebellion. Could the destruction of their city extinguish the unquenchable fury of their Almighty Judge? or could the faith of their posterity avert his just indignation? They must perish through their own sins, and their posterity shall be graciously justified through that faith which is the gift of God. Thus it is easy to reconcile God's threats of eternal punishment against the city of Jerusalem and the land of Judea, with his promises of eternal happiness to the same city and land. The promise was connected with the faith of Christ, and the threat was against unbelievers.

My opponent well knows that much stress is laid upon those passages which condemn the sin against the Holy Ghost. He finds one among them, which says that the perpetrator of this crime is "in danger of eternal damnation." This he thinks is far from deciding that he will certainly incur this

a Distogue 5th.

punishment. All is not lost that is in danger. But is he sure that this remark will apply here? He sometimes affects a great familiarity with the original languages. A little examination will show him that the person here spoken of is represented as not only in danger of eternal damnation, not only obnexious, liable, subject to eternal punishment, but deserving of it, and bound over to it. That this is the force of the word in this place is evident from the words immediately preceding; where it is declared that he "hath never forgiveness;" and from the parallel passages which ferbid us to pray for such sinners; which declare it impossible to renew them again into repentance; that there remaineth no more sacrifice for sins, but a certain fearful looking for of judgment and fiery indignation; that "it shall not be forgiven him, neither in this

"world, neither in the world to come."

\* Strong as this language is, it is hardly stronger than that of the other passages adduced. Although they are not desoribed as having committed the unpardonable sin, yet as they had foreaken God, and burned incense unto other gads; as they did not hellow the Sabbath; as they were workers of iniquity; and as their sins were increased, and persevered in, therefore their bruise, their sorrow, their wound should be incurable; their hope should be as the giving up of the gheet. God would not always strive with them; he would not forgive, he would not acquit them; he would show them so mercy, no favour; they should not see the Lord; they should have no peace, no rest; and yet should be able to perform no work, no device, no knowledge no wisdom, connected with salvation: they could not endure, and yet could not cacape. Jehovah declared that he was not their God, not their busband; that they were not his wife, not his people; that they should not be delivered, not redeemed, not rensomed; that they should not stand in judgment, not in the congregation of the righteous, but with worms that should not die, and in fire that should not be quenched; on the infernal side of a gulph which could not be passed; where they could not see life; could not have eternal life; where they could not tracte of the Master's suppor; but should drink of the cup of God's wrath without mixture, have judgment without mersy, and destruction without remedy.

No wonder that those who, in defiance of all these acrip tural negations, deny the absolute etarnity of the sinner's putishment, deny also the plenary inspiration of the hible.

## FIFTH ORTHODOX ARGUMENT.

AFFIRMATION.

It is proposed to prove the absolute eternity of the sinners punishment, by passages of scripture in which this doctrine is affirmed. This affirmation may be in two forms of expression; the one declaring the state of the damned, the other declaring the duration of their punishment. To say that an Hebrew bondman had his ear bored, is the same as saying that he was to be a servant for life;—to affirm that a young religieuse has taken the veil, is precisely equivalent to an affirmation that she is to be immured for life; -so, those scriptures which assert that the wicked are cast into hell, and those which assert that they are bound over to eternal damnation, are equivalent to each other. Although one class of texts points out more expressly the state of the damned, and the other class more expressly the duration of their punishment, it shall, with the help of God, be shewn that they both affirm the solemn truth that the sufferings of these unbelieve. ers are absolutely eternal. These things may now be considered in their order.

I.

## THE STATE OF THE DAMNED.

That there is a hell, and that the finally impenitent have their portion there, is a matter of plain revelation. "Who-"soever shall say, thou fool, shall be in danger of hell-fire." But he knoweth not that the dead are there; and that her "guests are in the depths of hell." "Her house is the way " to hell, going down to the chambers of death." " Her feet "go down to death, her steps take hold on hell." "But I will "forewarn you whom ye shall fear: fear him, which, after he hath killed, hath power to cast into hell. Yea I say unto " you, fear him." "And fear not them which kill the body, \* but are not able to kill the soul: but rather fear him, which " is able to destroy both soul and body in hell." " God spared " not the angels that sinned, but cast them down to hell, and " delivered them into chains of darkness, to be reserved unto "judgment." " The wicked shall be turned into hell, and all "the nations that forget God." "Let death seize upon them, "and let them go down quick into hell." "Therefore hell " hath enlarged herself, and opened her mouth without mea-" sure : and their glory, and their multitude, and their pomp, " and he that rejoiceth, shall descend into it." " It is better "for thee to enter into life maimed, than having two hands,

"to go into hell, into the fire that never shall be quenched." And in hell, he lifted up his eyes, being in torments;" between which and the happiness of heaven, there was an impassable gulph. Well might our Saviour then ask, "how can ye escape the damnation of hell?"

An Universalist polemic has a ready answer to all these authorities. He tells you that our translators have here used the word hell to render four distinct words in the original, neither of which denotes a state of eternal punishment. These four words Sheol, Hades, Gehenna, and Tartarus, are so innocent in their view, that they form the scenes of their most protracted and delightful literary excursions. On them alone, Mr. Balfour has written a volume of four hundred and forty-one pages octavo. The size of the book does not astonish those who have waded through its pendantic lore and tiresome tautology. By these means, and by the greater use of irrelevant extracts from other authors, (a practice with which he is acquainted) he might enlarge it indefinitely; and the admiration of certain readers might keep pace with its growth.

It is confessed that his voluminous extracts from Dr. Geo: Campbell of Aberdeen, are relevant, though not always correct. The career of this great man is not an enviable one. The pride of originality, and the lust of praise led him frequently astray. For fear of being esteemed a servile follower of the orthodoxy of his fathers, he became the dupe of the erroneous. Under the promise of liberty, they reduced him to slavery: and their subsequent treatment has been like that of Pharaoh to the Israelites, or the devil to Eve. Because he would not renounce every particle of the truth, and shew them the hundredth favour, they would not thank him for the ninety-nine. The following is Mr. Balfour's character of this accommodating scholar, viz. "It should be "kept in remembrance, that Dr. Campbell was a very cele-" brated minister of the church of Scotland, and Principal of "Marischal College, Aberdeen. The most learned, yea, the "very best of men, are liable to be influenced by the places " of honour and emolument they occupy. There is no doubt "in my mind, that had Dr. Campbell written in a situation " free of all restraint, he would have given us a very differ-" ent account of Gehenna and its punishment. The doctrine

a Matt. v. 22. Prov. ix. 18. vii. 27. v. 5, Lake xii. 6. Matt. x. 28, 2 Pet. ik. 4. Pa. ix. 17. lv. 15. Mk, ix. 43. Lake xvi. 23. Matt. xxiii. 33.

"of eternal misery, was a principal article in the creed he was obliged to subscribe to, and by which he held his

" place and all its emoluments: It is rather a matter of sur-" prise that he ventured to write so much at variance with this

"doctrine, considering the time he lived, and circumstan-

"ces in which he was placed."a

This is the reward which Dr. Campbell has generally received for those servile compliances, which he vainly imagined, constituted the essence of independence. He is here represented as an Universalist in heart, while a Presbyterian by a solemn profession. His place of honour and emolument is here given as his reason for being a Presbyterian; and it was only the love of filthy lucre that kept him from professing an opposite system of religion. But what must we think of the morality of Universalism, when we are told by its champion, that "the very best of men are liable to be influenced by" such motives? And let it be remembered that this is no hasty or novel concession; for these Universalist Unitarians claim Locke, Newton, and a host of worthies, while allowing that they were guilty of the same inconsistency. But must not that be the synagogue of Satan, in whose eyes the avaricious and deceitful, liars and perjured persons, are "the very best of men!"

Dr. Campbell's example and reward should be an instrucfive lesson to those who are beset with his temptations. They would persuade you to deny or conceal the doctrines of original sin and natural inability, and the doctrines of particular election and redemption. They will then represent you as in the high road to fame. The mead of flattery is pleasant: they perceive that it is relished by you: they see you advancing in error: their mouths are open for a burst of applause, upon your next step towards destruction. You make another effort to please; and completely succeed, by asserting that God has uttered threats, which never were executed, and never will be executed, either on the actual offender, or on his surety, If they were pleased with your advocating an universal atonement, which according to the scriptures, would secure an universal salvation; they are more pleased with your adding to this, a virtual denial of God's faithfulness and justice, which would for ever secure them from the fear of Although this doctrine was preached in Eden, before the fall of man, by a superhuman intelligence, your seducers will give you the praise of originality, and extra-

a Chap. ii. Sec. 1.

ordinary intellectual vigour. You are now a man of an independent spirit: you have escaped from the shackles of parental example; of human authority, of sectarianism, superstition and bigotry. By this time you have a juster sense of your own importance. You clearly perceive the vast superiority of your attainments, over those of the Westminster Assembly, the Synod of Dort, the Reformers, and Augustine; although in reality, not fit to be placed with the dogs of their flocks. If the Rubicon is not already past, only one step remains to do it: Deny all imputation; not only the imputation of Christ's righteousness to his people; not only the transfer of Adam's guilt to his posterity; but the imputation of our sins to Christ. Quote to them the beginning of Ezekiel xviii, and shew that the law does not admit of a substitute. Then give up all hopes from the orthodox, and " make an agreement with me by a present, and come out " to me: and eat ye every one of his vine; and every one of his " fig-tree, and drink ye every one the waters ofhis own " cistern; until I come and take you away to a land like " your own land, a land of corn and wine, a land of bread "and vineyards." But if you refuse to take the two last steps above mentioned, and all the others which are consequent upon them; —if you prefer remaining in that church to whose creed you have sworn,-remember, that although we may praise you, while living, for that portion of error which you have received, we shall, after your death, place you with "the very best of men," such as Dr. Campbell, and Judas and Esau; all of whom sold their birthrights for a mess of pottage. When such a man dies, his own flatterers precede the Orthodox in blasting his fame. "The me-"mory of the just is blessed: but the name of the wicked "shall rot."b

But it is time to examine the amount of Dr. Campbell's authority, and the use, or rather the abuse which has been made of it by the Universalists. My opponent asserts that Dr. Cambell has "given up all but the term Gehenna, as a "place of future punishment for the wicked." He gives it as Dr. Campbell's avowed belief that hades and sheol "have "no reference whatever to a state of punishment after death." Mr. Balfour, in speaking of Dr. Campbell's observations upon the "place of torment for the wicked," says; "He denies that it is called by the names Sheol, Hades, or

a Is. xxxvi, 16, 17. b Prov. x. 7.

e Minutes, p. 176.

" Tartarus: yea, he denies that the Hebrew, Greek, or En-" glish languages, afford a name for this place of torment." This goes even farther than my opponent; and denies that Dr. Campbell believed any of these four names, even Gehenna itself, to denote the place of turment. This may seem astonishing to those who are informed, that only three pages before this extravagant assertion, he had quoted and censured the Doctor's declaration to the contrary, concerning Gehenna; and in a distant part of the same work, b he says "that he denies that Hudes is the place of eternal punishment; and that he contends for Gehenna being this place." Could it be expected that only four pages before this, he had quoted Dr. Campbell's own words, in which he declared the contrary? The passage is as follows, viz: "But is there not one passage, it may be said, in which the word Hades must be understood as synonymous with Gehenna, and consequently must denote the place of final punishment prepared for the wicked, or Hell in the Christian acceptation of the term? Ye have it in the story of the rich man and Lazarus, Luke xvi. 23, 'In Hell, so sw adn, he lifted up his eyes, being in torments, and seeth Abraham afar off, and Lazarus in his bosom.' This is the only passage in Holy Writ which seems to give countenance to the opinion that hades sometimes means the same thing as gehenna. Here it is represented as a place of punishment. The rich man is said to be tormented there in the midst of flames."

Thus does the favourite author of the Universalists bear testimony against them. He declares that in this important passage, the word Hades denotes "the place of final punish-" ment prepared for the wicked, or Hell, in the Christian ac-" ceptation of the term:" and let it be marked, that he believed this Hell, this Hades, this Gehenna, to be a place of absolutely eternal punishment; which belief is proved to be correct by the passage quoted above. This point being established, there is a strong probability, if not a certainty, that Sheol also, signifies the place of everlasting punishment, since the Septuagint generally renders sheel by hades; and it is acknowldged by both parties, that they are synonymous. In speaking of Sheol, Campbell says that " in trans-" lating that word, the Seventy have almost invariably used " Hades." The same fact has been stated by my opponent in the very same words. Mr. Balfour says that "Sheol and

a Chap. ii. Sec. 1. b Chap. i. Scb. 3.

e Minutes, p. 135.

" Hades are only the Greek and Hebrew names for the same

" place."a

The fact that these two words, occurring in the Old and New Testaments, are synonymous, after the meaning of one of them; is thus fairly settled, proves that the doctrine of a future state is common to both dispensations. This, though demied by my opponent, is admitted by Mr. Balfour. The following are his words, viz: "That both future existence and "the resurrection of the dead, were in some degree known "under the old dispensation, we think can be proved." proof of this, he refers to several scriptures. He also gives an extract from Jahn's Biblical Aarchælogy, in which, scriptures is produced to prove "reception into the presence of God at death."d The adoption of the question under discussion, would indicate that my opponent believes in a separate existence of the soul from the body, as Mr. Balfour does-But in your presence, and elsewhere, he has proved himself a Materialist. He not only denies that the Old Testament reveals a future state, but that either the old or New Testament contains the doctrine of an intermediate state. It is not my design, however, to notice these questions, at present, except as they are merged in the argument which is now in hand; in the prosecution of which it becomes necessary to examine, as concisely as possible, the meaning of the inspired words, Sheol, Hades, Gehenna, and Tartarus, in the order in which they are here placed.

#### SHEOL.

Mr. Balfour has already told us that this word occurs sixty-four times in the Hebrew Bible. Those who will take the trouble to examine them with the aid of a concordance, will probably find the following account to be correct.

(1.) In two passages it appears to signify the grave. "Like sheep they are laid in Sheol." Our bones are scatter-

ed at the mouth of Sheol.d

(2.) There are three passages, in which it appears to embrace the interment of the body, and the final condition of the soul. Korah, Dathan, and Abiram are said to "go down alive into Sheot." "They and all that appertained to them, went down alive into Sheel." Solomon makes the robber

a Chap. i. Sec. 2. d Balfour, Chap. ii. Sec. 6. Chap. i. Sec. 1. b Mark xii. 26, 27. Heb. xith Chap. d Pa. 49; 14: 141; 7. e Hag. 2, 23, Eccl. 12, 7,

sy," Let us swallow them up alive as Sheol, and whole as

"those that go down into the pit.""

(3.) There are five places, in which it seems to exclude the interment of the body. This does not appear from three of them, in which Jacob's gray hairs are represented as brought down with sorrow to sheet; except by a comparison with a fourth, in which he expected to find in sheel, his son Joseph, whom he believed to have been devoured by wild beasts, and of course, not be in the grave. The fifth is the one in which Jonah is said to cry to God "out of the belly of sheol."b and of course not out of the grave.

(4) There are 13 other places in which, without stopping to discuss the subject I humbly conceive it signifies the intermediate state of all the dead, saints and sinners. I shall simply refer to the texts. This sheel, or state of separation, must come to an end; because at the resurrection.

the souls and bodies of men must be reunited.

(5.) There are two instances, in which it evidently means the separate state of the happy dead. "O that thou wouldst hide me in sheel! that thou wouldst keep me secret, until thy wrath be past, that thou wouldst appoint me a set time and remember me." "For thou wilt not leave my soul in shook neither wilt thou suffer thine Holy One to see croruption." As the soul of the Redeemer, after the crucifixion, went to Paradise, this is the sheet here mentioned; and there it was that Job wished to be hid.d

(6.) Although in the passages referred to, under number four, this word appears to embrace heaven and hell, until the resurrection; there are six places in which it denotes the latter in contrast with the former. Concerning God's omniscience, Job says, "It is as high as heaven, what canst thou do? deeper than sheel, what canst thou know?" The Psalmist says, "If I ascend up into heaven, thou art there, if I make my bed in sheol, behold thou art there." "Solomon says, " the way of life is above to the wise, that he may depart from sheol beneath." "Moreover the Lord spake unto Ahaz, saying, ask thee a sign of the Lord thy God; ask it either in sheol, or in the height above." "Though they dig into sheel, thence shall mine hand take them; though

a Numb. xvi. 30, 53. Prov. i. 12.
b Gen. 42; 58, 44; 31, 29. Jonah 2; 2.
e 1 Sam. 2; 6. Job, 7; 9, 17; 13, 16, 26; 6. Ps. 6; 5, 88; 3, 89; 48. Eccl. 9; 10.
ln. 58; 18. Hoa. 13:14. 2 loo. Hab. 2; 5.

they climb up to heaven, thence will I bring them down.". (7.) There are eleven places in addition to the nine mentioned in numbers two and six above, in which it is probably used to denote the place of future punishment. " Let " not his hoar head go down to sheel in peace." " Norb his "hoar head bring thou down to sheet with blood." "O " Lord! thou hast brought up my soul from sheol: thou hast " kept me alive that I should not go down to the pit." Here is a sunonimous parallel, in which the latter clause of the verse explains the meaning of the former. "His soul was virtually brought up from hell. by his being delivered from the power of the devil, and preserved from going to the pit. "Thy pomp is brought down to sheol," "Sheol from beneath "is moved for thee, to meet thee at thy coming." As our Saviour speaks of the rich man's sufferings in another world. while his body was in the grave, so the body of this fallen hero is represented as covered with worms, while yet his soul, and the souls of "all the chief ones of the earth," "all \* the kings of the nations," are in the exercise of a sort of activity and intelligence, and suffering under degradation and punishment in another world. Again, the prophet threatens him. "Yet thou shalt be brought down to sheel, to the sides of the pit." That this is not the grave, but a place of future punishment, will appear from Job xxxiii. 24, where deliverance from going down to this pit, is said to be the end of Christ's gracious interposition, as a Ransom or Atonement; (as it is in the margin.) Now the atonement does preserve from going down to Hell, but not from going down to the grave. "We have made a covenant with death and with sheol are we at agreement" "Your covenant "with death shall be disannulled, and your agreement with "sheol shall not stand." "I said, in the cutting off of my "days. I shall go to the gates of sheol." "For sheol can-"not praise thee; death cannot celebrate thee; they that "go down into the pit cannot hope for thy truth."

(8.) There are 25 places in which sheot is certainly used for hell. in the Christian sense of the word. Moses, in speaking of men, under the figures of the earth and the mountains. (language familiar to the scriptures.) says. "For a fire is

a Job, 11; 8. Ps. 139; 8. Prov. 15,24. Is. 7, 11; Am. 9. 2.

b This is the true force of the ? in this and some other passages.

e 1 Kgs. ii. 6, 9. Ps. xxx. 3. Is. xiv. 9. 11. 15. 28. 15. 18. 38. 10. d See Deut. xxxii. 1. Ps. xvi. 1. 11. 1 Chr. xvi. 31. 33. Is. ii. 2, 41. 15. Ez. vi. 2.

" kindled in mine anger and shall burn unto the lowest sheol. "and shall consume the earth with her increase, and set on "fire the foundations of the mountains." David says, "thou "hast delivered my soul from the lowest sheet;" and the same writer says again, "The sorrows of sheel compassed " me about; the snares of death prevented me:" and again, "The sorrows of death compassed me, and the pains of "sheol gat hold upon me: I found trouble and sorrow."d After quoting this text, my opponent speaks as follows, viz. "We there find the Psalmist declaring that ' the pains of hell' "had actually got hold of him. Now if the pains of hell "had actually got hold of him, will it do to say that he re-"turned thanks to God that he had preserved him from going "into hell, or that he had not been there?". In reply, I would observe that this reasoning of my opponent proves too much, and therefore will avail him nothing. The text in question has several clauses. In the first the Psalmist says, "The sorrows of death compassed me." In the second he says, "the pains of hell gat hold upon me." Now if the second clause prove that he was in hell, does not the first prove that he died before he went thither? In answer to this question, my opponent has expressly declared, that "the " sorrows of death are all experienced and felt, before death "actually takes place." This is true: but it is no less true. that the pains of hell gat hold upon him, before he was actually sent to hell. These three texts are all tantamount to Ps. xxx. 3, noticed in No. 7, above, in which the latter line of the distich explains the former: "O Lord! thou "hast brought up my soul from sheol: thou hast kept me "alive, that I should not go down to the pit." The same interpretation is to be applied to Solomon's instruction for the correction of a child: "Thou shalt beat him with the " rod, and shalt deliver his soul from sheol." The Psalmist says. "Let death seize upon them, and let them go down "quick into sheol: for wickedness is in their dwellings and "and among them."h Concerning the impure of both sexes. Solomon says, " But he knoweth not that the dead are there,

a Deut, xxxii. 22,

b Ps. ixxxvi, 13. c 2 Sam. xxii. 6. & Ps. xviii. 5.

d Ps. exvi. 3.

e Minutes p. exli. f Little yellow sovered sermon, published in 1824, p. 24.

Prov. xxiii. 14.

" and that her guests are in the depths of shook" "Her feet "go down to death; her steps take hold on sheol" passages are explained by another which, says, house is the way to sheel; going down to the chambers of "death." To such characters Isaiah says. "thou" "didst "debase thy self even unto sheel." Whether this hell was before or after death, may be learned from Ezekiel who says of a certain character, "he went down to sheel." "I cast "him down to sheol, with them that descend into the pit." "They also went down into sheol with him, unto them that " be slain with the sword." "The strong among the migh-"ty shall speak to him out of the midst of sheol with them "that help him: they are gone down, they lie uncircumcis-" ed, slain by the sword." " And they shall not lie with the "mighty that are fallen of the uncircumcised, which are "gone down to sheet, with their weapons of war: and they " have laid their swords under their heads, but their iniqui-" ties shall be upon their bones, though they were the terror "of the mighty in the land of the living." That this hell was not before death, is evident, because the subjects of it, though formerly " in the land of the living," were "slain with the sword," before they went to hell. That sheel here means something more than the interment of the body, appears from their speaking to one another out of the midst of sheel, as did the rich man and Lazarus. They had vainly expected that by laying their swords under their heads in the grave, they should take their weapons of war with them to shook Contempt is here thrown upon this expectation, by ironically asserting its truth. Instead of this, however, it is declared that "their iniquities, or the punishment of their ini-" quities, shall be upon their bones." This shows that they were in torment with the rich man, whose iniquity was upon his tongue. With a view to the reception of many such characters, Isaiah says, "Sheo! hath enlarged herself, and open-"ed her mouth without measure: and their glory, and their "multitude, and their pomp, and he that rejoiceth, shall des-"cend into it." The Restitutionists say that this mooth which is opened without measure, shall one day be satisfied But "sheol" is declared by Solomon, to be one of those things that say, "it is not enough." They and the Des-

a Prov. ix. 18. v. 5. vii. 27.

b Is. lvii. 9. c Ez. xxxi. 15—17. 32. 21. 27.

d Is. v. 14. e Prov. xxx. 16.

fuctionists think that after " Sheol hath enlarged herself," the will nevertheless become superannuated, and die. This is correct, when applied to number four above. There will, at the resurrection, be a re-union of the souls and the bodies of men, and therefore a state of separation will be forever at an end. Thus sheel, in that sense, may be said to be "old and full of days," or satisfied with living, as Moses says isaac was, when he was gathered to his people, and his sons buried him. But although sheel, a state of separation, will be full of days; sheel, a state of destruction, never will be fill. Solomon says, " Sheol and destruction are never full: so the eyes of man are never satisfied." If this destruction meant annihilation, then Solomon would not have said, "Sheet and destruction are before the Lord." If those who to to sheel, are to be annihilated, then that is the very city of refuge, to which God's incorrigible enemies would flee, after they have called in vain for the rocks and mountains to all upon them, and hide them from his presence. But in Ps. cxxxix: 7, mentioned in number six, above; David says, "Whither shall I go from thy Spirit? or whither shall I fee from thy presence? If I ascend up into heaven, thou the there; if I make my bed in sheel, behold thou art there." Br. Campbell says, "It is plain that in the Old Testament, the most profound silence is observed in regard to "the state of the deceased, their joys, or sorrows, happiness or misery;" that sheel "is always represented under those "figures which suggest something dreadful, dark and silent." In making these remarks, he probably had his eye, upon such passages as that in which David says, " Let the wick-"ed be ashamed, and let them be silent in sheol."d But let it be recollected that this great man declined the "enumera-"tion and examination of all the passages in both Testa-ments wherein the word is found," as an endless task. If he had examined Ez. xxxii. 21, quoted above, in which a heathen is represented as speaking "out of the midst of sheel." would he have said that this was " always represented" as The truth is, it is sometimes represented in one light and sometimes in the other. Its silence denotes dismay, and its utterance is the language of persevering impiety, and hopeless importunity; weeping, wailing, and guashing of

a Prov. xxvii. 20. b Prov. xv. 11.

d Ps. xxxi. 17.

e In. No. 6. this is among the contrasts, in No. 7, it is recognised as a probable, it might be justly added to those which are deemed certain.

teeth. Between these two representations there is no inconsistency. Precisely the same diversity occurs in our Saviour's representations of the day of judgment; as they are found in Matt. xxii. 11-13, and xxv. 41-46. In one of these passages the convict is represented as "speechless;" in the other, as justifying himself. But in both cases, whether they be Jews or Gentiles, they are sent to deserved punishment; for " the wicked shall be turned into shool, and . all the nations that forget God." From this all their honours and pleasures cannot preserve them. Though they were "clothed in purple and fine linen, and fared sumptuously every day;" they are liable at any moment, to have it said to them, "thou fool, this night thy soul shall be required of thee." "They spend their days in wealth, and in a moment go down to sheot." Though in this world they were protected from the heat, by tents of state, and roofs of cedar, by refreshing air and every healthful and exhilarating potion, it shall be far otherwise there. "Drought and heat " consume the snow waters : so doth sheol those which have " sinned." Although God is not cruel but just, in thus consuming them for ever, without the possibility of annihilation or restitution, Solomon calls their state of punishment cruel on account of the intensity and the duration of their torments. "Jealousyd is cruel as sheel. The coals thereof are coals of fire, which hath a most vehement flame." Thus did the rich man in the gospel find it. If his torment be sorrow and misery, then the Old Testament is not silent on this subject, as Dr. Campbell has, without examination, asserted it to be.

# HADES.

We are already informed by Mr. Balfour, "that the word "Hades is only used eleven times in the New Testament." It is rendered in the common version once grave, and in all the other ten places, by the word hell." Two of these passages are the same declaration of our Saviour, reported by two Evangelists. "And thou Capernaum! which art exalted to heaven, shalt be thrust down to hades." This is tantamount to a denunciation of the prophet Obadiah against

a Ps. ix. 17.

b Job, xxi. 13.
e Job, xxiv. 19.
d That is, the jealousy of G od, as in the second commandment.

e Cant. viii. 6. f Chap, i. See. 9.

g Matt. xi. 23. Leke 3. 25.

Edom. Though thou exalt thyself as the eagle, and though thou set thy nest among the stars, thence will I bring thee down, saith the Lord." In the verse immediately preceding, the prophet informs us that this exaltation of the Edomites to the stars was in their own conceit. "The pride of thy heart hath deceived thee," They thought their fortress in the rock, to be the summit of safety; but God threatens their downfall. So the people of Capernaum thought that they had attained the pinnacle of prosperity, and that their happiness was to be permanent. But Christ lets them know that their fall shall be as low as their imaginary elevation was exalted. What divine justice threatened, divine faithfulness doubtless executed.

There are four instances in which hades appears to denote the state of separate spirits, whose bodies are in the grave. Peter quotes David's prophecy concerning Christ's resurrection, and the consequent re-union of his human soul and body; thou wilt not leave my soul in hades, nor suffer thine holy one to see corruption.' The Apostle then adds. "His soul was not left in hades, neither his flesh did see corruption." As Christ's vicarious and law-satisfying death removes the sting of his people's death; so his resurrection is a victory over hades, by securing the happy re-union of the souls and bodies of his people. Therefore the Spirit says, "O death! where is thy sting? O hades! where is thy victory?" The divine Jesus asserts his victory, when addressing John at Patmos: "I am the First and the Last: I " am He that liveth and was dead; and behold! I am alive for "evermore, Amen; and have the keys of hades and of death." In some of these passages, as also in Hos. xiii. 14. the state of eternal punishment may be intended; though I cannot see any reason for supposing that the word grave is a correct translation, unless the general place of departed spirits be intended by this word, as is sometimes the case.

In the five remaining instances, an in the two first, mentioned above, it is intended to point out the place of torment, or the inhabitants of that world of woe. When our Saviour says, "the gates of hades shall not prevail against" his church, I understand him as referring to the inhabitants of hell, especially those who were high in command: for such characters usually occupied the gates of Jerusalem, and oth-

a Acts, ii, 27 51,

b 1 Cor. xv, 55

e Rev. i, 18. d Matz. zvi. 18:

er cities. Job "went out to the gate." "Mordacai came before the king's gate." David the king "went up to the chamber over the gate." Isaiah says to the officers of government and the people at large, "Howl, O gate! cry, O city! thou, whole Palestina, art dissolved." "In the city in "left desolation, and the gate is smitten with destruction ;" that is, there is desolation among the people, and destruction among their rulers. Thus the gates of their enemies prevailed against them. But concerning the church, Christ says, "the gates of hades," that is the devil and his legions,

" shall not prevail against it." Although the devil and his legions shall never prevail against the church, they are said to destroy many of the human race. "And I looked, and behold a pale horse: and his name "that sat on him was Death, and Ilades followed with him. "And power was given unto them over the fourth part of the earth, to kill with sword, and with hunger, and with "death, and with the beasts of the earth." As Sheol is sometimes connected with the word destruction, so Hades is here connected with the work of destruction: and let it be observed that it is mentioned as following death, not preceding it; -- as following with death, not waiting until the general resurrection. As soon as the sinner dies, his soul enters the place of torment, as the soul of the believer enters Paradise. The effect of the resurrection and the general judgment is, to re-unite their bodies to their souls, and increase their misery or their bliss. When the souls of the wicked are separated from their bodies, and cast into the lake of fire, where the rich man's soul was tormented, while his body was in the grave, this is the first death. When this separation ceases and their souls and bodies are punished together in this lake of fire, this is called the second death. "And the " sea gave up the dead which were in it; and death and hades " delivered up the dead which were in them: and they were 4 judged every man according to their works. And death " and hades were cast into the lake of fire. This is the second "death." Many millions of sinners have perished in the sea; especially in the general deluge, when there was nothing but sea. These dead bodies are to be delivered up. But many millions of sinners have perished on the land, intended by "death" in the text. These dead bodies also shall be delivered up at the resurrection. All the souls belonging to

a Rev. vi. 8. b Rev. xx. 13, 14,

e See Horseley, on Christ's de-

these bodies are in hades, with the rich man. Therefore it is said "hades delivered up the dead," that is, the souls which were in it, " And they were judged every man according to "their works. And [after the judgment,] death, [that is, "their bodies, which were once dead,] and hades, [that is, "their souls, which were before in the lake without their bodies.] were cast into the lake of fire [together.] This is "the second death." I know that the whole tribe of Universalists, who believe the devil a mere personification, pretend also that death and hades, in this text, are more personifications or nonentities: and they would wisely teach us. that these monentities are cast into the lake of fire, that they may be more completely nonentified. To call this a second death or a first death either, would be strange indeed, since there is nothing to die! Mr. Hume could have saved them this trouble, by proving that sinners are nonentities. But if it be true. that God is a real being, and a just judge; -and if it be true that the devil and the devil's children have a real existence, then it is also true that "Topket is ordained of " old; yea, for the king it is prepared: he hath made it deep "and large: the pile thereof is fire and much wood; the "breath of the Lord, like a stream of brimstone, doth kindle it." What could the Prophet mean here, by that fiery Tophet, prepared for the king, and kindled by the breath of an angry. God, but:that: "everlasting fire, prepared for the devil and his angels," into which the wicked are to be driven by the breath of the Lord, in the sentence of condemnation, at the day of judgment?

The only remaining instance in which the New Testament gives the name of hades to this state of punishment, is in the case of the rich man. "And in hades, he lifted up his eyes, being in torments." This has been correctly referred to, in support of my five arguments severally. This case shews the helpleseness of the sinner, and the consequent impossibility of his restitution from hell: "They which would "pass from hence to you, cannot; neither can they pass to us "that would come from thence." From these words their state must be eternally fixed; and there is as great a contrast between the eternal destiny of the one, and that of the other, as between heaven and hell. In these words it is also plainly desied that their condition ever will or can be changed. As a reason for this it is affirmed that he is in hades, in torments; that is, he is in hell, the place of eternal punish-

a Luke xvi. 23,

ment. That which is affirmed in one verse, is also plainly implied in another; where "Abraham said, son, remember "that thou, in thy life time, receivedst thy good things, and "likewise Lazarus evil things: but now he is comforted and "thou art tormented." My opponent would make you believe that hell is in this life, and that it is to be seen and experienced in scenes of revelry and debauchery: but we are here informed that in this life, the rich man received his comforts, and his good things; and his hell was, to lift up his affrighted eyes, and to utter his unavailing cries, in a

tormenting flame, after he was dead and buried.

It is with reluctance, I confess, that the quibbling of Mr. Balfour and my opponent about his being on a level with Lazarus, is here noticed. The former speaks as follows, viz: "Whatever place hades is, in which the rich man is here reoresented as in torment, it is very evident that Abraham and Lazarus were also in hades. Though spoken of as at " some distance from each other, yet they were within sight " and hearing, and could converse together. The one is not " represented as in heaven, and the other in hell: no; they " are represented as in the same place, and on a level with "each other." In addition to this, if I mistake not, my opponent has endeavoured to prove this level by means of the gulph which was fixed between them: understanding by this gulph, a body of water, which, of course, seeks its level. "In fact," says he, "the whole scene is laid upon a plain, " which was supposed to be under ground; every word in the " original, signifying motion, implies that the places each side " of the gulph, were on a level with each other; there is not "one word which conveys the idea of ascending or of descending, but every expression implies distance, and not "height nor depth."b

In answer to these sage remarks, I would ask, was it not strange for the rich man, lying near a vast body of water, to ask a man on the other side of it, to bring him one drop? But stop: this body of water was not so large, for they could converse across it. Of course, it must have been navigable by a canoe. Why then did Abraham call it impassable? But why should they wish to pass it? These gentlemen have proved that they were in the same place; in the same state, although they had the gulph between them, and although they were afar off from each other. Is it true that two peo-

a Chap. i. See. 2. b Minutes p. 135.

ele cannot speak to each other on the river which is near us, without being in the same vessel, and without being on a kvel? Cannot one man from the top of a seventy-four, address another in the bottom of a wherry? and must Pennsylvania and Jersey be the same state, because they can both be seen across the Delaware? But there is one word signifying motion, which appears to have escaped the notice of my opponent. "In hell he lifted up his eyes." Does this imply that he gave them a horizontal direction? What did he mean just now, when he told you that he had been informed of a general rumour through the city, last evening, that my cause was looking up? Did he mean that it was thought to be on a level with his? Let it therefore be remembered that unless we can reconcile palpable absurdities. then there is not one iota of evidence to prove that Lazarus and the rich man were in the same place. Their seeing and speaking will not prove it, unless we are acquainted with the organs of separate spirits. The contrary conclusion should be drawn from the express words of scripture. The one was "in hell," and the other "afar off;" the one was "in torments." and the other "in Abraham's bosom." If torment and comfort are the same thing, and heaven and hell the same place, then the rich man and Lazarus were in the same state.

It has already been shewn, that my opponent and his coadjutors consider this passage of scripture a parable; and a parable, they think, can, with a little ingenuity, be made to mean any thing or nothing. When it is most convenient, they scruple not to make glaring insinuations, that what they call parables, contain falsehoods. But let us see what they have done in the elucidation of this parable, as they would have it to be. Mr. Ballou in his "Notes on the Parables," makes the rich man to stand for the Aaronic High Priest, and the pious beggar for the Gentiles. The death of Lazarus, means the conversion of the Gentiles, and the death of the rich man the close of the Mosaic dispensation. His being in hades, means conviction of sin, and his seeing the beggar in Abraham's bosom, means his witnessing the conversion of the Gentiles to Abraham's faith. My opponent agrees with this interpretation, and thinks that he makes a alight improvement by interpreting "Abraham and his bosom, the gospel dispensation." As those who are run mad for alle-

a Parable 38.

h Lestures p 202, Note.

gory, can never be satisfied with a parable, until they have taught it to go on all-fours, my opponent has spared no pains in inquiring after the rich man's five brethren. If he has, at different times, had different opinions upon this subject, it is an error to which all great men are liable, in such impor-

tant pursuits.

Previous to his debate with Mr. Bishop, in the Northern Liberties, he delivered a popular sermon, in which he is said to have used the following words, viz: " any man of sense, or common understanding, must know, that, by the five breth-" ren, is to be understood the five books of Moses." By the same authority, we are publicly informed, (and I believe, without contradiction) that my opponent has since relinquished that interpretation, which he said that "any man of sense or common understanding, must" adopt; and has very complaisantly fallen in with Mr. Ballou's opinion, that the five brethren are the same as the five foolish virgins. This is evidently an improvement; and goes to shew that this is an The first interpretation was, I think age of improvement. myself, a little exceptionable; as there was evidently no more reason, for believing that these five brethren meant the five books of Moses, than that it meant certain five Conaanizing cities in the land of Egypt; to or the five changes of raiment which Joseph gave to Benjamin; or the five porches of the pool of Bethesda; or the five barley loaves which fed so many thousands.d To make them mean the five books of Moses, is as arbitrary, as to make them figurative of the five horses which were sent to the Syrian camp; or of the five rams, five he-goats, and the five lambs, which Nashon, the son of Aminidab offered for a sacrifice of peaceoffering;" or the five sparrows that were sold for two farthings :s or the five golden emrods, and the five golden mice, which the Philistines offered for a trespass offering." think, therefore, upon mature deliberation, that my opponent was right in discarding this scheme, although, in doing so, he is stigmatized by his former declaration, as & man destitute of " sense or common understanding."

a See Mr. G. Bishop's "Public Controversy," published in Philadephia, A.D. 1822, p. 148. Note.
b Is. xix. 18.

<sup>•</sup> John v. 2.

d John vi. 9.

e 2 Kings vii. 13. f Numb. vii. 17.

g Luke xii. 6.

h 1 Sam. vi. 4.
i Gen. xlv. 93.

It has already been admitted that making these five brethren to mean the five foolish virgins instead of the five books of Moses, is a real improvement. Although I am myself an advocate of the old way, and therefore unfit to engage largely in the improvements of the present day. I would modestly suggest the possibility of an improvement even upon this interpretation. Might it not be more plausible to go in search of some quintuple, of the same sex with these five brethren, as well as of the same moral character? This disagreement of sex would as effectually prevent some from identifying them with the five foolish virgins, as with Abigail's "five damsels." Being driven off of this ground, we had better pass by Joseph's five brethren whom he introduced to Pharaoh, and the five sons of Mical and Adriel, and the five sons of Zerah, as being rather doubtful characters. It is true, this would be no solid objection, if they were the only fives mentioned in scripture : but there are many others much more to the purpose; and among these we can choose that one, which will most effectually help to allegorize the rich man out of torment. Since therefore, we have determined that these five brethren do not mean the penteteuch, nor the foolish virgins, may they not mean the five husbands of the Samaritan woman?e or the five spies that stole Micah's Gods?f or the five Lords of the Philistine Pentarchy?g or the five kings of Midian, whom Moses slew?h or the five kings of the Amorites, whom Joshua hanged upon five trees? These are unexceptionable resemblances, as to sex and character. They are as much like the five brothren, as the Universalists are like the Unitarians: and all of them, whether brethren, husbands, spies, Lords, Kings, Universalists, or Unitarians, are very much of a piece.

But after all, there is a great difference between faith and fancy; between expounding scripture, and darkening counsel by words without knowledge. I would rather, with the Apostle Paul, "speak five words with my understanding," than to form ten thousand heretical conjectures concerning these five brethren, which are intended only to smother the plain truth. This passage is plain, whether it be called history or parable. In either case, it can mean nothing less,

a 1 Sam. xxv. 42, b Gen. lxvii 2. e 1 Sam. xxi. 8. d 1 Chr. ii. 6.

e In. iv. 18.

f Judg. xviii. 2. g 1 Sam. vi. 16. 17. h Num. xxxi. 8. i Josh. x. 5. 26. j 1 Cor. xiv. 19. GG

than that, immediately after death, the soul of a believer goes to the happiness of heaven, and that of an unbeliever to the torments of hell; between which two states, there is an absolutely eternal separation. The language of Abraham communicates thus much, and thus the rich man evidently understood it: for he gives up all hope of his own salvation; and asks, as his last request, that his brethren may not come thither to aggravate his torment five fold. He is not consoled with the Unsversalist salvo, that although he cannot pass the gulph himself, God can and will take him over. Instead of this, he considers the words of Abraham, as God's infallible declaration, that he never shall pass from hell to heaven, nor have his torments mitigated by one

drop of comfort.

But instead of placing this impassable gulph between believers and unbelievers in the eternal world. Mr. Ballou and my opponent would place it between the Jews and Gentiles in this world! the very contrary of which is declared by the Spirit of Christ. "For he is our peace, who hath made "both one, and bath broken down the middle wall of parti-"tion between us; having abolished in his flesh the enmity, "even the law of commandments contained in ordinances: " for to make in himself of twain, one new man, so making "peace; and that he might reconcile both unto God in one " body by the cross, having slain the enmity; and came, and " preached peace to you which were afar off, [to the Gentiles,] "and to them that were nigh, [to the Jews.]" What a pity, that when Christ has pulled down the wall of separation between Jews and Gentiles, the Universalists should endeavour to fix an impassable gulph between them! But the truth is. they found this gulph in their way; and they were as much at a loss to know where to put it, as they were to find accommodations for their five unbelieving brethren; and as is often the case with prevaricating witnesses, they placed it exactly where it ought not to be.

The absurdity of this scheme is equally great in another respect. The beggar "died;" and after death, those good angels, which my opponent would consider personifications, or non-entities, carried his soul to happiness. The rich man also "died," and his body was buried; but after death, his soul was carried, by personifications of another description, to the world of torment. Although in this world he asked

a Eph. il. 14-17.

no mercy, he calls for it there, where it cannot be found. Abraham reminds him of the condition in which he and Lazarus were placed in their "life-time," but tells them, that "now" after their death and burial, their conditions are greatly changed. To shew that they were really dead, the rich man requests that Lazarus may be sent "from the dead," and Abraham assures him that an unbeliever cannot be saved, "though one rose from the dead." In the face of this accumulated and unequivocal testimony of inspiration, the scheme which we are opposing, requires us to believe that there was no death in the case; but that this whole account relates to the transactions of this life.

You can scarcely conceive of the extent of that barbarity with which these people treat the word of God, until you make a close comparison of their views with the oracles of This we shall now endeavour to do, in a paraphrase which shall incorporate the features of their interpretation, with the sacred text. This method of exposing error is used with reverence to God, and love to your souls: for consequences, let the corrupters of Revelation be answerable. The Universalist paraphrase is as follows, viz. "There was a certain rich man [the Aaronic High Priest,] which was "clothed in purple and fine linen, and fared sumptuously "every day." And there was a certain beggar, named Lazarus, [the Gentiles,] which was laid at his gate, full of sores, and desiring to be fed with the crumbs which fell "from the rich man's table, [the High Priest's table.] "Moreover, the dogs, [the Apostles] came and licked his "sores. And it came to pass, that the beggar, [the Gentiles] "died, [became converted] and was carried by the angels "[the personifications, or non-entities, or these dogs, the "Apostles,] into Abraham's bosom, [the Gospel dispensa-"tion.] The rich man [the Aaronic High Priest,] also died, "[was ex-communicated] and was buried, [alive] and in hell "[in this life,] he lifted up his eyes [to an exact level,] being " in torments [of conviction,] and seeth Abraham, [the gos-" pel] afar off, [very near in the same place,] and Lazarus, "[the Gentiles] in his bosom, [its dispensation.] And he "cried, and said, Father Abraham, [gospel!] have mercy "on me; and send Lazarus [the Gentiles] that he may dip "the tip of his finger in water, and cool my tongue; for I "am tormented in this flame. But Abraham, [the gos-" pel] said, son, [Jews] remember, that thou in thy life-time "[and thou hast never died,] receivedst thy good things, "and likewise Lazarus [the Gentiles,] evil things: but " now he is comforted, and thou art tormented. And besides "all this, between us [the Gentiles,] and you [the Jews,] there " is a great gulph fixed; [in the room of that middle wall of " partition which is broken down,] so that they which would " pass from hence to you, cannot; neither can they pass to "us, that would come from thence. Then he, the High Priest? "said, I pray thee therefore, Father, [gospel,] that thou "wouldst send him, [the Gentiles] to my Father's house, [the "Jews; ] for I have five brethren, [the five books of Moses, " or the five foolish Virgins, that he may testify unto them, " lest they also come into this place of torment. Abraham, "[the gospel,] saith unto him, [the High Priest,] they [the "five books of Moses, or the five foolish Virgins] have Moses " and the Prophets; let them hear them. And he said, nay "Father Abraham: but if one went unto them from the " dead, [before he dies,] they will repent. And he said unto "him, if they [the five books or Virgins] hear not Moses "and the Prophets, neither will they be persuaded though "one rose from the dead [before he dies."]

But if it be true that God is not to be mocked with impunity;—if it be true, that after the death and burial of the body, the soul must go with good angels to Abraham's bosom, or with evil angels to the place of torment;—and if it be true, that that Divine Redeemer, whose blood removed the wall of partition from between Jews and Gentiles, has given his word, that none shall ever pass that gulph which lies between Heaven and Hell;—then let those who reject or tri-

fle with the word of God, repent or tremble.

### 3. GEHENNA.

In the Old Testament we read of the Valley of Hinnows, which is once called by the Septuagint, Gaienna, but never Gehenna. This latter word Gehenna, occurs twelve times in the New Testament, in not one of which, does it denote the Gaienna of the Old Testament, although it is generally supposed, and perhaps, correctly, to allude to that place. It is as correctly supposed that our Saviour alluded to the Garden of Eden, when he said to the dying thief to day shalt thou be with me in Paradise; of which word, is

f Luke xxiii. 43. Β σαραδεισος,



a Luke xvi. 19—31.
b גי הנם

e Jesh. xviii, 16.

d yourve e yerve

repeatedly used in the Septuagint, to signify a literal earthly garden, orchard, or forest. But there is often a great difference between the thing spoken of, and the thing alluded Our Saviour alluded to an earthly garden, which a man enjoys in this life: but he promised to take the repenting, believing, and praying robber, to a Paradise, which was to be enjoyed by his soul, after death. So when Joshua the son of Nun, spoke of the Valley of Hinnom, or Gaienna, he meant a spot of ground near the earthly Jerusalem, which, in process of time, became a scene of idolatry, cruelty, and pollution; and in which place worms were generally found. and a fire was kept up a long time, to consume the carcases which were thrown into it. But let it be noted that these worms died, and this fire was extinguished; and while it burned, it consumed nothing more than the body: whereas the Gehenna, with which sinners are threatened by the Divine Joshua, the son of God, is a flame which seizes the soul immediately after death, and both soul and body after the general judgment; and in addition to this, it is a flame which "never shall be quenched." But we proceed to cite all the passages in which it occurs.

" It is profitable for thee, that one of thy members should "perish, and not that thy whole body should be cast into "Gehenna." "Whosoever shall say thou fool, shall be in "danger of the Gehenna of fire." "It is better for thee to "enter into life with one eye, rather than having two eyes, "to be cast into the fiery Gehenna." "Ye make him [your "proselyte,] two fold more the child of Gehana than your-"selves." The tongue "is set on fire of Gehenna." "Ye "serpents, ye generation of vipers, how can ye escape the damnation of Gehenna?" "Fear not them which kill the "body, but are not able to kill the soul: but rather fear him "which is able to destroy both soul and body in Gehenna." "Fear him, which, after he hath killed, hath power to cast "into Gehenna" "It is better for thee to enter into life "maimed, than having two hands, to go into Gehenna, into "the fire that never shall be quenched." "It is better for "thee to enter halt into life, than having two feet to be cast "into Gehenna, into the fire that never shall be quenched." "It is better for thee to enter into the kingdom of God with "one eye, than having two eyes to be cast into the fiery Ge-

a Neh. ii. 8. Eccles. ii. 5. Cant. iv. 13.

פרדם פ

"henna, where their worm dieth not, and the fire is not "quenched."

After reading the above passages of Scripture, any plain and pious person of common sense, would understand the Gehenna of the New Testament, to be quite a different place from the Gaienna of the Old Testament, although the one alludes to the other, and is illustrated by it. In the Valley of Hinnom, the worm dies, and the fire is quenched: but in Gehenna, "their worm dieth not, and the fire is not quenched." In Gaienna, the body only is consumed, but in Gehenna, both soul and body. And here it will not do to argue, as Mr. Balfour does very elaborately, and as if he were in earnest, that the soul and body mean nothing but our mortal part: for men can kill every thing that is mortal about us; but the soul here spoken of, is one which our Saviour declares they cannot kill. "Fear not them which kill the body, but are "not able to kill the soul; but rather fear him which is " able to destroy both soul and body in Gehenna."

Universalists perceive that our interpretation of the above passages is very much strengthened by the use which is made in them, of such words as *fire*, destruction, and damnation; and accordingly, they spend much time upon these topics. I shall, with the help of God, attend to them, as concisely as

possible.

(1.) Fire. Since it has pleased the all wise God, in condescension to our stupidity, to use the word fire, and the phrase fire and brimstone, to point out the torments of the wicked, or the means or agency by which those torments are inflicted, it becomes us to receive these and similar words and expressions, as the words of God; and not to be ashamed of them, on account of the profane wit of men, as we would wish Christ not to be ashamed of us before his Father and the holy angels. Christians will not cavil at these words, and quibble about them, as Dr. Chauncey has done. If God has plainly declared that there is an unquenchable fire, which shall burn the soul as well as the body, a christian will not contradict him, by objections drawn from the qualities of that fire, with which we are daily conversant. well might he draw objections from that water which our bodies drink, to refute the doctrine that there is " a pure ri-"ver of water of life, clear as crystal, proceeding out of the

a Matt. v. 29. 30. 22. xviii. 9. xxiii. 15. Jas. iii. 6. Matt. xxiii, 33. x: 28. Luke xii. 5. Mk. ix. 43, 45, 47. b Chapt. 1. Sect. 3. e Matt. x. 28;

"throne of God and of the Lamb." Whatsoever qualities may be found in our lower rivers, there is in Heaven, a river of water, which shall refresh the souls and the spiritualized bodies of God's people, to all eternity: and whatsoever may be the qualities of our earthly fires, or furnaces, there is a Tophet, a lake of fire, in hell, which shall torment the souls and bodies of the finally impenitent, to an absolute

eternity.

The existence of such a fire after the day of judgment, and its existence for a long and indefinite duration, was admitted by Mr. Winchester himself; though he denied its absolute eternity. The following are his words, viz. "the second resurrection and the judgment, the whole globe "shall be turned into a lake of fire and brimstone, where "the wicked shall be punished for ages of ages."b In the natural progress of Universalism toward Atheism, it is no wonder that many of Mr. Winchester's former adherents. now deny that there is to be a general judgment, or anyfuture punishment at all. In defending this refinement of error, they must of course say something of that fire, which Mr. Winchester thought would torment the wicked after death. They all, with one consent, quote that text in which God says, "He is like a refiner's fire, and like fuller's soap; and he shall sit as a refiner and purifier of silver, and he "shall purify the sons of Levi; and purge them as gold and "silver, that they may offer unto the Lord, an offering in " righteousness." Mr. Ballou quotes a number of passages in which fire is mentioned, and then selects one which he calls his key text.d He then says, "By my key I learn, that "the fire which causes the wicked to suffer, has the power " of salvation even for the sufferers. So in all the passages "recited, where fire is mentioned, it is evident the same fire "is intended." "All the passages which allude to a dispen-"sation of fire, which I have observed in this work," continues Mr. Ballou, "are direct evidences to prove the des-"truction of sin and all sinful works, the purification of sin-"ners, and their eternal reconciliation to holiness and hap-" piness."e

In view of such remarks, let us read a few passages of scripture; "There shall the fire devour thee; the sword

e On Atonement, pp. 154, 219, 220.

a Rev. xxii. 1.

b Dialogue 5th. o Mal. iii. 2. 3. Minutes p. 103. Ballou on Atonement, p. 155. d 1 Cor. iii. 15. compare my third Universalist argument.

"shall cut thee off; it shall eat thee up like the canker-worm." " A fire devoureth before them, and behind them a flame "burneth." "The same shall drink of the wine of the "wrath of God, which is poured out without mixture, into "the cup of his indignation; and he shall be tormented " with fire and brimstone, in the presence of the holy angels, "and in the presence of the Lamb." Now, according to Mr. Ballou and my opponent, these texts should be considered promises instead of threats; promises that God would purify sinners through love. Is the sword an instrument of purification, or of destruction? Is the canker-worm an instrument of purification, or of corruption? This devouring fire,—this burning flame,—this tormenting fire and brimstone, are so far from being sent in love, that they are said, in the last passage quoted, to proceed from unmingled wrath. It is elsewhere spoken of, in language which should not be thought equivocal. "The Lord Jesus shall be re-"vealed from Heaven, with his mighty angels, in flaming "fire, taking vengeance on them that know not God, and that "obey not the gospel of our Lord Jesus Christ." "A fire is "kindled in mine anger, which shall burn upon you." "A "fire is kindled in mine anger, and shall burn unto the lowest "hell." "Ye have kindled a fire in mine anger, which "shall burn forever." "The sinners in Zion are afraid: "fearfulness hath surprised the hypocrites. Who among " us shall dwell with the devouring fire? Who among us " shall dwell with everlasting burning?" " Depart from "me, ye cursed, into everlasting fire." Sodom and Gomorrah " are set forth for an example, suffering the ven-" geance of eternal fire."b

But my opponent congratulates himself upon having a happy method of eluding the force of these texts, by saying that there is a difference between going to everlasting burnings, and being burned everlastingly. This quibble is as well presented by Mr. Murray as by any Universalist with whom I am acquainted. Concerning the cities of the plain, he says, "These people were set forth as an example, suffering "the vengeance of eternal fire. But they were not set forth as an example eternally suffering the vengeance of fire." "They may suffer the vengeance of eternal fire, if they suffer but

<sup>9</sup> Nah. iii. 15. Joel ii. 3. Rev. xiv. 10.

b 2 Thes. i. 7. 8. Jer. xv. 14. Dut, xxxii. 22. Jer. xvii. 4. Is. xxxiii. 14. Matt. xxv. 41. Jude vii.

a moment !!!"a While speaking in this way, it is possible that these gentlemen forgot that they are in the habit of giving the very opposite interpretation, to precisely the same form of expression, when it may be perverted to their own purpose. They are both in the habit of quoting such texts as speak of the everlasting love of God, and the everlasting joy of the saints, to prove that God will love them, and they shall enjoy him, to an absolute eternity. Why did they not tell us in their unenviable ingenuity, that there is an essential difference between the fruition of everlasting joy, and the everlasting fruition of joy! Why did they they not assure us, with a detestable disingenuousness, that they might enjoy ever lasting love, if they enjoyed it but a moment? Does it need great perspicacity to see that if God's promise of everlasting love and everlasting joy to the saints, will secure their eternal salvation; then his threat of everlasting fire and everlusting burnings to the wicked, shall ensure their eternal punishment?

But my opponent has already let you know that his quiver is not exhausted. If this fire must be absolutely eternal. he thinks himself prepared to shew that God himself is this eternal fire; and that it is therefore a happy thing to be cast into it. "For our God is a consuming fire." This argument is of a piece with that which represents this fire as a puritying sins, and saves their souls. That God does, in love purify his people in the furnace of affliction, is a glorious and consolatory truth: but the context of every passage in which God is called a consuming fire, will show that he consumes men, and not sins; his enemies, and not his friends; and that he is moved to do this, by just indignation, and not by paternal affection. This is the sense of that passage to which the Apostle alluded, in the text just now quoted." " For the Lord thy God is a consuming fire, even a jealous God."d To shew that this jealousy is the zeal of a judge determined to punish sinners, and not that of a father who would purge away sins, Moses says soon afterward, "Understand there-" fore this day, that the Lord thy God is he which goeth over before thee; as a consuming fire he shall destroy them, "and he shall bring them down before thy face: so shalt " thou drive them out, and destroy them quickly, as the Lord " hath said unto thee."

a Murray's Universalism vindicated, pp. 54, 55. b Jer. xxxi. 3. Is. Ixi. 7. Minutes p. 141.

o Hichr. zii. 🕸. d Deut. iv. 24. Нн

o Deut. ix. 6.

But if this fire is God, then the Gehenna of fire must mean the dwelling of God. It becomes necessary therefore, to adopt a scheme of interpretation, which neither of us has hitherto recognized; and make Gehenna to signify, neither earth nor hell, but heaven. If this be true, Mr. Ballou may well claim all my authorities, in which this Gehenna is found as "direct evidences" in favour of his system instead of mine. Shall I undertake to expose to you, my beloved hearers, the abominable folly and wickedness of this perversion of the sacred oracles? Instead of saying with the Apostle James, that the tongue which is full of deadly poison, "is set on fire of hell," this scheme of interpretation would represent it as set on the God of heaven. According to this, whosoever shall say thou fool, shall be in danger of the dwelling of God, instead of the Gehenna of fire. According to this system, it is better for thee to enter into life with one eye, rather than having two eyes, to be cast into the dwelling of God. This plan would make it impossible for those whom our Saviour called serpents and vipers, to escape from going to heaven. But if such gross absurdity be far benea h the dignity of common sense, how infiinitely is it beneath the wisdom of him who spake as man never spake! That my opponent should turn his back upon his own argument, is natural enough. I am only sorry that his chagrin should make him deny that he ever used it.b

(2.) Destruction. In two of the passages where Gehenna occurs, it is insinuated, that in certain cases, if a man permit not a member to perish or be destroyed on earth, his whole body shall be destroyed in Gehenna. In another passage we are told to fear him who is able to destroyd both soul and body in Gehenna. The same Greek verb occurs in all those passages, and is correctly rendered perish in one place, and destroy in another, because it is in different poices. The same verb is translated lose where our Saviour promises to "lose nothing." that is, permit nothing to be asstroyed, that the Father had given him. The same verb is rendered in the same way, and its conjugate is rendered perdition where our Saviour says, "none of them is lost, but

A YEEVVA TOU TUPOS

b He has accordingly as far as I can perceive, left it out of those famous Minutes of the Debate, which Mr Jennings has fathered for him.

<sup>•</sup> αποληται. Matt. v. 29. 30.

d απολεσαι. Matt. x. 28.

o exercisos. John vi. 89.

the son of *perdition*, or *destruction*." This noun is elsewhere translated *perdition*, when immediately connected with another word which is rendered *destruction*.

The texts just now referred to, prove that the souls and bodies of traitors and rich unbelievers are destroyed in Gehenna, after death. To deny the eternity of this destruction, or to confine it to men's sins instead of their persons, will be found not only inconsistent with scripture. but with former concessions of the Universalists themselves. This word occurs in one of their favourite texts; in which it is said that God is "not willing that any should perish," but that all should come to repentance."d Now suppose that this perishing is not eternal, but temporal. Then the text would read. that God is not willing that any should suffer a temporal judgment! But again; suppose that it relates to the destruction of men's sins, instead of their persons. Then the text would mean, that God is not willing that any person's sins should be destroyed! In this place the word perish, must relate to the eternal punishment of men's persons, or the passage would make perfect non-sense, and contradict the Universalists as well as the Orthodox. That it is a dreadful torment, yet to come in its greatest intensity, upon devils and men, is plainly indicated in scripture. Devils said to Jesus, "Art thou come to destroye us?" "Art thou come hither to torment us before the time?" That it is the very opposite of eternal salvation, James intimates, in saying," There is one Lawgiver, who is able to save and to destroy."8 Paul also, repeatedly contrasts the two. speaks of Satan and the Pope, as working " with all deceiv-"ableness of unrighteousness, in them that perish; h because they received not the love of the truth, that they might be " saved." " For we are unto God, a sweet savour of Christ, "in them that are saved and in them that perish." shew that our Saviour meant that this is an eternal aestruction, he repeatedly contrasts it with eternal life. "And I give

a subsec of aurus arubers, si un à biet ens arubsias. John xvii. 12.

b 1 l'im. v. 9.

e arobedias

d 2 Pet. iii. 9.

απολεσαι.

f Łuke iv. 34. Matt. viii. 99.

g Jas. IV. 19.

b agodduperos.

i agraduutives. 2 Thess. ii. 10. 2 Cor. ii. 15.

" unto them eternal life, and they shall never perish." "And as Moses lifted up the serpent in the wilderness, even so "must the Son of Man be lifted up, that whosoever belie-"veth on him should not perish, but have eternal life. For "God so loved the world, that he gave his only begotten "Son, that whosoever believeth in him should not perish, but "have everlasting life." In these two last passages, as in 2 Peter iii. 9.5 both parties are agreed that an eternal destruction is meant.

As this point is established, by the contrast which is made, between this destruction or perdition, on the one hand and eternal life and salvation, on the other, so is it confirmed by the connexion which is found between this perdition. and an important word of the same meaning. "But they "that will be rich, fall into temptations and a snare, and in-"to many foolish and hurtful lusts, which drown men in des-"truction and perdition." Now, that some do wish to be rich, is undeniable; and it is equally incontrovertible, that they fall into temptations and a snare; and that they live and die, in the indulgence of many foolish and hurtful lusts. Then this prophetic threat makes it as certain that they shall be drowned in the lake of perdition, as the Mosaic history makes it certain, that the Egyptians were drowned in the Red Sea. In the text this perdition is synonymous with destruction. If therefore, destruction be everlasting, so is perdition. But Paul says, in another place, that the rejecters of the gospel "shall be punished with everlasting destruction." This proof of the eternity of destruction. establishes the eternity of that perdition, which is synonymous with it. It also shews, that when the son of perdition went to his own place, he went to that Gehenna, which is the place of eternal perdition; in which God can and will destroy the souls and bodies of gospel despisers, after death and the general judgment.

But Mr. Murray would tell us not to be too hasty with this conclusion; for he has another of his weighty sentences to give us, on this subject, viz. "There is an immense "difference between being punished with everlasting des," truction, and being everlastingly punished with destruc-

a John x. 28. iii. 15, 16.

b See my 7th Universalist Argument.

e ολειζον και απωλειαν 1 Tim. vi. 9.
d ολειζον αιωνιον 2 Thes. i. 9.

"tion." I quoted scripture just now, which shews that this destruction is repeatedly and expressly contrasted with everlasting life. Did Mr. Murray, or my opponent, or any other Universalist, ever tell us that there is an immense difference between having eternal life, and eternally having life! They all quote these authorities for the opposite purpose. While they deny that a denunciation of everlasting destruction, destroys the sinner eternally, they all declare that these promises of eternal life, will eternally save those to whom they are made. Satanic subtlety, when exposed, has sometimes the appearance of idiotic imbecility. This argument was intended as a specimen of ingenuity: but it may

well serve as an example of fatuity.

(3.) Damnation. On a certain occasion our Saviour addressed his hearers in the following awful language. "Ye "serpents, ye generation of vipers! how can ye escape the "damnation of Gehenna?"b It is admitted that this word signifies condemnation, or adjudication to punishment. The passage would then read, how can ye escape being condemned, or adjudged to the punishment of Gehenna? This is the word which is used to distinguish the day of final account: in which angels and men shall be sentenced to eternal happiness or eternal misery. "Herein is our love made perfect that we may have boldness in the day of judgment." This boldness of God's believing people arises from a conviction that Jesus Christ, their divine and law-satisfying Surety, has borne their condemnation and punishment, and clothed them with his perfect righteousness. In the day of judgment, they know that they shall be justified through God's covenant mercy. If all men universally, were to shew mercy here, and receive mercy in the day of judgment, none would be damned. But concerning that man who has shewn no mercy, the Apostle says, " he shall have judgment or damnation without mercy:" and concerning those who have an interest in Christ, who was made a curse for them. be says, " mercy rejoiceth against judgment or damnation."d Although in this world, this judgment or do enation is often the drunkard's song, and is often made so, by the profane taunts of Universalists and Atheists, the Holy Spirit assures

a Universalism Vindicated, p. 54,

b the agreeme the yearing Matt. 28. 85.

<sup>.</sup> so en husga ens xeideus. 1 In. 4; 17.

<sup>4</sup> Jas. 9, 18. Comp. Gal. 8; 18.

us, that with regard to some, it is fearful in anticipation, even here, and that hereafter, it is followed by that fiery torment, of which Judas and the rich man have already a foretaste, and which awaits all God's adversaries. The unpardonable offender is said to have "a certain fearful look-"ing for, of judgment, or damnation," and fiery indignation, "which shall devour the adversaries."

When my opponent insists that we must not look forward so far for this condemnation, but that it takes place in this life; I admit that before man sinued, angels were condemned; and since that event, he that believeth not is condemned already. But if this condemnation be confined to this life, and reach not beyond the grave, why does the Apostle say, "it is appointed unto men, once to die, but after this the judgment?"b Our Saviour assures us, that after men are dead and buried, " all that are in the graves shall hear his " voice, and shall come forth; they that have done good, unto " the resurrection of life; and they that have done evil unto "the resurrection of damnation."c Although fallen angels are already condemned or bound over to punishment, there is yet a great day of accounts before them, which shall be so far from relaxing their bonds, that the chains, by which they are reserved for that dreadful reckoning, are expressly declared to be everlasting. " And the Angels which kept not "their first estate, but left their own habitation, he hath re-" served in everlasting chains, under darkness, unto the "judgment of the great day."d That these everlasting chains bind over to everlasting punishment, is plain from the declaration of our Saviour, that "he that shall blaspheme " against the Holy Ghost, hath never forgiveness, but is in "danger of, deserving of, bound over to, eternal damnation."

The amount of the evidence, under this word Genena, is, that this is a place of punishment for the soul, after death, and for the soul and body, after the general judgment; that it is a state in which the impenitent and unbelieving are tormented in unquenchable fire, with everlasting destruction, under eternal damnation. May God, for Christ's sake, preserve you from a presumptuous defiance of such a fate.

εκδοχη κρισεως. Hebr. x. 97.

b xelois. Hebr. ix. 27.

ο αναξασίν χρισεως. In. v. 28, 29.

d εις χρισιν μεγαλης ήμερας. Jude 6.

E SVOXOF ESIV CHUVIOU XPICEUS. Mk. iii. 29.

#### 4.

#### TARTARUS.

The word Tartarus is not literally used once, in our common Greek Scriptures, from Genesis to Revelation. both parties admit that it is virtually used there, as one of its participial conjugates is found, among the úπαξ λεγομενα (words once spoken) of the New Testament. The word is lurtarosas from the verb tartaroun. This is literally rendered by the French abimer. and the Italian abissare; which last word is used for this purpose, in the Italian New Testament, circulated by the British and Foreign Bible Society. Popish and Protestant French New Testaments, published by the same Society, have rendered it, correctly enough, by a circumlocution; although that language affords a verb exactly corresponding with the original. What they did from choice, we have done from necessity. In this we follow the Latin of the Vulgate and Beza, the German of Luther, and many other examples. Instead of manufacturing a new verb for the occasion, our Hebrew New Testament, now in use, renders it, "cast them down to Sheot;" and even the modern Greek, which we should suppose, was not deficient in this respect, renders it. " cast them into Tartarus."a Classical Greek writers, the verb and the circumlocution are used interchangeably. Concerning the same persons, Apollodorus says, in one breath, that they are "inturtarated," and in the next, that they are "cast into tartarus." b

That the Greeks and the Romans considered this a place of punish ment for the wicked after death, need not be elaborately proved. Evidences of this are found in all the books. "Virgil, in his sixth Æneid, where he probably has a particular reference to the representations made of a future state in the mysteries, as well as to those made by Homer, represents several sorts of persons, who had been guilty of very heinous crimes, as adjudged to grievous punishments in Tartarus. Vers 565, et seq." Among the Greeks, the testimony of Plato deserves notice. "In the conclusion of his Phædo, he introduces Socrates, in one of his most serious and solemn discourses just before his death, talking after the manner of the Poets, of the judges after death, of Tartarus, Acheron, the Acherusian lake, Pyriphlegethon, and Cocy-

<sup>■</sup> σους εβαλεν εις τον σαρταρον.

ь жанапартарыныма = фирыман нь нартары. See Parkhurst

tus: that some, after having gone through various punishments, shall be purged and absolved, and after certain periods, shall be freed from their punishments: 'But those who by reason of the greatness of their sins, seem to be incurable, who have committed many and great sacrileges, or unjust and unlawful murders, and other crimes of the like nature, shall have a fate suitable to them, being thrown down into Tartarus, from whence they never shall escape.' The like representation is made at the latter end of Plato's tenth Republic, in the story of Erus Armenius. In his Gorgias also he supposes the wicked, and those who were incurable, to be sent to Tartarus, where they shall be punished with endless torments, as an example to others: and he approves of Homer, for representing wicked kings who had tyrannized over mankind, among those who shall be so punished."

That the writers of the New Testament adopted many words from the Greeks, in whose language they wrote, is as easily accounted for, as it is willingly admitted: but that the doctrines connected with these words in the New Testament, either originated with the Heathen, or are entirely conformable to their views of religion and philosophy, is denied. There is a degree of similarity between Plato's account of Tartarus, as given above, and the Scriptural account of the same place: so there is some resemblance between the Inspired and the Platonic description of Hades. Both contrast it with heaven, and both represent it as a place of future punishment. The latter is done by the Philosopher in the following words, viz. "They that have sinned "more frequently and more heinously, shall fall into the "depth, and into those lower places, which are called Again he says to the transgressor, "Thou shalt " suffer a suitable punishment, either whilst thou remainest "here, or when thou goest to Hades." That the author of these declarations, differed from the Scriptures, in his views of a future retribution, is evident from the falsehood and folly which he has mixed with his assertions. sophers also differ from the scriptures, in the account which they give of the origin of this doctrine. The Scriptures never once insinuate as they do, that it was borrowed from uninspired human tradition. It is true, the Universalists assert this for them: but the inspired writers uniformly de-

e Leland's Advantage and Necessity of the Christian Revolution, vol. 2. pp. 267. 265. Finley's edition, Philadelphia.

d Lehad, vol. 2. pp. 818. 519.

liver it, upon the same authority with all their other instructions: they give it as the word of God, and not of man. But when l'lato tells us of the punishment of sin being required by the justice of God, he says expressly, that this is "as ancient tradition teacheth."e Doubtless, this tradition was as ancient as the word Sheol, or the language to which it belongs: but although the heathen world received it from the polluted streams of tradition, the church received it, first and last, from the pure fountain of revelation.

As the Church of Christ, whether inspired or uninspired, knows that this doctrine came from the source of truth. they have always treated it as a solemn and eternal truth. How different the treatment which it has received from the Heathen and Heretical world! Plutarch in speaking of the Epicurean philosophy, says that the generality of the heathen were ready to admit what he calls " the fabulous hope of immortality, but that they had no fear of the punishments said to be in Hades." Thus they used the words Hades and Tartarus, to denote a state of punishment hereafter, while in reality, the doctrine of future retribution was, with them, an object of derision. That Mr. Balfour was, in some measure, aware of this, will appear from the following extract, viz. "Though punishment after death in Tartarus was be-" lieved by the heathen generally, yet the better informed "among them did not believe 'in the fables of hell,' but turn-"ed them into ridicule. Juvenal took no part in those "opinions of the vulgar; and Virgil says, 'it was the pro-"vince of philosophy alone to shake off the yoke of custom, " rivetted by education.' Is it not then strange, that a doc-"trine, which was invented by heathens, and treated with " contempt by their own wisest men, should be a fundamen-"tal article in the faith of christians?"

That this doctrine was invented by the heathen, is itself a late invention: since the ancient heathen writers testify that it was not formed by invention, but received by tradition, from a still more remote, and to them, inscrutable antiquity. That their would-be wise-men rejected the doctrine, is admitted. To the names of Virgil and Juvenal among the Romans, might be added those of their poets, orators, historians, and philosophers in general, with the great Seneca and Cicero at their head. To the name of Plutarch, the

e Leland, vol. 2, p. 364. f Leland, vol. 2, p. 391. g Chap. 1, sect. 3.

latest of the Greek philosophers, may be added, (strange as it may seem.) the more ancient and eminent Plato and Socrates, Epictetus, Aristotle, and Pythagoras, neither of whom was a real believer in future punishment. With these, the body of the philosophical sects, of Epicureans and Peripatetics, Cynics, Cyrenaics, and Stoics, generally agreed. Mr. Balfour intimates that this rejection of future punishment was among the wise men, while the people generally held our doctrine. It is the opinion of many that on this subject, these Philosophers maintained an esoteric and an exoteric doctrine; the two opposite systems of Universalism and Orthodoxy; the one for the wise men, and the other for the vulgar; a system of policy which Mr. Balfour attributes to Dr. George Campbell. If this be true, then, according to his scale of morality, they must not only have been wise men, but " the very best of men." They taught a doctrine publicly, which they inwardly despised, and privately ridiculed. Mr. Balfour is heartily welcome to the suffrages of all such wise men as these, whether ancient or modern. would not exchange the testimony of one poor heaven-taught martyr, without a name; for that of a regiment of blinded philosophers, without a conscience.

Any enlightened Christian, who considers the gulph of moral degradation, into which sin had plunged these Unitarian wise-men, will not wonder that they resorted to the soothing fables of Universalism or Atheism, to relieve their While they were willing to restrain the vulgar with the fear of God's punitive justice, they were obliged, like modern Universalists, to avert their own guilty faces from his indignant frown. Neither the heathen nor the heretical world can endure the doctrine of God's anger against sin. Although Cicero approves of paying some regard to an oath. he says that this should not be done "out of the fear of the anger of the Gods, for there is no such thing." He declares that "God is never angry, nor hurteth any one:" and concerning this doctrine, he positively asserts, that "it is a principle universally held by all the Philosophers." Concerning the Gods, Seneca declares, "they neither will, nor can hurt any one." As the sufferings of every day, under God's providence, contradict this empty assertion, my opponent will admit that God can and will hurt some, though it will be in this world only. For this he has pointed to the house of the foolish woman: and has assured us that in the haunts of revelry and debauchery, we will find hell with its ten-fold horrors. Now it is a fact, no less notorious than mournful. that the children of this world, can conceive of no better heaven, than these sensual enjoyments. Notwithstanding the halo of purity, with which the corrupt and ignorant have graced the head of Plato, this universalist wise-man was himself addicted to such vices; and in his fifth Republic, he represents the enjoyment of such impure pleasures beyond the grave, as constituting the chief feature of the brave sol-That this is the doctrine of Mahomet and dier's heaven. his millions of followers is well known to you all. I need hardly add. that those Unitarians and Universalists, who have made overtures of confraternity, to the successors of the Arabian impostor, shew by their profession and practice, that this is the heaven which they desire. How could it be otherwise? They have rejected spiritual happiness, and nothing remains but a carnal paradise. After having despised the Trinity, could they be happy, where a Trinity presides? After having abhorred the atonement, could they dwell with those who are washed in the Saviour's blood? and could they relish the heavenly influences of that Divine Spirit, whose Deity and operations they had denied and rejected here? They have forsaken the fountain of living waters, and it is natural for them to hew out to themselves, cisterns, broken cisterns, which can hold no wa-If, therefore, sensual pleasures, in which they delight, be the only hell which is threatened them, what have they to deter them from sin? Although Mr. Balfour has failed to prove that future punishment was invented by the heathen, it is a fact that this temporal hell of my opponent, is an invention of heathenish corruption and infatuation. Plutarch represents the fear of future punishment, as a "childish fear," and represents the doctrine which we hold, as made up of "fabulous stories, and the tales of mothers and nurses," he says, "I am of opinion, if it be lawful to say so, that wicked men need neither the Gods nor men to punish them: but their own life, being wholly corrupted, and full of perturbation, is a sufficient punishment."h

The most prominent argument of these wise men for a future immortality in any condition, was perfectly futile and false, and accordingly, they generally doubted and rejected the doctrine of a future existence altogether. When they appeared to advocate it, it was only for a limited period, and for

h Leland, vol. 2, pp. 375, 376, 339, 375.

the benefit of the learned only; but even these usually joined in denying it entirely. Aristotle says that "death is the a most dreadful of all things: for that it is the end [of our " existence]: and that to him that is dead, there seems nof thing farther to remain, whether good or evil." Cicero in his Tusculan Disputations, informs us that the doctrine of the immortality of the soul was despised by the whole literary world. He tells us that it was not only contradicted by the Epicureans, "but," says he, "I know not how it is, that " every learned man treats it with contempt." The sentence quoted some time ago from Plutarch, in which he speaks of the "fabulous hope of immortality," shews, that long after many of the vulgar heathen had received the Christian religion, "the better informed among them," as Mr. Balfour styles these Philosophers, continued to ridicule what these learned blind men considered the rables of hell, and the fables of heaven too. How unenviable is that pre-eminence. which consists in the gross abuse, of peculiar talents and opportunities of improvement! While the Universalists admire them for their errors, and give them the praise of being better informed than the vulgar, who believed in future rewards and punishments, the Spirit of God declares that they "beacame vain in their imaginations, and their foolish heart " was darkened. Professing themselves to be wise, they bea came fools." By such men it is an honour to be condemned and derided.

While claiming these heathen wise men for the Universalists, Mr. Balfour tells us that "punishment after death in " Tartarus was believed by the heathen generally." If this be true, it is an astonishing fact. Concerning the people and their instructors, it may generally be said, "like priest, like people." "A little leaven leaveneth the whole lump." Now although these favourites of Mr. Balfour and the Universalits, often spoke one thing and thought another; -although it is a notorious fact, that they openly advocated downright lying; it is far from being certain that the body of the people materially differed from them. Concerning future punishments, Plutarch says, "there are not many that fear these things." The celebrated disciple of Socrates repeatedly and variously declares, that this doctrine of future punishment, " met with little credit among men." According to him, the vulgar among the Heathen, were a sort of Atheistical Mate-

i Lel. 2: 284, 285.

rialists, like my opponent. Plato says that "most men "seemed to think that the soul was immediately dissolved "at death, and that it vanished and was dissipated, like the "wind or smoke, or became nothing at all: and that it need- ed no small persuasion and faith to believe that the soul exists, and has some power and intelligence after the man is dead."

The inconsistencies and contradictions found in the writings of these ancient philosophers, are scarcely more palpable than those which are found in their modern brethren. Mr. Balfour and my opponent, at one moment, boast that these wise men reject our fables of hell; and at the next moment, accuse us of borrowing our doctrine of eternal punishment in Tartarus, from the false philosophy of the heathen. Their accusations are as groundless as their boasting is shameful. The New Testament uses their words for devil and hell; and it also uses their words for God and Heaven: but while Paul declares to them the true nature of that God. whom they ignorantly worshipped, Peter teaches them the true character of that Tartarus, which they blindly derided. The Universalists reject the doctrine of revelation, and boast that these false philosophers did the same! And who are these better informed among the heathen, with whom it is such an honour to agree? They were the blind and deaf, always speculating about colours and sounds. They were always talking about divine truth, and yet "changed the truth of God into a lie." According to their own account, a portion of the truth had been conveyed to them, howsoever imperfectly, by tradition: but "they did not like to retain God in their knowledge;" they despised alike, the fears of hell, and the hopes of heaven, and even denied the spirituality and immortality of their own souls. "For this cause God gave them up to vile affections," and "gave them over to a reprobate mind," and to a reprobate life. Indulging without restraint, in the most brutal appetites, they seemed indeed, as if they had no soul to distinguish them from the inferior creation. Let who will glory in symbolizing with such men. the Christian glories in nothing but the cross of Christ. by whom he is crucified to the world, and the world to him.

The heathen generally believed in the transmigration of souls, and in certain periodical conflagrations or annihilations of souls, succeeding each other without end. From

j Lel, 2; 391. 382, 383.

these the Jews have received a sort of Universalism; and by these, Origen was corrupted. Besides him, my opponent can find no other example in the ancient church. "Simon Ma-"gus, Basilides, Carpocrates, and the impure Gnostics, we do not envy him;" as they were, like their brethren of the present day, in the gall of bitterness and the bonds of iniquity. On this doctrine, Clemens Alexandrinus, the preceptor of Origen, was decidedly orthodox; and so was Cyprian, his great contemporary, whose superiority in piety and usefulness, the Christian world so much admires.

Among the many proofs of their doctrinal soundness, I have numbered the inspired declaration concerning *Tartarus*. It is in 2 Peter, ii. 4. "God spared not the angels that "sinned, but cast them down to *Tartarus*, and delivered "them into chains of darkness, to be reserved unto judg-ment." The questions suggested by this text, are, I. What does this threatening mean? 2. Against whom is it directed?

(1.) What does this threatening mean? That it is a threatening, is evident, because the subjects of it are said not to be spared, but cast down, and confined in chains of darkness, to be punished, as we are informed in verse 9th. reaches beyond this life, as far as men are concerned, appears from their being reserved unto judgment. " It is appointed unto men once to die, but after this the judgment. 91 That the judgment will rivet these chains, appears from Jude's calling them, in verse 6th, "everlasting chains," and from his placing these prisoners, in verse 7th, with the inhabitants of Sodom and Gomorrha, who are " suffering the vengeance of eternal fire." Peter also, places them in the same company, in verse 6th, of the context. Of these persons it is said in verse 3rd, the immediately preceding context, that their "judgment now of a long time lingereth not, and their damnation slumbereth not." These words have already been examined in the original, in what was said on the subject of Gehenna. There they were translated damnation and destruction: and it was proved from the Scriptures, that these are an everlasting destruction, and an eternal damnation, in an unquenchable fire. As "fools make a mock at sin," so fools will laugh at its punishment: but let it be remembered that this is done only by Mr. Balfour and his heathens, not by the Christian Church: and concerning

k Lampe, on the Eternity of Punishments, Part 2, Sect. 10. 1 Hebr. ix. 27.

these scorners, God has said, "I also, will laugh at your calamity, I will mock when your fear cometh."

(2.) Against whom is this Tartarean punishment threatened? It was against "the angels that sinned." In the 6th head of the first Orthodox argument, I have endeavoured to shew, that the curse has subjected sinners to a real devil, and not a mere personification. That he has angels distinct from the human race, appears from the sentence of condemnation pronounced upon unbelieving men at the day of judgment. "Depart from me, ye cursed, into everlasting fire, prepared for the devil and his angels." These angels, mentioned by Peter, my opponent and the improved version. would have to be men: but this cannot be: for Peter, in the context, says that angels "are greater in power and might." They cannot be non entities; for such have neither power nor might. But two things are manifest, from what our Saviour and his inspired Apostle say concerning these angels. One is, that it was on account of sin, that they were cast into Tartarus, or this everlasting fire. The other is, that the same punishment awaits all impenitent sinners of the human race. In the 9th verse, Peter says, "The Lord knowseth how to deliver the godly out of temptation, and to re-"serve the unjust unto the day of judgment, to be punished." In the 1st, 2d, and 3d verses, he speaks of the preachers and deluded professors of "damnable heresies," as participating in the judgment and damnation of these angels. In the three following verses, he presents the case of these intartarated angels, the case of the antediluvian world, and that of the cities of the plain, as so many examples to warn all sinners against the same condemnation and punishment; letting us know, that " if God spared not" these, he will punish all the " unjust" of our race.

When our Saviour commands the wicked to depart into "everlasting fire," or as he afterward explains it, into "everlasting punishment," his omitting to use the word now in question, may appear to weaken our argument. But when we observe on the one hand that Christ casts wicked men, and the devil, and his angels, all together, into the same fiery and eternal punishment;—and when we observe, on the other hand, that an unsparing God casts these angels down to Tartarus;—cannot a moderate reasoner see that the devil and wicked men must be in Tartarus too?—Tartarus, then, is that everlasting fire which is "prepared for the devil and his angels:"—and Tartarus is that place of everlasting

punishment, into which the wicked "shall go away" after

the general judgment.

Thus have I endeavoured to shew the scriptural doctrine of Sheol, Hades, Gehenna, and Tartarus. I have essayed to prove, what has been proved a thousand times before, that these terms are used by the inspired writers, to denote a state of eternal punishment; and that all those passages in which wicked men or angels are said to be cast into hell, are infallible proofs that their punishment is absolutely eternal. In doing this, with a conscience enlightened by the word and Spirit of Christ, and with a heart full of love to your souls, my skirts are clear of your blood. Without attempting to excite unprofitable fears, I have endeavoured, through grace faithfully to warn you, of the wrath which awaits the impenitent and unbelieving. May God enable you to flee to the only Refuge for lost sinners.

# THE DURATION OF PUNISHMENT.

The first branch of affirmative evidence, which we have just now finished, discusses certain conspicuous words of Holy Writ, which denote the state of the damned; and it was shewn that this was an eternal state. The second branch of affirmative evidence, on which we are now entering, contemplates such words as perpetual and eternal, never and forever, which mark the duration of this punishment, in a still more pointed manner. Concerning Edom, God said, "Thou " shalt be cut off forever." "They shall call them the border " of wickedness, and the people against whom the Lord hath "indignation forever." "And when he looked on Amalek, "he took up his parable, and said, Amalek was the first of "the nations, but his latter end shall be, that he perish for-" ever." "And he also [the scourge of the Jews] shall perish " forever." "If thou forsake him, he will cast thee off for-"ever." "But the Lord is with me, as a mighty terrible one: " therefore my persecutors shall stumble and they shall not "prevail: they shall be greatly ashamed; for they shall not " prosper: their everlasting confusion shall never be forgot-"ten." "The Lord hath sworn by the excellency of Jacob, " surely I will never forget any of their works." "And I " will bring an everlasting reproach upon you, and a perpe-" tual shame, which shall not be forgotten." "When all the " workers of iniquity do flourish; it is that they shall be de-" stroyed forever." "He put them to a perpetual reproach." "Let them be confounded and troubled forever : yea, let

"them be put to shame, and perish." "They perish forever, without any regarding it." "Thou destroyest the hope of man. Thou prevailest forever against him." "He shall go " to the generation of his fathers: they shall never see light." "Thou hast rebuked the heathen, thou hast destroyed the wicked, thou hast put out their name forever and ever." After death, "he shall go to the generation of his fathers; "they shall never see light." "God shall likewise destroy "thee forever." "And many of them that sleep in the dust " of the earth shall awake, some to everlasting life, and some "to shame and everlasting contempt." "Who among us shall dwell with everlasting burnings?" "The smoke thereof "shall go up forever." "For ye have kindled a fire in mine "anger, which shall burn forever." "But he that shall blas-"pheme against the Holy Ghost, hath never forgiveness, but "is in danger of eternal framnation." "These are wells " without water; clouds that are carried with a tempest; to " whom the mist of darkness is reserved forever." " Raging "waves of the sea, foaming out their own shame; wander-"ing stars, to whom is reserved the blackness of darkness "forever." " And the smoke of their torment ascendeth up "forever and ever, and they have no rest day nor night, who "worship the Beast and his image, and whosoever receiveth "the mark of his name." "And again, they said, Alleluia. "And her smoke rose up forever and ever." "And the Devil "that deceived them, was cast into the lake of fire and brim-" stone, where the beast and the false prophet are, and shall "be tormented, day and night, forever and ever:" with an " elernal judgment, [condemnation, or punishment.]" " Even " as Sodom and Gomorrha, and the cities about them, in like "manner, giving themselves over to fornication, and going "after strange flesh, are set forth for an example, suffering the vengeance of eternal fire." "Who shall be punished "with everlasting destruction from the presence of the Lord, "and from the glory of his power." "Wherefore, if thy "hand or thy foot offend thee, cut them off; for it is better " for thee to enter into life halt or maimed, rather than hav-" ing two hands or two feet, to be cast into ever/asting fire." "Then shall he say unto them on the left hand, depart from me, ye cursed, into everlasting fire, prepared for the devil " and his angels." " And these shall go away into everlasting " punishment, but the righteous into life eternal."m

m Obad i. 10. Mal. i. 4. Num. xxiv. 20, 24. 1 Chr. xxviii. 9. Jer. xx. 11. Am. viii. 7. Jer. xxiii. 40. Job iv. 20. xiv. 20. Ps: xcii. 7. lxxviii. 66. lxxxiii. 17,

From all this evidence, which is given in the words of our common translation, the Universalists are in the habit of appealing to the Original Scriptures. Thither we are willing to follow them. But when they are beaten on this ground, and begin to complain of our speaking in an unknown tongue, let it be remembered, who first found fault with our translation; who first appealed to the Hebrew language; and who

first prated about an conian punishment. The above authorities are found in the Old and New Tes-Of course, they are in different languages; and, (what is not unusual.) the same word is used in our Version, to render a variety of words in the Original. The Hebrew words Nejeh, Od, and O'am, are all interpreted everlasting, perpetual, always, and forever: and certain Greek words and phrases, derived from Aign, are rendered everlasting, eternal, forever, and forever and ever. If it be a fact that the Spirit of God often uses these words and phrases to denote an absolute eternity; and if there be particular reason discovered why they should be so understood, in the above passages relating to the punishment of the wicked: then a good conscience will oblige us to believe, that the wicked are punished to an absolute eternity. For the support of this conclusion, the premises shall, with the help of God, be now established, in the critical examination of the following par-1. Nejeh. 2. Od. 3. Olam. 4. Aion. 5. Eis Aiona. 6. Aionios.n

### 1. NEJEH.

This word often signifies victory: as in Hab. i. 4. "Judgment does not go forth to victory." Our translators, with Junius and Tremellius, thought this the meaning of it in Is. xxv. 8. "He will swallow up death in victory." The Vulgate and Calasio have rendered it forever. Although Bishop Pearce has, if I recollect rightly, endeavoured to reconcile this with Paul's Greek, in 1 Cor. xv. 54,p our translators were probably right, as they are generally. Be this as it may, my opponent and others of the same principles, quote

xlix. 19. ix 5 lii. 5. Dan. xii. 2. Ia. xxxiii. 14. xxxiv. 10. Jer. xvii. 4. Mk. iii. 39. 2 Pet ii. 17. Jude 13. Rev. xiv. 11. xix. 3. xx. 10. Heb. vi. 2. Jude 7. 2 Them. i. 9. Matt. xviii. 8. xxv. 41, 46.

שלם עד נצח ב מושע, בוה מושעם, מושעוסה.

o In sempiternum.

P SIS VIXOS.

this passage in favour of universal, elernal salvation. They have granted this force to the word, in such passages as the following; "He will not always chide:" "Neither will I be always wroth." Those who believe that the miry places of Babylon, and the marshes thereof, shall not be healed, will allow this word the same force, where Isaiah says that "it shall never be inhabited;" and Jeremiah says that "it shall be no more inhabited forever." In denouncing a similar curse upon Idumea, Isaiah doubles the word, "None shall pass through it forever and ever." This appears to be its meaning in Ps xlix. 9, where it is said of the rich voluptuaries of this world, that "none of them can by any means redeem his brother, nor give to God a ransom for him:" "that he should still live forever."

The 19th verse of this Psalm is one of the authorities quoted above for eternal punishment. After this wealthy worldling dies, it is said that "he shall go to the generation of his fathers; they shall never see light." If the generation of his fathers felt toward him, as the rich sensualist in the gospel felt toward his five brethren, they did not wish him to come into their place of torment. As it was declared that they never should enjoy the light of life, the pains of the second death would be aggravated by the company of a guilty descendant, to whose ruin they were accessary.

In another passage adduced, it is said concerning impious oppressors, "The Lord hath sworn by the excellency of Ja"cob, surely I will never forget any of their works." When God says of the penitent, "I will be merciful to their unright"eousness, and their sins and their iniquities will I remember no more," every Universalist sees that this is a promise of eternal forgiveness. When he says, "The needy shall not always be forgotten," every one understands this to be a promise of eternal salvation. But if a promise that God's pious poor shall not always be forgotten, secures their eternal happiness, is it difficult to see that eternal misery awaits those sinners, concerning whom the excellency of Jacob swears by himself, "Surely I will never forget any of their works?" The duration of the blessing in the one case, and of the curse in the other, is marked by the same word."

In a third authority advanced, the Psalmist threatens the

q Ps. ciii. 9. Is. lvii. 16. The references are always to the English Bible.

בורים בי Is. xxxiv. 10, xiii. 20. Jer. l. 89. Comp. Ez. xlvii. 11.

<sup>8</sup> Amos vili. 7. Ps. ix. 18. Comp. Heb. viii. 12. Gen. xxvii. 45.

deceitful, lying, mischievous tongue, "God shall likewise destroy thee for ever." If, as the apostle James tells us, this tongue " is set on fire of hell," then it must be destroyed for ever: for hell is a place of everlasting destruction, as was shewn under the word Gehenna. A few evidences, that the same doctrine of the destruction of the wicked, is contained in the Old Testament, which was there asserted from the

New, will close this article.

Universalists and other pretenders to religion, are prone to in lulge defusive hopes of escaping this destruction: but God says, "the hypocrite's hope shall perish, or be destroyed."u They expect always to fly to their refuges of lies; but the time is coming when, as God says, "flight shall perish from them." They would vainly persuade themselves that they have no other destruction to fear, but that which precedes death; w and that, after death, their hopes shall be fulfilled. But Solomon says, "When a wicked man dieth, his expecta-"tions shall perish, or be destroyed: and the hope of unjust "men perisheth, or is destroyed."x It was not said of Amalek that he should sustain a temporal destruction in the prime of life; but "his latter end shall be, that he perish forever."s This destruction is never said in scripture to be sent in love for the sanctification of the subject; but "by the blast of "God they perish, or are destroyed, and by the breath of his 'nostrils are they consumed." "The wicked shall perish, or " be destroyed, and the enemies of the Lord shall be as the fat " of lambs: they shall consume; into smoke shall they con-" sume away." " As smoke is driven away, so drive them "away: as wax melteth before the fire, so let the wicked " perish, or be destroyed, at the presence of God." Concerning the worshippers of the Pope, that irreconcileable enemy of Christ and his word, Daniel says, "I beheld, even till the " Beast was slain, and his body destroyed, and given to the burning flame."b

The above passages do not expressly declare that this destruction is in Sheol or Gehenna, or the bottomless pit; but -other Scriptures do. It will be recollected that under the

t Ps. lii. 5.

a Joh viii. 13. v Job xi, 20. Marginal reading. Calasio; "Refugium peribit ab eis." w See Job xxviii, 22.

x Job xi. 7, z Num. xxiv 20.

a Joh iv. 9. Pa. xxxvii. 20. lxviii. 2.

b Dan. vii. 11,

first branch of this argument, the destruction, which, in the New Testament, is called Apoleia, (from which the name of Appollyon is derived,) was shewn to take place in Gehenna, which was a place of punishment by fire after death. The destruction mentioned above, is by a burning flame, after the subject of it is slain. The Hebrew for destroy, in the above texts, is abade and for destruction. Abadun. Calasio very justly observes that in Job xxvi. 6, this .1badun is used "for the thing destroyed, pro reperdita." "Sheot is naked before him, and Abadun hath no covering." Who this Abadun, this son of destruction is, the Apostle John tells us. After describing a destructive army, he says, "And they had a "King over them, which is the angel of the bottomless pit, "whose name in the Hebrew tongue, is Abaddon, but in the "Greek tongue, hath his name Apollyon." That this destruction is in hell, with fallen angels, appears farther from the case of Korah, Dathan, and Abiram. When " they perished or were destroyed from among the congregation," it was by going down " into Sheol," or " into Hades;" as the Septuagint has it.d This was done by the just judgment of an angry God: for Solomon says, " Sheot and Abadun, [or (70) Hairles and Apoleia] are before the Lord." When sinners repent and believe in the Saviour, God's law and justice are satisfied through his atonement. Their light afflictions would then be temporal. But if they do not repent, their sufferings must be eternal; for God has said that " Sheol and Abadun are never satisfied."e The road which leads to destruction is said in Scripture, to be a broad way. The Psalmist uses the word way, as a figure for the ungodly multitudes who walk in this way of perdition. In this sense, he says, " the way of the ungodly shall perish or be destroyed." In the use of the word nejeh, which is now under discussion, Job says, "They perish forever, without any regarding it."h

> 2. OD

## **OD.** .

Concerning the God of Israel, David says to Solomon, "If thou forsake him, he will cast thee off forever." The same word occurs in the declaration that Amalek and other enemies of the church "shall perish forever." The Psalmist

e This holds in all except the last, Dan. vii. 11, which Daniel wrote in Chaldee; and where the word is *eubed*, only a dialectical variation.

d Num. xvi. 33.

e Prov. zzvii. 20. f Matt. vii. 13. g Pa. i. . h Job iv. 20.

says, "When the wicked spring as the grass, and when all "the workers of iniquity do flourish; it is that they shall be destroyed forever." "Let them be confounded and troubled

"forever; yea let them be put to shame and perish."

It is freely admitted that this word does not always connote eternal duration: but that it is used familiarly for this purpose, is evident from its frequent connexion with the existence, glory, and perfections of God; and with the happiness of the saints: and that it has this signification, in the passages just now quoted, appears from its connexion with that destruction, which is absolutely eternal.

Concerning God, it is said, "His righteousness endureth forever." "His praise endureth forever." "Thus saith the High and Lofty One, that inhabiteth eternity." Towards his people, it is said, that "He retaineth not his anger forever." Therefore they shall love and enjoy him for ever. This is proved by the following and similar texts: "The fear of the Lord is clean, enduring forever." Concerning the heavenly Canaan, it is said, "The righteous shall inherit the land, and dwell therein for ever." "Your heart shall live for ever." "Thou hast made him most blessed for ever." "So will I sing praise unto thy name for ever." "His seed also will I make to endure for ever." "His righteousness endureth for ever." "The lip of truth shall be established for ever." "Trust ye in the Lord for ever."

The most of those passages in which Od is connected with Olam, I shall postpone to the next head, in which Olam may be particularly considered. Only one of them claims attention at this time. It is that in which God says to Israel, "Ye shall not be ashamed nor confounded world without end." The original is, "od oulami od." As od sometimes signifies an indefinite, and sometimes an infinite futurity, I would render this passage literally, as follows: "Ye shall not be ashamed nor confounded to the eternities of futurity, or, to the eternities of infinite duration."

Concerning this phrase, my opponent speaks in the following manner, viz. "I now again repeat, that if my opponent "can shew, that the expression, od oulami od, which I am "willing to admit may signify an absolute eternity, is any "where in the sacred volume, used in connexion with punish ment, misery or death, then he has the argument; and if not, it is evident, that what I said yesterday, still stands

i 1 Chr. xxviii. 9. Num. xxiv. 20, 24. Ps. xeii. 7, lxxxiii. 17. In the 2nd and 3rd of these texts, the plural ? 73 is used.

"sure, and we may fairly conclude that he cannot do it." This declaration is here introduced, because it contains a concession, that the phrase in question signifies an absolute eternity: otherwise, how could I gain the argument by shewing it in connexion with punishment? Now if these words together, signify an absolute eternity, at least one of them alone, must have this meaning, independently of its relation to the other. An absolute eternity cannot be formed, by any possible addition or multiplication of limited periods. oulam mean a limited time, and if od mean nothing more than this, then, oulami od would mean, the times of limitation. But this would contradict my opponent, who says that this expression signifies an absolute eternity. Himself, therefore, being judge, one of them must have this signification. Which will he choose? He has told us. After pretending to weigh his translation and mine, he says, "In neither way does it imply that עולם oulam, of itself, means an absolute eternity."\* He teaches us that oulami signifies limited ages. Unless therefore od signifies eternity, this expression will signify nothing more than ages of limitation. To make out his point, my opponent must hold that od is eternity. He would then translate the passage, "Ye shall not be ashamed nor confounded to the ages of eternity." But if this be true, where are those, concerning whom the Psalmist says, "Let them be confounded and troubled to op, to eternity?" All those authorities which are quoted in the commencement of this article, will, according to this interpretation, consign unbelievers to an absolute eternity of suffering.

3.

#### OLAM:

This word occurs in the singular and the plural numbers, and in different forms. In the singular, it is olam, oulam, and eoulam. In the plural, it is oulamin; unless when in government, as in the example given in the last article: in which case it is oulami. Why it should signify eternity, when used in any form, or in either number, I may not be able to tell. It should suffice, for me to prove the fact. Many authorities have been given, in which this word is connected with the punishment of the wicked. If, therefore, it can be shewn that this word signifies an eternal duration, the wicked must be punished for ever.

j Minutes p. 163.

k Minutes p. 175.

As the instance cited under the last head, presents this word in the plural number, I shall mention other examples in which it is found in the plural, unconnected with od. If we examine the whole of the 61st Psalm, we shall find that David points to an eternal residence with God. (comp. verse 7,) when he says, in verse 4, "I will abide in thy tabernacle OULANIM, for ever." In an address to Jehovah, the same writer says, "Thy kingdom is a kingdom of KAL OLAMIM, all eternities." Did, or did not the Psalmist mean, that the kingdom of Jehovah was absolutely eternal? It has already been noticed that Dan. ix. 24, is urged by my opponent and other Universalists, in favour of their system. It is there said, that the Messiah shall "make an end of sins," and "bring in everlasting righteousness" This, they think, establishes the doctrine, that sin is temporal, but righteousness absolutely eternal. But let it be remembered that the word for everlasting in this text, is olamim. Does this mean less than eternal?

In Isa. xlv. 17, where this word governs od, it is found in the former part of the verse, without that connexion. On this my opponent speaks as follows, viz. "The passage re-" ferred to reads thus; 'But Israel shall be saved in the "Lord, with an (oulamim) everlasting salvation.' In this " former part of the verse, there is no difference between me " and my opponent, we are perfectly agreed with respect to "their everlasting salvation; it is in the latter clause only, "that there is any collision between us. 'Ye shall not be " ashamed nor confounded (od oulami od) world without " end," "m It will be recollected that these are the words of my Universalist opponent, stating wherein we agree, and wherein we disagree, concerning the same text. Our disagreement is on the meaning of oulami in the latter clause of the verse. I say that it signifies an absolute eternity. He denies that this word, "of itself, means an absolute eternity." This is the "collision" of which he speaks. Now, what is the subject, in which he says we are "perfectly agreed?" It is concerning this same word oulamin, with the same application, and in the same verse, but only in the former part of the verse. I say that it means absolutely eternal, and so does he; for otherwise, we could not be "perfectly agreed." In both cases it is predicated of the salvation of the saints. I say that

<sup>1</sup> Ps. exlv. 13.

m Minutes, p. 162. m Minutes, p. 175.

both cases, it has the same meaning. He thinks that there is an infinite difference in its meaning; as, in one instance, it signifies an eternal, and in the other a temporary duration!! Let him shew a reason for this difference, and it shall have its weight: but let him not expect that you will give the name of criticism, to an empty assertion, not only without evidence,

but contrary to all sober rules of interpretation. But you will ask, how it comes to pass, that he disputes the force of these words in the latter clause of this verse, within a few breaths of a concession, that they "may signify an absolute eternity;" and an admission, that the same expression, in connexion with punishment, would prove its eternity. That he has thus contradicted himself, is certain: and as I have made use of his admission of the truth, I am willing to examine his reasoning against it. It rests upon a counterfeit translation of the passage, coined for the occasion. that od oulami od, should be rendered, to the age and farther. By this he proves that there is duration, farther than the age, or beyond oulami, and of course, oulami cannot mean eternity. When I reminded him that there was no and in the original. nor even in the Septuagint; and that the insertion of this conjunction, in such a translation as his, was a perversion; he maintained its correctness, and quoted Dan. xii. 3, to prove it. "And they that be wise, shall shine as the brightness of the "firmament: and they that turn many to righteousness, as " the stars for ever AND ever; to OULAM and OD." To give a more complete refutation of my assertion, he shews that the Septuagint of this same passage in Daniel, has the conjunc-It reads, "BIS TON AIONA KAI ETI, to the age tion and. and longer," as he would render it. He attributes the very same meaning to Isa. xlv 17, "heos tou aionos eti," which he renders in Latin, "in æternum amplius."

As this is an Universalist method of reasoning, I wish it to be well understood. I have said that there is no and, either in the original or the Septuagint of Isa. xlv. 17, and that the insertion of this conjunction in such a translation as his, is a perversion of the scriptures. Here we are directly at issue: and as he takes the affirmative, he produces the evidence. After hearing him speak so often of my ignorance of the languages, (a fault which I freely confess,) you perhaps tremble for my fate, when you see him open the Hebrew and Greek scriptures. You expect to hear him read, forthwith, in Isa. xlv. 17, and shew, to my confusion, that it contains a conjunction. Instead of this he turns to Dan. xii. S. Here we find

a conjunction in the Hebrew, Greek and English. Wherefore, my opponent triumphantly concludes that he has a right to insert this word in Isa. xlv. 17, where it is not found. But lest this reasoning should not appear conclusive, even to his own followers, he reads the Septuagint of Isaiah, in the very text itself. This is coming nearer home; and you expect an English translation with the word and in it. Instead of this he gives it to you in Latin; "in æturnum amplius." I suppose he thought that those Universalists who, like myself, were ignorant of the languages, would think this translation

amply furnished with conjunctions.

When my opponent renders of OULAMI OD, by the words, to the age and farther, he knows, as well as I do, that oulami is in the plural number, and that a literal translation, which he affects to make, would give it a plural rendering. He knows also, that if there were a conjunction between these words, the first of them would be written oulamim instead of oulami. The dropping of the last letter, shews that this word governs the one which follows it. In Western languages, government is usually marked by the word governed: in the Eastern, by a change in the word which governs. Oulami has in itself, the force of a genitive, the sign of which, in our language, is of, and not and. If therefore, this word mean ages, and if od mean farther, the literal rendering of this ex-

pression would be, to the ages of farther!!

The reason why the Septuagint have not marked this government in the usual way, is, not that they differed from our translators, in their understanding of the passage, but because they used the little indeclinable word eti, which is frequently used to translate od, and which, like od, usually signifies indefinite or infinite duration; generally future, but sometimes past. Job says, "Knowest thou not this of old?", The Hebrew here for of old, is meni od. Symmachus renders it, AP' AIONOS But Trommius informs us, that various Greek interpreters render it apo tou eti. This shews that eti is considered a proper translation of od, when it means duration past or future, limited or unlimited. But take notice that eti. though indeclinable, is here treated as a noun in the genitive case; APO TOU ETI, of old. Take this example to the Septuagint of Isa. xlv 17, and you can easily find why it did not insert a conjunction. "Heos tou aionos eti," is literally translated, "to the eternity of futurity." Except in its changing the number of the governing noun, as our translators have

o Job xx. 4.

done, and as my opponent has done, it is a perfectly literal rendering of the original, "to the eternities of futurity."

I need not consume your time in quoting evidence that eti denotes an indefinite futurity. P More than this will be done, if it can be shewn that it signifies an endless futurity Solomon says, "The king that faithfully judgeth the poor, his throne shall be established for ever."9 That this for ever, means an endless futurity, should be admitted by my opponent, since he believes that the thrones promised to the apostles, are to endure for ever. To all believers, God has promised "a kingdom which cannot be moved," an incorruptible crown, "a crown of glory that fadeth not away.", This eternal, heavenly reward, therefore, is that throne, which, according to the proverb just quoted, "shall be established for ever." This ever is od in the Hebrew. Trommius informs us, that various Greek interpreters render the phrase, "RIS AIONA, to eternity." Symmachus renders it, "BIS AIBI, to always." Aquila renders it, " RIS ETI, to endless duration:" for what less can eti mean here?

In the New Testament one single verse contains this word four times, in such a connexion, that even Semi-Universalists, who deny the faithfulness of God in the execution of his threats; and Cripto-Socinians, who deny Christ's vicarious satisfaction; are forward in declaring, that it affords irrefragable evidence of the absolute eternity of the sinner's punishment, as it certainly does of the saints' happiness. At the close of time, when nothing but eternity remains, the Holy Spirit says, "He that is unjust, let him be unjust still: and he that is filthy, let him be filthy still: and he that is righte"ous, let him be righteous still: and he that is holy, let him be holy still." In these four cases, the word still, renders the Greek word eti: and as it removes all limits from the continuance of the saints' holiness, and consequent happiness; so it does from the sinner's corruption and punishment.

The meaning of eti being thus established, it is not at all alarming to find it connected with oulam by a conjunction, as in the passage cited by my opponent from the Septuagint of Daniel. The conjunction kai is found in the Greek, because vau is found in the Hebrew; and eti is found in the Greek, because od is in the Hebrew. Oulam and od are justly rendered "eis ton aiona kai eti," by the Seventy, and "for

p In iv. \$5. vii. 33. xii. 35. xiv. 19. xvi. 12. Heb. x., 37. Rev. vi. 11.

q Prev. xxix. 14. r Matt. xix. 28. Heb. xii, 28. 1 Cor. ix. 25. 1 Pet. v. 4. Luke xix. 17. Rev. i. 6.

ever and ever," by our translators. Neither is there any real discrepance between this passage of the Septuagint, and the original of Isa. xlv. 17. In Isaiah, oulami governs od, and therefore their literal rendering is, "eternities of futurity. Or eternities of endless duration." But if the Septuagiut had inserted a conjunction, and rendered it, "eis ton aiona kai eti," this, to a man acquainted with the language, would have conveyed the same sense, and required the same English translation. It is a rule which often holds good, that, "When " one substantive in joined to another by a copulative, the "one must be translated as governing the other." This rule is quoted from an authority which the Universalists cannot resist. It is the celebrated Dr. M'Knight. As this great critic was a renegado Presbyterian, with more learning than piety, and more zeal for his own honour, than for the Ark of God, he must of course, be a favourite with Mr. Balfour, and be classed with Dr. Campbell, among the very best of men. In the 19th section of his 4th Essay, Preliminary to his translation of the Apostolical Epistles, he has shewn that the above rule marks a feature of the Hebrew, Greek and Latin idioms. If this be correct, then, instead of inserting a conjunction, between Isaiah's two nouns which are in government, we shall be obliged to explain Daniel's conjunction. as if his two nouns were in government. His "oulam and od," or his "eis ton aiona kai eti," will then read, " to the eternity of endless duration," or which is the same thing. "world without end."

It is evident that Dan. xii. 3, is a parallel to Isa. xlv. 17. In this light I consider it, and in this light my opponent has quoted it. His adducing it as a parallel, has one aspect which he is far from wishing it to have. Let it be marked that he has repeatedly challenged me to shew a parallel expression connected with punishment In one of these banters, the following are his words, viz. "I challenged him to " shew me a passage where the same phrase is used with re-" ference to punishment, as is used in Isa. xlv. 17, and which " is rendered in the common version, world without end, and " is there connected with, and brought to prove ETERNAL "SALVATION! I again repeat this challenge! If he does, or a can shew it, he has the argument; and if not, the argument " must be minc." Now why did my opponent endeavour to force into Isaiah's text, the conjunction which is found in Daniel's only? It was on this ground and this alone, that

s Minutes, p. 176.

the "oulam and od," in Daniel, was precisely equivalent to the outami od, in Isaiah. If he did not mean this, then he spoke without meaning, and he should retract his reasoning. as perfectly nugatory. But I have admitted and proved, that the two expressions are equivalent, both in the Hebrew and the Greek. If therefore, either expression can be shewn in connexion with punishment, his own demands are complied with. During the dinner interval of the discussion today, a friend informed me, that even these unfair, restricted. and peurile conditions could be complied with. Oulam and od, precisely as they are found in Daniel, and of course, as substantially found in Isaiah, you will see connected with punishment, in Ps. ix. 5. "Thou hast rebuked the heathen. thou hast destroyed the wicked, thou hast put out their \* name for ever and ever, or, to the eternity of endless dura-"tion. or world without end." When my opponent thought that it would answer his purpose, he assumed the identity of this expression with that in Isaiah: but now he can discover a very important difference, in the original and even in our translation. I know not how to reply to this tergiversation, better than in the words addressed to me by an amiable and pious brother. "I was happy to observe," said he, "that "you had compelled your adversary to rest his scheme on -" the difference between the Hebrew phrase rendered, world " without end, and that translated, for ever and ever. May " all erroneous systems be made to hang as publicly upon " an airy nothing."

Another subterfuge equally claims our admiration. He informs us that even if their names are blotted out forever, yet their persons may be saved, and have a "new name" given them. But if a man's old name may be blotted out, and his person saved, may not a new name be given to him, and his person lost? And remember that the text not only speaks of the eternal blasting of their name but of the eternal perdition of their persons. "Thou hast destroyed the wicked, thou hast put out out their name forever and ever."

But my opponent's most formidable embankment against this inspired artillery, is a denial that this text relates to futurity at all. His words are as follows, viz. "David was speaking of an event that was then past, and which has no reference to a future state of existence." The only excuse for this wresting of the word of God, is, that all the

t Minutes p. 323

verbs in the text, are in the past tense. But as most of the prophecies are written in the same way, this mode of interpretation would make an entire revolution in all our views, Universalist as well as Orthodox. Let us take a single Universalist text, and try it by this rule. "And every creature which is in Heaven, and on the earth, and under the earth, and such as are in the sea, and all that are in them, heard I saying, blessing, and honour, and glory and power, be unto him that sitteth upon the throne, and unto the Lamb for ever and ever." The verb in this prophecy is in the past tense. Has it therefore no reference to futurity? My opponent has quoted it as referring to eternal futurity. It was future to the writer; though, as the context will shew, it has already been fulfilled.

But if David's words be thus interpreted, in order to favour the wicked, some of his expressions of hope for his own salvation, must be explained away. Of himself, he says, "He "asked life of thee, and thou gavest it him, even length of days, forever and ever." Oulam and od are used here in connexion with life; and if this be not eternal life, I doubt whether it can be found in the Scriptures. Yet the promise is

conveyed by verbs in the past tense.

Under the preceding head, the most of those passages in which outam and od occur together, were postponed to this article. In addition to those which have already been quoted, the following will-shew that if these words do not signify absolute eternity, there is no eternity recognized in the Bible. "We will walk in the name of the Lord our God for ever and ever." "I trust in the mercy of God for ever and ever." "I will bless thy name for ever and ever." "I will praise thy name for ever and ever." "Therefore shall the people praise thee for ever and ever." " For this God is our God forever and ever." The works of God " stand fast for ever and ever." "The Lord is King forever and ever." "The Lord shall reign forever and ever." Now if the faith and holiness, obedience, happiness, and glory of the saints; and if the sovereignty of Jehovah, be eternal, then outam and od signify elernal: for it is evident that the duration, and the ntmost duration of these things was here intended.

But it is not only in connexion with od that outam has this signification. When standing alone, it sometimes significations

u Rev. v. 13.

v Ps. xxi; 4. w Isa. lxiii; 16. Ps. xciii: 2. xxv; 6.

eternity past, and sometimes eternity to come. In the former sense, Isaiah says to Jehovah, "Thy name is from everlasting." The Psalmist says, "Thou art from everlasting." "Remember O Lord! thy tender mercies and thy loving kindnesses; for they have been ever of old."

The same word is often used to signify eternity past, and repeated in the same sentence to mean future eternity. "From everlasting to everlasting, thou art God." "The mercy of God is from everlasting to everlasting, upon them that fear him." "Blessed be the Lord God of Israel, from everlasting to everlasting." "Blessed be thou, the Lord God of Israel, tur Father, from everlasting to everlasting." These expressions are frequently repeated. In the first and last of these examples, the Septuagint reads "spo tou siones hai hees tou sinua." In the second of them the Vulgate reads, "ab atterno of usque in atternum." All these agree perfectly with our translation, and with the Orthodox view of the word oulant.

As this word occurs in most of the texts belonging to this branch of afternative evidence; and as my opponent has demind that it signifies an absolute eternity; it is suitable to produce a goodly portion, of that abundant evidence which the Scriptures contain on this subject. Oulam, when standing alone, is one of the most usual words, whereby the Spirit of God conveys the doctrine of the absolute eternity of the saints' happiness. Of such it is said, "He that doeth these things shall never be moved." "He shall never suffer the righteous to be moved." " Surely he shall not be moved forever." "The righteous shall never be moved." "And the work of righteousness shall be peace; and the effect of rightcousness, quietness and assurance forever." "As for me, theu upholdest me in mine integrity, and settest me before thy face forever." "He shall abide before God forever." Depart from evil, and do good, and dwell for evermore. For the Lord loveth judgment, and forsaketh not his saints: they are preserved forever." " The Lord shall preserve thy going out and thy coming in, from this time forth, and even for evermore." "The Lord is round about his people, from henceforth, even for ever." "For thy people Israel, didst thou make thine own people forever." "Let Israel hope in

x Ps. xe; 2. ciii: 17. evi; 48. xli; 18. 1 Chron. xxix; 10. xvi; 96.

y As arranged in note x. 2 Ps. xv; 5. lv; 22. exii; 6. Prov. x; 80.

the Lord from henceforth, and forever.", "For the Lord will not cast off for ever." "Therefore God hath blessed thee for ever." "Save thy people, and bless thine inheritance: feed them also, and lift them up forever." "In thee O Lord! do I put my trust: let me never be put to confusion." "My flesh and my heart faileth: but God is the strength of my heart, and my portion forever." "The Lord knoweth the days of the upright, and their inheritance shall be for ever." "My salvation shall be for ever, and my righteousness shall not be abolished." "I will make thee an eternal excellency." "The Lord commanded the blessing, even life for evermore." "I will glorify thy name for evermore." "I will praise thee for ever." "So we thy people and sheep of thy pasture, will give thee thanks for ever." "I will sing of the mercies of the Lord for ever." "We will bless the Lord from this time forth and for evermore." " Let all those that put their trust in thee rejoice: let them ever shout for joy. because thou defendest them." "And the ransomed of the Lord shall return, and come to Zion with songs, and everlasting joy upon their heads; they shall obtain joy and gladness, and sorrow and sighing shall flee away." "Therefore the redeemed of the Lord shall return, and come with singing unto Zion; and everlasting joy shall be upon their head: they shall obtain gladness and joy; and sorrow and mourning shall flee away." " Everlasting joy shall be unto them," Several of these quotations will be readily recognized, as

favourite Universalist texts, to prove the absolute eternity of the happiness of all those, concerning whom these passages speak. Such an interpretation is very reasonable indeed: for the same word, which, in them, communicates the eternity of the saints' happiness, does, in the 7th and 9th verses of the 24th Psalm, prove the eternity of Christ's name and kingdom, and of that heaven, into whose uplifted doors, the divine and eternal Head of the Church has entered. "Lift up your head, Oh ye gates! and be ye lifted up, ye everlasting doors! and the King of Glory shall come in." And now that he is in heaven, "his name shall endure for ever :" and his kingdom shall endure, " from henceforth.

even for ever."a

a Pa. 37; 18, 41; 12. 61; 7. 37; 27, 28. 121; 8. 125; 2. 1 Chr. 17; 22. Ps. 131; 3.

<sup>6</sup> Lam. 5; 51. Ps. 45; 9. 98; 9. 71; 1. 73; 26. 37; 18. 6 Lam. 51; 6. 60; 15. Ps. 183; 8. 86; 19. 59; 9. 79; 13. 115; 18. 89; 1. 5; 11. Isa. 35: 10. 51: 11. 61: 7. d Ps. 79: 17. Isa. 9: 7.

Those lovers of truth, who have patience to bear a fatigueing dissertation upon an important word, will not probably be averse to my producing evidence that *outam*, when standing alone, is used with great familiarity by the Holy Spirit, to signify the absolute eternity of the being and blessedness, perfections and purposes of the one uncreated and immortal God.

"For I lift up my hand to Heaven, and say, I live for ever." "And I heard the man clothed in linen, which was upon the waters of the river, when he held up his right hand and his left hand unto heaven, and sware by him that liveth forever." "The Lord shall endure forever." "Thou O Lord shalt endure forever." "Thou O Lord! remainest forever."e "Thou Lord, art Most High, for evermore." "And Abraham planted a grove in Beer-sheba, and called there on the name of the Lord, the everlasting God." "Hast thou not known? hast thou not heard, that the everlasting God, the Lord, the Creator of the ends of the earth, fainteth not, neither is weary ?"f " This is my name forever." " Let it even be established, that thy name may be magnified for ever." "Thy name, O Lord! endureth for ever." He delivered the children of Israel, "dividing the water before them, to make himself an everlasting name."s "Let thy name be magnified for ever." "And blessed be his glorious name for ever." "Blessed be the name of the Lord from this time forth and for ever more." "Blessed be the Lord for ever more."h ever. O Lord! thy word is settled in Heaven." "The word of our God, shall stand for ever." " The counsel of the Lord standeth for ever." "The righteousness of thy testimonies is everlasting." "Concerning thy testimonies, I have known of old, that thou hast founded them for ever." "Thy word is true, from the beginning; and every one of thy righteous judgments endureth for ever." "The Lord shall be unto thee an everlasting light:" "thine everlasting light." "The glory of the Lord shall endure for ever." "I will not contend for ever." " Because the Lord loved Israel for ever." "I have loved thee with an everlusting love."; "Underneath are the everlasting arms." "He ruleth by his power for ever." "Thy righteousness is an everlasting righteous-

e Deut, xxxii, 40. Dan. xii. 7. Ps. ix. 7. 102. 12, Lam. v. 19. f Ps. xcii. 8. Gen. xxi. 33. Isa. xl. 28.

<sup>.</sup> д. день о. степ. ххt. 33. 184. хt. 25. g Ex. iii. 15. 1 Chr. xvii. 24. Ps. exxxv. 13. Isa. lxiii. 12. h 2. Sam. vii. 26. Ps. lvvii. 10. дені 4. 00. 50

k 2. Sam. vii. 26. Ps. lxxii. 19. exiii. 2. 89. 52, i Ps. exix. 89. Isa. xl. 8. Ps. xxxiii. 11. 119. exliv. 152. 160.

j. Isa. lx., 19, 20, Ps. civ. 31, Isa. lvii. 16, t Kgs, x. 19, Jer. xxxi. 3. M M

ness." "My righteousness shall be for ever. "Which keepeth truth for ever." "The truth of the Lord endureth for ever." "Thou shalt keep them O Lord! thou shalt preserve them from this generation for ever." "With everlasting kindness, will I have mercy on thee." "O give thanks unto the Lord; for he is good; for his mercy endureth for ever." The eternity of God's mercy is declared in very many instances, of which twenty-six occur in one Psalm. In addition to the passage lately quoted, pointing out the eternity of God's sovereignty, other Scriptures say, "The Lord sitteth King for ever." "The Lord shall reign for ever." "He is the living God, and an everlasting King." "His kingdom is an everlasting kingdom:" "Whose dominion is an everlasting dominion:" "His dominion is an everlasting dominion:" "Whose kingdom is an everlasting kingdom." "

In opposition to this mass of evidence, which might still be enlarged, my opponent would urge that oulam is sometimes used for a limited duration. Yes; it is often used in this sense. In Exod. xxi. 6, and elsewhere it signifies the length of a man's life. "He shall serve him forever:" that is, until he dies. It sometimes means the duration of a dispensation: as in Ex. xxvii. 21, "It shall be a statute for ever." Or Ex. xl. 15, "Their anointing shall surely be an everlasting priesthood." It sometimes signifies the duration of the earth: as Habb. iii. 6. "The everlasting mountains were scattered, the perpetual hills did bow." Or Eccles. i. 4. " One generation passeth away, and another generation cometh, but the earth abideth for ever." But because this word is sometimes limited to the Jewish dispensation, to the life of man on earth, or to the duration of the earth itself. shall we limit it, when connected with God's being or dominion, his truth or justice, his love or mercy? Universalists themselves often give it an unlimited sense, when the everlasting joy of the saints is mentioned. For this they have good reason: but, with divine assistance, we shall shew that there is as good reason, for giving it an unlimited sense, when the everlasting punishment of the wicked is affirmed.

One of the strongest authorities which can be found, in proof of the eternal happiness of the saints, is Dan. xii.2. But this passage uses the same word oulam, in the same sentence, to declare also, the eternal misery of the wicked.

k Deut. xxx. 27. Pa. lxvi. 7. exix. 142. Isa. li. 8. Ps. exivi. 6. exvii. 2. xii. 7. l Isa. liv. 8. 1 Chr. xvi. 34, 41, 2 Chr. v. 13. vii. 3, 6 xx. 21. Ezra iii. 11. Ps. lxxxix. 2. 28. e. 5. evi. 1. evii. 1. exviii. 4. 29. exxxviii. 8. Jer. xxxiii. 11. Ps. exxxvi. 1. —26

m Ps, xxix. 10. exlvi. 10. Jer, x, 10. Dan. iv, 3, 84. vii. 14, 97.

"And many of them that sleep in the dust of the earth shall "awake, some to everlasting life, and some to shame and "everlasting contempt." This text speaks of the general resurrection. Now, is not that life which men enjoy after that event, absolutely eternal? If so, then the punishment of the wicked is absolutely eternal: for the duration of both is expressed by the same word, without any reason for giving it a different explanation. In both instances, all limited significations are excluded by the exigency of the case. In this place, oulam, cannot signify the duration of a man's mortal life: because all mortal lives have already expired ;—it cannot mean the Jewish, or any other earthly dispensation; because all are then abrogated ;-neither can it denote the duration of the earth's existence: for this resurrection takes place, after time, with all its divisions, shall have been buried in "the wreck of matter, and the crush of worlds." "Yet "once more, I shake not the earth only, but also heaven. "And this word, yet once more, signifieth the removing of " those things which are shaken, as of things that are made. "that those things which cannot be shaken may remain."a

If this text relate to the general resurrection, which even Manasseh Ben Israel, a Jewish writer, admits, then my opponent sees that the argument drawn from it, in behalf of future rewards and punishments, is unanswerable. He therefore denies its application to that important event. nies that it speaks of all mankind awaking from their long sleep, but only a part. It does not say, "all of them that sleep," but " many of them that sleep in the dust of the earth shall awake" In this restricted explanation of the word mamy, he considers himself supported by the Septuagint, which gives the word polloi, without the article. He insists upon it, that polloi, without the article, never signifies the whole human race; whereas, with the article, it does necessarily signify all men universally. This latter position he took long ago, in his comment on Romans, vth. On the same chapter. Dr. Chauncey took the same ground. His great antagonist duly exposed him. If this criticism be true, then Paul has pronounced all men false teachers: for he says, "We are " not as many, HOI POLLOI, which corrupt the word of God." Besides this passage, Edwards refers to every one in the New Testament, in which polus, in the plural, is used with the article; and declares, "that in no one of them is a strict

m Heb. xii 26, 27.

o See Poole's Annotations on the place.

universality clearly intended." He might have added, that classical usage coincides with that of the New Testament. Instances are accessible, in which Socrates, Plato, and Plutarch, used hoi polloi, to signify only the generality of their contemporaries and predecessors, with whose opinions they

were acquainted a

But it is rather gratifying than alarming, that my opponent has at last discovered that polloi, even without the article, does not embrace the whole human family. does this, hoping to deprive me of one authority, he is not perhaps aware, that he is entirely relinquishing many of his own favourite texts. Every one knows the Universalist explanation of Heb ii. 9, 10. In the last of these verses, Christ is represented as "bringing many sons unto glory." Here is no article in the Greek; and of course, according to my opponent's criticism, these many sons whom the Saviour brings to glory, are only a part of mankind. This is plain truth. When Christ's blood is said to be "shed for many;" and when he is said to "give his life a ransom for many;" and "to bear the sins of many," (expressions often occurring,) my opponent formerly said, that he was thus held forth as the Saviour of all universally. But now he must give up these texts, for in all of them, the many is without the article, and must, of course, mean only a part of mankind. The Universalists claim in support of their doctrine, the promise quoted in Rom. iv. 17. "I have made thee a father of many nations." But this also, they must give up, both here, and in the Septuagint of Gen. xvii. 5, in both of which places the many is without the article. Isaiah says, "By his knowledge shall my righteous Servant justify many," "and he bare the sins of many." These passages, my opponent has quoted in favour of universal salvation. Yet that Septuagint, to which he has appealed in Dan. xii. 2, has no article in either of these places. The 10th verse of this same xiith chapter of Daniel, affords another instance, in which, many, without the article, cannot mean all men. It says, "Many shall be purified and made white, and tried." Now, that all shall not be purified, is evident from the whole Bible, and even from the latter part of this same verse; which says, "but the

p Edwards against Chauncey, pp. 161, 162. Matt. xxiv. 12. Rom. xii. 5 xv. 22. 1 Cor. x. 17, 33. 2 Cor. ii. 17. Rev. xvii. 1. q Leland's Advantage and Necessity, vol. 2, pp. 129, 383. 391. r Mk. xiv. 24. x. 45, Matt. xx. 28. xxvi. 28. Heb. ix. 28. s lss. liii. 11, 12. Acts xxvi, 24

"wicked shall do wickedly; and none of the wicked shall "understand; but the wise shall understand."

The success of my opponent in limiting the anarthrous polloi to a part of mankind, is calculated to remind one of the battle in which Pyrrhus beat the Romans. After viewing the shattered remains of his army, he observed that one more such victory would ruin him. It is evident, that by his criticism on one text, he has criticised himself out of nearly a dozen of his chief reliances. And what has he gained in return? Has he proved that the text in question does not relate to the resurrection? This is far from being a legitimate consequence of his premises. The word many may signify a part, and yet it may be said of that part, that they shall rise This is not unsupported theory; it is based upon inspired authority. Concerning the new-born Saviour, Simeon says, "Behold this Child is set for the fall and rising again of many in Israel." Here the word many is without the article, and the text limits its application. Yet in the original, it is governed by the word signifying resurrection. Upon a comparison of Isa. viii 14, to which this alludes, with Rom. ix. 32, 33, where the Prophet is quoted and expounded, it will be found that this rising again, mentioned by Simeon, embraces the regeneration of the soul, and the resurrection of the body, both of which belong to that salvation which Christ came to communicate to many. But while he is a savour of life to some, he is a savour of death to others. While he is set for the rising again of many, he is set for the fall of many. And the texts just now referred to, with other scriptures, will shew, that these two manies, are entirely distinct from each other; quite as distinct as the sheep and the goats. The passage is, like that in Daniel, evidently elliptical. The meaning of it is plainly this; that many Jews would aggravate their condemnation by rejecting Christ, and many Jews should be saved through faith in his blood. In the original and the Septuagint of Daniel, these two classes are distributed, by words corresponding with the English these and those." The meaning of the passage is, that, many of them that sleep in the dust of the earth shall awake to everlasting life, and many of them that sleep in the dust of the earth shall awake to shame and everlasting contempt. Mk. x. 31, is another example of an elliptical sentence, in

t avasasıv selluv. Luke ii. 84.

שלה ש

which polloi occurs once, without the article; yet is evidently intended to mark two classes, in each of which, there are many individuals. The literal translation is, "But many shall be first last: and the last first." Here one many is expressed, but a second many is evidently implied. The meaning therefore is, that many who are first shall be last, and many who are last shall be first. The conclusion of the matter is, that my opponent's criticism has cost him much, and gained him nothing. It still holds good, that Dan. xii. 2, consigns some to everlasting punishment, after all the limited periods of time have expired, and nothing but absolute eter-

nity remains.

All those doctrinal parallels which relate to the resurrection, confirm the doctrine which is here taught. And let it be recollected that both parties agree in the propriety of resorting to such evidence. Mr. Balfour says "that whatever " difficulty there may be in explaining the peculiar phraseol-"ogy of this passage, it is an allowed rule of interpretation, "that an obscure passage ought to be explained by those " which are plain, where the same or similar subject is treat-" ed of." As he and his Unitarian brethren believe the resurrection of the body, to be the great doctrine, for the establishment of which, the New Testament was written, they ought to allow that it contains clear information on this subject: and if they object to any light which may be drawn from the Old Testament, it is their own fault; especially as my opponent has appealed to that authority, on this very subject. The passage is, Isa. xxv. 8. "He will swallow up " death in victory; and the Lord God will wipe away tears "from off all faces; and the rebuke of his people shall he " take away from off all the earth: for the Lord hath spoken "it." His argument from this passage depends upon a misinterpretation of the word all. That this word is generally used in a limited signification, was abundantly proved in my 9th and 10th Universalist Arguments. This passage contains inherent evidence that they are so used here. says, "the rebuke of his people shall he take away from off all the earth." Now "all the earth" here, is so far from embracing all men universally, that it excludes all the people of God, whom the people of the earth rebuke, slander, and persecute. "The reproach and contempt which was daily

•

Note A, at the close of his work.
 Minutes, p. 122.

"cast upon his faithful people by the ungodly world," God has promised to take away. In doing this, he will wipe away tears from off all faces; that is, from all the faces of "his people," to whom the next clause of the verse expressly appropriates the promise. Thus, the first all in the text, signifies the people of God, who endure great contempt before the resurrection, but whose rebuke is followed by eternal consolation, after the resurrection. The second all signifies the people of the world, who rebuke and contemn the righteous, before the resurrection, but who shall themselves, awake from the dust of the earth, to an inheritance of "shame and everlasting contempt." With reference to this passage, Paul uses the word all, in the same restricted sense, in 1 Cor. xv.

51, quoted by my opponent.

If while appealing to Isaiah, my opponent had examined the 19th verse of the next chapter, (xxvith,) he would have found the Spirit of Christ promising a happy resurrection, to mone but the members of his mystical body; those who have a covenant interest in his death and resurrection. " dead men shall live, together with my dead body shall they "arise." With this Paul agreed, when he preached unto them. Jesus and the resurrection. Also Peter and John. when they " preached, through Jesus, the resurrection from the dead." Christ himself restricts the blessings of a happy resurrection to those whom the Father had given him, and whom he emphatically distinguishes from the unbelieving world. "And this is the Father's will which hath sent me, " that of all which he hath given me, I should lose nothing, "but should raise it up again at the last day." He confines . it to those whom the Father draws by his grace. "No man "can come to me, except the Father which hath sent me, "draw him; and I will raise him up at the last day." Paul confines it to those who have the Spirit of God dwelling in them; and all men have not the Spirit. " But if the Spirit of him that raised up Jesus from the dead, dwell in you, he "that raised up Christ from the dead, shall also quicken "your mortal bodies, by his Spirit that dwelleth in you." Christ restricts it to those who eat and drink his flesh and blood. "Whoso eateth my flesh and drinketh my blood, hath "eternal life, and I will raise him up at the last day." Paul confines it to those who trust in God. "But we had the sentence of death in ourselves, that we should not trust in

x Poole's Appotations.

"ourselves, but in God, which raiseth the dead." Thus does our Lord confine it to believers. "And this is the will of him " that sent me; that every one which seeth the Son, and be-" lieveth on him, may have everlasting life, and I will raise "him up at the last day." "Jesus said unto her, I am the " resurrection and the life: he that believeth in me, though " he were dead, yet shall he live." Paul, in the 19th verse of this xvth chapter of 1st Corinthians, quoted by my opponent, has appropriated this blessing to those who have Christian hope, and in another Epistle, to the saints who sleep in Jesus. If in this life only we have hope in Christ, we are " of all men, most miserable." " For if we believe that Jesus "died and rose again, even so them also which sleep in Jesus, " will God bring with him." When John tells us that "the " sea gave up the dead which were in it, and death and hell " delivered up the dead which were in them," he does not add, as my opponent would, that all were received into heaven, but "whosoever was not found written in the book of life, was cast into the lake of fire." Concerning the fate of these impenitent evil-doers, our Saviour says the same thing. while he confines the blessings of a happy resurrection, to those who have, through grace, done good. "Marvel not at "this, for the hour is coming, in the which all that are in the " grave, shall hear his voice, and shall come forth, they that " have done good, unto the resurrection of life, and they that "have done evil, unto the resurrection of damnation."

From these authorities it appears plain, that the inestimable blessing of a resurrection to life, mentioned in Dan xii.

2, is not bestowed upon all men universally, but only on those who are given to Christ, and are written in the Lamb's book of life; those who die and rise in Christ, and are drawn to him by the Father, and have his Spirit dwelling in them; those who, by faith, cat and drink his body and blood; those who believe, hope, and trust in him; and those who do good and sleep in Christ. These have a resurrection to life, and shall drink of the river of the water of life. But there are others who are not given to Christ, nor drawn to him; who do not receive him nor his Spirit; who do not believe nor obey him. These are said to have a "resurrection of damnation," and to be "cast into the lake of fire." In the light of these scriptures who can be at a loss for Daniel's mean-

y Acts xvii. 18. iv. 2. John vi. 39, 44. Rom. viii. 11. John vl. 54. 2 Cor. i. 9. John vi. 40. xi. 24—26. 1 Cor. xv. 19. 1 Thess. iv. 14. Rev. xx. 13, 15. John v. 28, 29,

ing? "And many of them that sleep in the dust of the earth "shall awake, some to everlasting life, and some to shame "and everlasting contempt." And what unprejudiced person can help seeing, that as this happiness of believers, and this misery of unbelievers, are declared to be eternal, after the resurrection, when time is at an end, they must both be absolutely eternal?

The Divine Head of the Church has now enabled me. as he has very many before me, to produce evidence that outain often signifies eternal, whether alone or in conjunction with ed; and always has this meaning, when in connexion with the happiness of the saints, or the misery of the wicked. This decides the application of many of those texts, which were read in the commencement of this 2d branch of affir-"Thou shalt be cut off for ever." "And mative evidence. they shall call them the border of wickedness, the people against whom the Lord hath indignation for ever." "Their everlasting confusion shall not be forgotten." "And I will \* bring an everlasting reproach upon you, and a perpetual shame, which shall not be forgotten." "They perish for " ever, without any regarding it." "Thou prevailest for ever "against him" "He put them to a perpetual reproach." \* Let them be confounded and troubled for ever; yea, let them be put to shame and perish." "They shall never see "light." "Thou hast rebuked the heathen; thou hast destroyed the wicked; thou hast put out their name for ever and ever."

It was shewn, that after the "resurrection of damnation," the resurrection "to shame and everlasting contempt," which is the doom of unbelievers, they are to be "cast into the lake of fire." Several passages of the Old Testament declare that this fire is OULAM, absolutely eternal: and although, to the

<sup>\*\*</sup> That olam signifies an absolute eternity, is confirmed by the collateral evidence of those parts of the Old Testament which were originally written, not in Hebrew, but in Chaldee, which is nearly related to it. In them it is said, "I blessed the Most "High, and I praised and honoured him that liveth forever." "He is the living "God, and stedfast forever." "Blessed be the name of God, from everlasting "to everlasting." His kingdom "shall never be destroyed." but, "shall stand forever." "But the saints of the Most High shall take the kingdom, and possess the kingdom forever, even forever and ever." Instead of the Hebrew "Ty and Kingdom." The meaning is clearly made out by the manner in which they we used. Dan. iv. 34. vi. 26. ii. 20, 44, twice. vii. 18.

carcless and whole-hearted, it may, for a while, be a subject. of mockery, the time is coming, when those very persons, whether professing religion, or avowedly opposing it, shall be seized with a fearful apprehension of its dreadful reality. "The sinners in Zion are afraid; fearfulness hath surprised "the hypocrites. Who among us shall dwell with the de-" vouring fire? Who among us shall dwell with everlasting "burnings?" "It shall not be quenched night nor day; the " smoke thereof shall go up for ever." " For ye have kindled "a fire in mine anger which shall burn for ever." A conscientious conviction that this is the truth of God, and that it is your interest to know it, makes me willing and even anxious to declare it to you; with a hope that my labour shall not be in vain in the Lord; but that your souls may be enlightened as well as alarmed; that they may be sanctified. comforted, and saved, through the grace of God in Jesus Christ.

## 4.

## AION.

This word, in the New Testament, has three meanings; eternity past, eternity to come, and a limited duration.

1. A limited duration. It signifies the revolutions of time, the dispensations of Previdence, the great and important periods in the history of mankind; this world, with its wisdom and power, riches and honours, course, cares, and concerns. In this sense, it occurs thirty-one times. The particular places are now before me, but need not be read. These zons, or ages, or worlds, had a beginning. "As he spake by "the mouth of his holy prophets, since the world began." They also have an end. "The harvest is the end of the world."

2. Eternity past. One would expect the New Testament writers to use it for an absolute eternity, as they wrote for the Greeks who attached this meaning to it;—as they generally spoke after the manner of the Septuagint;—and as Christian antiquity understood them to use the word in this sense.



a Matt. xii. 32. xiii. 22, 39, 40, 49. xxiv. 3. xxviii. 20. Mk. iv. 19. Luke i. 70. xvi. 8. xx. 34. Acts iii. 21. Rom. xii. 12. 1 Cor. i. 20, twice, ii. 6, twice. ii. 8. ii. 18. z. 11. 2 Cor. iv. 4. Gal. i. 4. Eph. i. 21. ii. 2. vi. 12. 1 Tim. vi. 17. 2 Tim. iv. 10. Tit. ii. 12. Heb. i. 2. ix. 26. xi. 3.

b ag'auwoc. Luke i. 70. Acts iii. 21.

C συντελεια του αιωνος. Matt. xili. 39, 49. xxiv. 3. xxviii. 90. Hob. ix. 36. 4 Cor. x. 11. τα τελη των αιωνων.

Scapula says, that aion is, as if it were spoken AIBN ON. being always. For this etymology and explication, he refers to Aristotle and Philo, both of whom declare unequivocally, that it means an absolute eternity. An examination of the Septuagint, (with which the Apostles were familiar,) in those passages where neigh, od, and olam signify eternity, will soon shew the use which that ancient translation made of this word; and we have already given some hints, and may yet give others, of the sense in which the Christian fathers understood it. That they were right in their views, is evident from the fact, that the eternity of God's being, wisdom and purposes are communicated in the New Testament, by this In the use of gion. Paul ascribes honour and praise "unto the King eternal, immortal, invisible, the only wise Here the first word, eternal, denotes his existence from eternity, and the second word immortal, his existence to eternity. Again: "Known unto God, are all his works, from the beginning of the world;"e that is, from eternity, for this is the fact. Again; "According to the eternal purpose " which he purposed in Christ Jesus our Lord." The meaning of our translation here, cannot easily be misunderstood. The word aion is translated eternal. Some versions are not so decided, but I believe that few of any respectability, oppose this rendering. I could almost promise at a venture, that if my opponent would open and quote those numerous versions, about which he has been so pompously boasting, they would be all in our favour. The Syriac Testament is MEN OLEMA, from eternity. With this agrees the French of De Sacy; "avant tous les temps, before all times." Beza's Latin is eternum. The Spanish is eterna, and the Italian, eterno; agreeing with our eternal. This is Doddridge's translation, and that of Archbishop Newcome: and wonderful to tell! The Socinians have copied it from him, in their celebrated Improved Version: although Macknight has herded with Wakefield, and Scarlett, and my opponent, in taking a different view of the text. It would have been well for the church, if he had never polluted a better communion.

3. Eternity to come. Speaking of God, Paul says, "Unto him be glory in the church, by Christ Jesus, throughout all ages, world without end." Here Macknight breaks of

d can answar. 1 Tim. i. 17.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>e</sup> απ<sup>9</sup>αιωνος. Acts xv. 18.

f weetsow run auwun. Eph. iii. 11.

from his company, and renders this passage, "throughout all the endless successions of ages." The improved Version still follows Newcome, and renders it. "throughout all generations, for ever and ever." Peter says, "But grow in grace, and in the knowledge of our Lord and Saviour, Jewsus Christ. To him be glory, both now and forever." So Newcome and the Improved Version. Literally, "both now and unto the day of eternity," as Macknight renders it. Here Scarlett and my opponent are left out of sight. To those who give up the present world for Christ, he promises, "in the world to come, eternal life." With this, the Primate and the Improved Version agree. Instead of "in the world to come," Campbell says, "in the future state." Here also, Scarlett and my opponent, with their temporal ages, and wonian limitations, are quite forgotten.

In the 1st sense of aion, given above, it is proved to be sometimes temporal. But under the present head, it may be shewn, that these present limited acone are expressly contrasted with those future acons, which are unlimited. "Whosoever speaketh against the Holy Ghost, it shall not be forgiven him, neither in this world, neither in the world to come." The word world, in this text, is aion, in the original: and if this world mean time, it is evident that the future must mean eternity. The same observation will hold, with regard to what Paul says about the exaltation of our Divine Saviour, " far above all principalities and powers, and might and dominion, and every name that is named, not only in this world, but also in that which is to come." Not only are the disputers, wisdom, and rulers of this world, distinguished from Christ's spiritual kingdom; not only are the children of this world distinguished from the children of light; not only do the scriptures speak of "this present world;" and "this present evil world," in such a way as plainly to imply a future aion, and a state of uninterrupted happiness, but they expressly point out a future aion, or eternity, which is in heaven, subsequent to the general resurrection; for which we should make present provision, and which we should hope to enjoy, through grace, after the present aion, the present state of existence, has come to an end. "And Jesus, an-

<sup>8</sup> SIG TAGAS TAG YEVERS TOU ALLIVOS TWV ALLIVAV. Eph. iii. 21.

εν τω αιων τω ερχομενω, ζωην αιωνιον. Mk. x. 30. Luke xviii. 30.
 i Matt. xii. 32.

j Eph. i. 21.

k i Cor. 1. 20. ii. 6. Luke vi. 18. 2 Tim. iv. 10. Tit. ii, 13.

"swering, said unto them, the children's this world marry and "are given in marriage; but they which shall be accounted "wortby to obtain that world and the resurrection from the "dead, neither marry nor are given in marriage; neither "can they die any more: for they are equal unto the angels; and are the children of God, being the children of the resurrection." "For in the resurrection they neither marry nor are given in marriage, but are as the angels of God in heaven." Here, as in some former instances, Campbell, Newcome, and the Improved Version agree with our translation; and Scarlett and Crimson are left in a company by themselves. The same fact is observed, where we are indirectly taught to care about the eternal world, by being told that "the care of this world," is sometimes permicious.

In the above passages there is a marked distinction between the temporal aion and the eternal aion. In this aion, people marry, and in that aion, they do not. This aion is before the resurrection, that aion is after the resurrection. The people of this aion are sinful men on earth, but the people of that aion, are "children of God." "children of the resurrection." " as the angels of God in heaven." Thus, at the end of this aion, we are taught that God's people, (not unbelievers,) shall arise to that aion, an eternity of happiness in heaven. With respect to hypocrites and infidels, Christ says, "As, therefore, the tares are gathered and burned in the fire, so "shall it be at the end of this aion. The Son of man shall " end forth his angels, and they shall gather out of his king-"om, all things that offend, and them which do iniquity; " and shall cast them into a furnace of fire; there shall be " wiling and gnashing of teeth. Then shall the rightcous shie forth as the sun in the kingdom of their Father. Who hathears to hear, let him hear." This furnace of fire may allude o Tophet, which was a furnace in the valley of Hinnom, or it may allude to Nebuchadnezzar's furnace in the plain of Jura; but it cannot mean either of these places, because the belong to this world, whereas the furnace of fire here threaened, is after "the end of this world." Moreover, the furnace of this world cannot always inflict pain, even when heated seven-fold; whereas in the furnace of fire in the eternal world, "there shall be wailing and gnashing of teeth." These are the words of infinite truth, and must come

l Luke xx 34—35 Matt, xxil, 30, xiii, 22, m Matt, xiii, 49—4,

to pass: therefore let no one permit himself to be deceived with vain words.

Enough has been said to shew, that in Classical, Ecclesiastical, and Inspired Greek, Aion means an endless duration. It does not occur in its absolute form in any of the texts adduced under the second branch of affirmative evidence: yet it was necessary to notice it, on account of the bold and unjustifiable assertions of the enemies of truth, and on account of its necessary connexion with the 5th section, where it is often found preceded by a preposition, and with the 6th section, which is a proposition of the section of the

tion, which treats of an adjective derived from it.

While aion has been before us, unusually frequent mention has been made of my opponent's new translation of the New Testament, together with the improved version, and that of As Lowth, and Horsley, and Newcome, and Mr. Scarlett. Campbell, and Macknight, have set the world mad about new translations, so that every one must be engaged in it; this will perhaps, be as convenient an opportunity, as I shall soon have again, to give some easy directions for getting a name in this way. Although you may not know whether Greek is read from right to left, or from left to right, you will profess, of course, to translate " from the original Greek according " to Griesbach: upon the basis of the fourth London edition " of the Improved Version, with an attempt to further im-"provement from the translations of" others. down and copy Scarlett's translation, with a number of ur · meaning (and therefore safe) transpositions and alterations of words and phrases, to make the work your own. Enclose many words and sentences here and there, in brackets to shew, that upon a careful comparison of manuscripts you in your judgment, think them spurious. Adorn your pargin with notes from the Improved Version. Give credit fo some, to shew your reading: and after altering a word or two, give others as your own, to shew your learning. printer who understands Greek, to publish the work, with Griesbach in one column, and your doubly improved version Send a copy to every college in the Union, and invite all the clergy and literati of America to red this thing, and to send you their remarks, after they have said the postage. As they will probably be weak enough to consider you, as Nabal did David, a servant broken away from his master, they will, of course, take no notice of this invitation Then publish, that they are silent, because they do not consider the work susceptible of any farther improvement, expept from its vastly improved author. After this, you can institute a course of lectures on the Greek language, and send round invitations to all the clergy of the city, to attend and be enlightened. They will not come; but their places will be filled by others, who will think you the greatest prodigy, that the world has ever seen, since the time that the image fell from Jupiter.

#### ą

#### EIS AIONA.

This is the phrase which is usually translated for ever; and its reduplicate, eis tous aionous ton aionon, is usually rendered, for ever and ever. The former occurs forty times in the New Testament, and the latter, twenty-one times. Of these sixty-one instances, six relate to future punishment. These are the first six texts quoted from the New Testament, at the beginning of this branch of affirmative evidence. The sense of these phrases in these particular texts, will depend much upon the signification in which they are generally used by the New Testament writers. This meaning can be satisfactorily ascertained, by those who examine the passages, whether they understand Greek or not. We shall therefore lay them be-

fore you, in the following order.

1. In seventeen places, it expresses the duration of the being and life, the perfections, promises, and dominion of God. "For thine is the kingdom, and the power and the glory "for ever." "The Creator, who is blessed for ever" "Over "all God blessed for ever." "To whom be glory for ever." "Which is blessed for evermore." "To whom be glory for ever " and ever." " Now unto God and our Father, be glory for "ever and ever." "Be honour and glory for ever and ever."
"To whom be glory for ever and ever." "To him be glory " and dominion for ever and ever." "For the truth's sake, " which dwelleth in us, and shall be with us for ever." " He \* hath holpen his servant Israel, in remembrance of his mer-"cy, as he spake to our fathers, to Abraham and to his seed forever." "The word of God, which liveth and abideth "for ever." "The word of the Lord endureth for ever." "Amen: blessing, and glory, and wisdom, and thanksgiv-"ing, and honour, and power, and might, be unto our God, forever and ever, Amen," "And one of the four beasts, gave



m Rev. xx. 10. Jude 7. 2 Thesa. i. 9. Matt. xviji. 8. Heb. i. 8. 1 Pet. v. 11. jv. 11. Rev. i. 18. iv. 9, 10. v. 18, 14. vii. 13, x. 6. xi. 15, i. 6. xxji. 5. xv. 7: xiv. 11. xix. S. xx. 10.

" unto the seven angels, seven golden vials, full of the wrath" of God, which liveth for ever and ever." " Thy throne, O

4 God! is for ever and ever."0

It may be reasonably expected, that all the orthodox agree with our translation, in these passages. Indeed every heretic of sense, would, out of regard to his own character, agree Accordingly, that detestable abomination, nicknamed the Improved Version, being made by sensible men. though bitter enemies to God, has coincided with our translation, in the rendering of the phrases in question, in every one of the above texts: unless the first, which it suppresses entirely, and another, (1 Pet. i. 23,) in which they have jugulated this phrase, may be considered exceptions. In the work of suppression, my opponent follows them; for this is his forte: but in all the other fifteen texts, he follows Scarlett, his old guide, in giving us, to the age. and to the ages of ages, instead of for ever, and for ever and ever. His acquaintance with the Improved Version has only made him spoil Scarlett's childish translation with some serious perversions. If they had told us, what they knew to be the fact and what Junius and Tremellius have told us, in their translation of Dan. xii. 3, that these were absolutely eternal ages, SEMPI-TRRNA SECULA, it would have altered the case: but an attempt to criticise away, not only the eternity of future rewards and punishments, but the eternity of the Creator himself, is as unreasonable as it is impious.

2. Two of the above texts, p though using the word God, relate evidently to Christ, who is the Supreme God, and the eternal Son of God, as declared in those texts. Twenty-one others are of the same description. One more is spoken of

the Holy Spiritr

<sup>3.</sup> It occurs nine times in relation to the saints. "But "whoso drinketh of the water I shall give him shall never thirst: but the water that I shall give him, shall be in him a well of water springing up unto everlasting life." "If any man eat of this bread, he shall live forever." "Verily, verily, I say unto you, if a man keep my sayings, he shall "never see death;" "never taste death." "I give unto

o Matt. vi. 13. Rom. i. 25. ix. 5. xi. 36. 2 Cor. xi. 31. Gal. i. 5. Phil. iv. 20. 1 Tim. i. 17. 2 Tim. iv. 18. 1 Pet. v. 11. 2 John 2. Luke i. 35. 1 Pet. i. 23, 25. Rev. vii. 12, xv. 7. Heb. i. 8. p. Rom. ix. 5. Heb. i. 8.

q Luke i. 33. John v... 35. zii. 34. Rom. xvi. 27. Heb. v. 6. vi. 20. vii. 17, 21, 24, 28. xiii. 8, 21. 1 Pet. iv. 11. Rev. i. 6, 18. iv. 9, 10. v. 18, 14. x. 6. xi. 18. r. John ziv. 16.

"them eternal life, and they shall never perish, neither shall "any pluck them out of my hand." "Whoso liveth, and be"lieveth in me, shall never die." "His righteousness remain"eth forever." "And the world passeth away, and the lust 
"thereof; but he that doeth the will of God, abideth forever."
"And they shall reign for ever and ever." Here, as before, 
Scarlett confines the happiness of the saints to an age, or 
ages, and my opponent, of course, follows him, in every instance. The authors of the Improved Version would have 
been glad to do the same: but they had sense enough to keep 
from exposing themselves. They, therefore, fell in with the 
common translation."

4. There are six instances remaining; in all of which the Improved Version is right, in the translation of this phrase, and Scarlett and my opponent wrong, as before. The great improvement which they have made, by rendering E18 AIONA, to the age, may be in some measure estimated, by a comparison of their translation with ours, in one of these texts. Our bible says, "The servant abideth not in the house " for ever; but the son abideth ever." This declaration that "the son abideth ever," "in the house," is equivalent to Ps. xxiii. 6, "Surely goodness and mercy shall follow me all the "days of my life; and I will dwell in the house of the Lord for ever;" that is, after this life has come to an end. But it is said that "the servant abideth not in the house for ever; that is, to eternity: for, would it be correct to say, that he does not abide in the house during this life? Is it not a common thing, for hypocrites to live and die in the visible church? In this text, therefore, eis aiona occurs twice, with an unlimited signification. Now, let us hear the doubly improved translation, which my opponent has made, for the benefit of the unlearned. "And the slave abideth not in the "house to the age: but the son abideth to the age." Among all classes of the community, it is a very common thing, to talk of sons being of age, and consequently leaving their father's house; and of slaves abiding in the house to old age and death. The unlearned, and unsophisticated reader of my opponent's Version, would exclaim, How different was the custom in former times, from that which now prevails! Now a slave abides in the house during life: but in old times, he did not continue in the house, even until he was of age.

t John vili. 35, twice, 1 Cor. viii. 13. John xiit. 8. Matt. xxi. 19, Mk. xi. 14.

s John iv. 14. vi. 51, 58. viii. 51, 52. x. 28. xi. 26. 2 Cor. ix. 9. 1 John ii. 17. Rev. xxii.5.

This is about as much of an improvement, as that of a certain expounder who read beggar's skins for badger's skins, in Ex. xxvi. 14, or another who substituted an oyster-man for an austere man, in Luke xix. 21.

We have now given all the passages in which eis aiona and its reduplicate occur in the New Testament. In the scores of places, in which it marks the duration of the being, perfections, and sovereignty, of God, and of Christ, and of the Holy Spirit, judge ye, whether it does not mean, to an absolute eternity. Among the few places in which it relates to other subjects, even the Improved Version cannot shew that it should be limited. What, then, are we to conclude. in relation to those six passages, in which it is connected with future punishment?" He that shall blaspheme against "the Holy Ghost, hath never forgiveness." "These are wells " without water: clouds they are, carried with a tempest, to " whom the mist of darkness is reserved for ever." " Raging " waves of the sea, foaming out their own shame: to whom " is reserved the blackness of darkness, for ever." " And "the smoke of their torment ascendeth up for ever and ever: " and they shall have no rest, day nor night, who worship "the Beast and his image, and whosoever receiveth the "mark of his name." "And again, they said, Alleluia: " and her smoke rose up for ever and ever." "And the devil, "that deceived them, was cast into the lake of fire and brim-" stone, where the Beast and the false prophet are, and shall " be tormented day and night, for ever and ever." a

In all these places, the Improved Version agrees with our bible, in the translation of eis aiona and its reduplicate. But as these passages declare the doctrine of future punishment very plainly, it becomes necessary for them to add a few notes, in order to contradict the text. They have one to Rev. xiv. 11, "The smoke of their torment shall go up for ever and ever." Their note reads as follows; viz. "It would be "very unreasonable to infer the gloomy doctrine of eternal "misery from the loose and figurative language of a pro"phetic vision, in opposition to the plainest dictates of rea"son and justice, and to the whole tenor of divine revela"tion. But if any is disposed to lay uudue stress upon this text, it may be sufficient to remark, that it is not here as"serted that the torment continues, but that the smoke of it "ascends for ever and ever. The smoke of a pile in which

u Mk. ili. 29. 2 Pet. ii. 17, Jude 13, Rev. xiv. 11. xix, 3, xx. 10.

"a criminal has been consumed may continue to ascend long "after the wretched victim has ceased to suffer. And a me"morial of the punishment which has been inflicted on vice
"may remain long after vice itself has been utterly exter"minated. After all, as the prophecy relates wholly to
"states of things in the present world, the punishments
"threatened ought, in all reason, to be understood of tempo"ral punishments, and not of the sufferings of a future life.
"So in Jude, verse 7, Sodom and Gomorrha are represented
"as suffering the vengeance of eternal fire, i. e. of a tempo"ral calamity, a fire which completely destroyed them."

Whether eternal means temporal, we hope to examine in the next section, which treats of aionios. But it is somewhat remarkable, that while this note says that eternal means temporal, it substantially concedes, that for ever and ever means to an endless duration. They admit that the smoke ascends forever and ever, that is, without end; but they deny that sinners are tormented for ever and ever, that is, without end. If, by this phrase, they understood a limited time, then what they have said, would amount to a denial that sinners endure a limited punishment; a thing which they never in-It is only an endless punishment which they deny: and this endless punishment they admit would have been here declared, if the text had declared the same concerning the torment of men's persons, that it has, concerning the smoke of their torment. On this ground, therefore, they shall be I shall not throw away time in disputing whether the Apocalypse relates wholly to the present world; for as the Universalists and Orthodox alike, quote from it, for and against the eternal salvation of all men, it shall be taken for granted, that the assertion contained in the above note, is gratuitous, contradictory and absurd. Neither shall I undertake formally to defend the infallibility of revelation, in whole or in part, in opposition to the scornful reflection contained in the above note. The plenary inspiration of the Scriptures, I must now take for granted; and leave it to infidels and heretics, to prate about the "loose" language of scripture, and about the "far-fetched analogies, and inaccurate reasonings" of inspired Apostles; as this same Improved Version has done, in another note also, at the close of the Epistle to the Hebrews.

If it were possible for a conscientious man, to write such a note as that of the Improved Version, on Rev. xiv. 11, he would do it from a sincere conviction, that it was only the

smoke of torment which is to continue for ever and ever, after the sufferings of sinners have ceased: he would do it from a real belief that neither that passage of Revelation, nor any other passage, taught that sinners themselves were to be tormented for ever and ever. Yet in Rev. xx. 10, the same thing which was before declared of the smoke of torment, is said of sinners expressly. "And the devil. that deceived them. "was cast into the lake of fire and brimstone, where the "Beast and the false prophet are, and shall be tormented day " and night for ever and ever." As it will not do to answer this text, by talking of smoke without fire, or fire without fuel, the Improved Version escapes its force, by retreating to allegory, the last and never failing refuge, of imbecile corruption and blind depravity. According to them, "the " persons who are here said to be tormented for ever and " ever, are not real, but figurative, and symbolical persons, "the devil, the beast, and the false prophet. The place "therefore, the kind, and the duration of their torment, must " also be figurative." When God tells these children of delusion, that the smoke of torment shall continue for ever; When God tells they pretend that it is nothing but smoke. them, that the devil and the beast and the false prophet, and all who worship and follow them, shall be tormented for ever; these wonderful expounders say, none of these are real beings: and if they had been told expressly, that the Emperor and the Pope, and their secular and ecclesiastical subalterns, with Judas and the authors of the Improved Version, should be punished for ever; they would reply, this is a "heart-withering doctrine," conveyed in "loose" language, as I conceive, "in opposition to the plainest dictates of reason," and therefore, I am not "disposed to lay undue stress upon" it. God has told us of a real devil, real sinners, and a real hell: if, by the pestilential breath of a little squadron of these rebels, their whole host is to evaporate into mere shadows, and figures, and symbols; who can tell where this process of pride and folly will end? Will they not next declare, with equal truth, that there are none but imaginary saints, an imaginary heaven, and an imaginary God?

But one feels a natural curiosity, to know, what my opponent's doubly improved version makes of these authorities. He exactly follows the directions which I gave some time ago, for obtaining a name, as a linguist and a critic-He adopts Scarlett's translation of the words in questions and copies the notes of the Improved Version, as his own, without giving credit to any one for them. No wonder that the University of Cambridge, when they received his book, looked in silent amazement, on such audacity. If, however, a man of Scarlett's light metal, had been alive, he would not probably have been silent. May God preserve us from the principles of Universalism, and from its deleterious effects upon the morals of its votaries.

в.

#### Aionios.

This word is found in the first and the five last of the New Testament authorities, quoted in the commencement of this second branch of affirmative evidence. Thus it is six times connected with future punishment. Whether it means absolutely eternal, in these instances, will depend in a great measure, upon the meaning in which it is used, in the remainder of the seventy-one times, in which it occurs in the New Testament. It will be found predicated of infinite duration, past as well as future.

1. It is applied four times, to things unseen, to God, his Spirit, power and glory. "The things which are seen, are "temporal: but the things which are not seen, are eternal." "According to the commandment of the everlasting God." "To whom be honour and power everlasting." Christ "through the eternal Spirit, offered himself, without spot, to God." In rendering aionios in these texts, the Improved Version agrees with our bible, as with all others, in general, in every language. On the last text, my opponent copies a note of theirs, for which he gives them credit: but he follows Scarlett, in transcribing instead of translating.

2. It is applied five times to Christ, his covenant, king-

dom, and gospel.x

3. It is applied three times, to eternity past., "According "to the revelation of the mystery, which was kept secret, "since the world began." "Who hath saved us" "ac-"cording to his own purpose and grace, which was given us

v He only altered eternal into aioman; and put to the ages of ages, instead of fer ever and ever.

w 2 Cor. iv. 18. Rom. xvi. 26. 1 Tim. vi. 16. Heb. ix. 14.

z 1 John i. S. v. 20 Hob. xiii. 20. 2 Pet. i. 11. Rev. xiv. 6.

y Rom. xvi. 25. 9 Tim. i. 9. Tit. i. 2.

<sup>2</sup> χεονος αιωνιοις. Rom. xvi. 25. Comp. 1 Pet. i. 12. " Which things the angels desire to look into."

in Christ Jesus, before the world began." In hope of eternal life, which God, that cannot lie, promised before the
world began." The same words Chronoi aionioi, occuring
in all these places, have received a uniform translation, in
our bible. Not so with my opponent's excessively improved
version. The same words, he renders former ages, in the
first text, and aionian times, in the two last. This, however,
was necessary, in order to make his New Translation, a
faithful copy of Scarlett's old one. How easy it is, now-a-

days, to translate from the original Greek!

On these two last texts, Macknight speaks as follows; viz. d Supposing the word aiomos in this clause, to signify eter-" nal, the literal translation of the passage would be, before " eternal times. But that being a contradiction in terms, cur translators, contrary to the propriety of the Greek " language, have rendered it, before the world began." From such reasoning as this, the most eminent anti-universalist polemic has relinquished these two instances, and these alone, of all the seventy-one, in which this word occurs. But if these a priori reasonings be regarded, we may speculate away every thing that is valuable in criticism and theology. Language is arbitrary, and is formed by custom. The use of ancient languages is no more subject to the control of a modern critic, than the fires of Ætna are subject to a modern engineer. This sort of reasoning would attach absurdity to some of the best authorized usages of our own language. Is it more contradictory to say, that before eternity and absolute eternity mean the same thing, than to say that ravel and unravel mean the same? Because two negatives make an affirmative with us, shall we charge the Greeks with absurdity, for making them an emphatical negative? It is well for us, that there are some men, of all ages, and all degrees of improvement, whom such sophistry never moves. established signification of AIONIOS, eternal, did not prevent Gregory Nazianzen from using PROAIONIOS, before eternal, in the same sense. Thus is it understood by the best modern expositors of the language. Hedericus explains PRO-AIONIOS, by the Latin ETERNUS, eternal; and Scapula explains the same word, by OMNI ETERNITATE PRIOR, before all eternity. This is, to all intents and purposes, as absurd, as the expression before the eternal times, which Macknight

P. 222

<sup>2</sup> πεο χεονων αιωνιων. 2 Tim. i. 9.

b age Neonen andrien. Tie i. 21

has condemned. Yet either before the eternal times, or before all eternity, would be as correct a translation of the texts in question, as "above all heavens" is of Eph. iv. 10. The Scriptures recognize three heavens, the ærial and ætherial heavens, and the heavens of heavens: higher than which, no one ever went Yet the apostle says, "He that descended. "is the same also that ascended up far above all heavens. "that he might fill all things:" Now, if the heavens are to be understood literally, would not Macknight's mode of interpretation make this passage say, that Christ has not only ascended above the first heavens, our atmosphere, in which clouds are suspended, and birds fly; -not only above the second heavens, in which the sun, moon and stars are placed; but above, and far above, all heavens, even the third heavens, the residence of God and angels, and saints? But suppose that in this text, heavens should be understood metonymically. Suppose that it means the inhabitants of heaven. Would not Macknight's mode of interpretation make the text say, that Christ had ascended not only above saints and angels, but far above the eternal Father, and the eternal Spirit? It is easy for a sickly hypercriticism to find absurdities in the best translation, or even in the pure, authentic. and infallible original. Yet in answer to them all, I would say, that Christ has ascended into heaven; and that to express this emphatically, the apostle said that he had ascended far above all heavens. So I would say that salvation through Christ, was promised before the world began, before the commencement of time, that is, from eternity: and this is emphatically expressed by the apostle, when speaking of this salvation, as a thing "which God, that cannot lie, promised, PRO CHRONON AIONION, before the eternal times; that is, from an absolute eternity.

There is not a whit more of absurdity, in this mode of expression, than there is in the addition and multiplication of eternities, which we find practised in the Old and New Testament, with such intelligible familiarity, and such unquestionable correctness. In most subjects, the plural is more than the singular: not so with olam and aion. In most cases, the amount is greatly enhanced by the multiplication of a plural. Myriads of myriads mentioned Rev. ix 16, is ten thousand times more than the simple plural. Not so with eternity. The addition or multiplication of aions amounts to nothing more than an emphatical way of expressing a simple eternity. Eis aiona and its reduplicate mean the same

thing. So the word God and its reduplicate mean the same thing. When the Council of Nice says, "We believe in one God," did they mean any thing more, or any thing less than the true God? When the same Council say that the Lord Jesus Christ is "God of God, Light of Light, very God of very God," they speak thus, not to represent the Saviour, as more or less than God, but to express in an unequivocal manner, his essential Deity, and his peculiar and eternal relation to the Father. So we speak of heaven as God's dwelling place; and we mean no other than his dwelling-place, when we speak of the heavens and the heavens of heavens, or farabove all heavens. Thus do the scriptures mean the same thing by from eternity, and "before the eternal times."

4. It is applied ten times to the future duration of Christian fraternity, of the celestial house and habitation of the saints, of their glory and inheritance, consolation, salvation, and redemption. "For perhaps he therefore departed for a " season, that thou mightest receive him for ever." " I say "unto you, make to yourselves friends of the Mammon of "unrighteousness, that when ye fail, they may receive you "into everlasting habitations." "Therefore I endure all things for the elects' sake, that they may also obtain the a salvation which is in Christ Jesus, with eternal glory." "But the God of all grace, who hath called us unto his eter-" nal glory, by Christ Jesus, after that ye have suffered awhile, make you perfect, stablish, strengthen, settle you." "Now our Lord Jesus Christ himself, and God, even our " Father, who hath loved us, and given us everlasting consoalation, and good hope, through grace." "The promise of " eternal inheritance." "Having obtained eternal redemp-" tion for us." " He became the author of eternal salvation " unto all them that obey him." " An house not made with "hands, eternal in the heavens." "A far more exceeding and eternal weight of glory."d

The above passages speak of the future happiness of believers, which my opponent professes to believe eternal. They contrast that eternal happiness with these temporal afflictions; and they are intended to comfort the Christian under the calamities of time. No one ought, therefore, to doubt, that the word aionios is here used in an unlimited sense, and has been correctly rendered in our bible. But, Scarlett, knowing the consequence of such an admission, chose rather

d Philem. xv. Luke xvi. 9. 2 Tim. ii. 10. 1 Pet. v. 10. 2 Thesa ii. 16. Heb. iz. 15, 12, v. 9. 2 Cor. v. 1. iv. 17.

b transcribe than translate, and has thus spoken to the unlearned reader in an unknown tongue. A Ministerial brother in the West, once lent me a book on Universalism; in which the author, whose name I cannot recollect, supposes a case of a poor afflicted widow, endeavouring to seek in religion, an alleviation for her many and heavy sorrows. to Mr. Scarlett, a professed Christian Minister, he lends her a copy of his New Testament. She opens at 2 Cor. iv. 17. For our momentary light affliction is working out for us a most exceeding conian weight of glory." This treats of affliction, and is probably applicable to her case; but what it means, she cannot tell. She visits her learned instructor, and says, "Dear Mr. Scarlett; what does wonian mean?" "Why, Madam, it means agical, or age-lasting." "And may " I be so bold as to ask, what is the meaning of agical, or age-" lasting?" 'Age-lasting, or agical, Madam, has different sig-\* nifications. It sometimes means as long as the world lasts, and sometimes, as long as a dispensation, or a man's life lasts, whether it be a thousand years or one year.' "And " dear Mr. Scarlett, is that all the extent of glory and hap-" piness, which Christianity offers to a poor old widow, who sis sinking under the weight of more than half a century of " sorrow?

But, from my opponent's reply to this supposed case, he would be understood to insinuate that it was not found in a book, as stated, but that it was manufactured for the occasion, and the name of Scarlett used, where his was really intended. He therefore requests that his own name may be openly used in such cases. The truth is, there is very little more difference between the two, than there is between an original and a copy. This request may be complied with, as soon as I have given a becoming attention to his translation and exposition of the afflicted widow's text. "For our " present light affliction worketh for us an excessively ex-" ceeding aionian weight of glory." In a note he gives us the Greek, and then speaks as follows, viz. " Now if aionion " be strictly infinite or endless, how can any thing exceed it, even by a rhetorical figure, so as to be hyperbole upon hyperbole above or beyond it?" The sentiment of this question he has urged before you, not only interrogatively but positively. "Excessively exceeding aionian weight of glory," is an altered translation borrowed from one who had little

e xxx 0 ว การะ อิดามา เล่า การะ อิดามา เล่าการณา

idea of the perversion which it was doomed to undergo. Its author meant exceeding to be in apposition with aionian or eternal, and would have been as well satisfied to have rendered it "exceedingly excessive eternal;" thus making it evident that the one adjective was in apposition with the other. This is consistent with the original, and leaves aionian with its true meaning, that of absolutely eternal. But my opponent treats exceeding as a participle governing aionian: thus representing the weight of glory as exceeding aionian, in such a manner as to excel it, transcend it, go "above or beyond it." If this criticism had any foundation, then might there be one place in the whole New Testament, in which aionos is used with a limited signification. But every person who understands the Greek language, will perceive, at a glance, that this is a mere artifice, intended to mislead those who could not examine the original for themselves.

I have reason to believe that my opponent has read a comment upon this passage, by Chrysostom, one of the Greek Fathers. How differently does this early and enlightened scholar interpret the words of his own language, from one who claims the character of a translator from the original Greek! In explaining this passage of the apostle, Chrysostom says, "He opposes things present to things future, a "moment to eternity, lightness to weight, affliction to glory; " nor is he satisfied with this, but he adds another word, and "that a reduplication, saying, 'kath' hyperbolen eis hyper-"bolen," that is, an exceedingly excessive greatness." Here this eloquent Greek Father does not represent aionian, as infinitely transcended by something else, but he considers the apostle as contrasting aionian with the moment of this life, and making it to excel it, by an exceedingly excessive greatness. As this cannot be truly said, of any thing but eternity, Chrysostom has given his decided testimony, that aionian means an absolutely eternal

That gloss of my opponent, by which he would make exceeding to be a participle, governing aionian, and thus exceedingly limiting its duration, is probably an invention of his own. No translator or commentator, ancient or modern, within the restricted circle of my acquaintance, appears ever

f This observation was made in the debate, not from an apprehension that my opponent was familiar with the Pathers, but because the commentary in question is quoted by Parkhurst, whose lexicons I soon found, formed the horizon of my opponent's critical researches.

E meretor parteryment parteryment

to have imagined such an absurdity. Even the authors of the Improved Version, who wrote for the very purpose of misleading their readers, had too much knowledge of Greek, and of the present state of society, to attempt such an imposition. Their translation is, "For our present light affliction "worketh for us a very exceeding and everlasting weight of glory." Although this does not strongly present the antitheses of the original, it still offers the consolation of eternal happiness in heaven, to God's afflicted and poor people on earth.

In the next chapter of this Epistle, Paul preaches the same consolatory doctrine, without the use of those hyperboles which we have been discussing. "For we know that if our " earthly house of this tabernacle were dissolved, we have " a building of God, an house not made with hands, eternal in the heavens "h My opponent, as usual, has this, "aionian in the heavens." Now I will not send the afflicted widow to Mr. Scarlett, about this translation: but as my opponent has requested that his name may be used instead of Scarlett's, I will make application to him myself. Here is a poor, weakly, sickly sinner, who, to all appearance, is not far from his latter end. Nothing but the Gospel of Christ can give me comfort. While my earthly tabernacle is near to dissolution. I read in a certain thing, professing to be a New Translation, that there is an aionian house above. enquire into the meaning of this word. Can you tell me, Mr. Scarlett. or, as my opponent prefers my using another name, can you tell me, Mr. Crimson, what is meant by aunian, in your translation? In the 216th page of his Lectures. he answers me "that these words do not, in any instance, " necessarily convey an idea of eternity; nor yet an endless "duration of time." Yes, these are my opponent's words: and the tendency of them to deny that the saints have any revealed charter of eternal happiness is evident. And is it a fact then, that even that house which is not made with hands. is subject to dissolution? So natural is the leaning of the doctrine of universal salvation, to that of universal annihilation, or damnation, or Atheism, that it would leave us to mere conjecture, or, at best, to unassisted reason, to ascertain whether any should enjoy eternal happiness or not.

My opponent has also objected, to my understanding of the first text quoted, in the beginning of this sub-section. It

h 2 Cor. v. 1.

is Philemon 15. In his 8th Lecture we find the following paragraph extracted from Scarlett; viz "The word aionian "expresses duration or continuance; but it is sometimes of " a short, and at other times of a longer duration. Paul, writ-" ing to Philemon concerning Onesimus, says, 'perhaps be was separated for a while, that thou mightest have him econianly.' This certainly could mean, only during the life " of Onesimus." When Scarlett is the teacher, my opponent is a very apt scholar. Accordingly, his New Testament renders the passage, "Perhaps also for this reason he was " separated for a little while, that thou mightest have him, " for life." Macknight, whom he has quoted in his favour, may be well balanced by Estius, whom Macknight has cited in our favour. But we both profess to have better evidence than any man's opinion. My opponent's argument is this ;-Paul intimates that Opesimus may be the slave of Philemon aionianly;—But in the future life there is no slavery;—therefore, aionian must mean during this life. This is plausible; and it would be unanswerable, if it were not for one thing: and that is, that the major proposition contradicts the very passage which my opponent depends upon to support it. Where does the apostle say, that Philemon may have Onesimus as a servant for ever? The Improved Version, which coincides with our translation; (and which, notwithstanding its deep depravity, is often better than Macknight, that coldhearted apostate,) the Improved Version, reads as follows: "For perhaps he therefore departed for a time, that thou " mightest receive him for ever; no longer as a servant, but " as above a servant, a beloved brother." In this world it is an inestimable privilege of Christ's mystical body, that its members are spiritually united to their common head, and to each other. But there is also a promise, that "neither death nor life," "nor things present nor things to come," shall dissolve this union. My argument therefore, is this:-Paul says, that Philemon may have Onesimus, as his gionion brother; But Christian brotherhood is absolutely eternal;-Therefore aionion means absolutely eternal. And so it plainly does in all the ten instances quoted in this sub-

5. In the forty-one remaining instances of its occurrence, unconnected with punishment, it is always found connected with life; and in every individual text, speaks of a state of

i Rom. viii. 38, 39,

endless happiness.j " What shall I do to inherit eternal life?" h \* Search the Scriptures: for in them ye think ye have eternal " life, and they are they which testify of me." " Ye put it " from you, and judge yourselves unworthy of everluting "life." "No murderer hath eternal life abiding in him." " Keep yourselves in the love of God, looking for the mercy " of our Lord Jesus Christ, unto eternal life." "And every " one that bath forsaken houses, or brothers, or sisters, or "father, or mother, or wife, or children, or lands, for my " sake, shall receive one hundred fold, and shall inherit ever-" lasting life." Whosoever believeth in him, should not " perish, but have eternal life:" or "everlasting life." "He "that believeth on the Son hath everlasting life." "The water that I shall give him, shall be in him, a well of water " springing up into everlasting life." "He that reapeth, re-" ceiveth wages, and gathereth fruit unto life eternal." "He "that heareth my word, and believeth on him that sent me, " hath ever asting tife, and shall not come into condemnation, "but is passed from death unto life." "Labour not for the meat which perisheth, but for that which endureth unto " evertasting life." " This is the will of him that sent me, " that every one which seeth the Son, and believeth on him, "may have everlasting life." "He that believeth on me, hath "everlusting life." "Whose eateth my flesh and drinketh "my blood, hath eternal life." "Thou hast the words of " eternal life." "And I give unto them eternal life, and they " shall never perish, neither shall any man pluck them out " of my hand." "He that loveth his life, shall lose it, and he "that hateth his life in this world, shall keep it unto life eter-" nal." " And I know that his commandment is life ever-"lasting." "Thou hast given him power over all flesh, that "he should give eternal life to as many as thou hast given "him. And this is life eternal; that they might know thee, "the only true God, and Jesus Christ whom thou hast sent." "And as many as were ordained to sternal life, believed."

J During the debate, I gave a concise gloss upon these texts as they were read, to prove that they readly meant eternal life. These and much other matter which was spoken, and much which was prepared, and not spoken, I omit, for precision's sake, in the written argument. Neither are all of the texts repeated in whole or in part; because many of them are almost in the same words: but all are referred to in the notes.

k Matt. xix. 16 x, 17. Lake x. 25, xviii, 18-1 John v. 39. Acte xiii, 46. 1 John iii. 15. Jude 21. Matt. xix, 29. Mk. x. 30. Luke xviii, 30

m John iii, 15, 18, 36. iv. 14, 36. v. 24. vi. 27, 40, 47, 54, 68. z. 28. xii. 26, 50. xvii. 2, 3.

"To them who, by patient continuance in well-doing, seek " for glory and honour, and immortality, eternal life." a That as sin hath reigned unto death, even so might grace reign through righteousness, unto eternal life, by Jesus "Christ our Lord." "Ye have your fruit unto holiness, and " the end everiasting life. For the wages of sin is death; but " the gift of God is eternal life, through Jesus Christ our " Lord." " He that soweth to the Spirit, shall of the Spirit " reap life everlasting." " Howbeit, for this cause I obtained "mercy; that in me first, Jesus Christ might shew forth all " long-suffering, for a pattern to them which should hereaf-" ter, believe on him, to use everlasting." "Fight the good "fight of faith; lay hold on eternal life." " In hope of eter-" nat life." "According to the hope of eternal life." "And "this is the promise that he hath promised us, even eternal " life." And this is the record, that God hath given to us "elernal ufe, and this life is in his Son." "These things "have I written unto you that believe on the name of the Son of God, that ye may know that ye have eternal life."o

If that is absolutely eternal life, which is the result of God's unparallelled love, which is Christ's greatest gift, and the subject of the greatest promise; — if that be really eternal hife, which Jews and murderers rejected and forfeited, and which apostles, saints and martyrs gained, through grace, in exchange for temporal life;—if that be truly eternal life, which we are required to seek, as an imperishable good, and an everlasting consolation, connected with a good hope; then, aionios means absolutely eternal. Socinians themselves acknowledge that immortality, or incorruption means an absolute eternity: yet in the above passages, God has promised sternal life to those who seek immortality or incorruption. All but Atheists acknowledge that God exists, in the exercise of his infinite perfections, to an absolute eternity: yet in the above authorities, we find that while God and Christ thus exist, the eternal life of the saints shall endure. P So far is it from having bounds set to it, in the passages quoted, eternal glory is expressly contrasted with temporal suffering, and the eternal house and habitation of believers is contrasted with their earthly and failing abode.

Out of the seventy-one places in which this word occurs, we have now recounted sixty-five; in all of which, the un-

p Rom. H. 7. John z. 28, 29.

n Acts xiii. 48. Rom. ii. 7. v. 21. vi. 22, 23. Gal. vi. 8. 1 Tim. i. 16. vi. 12, 19. o Tit. i. 2. jii. 7. 1 John ii. 25. v. 11, 13.

learned, as well as the learned, can perceive that aionios means absolutely eternal. This might never have been disputed, but for the sake of the remaining six, which read as follows. "He that shall blaspheme against the Holy Ghost, "hath never forgiveness, but is in danger of eternal damna-"tion." "Even as Sodom and Gomorrha, and the cities about "them, in like manner, giving themselves over to fornica-"tion, and going after strange flesh, are set forth an exam-"ple, suffering the vengeance of eternal fire," " Who shall "be punished with ever lasting destruction from the presence "of the Lord, and from the glory of his power." "It is bet-"ter for thee to enter into life halt or maimed; rather than "having two hands or two feet, to be cast into everlasting "fire." "Then shall he say unto them on the left hand, de-"part from me ye cursed, into everlasting fire, prepared for "the devil and his angels." "And these shall go away into "everlasting punishment, but the righteous into life eternal." The note which my opponent has, without giving credit.

copied from the Improved Version, on the first of these texts, was worth so little, that he appears to have stolen it merely to keep his hand in." Concerning the eternal fire, mentioned in the second text, he speaks as follows, viz. "It is said to " be set forth as an example to others, that they may avoid a "similar fate. Now, I ask you, my hearers, admitting the meaning my opponent attaches to it were true, can that "which takes place in an invisible world, be an example to "those who exist here, and who have never seen any thing " of it, nor any who came from thence?—It is said to be set " forth: of course it must be something that is brought into "view, and not that which is hidden. The apostle says, (2 " Pet. ii. 6,) 'And turning the cities of Sodom and Gomor-" rah into ashes, condemned them with an overthrow, mak-"'ing them an ensample unto those that after should live "'ungodly.' Now, I ask, what fire was set forth as an ex-"ample to other nations, who should afterwards live ungodly? Could it be the fire of my opponent's Hell in an-"other world, which was set forth as an example to those " who should live here as the inhabitants of Sodom and Gomorrah? No. my hearers, it was the fire which destroyed " those cities, and continued to the days of the apostle, which " is here meant." At the same time, he quotes from Scarlett,

q Mk. iii. 29. Jude 7. 2 Thoss. i. 9. Matt. xviii. 8. xxv. 41, 46.
r He has made an unimportant alteration of a few words, to make it his own;
as some men take possession of their neighbour's cettle, after altering their marks.

who professed to quote from Whitby, that "This are lasted " from Abraham's time, till after the apostolic age; and was " burning in the time of Philo Judeus, the beginning of the " second century." In his Lectures, he tells us, from Scarlett, that this fire "lasted unwards of two thousand years." " but is now extinct."

The substance of the above argument is this; -- Nothing can be set forth as an example, but that which is submitted to occular inspection :- But Sodom and Gomorrha " are set " forth an example, suffering the vengeance of aionian fire,"-Therefore, this gionian fere must mean that visible fire which lasted upwards of two thousand years, but is now extinct; Wherefore, it cannot be an absolutely eternal fire. My opponent says, "It is set forth: of course, it must be something " that is brought into view; and not that which is hidden." "Can that which takes place in an invisible world be an ex-" ample to those who exist here, and who have never seen " any thing of it, nor any who came from thence?"

But, in reply to these sage remarks, I would observe, that he would not believe it, if one were to come from thence. For God has said, " If they hear not Moses and the prophets, " neither will they be persuaded, though one rose from the " dead." But is it true, that nothing can be set forth as an example, but that which is seen? James says, "Take, my " brethren, the prophets, who have spoken in the name of " the Lord, for an example of suffering affliction, and of pa-"tience." Had James or those to whom he wrote, ever seen these prophets! Concerning the destruction of the Israelites in the wilderness, Paul writes to the Corinthians, "Now " these things were our examples, to the intent we should "not lust after evil things, as they also lusted." "Now all " these things happened unto them for ensamples: and they " are written for our admonition, upon whom the ends of " the world are come." Had Paul or the Corinthians ever seen the carnage in the wilderness? Moreover: in the text quoted above by my opponent, Peter says that God has made the case of Sodom and Gomorrha " an ensample unto these " that after should live ungodly." Is it an example to no ungodly person that has not seen the fire?

The manner in which Universalists contradict themselves and one another on this text in Jude, looks unfavourably.

s Minutes, p. 274. Lectures, p. 207. t Jas. v. 10.

u 1 Cor. x. 6, 11.

My Opponent, using a stale quibble, observes that " the term atonion is not connected with the suffering which they en-"dured, but with the fire which destroyed them." It has been shewn that elsewhere, the Improved Version used this same sophism. But here it contradicts itself as well as my Opponent. Instead of telling us that it is only the fire that is eternal, it says in a note, that it is "everlasting in its effects; the "cities having been finally destroyed." What are the effects of suffering the vengeance of everlasting fire, has been shewn in treating the word fire, under the term Gehenna. The same thing is established by what was there said of the scriptural words destruction and damnation. All these words occur in the texts quoted above, where aionios is connected with punishment. In one of them it is said that sinners "shall be punished with everlasting destruction." On this text Mr. Ballou says, "That which is destroyed, I grant, is endlessly de-" stroyed;" insinuating that it is sin only, and not the sinner. What is the subject of destruction, let the whole passage decide. The Lord Jesus shall be revealed from Heaven. "with his mighty angels, in flaming fire, taking vengeance on them that know not God, and that obey not the gospel " of our Lord Jesus Christ; who shall be punished with ever-"lasting destruction." If language can be understood, then this passage declares that men who reject the gospel, shall be destroyed: therefore, according to Mr. Ballou's proposition, these sinners will be endlessly destroyed; or, (as another text expresses it,) go away into everlasting punish-

In the text here alluded to, (Matt. xxv. 46,) the same word is used to mark the eternity of the sinner's punishment, which is used in the same sentence to declare the eternity of the saint's happiness. "And there shall go away into aionian punishment, but the righteous into aionian life:" and there is no reason, except the mere wish of the wicked, for explaining the one to mean a limited duration, more than the other. There is, however, the best possible reason for denying that it is to be so understood in either case. This takes place at the day of judgment; when measured periods are all merged in an absolute eternity. All temporal lives have expired, all earthly dispensations are abrogated; these visible heavens are rolled up as a scroll, and the elements are melted with fervent heat. "Yet once more I shake not the earth only, but

v On Atonement, p. 179. 2 Thess. i. 7-10.

"also heaven. And this word, yet once more, signifieth the removing of those things that are shaken, as of things that are made, that those things which cannot be shaken may remain.""

It is not at all surprising, that my opponent and his brethren deny that there is to be a day of judgment, after death. That infidelity, which is willing to wear a disguise, considers nothing too sacred for its polluted fingers. The reason which they give, for applying the xxvth chapter of Matthew to temporal events, is, that there is some appearance of the same thing being done by our Saviour, in Matt. xvi. 27, 28. " For the Son of man shall come in the glory of his Father, " with his angels; and then he shall reward every man ac-" cording to his works. Verily I say unto you, there be some " standing here, which shall not taste of death, till they see " the Son of man coming in his kingdom:" or as Luke says, "till they see the kingdom of God:" or as Mark says, "till " they have seen the kingdom of God come with power." Again he says, in predicting the destruction of Jerusalem, "But in those days, after that tribulation, the sun shall be " darkened, and the moon shall not give her light; and the " stars of heaven shall fall, and the powers that are in hea-"ven shall be shaken. And then shall they see the Son of " man coming in the clouds, with great power and glory. "And then shall he send his angels, and shall gather togeth-" er his elect from the four winds, from the uttermost part " of the earth, to the uttermost part of heaven." "Verily I "say unto you, that this generation shall not pass, till all " these things be done."x

Here are predictions of the coming of Christ, in glory, with his angels, to gather all his elect, and reward every man according to his works. It is to be accompanied with the darkening of the sun, moon, and stars: which we consider to belong to the general judgment. Yet it is said that all these things shall be fulfilled before the demise of that generation, to which these prophecies were addressed. These are among the craggy cliffs of revelation, which many survey, but few surmount. The obstacles are often of our own making. Instead of seeking for the mind of the Spirit, by comparing spiritual things with spiritual, men often measure the Sacred Oracles by rules of human invention, and thus create difficulties, where things would otherwise be plain.

w Heb. xii. 26, 27, 1 Luke ix. 27. Mk, ix.-1. xiii. 24—27, 30.

That many prophecies have a primary and an ultimate fulfilment, is too evident to require elaborate proof. Before Samson's birth. God told the mother of this well known type of Christ, "The child shall be a Nazarite." This had a subordinate fulfilment a short time after the prophecy was uttered: but its grand accomplishment is recorded in the New Testament. "And he came and dwelt in a city called "Nazareth: that it might be fulfilled which was spoken by "the prophets, he shall be called NADZORAIOS, a Naza-" rite." So the above predictions had an inceptive accomplishment in that generation to which they were addressed. They contemplated the resurrection of Christ from the dead, his glorious ascension into heaven, the powerful effusion of his Holy Spirit, and the gathering of his elect into the visible church, under the administration of his apostles and other ministers, in every part of the then known world. There was also such a judging of the sheep and the goats, according to their works, as was foretold by Ezekiel; when it is said, " I will feed them with judgment. And as for you, O my flock! "thus saith the Lord God; behold I will judge between cat-"tle and cattle, between the rams and the he-goats." Such a discrimination was made in the destruction of Jerusalem: which awful event, was, according to the account of Josephus, accompanied with such preternatural phenomena, in the heavens and the earth, as might well be designated by the darkening of the sun, moon, and stars-

But surely the fallen angels were not destroyed with Jerusalem. Jude must therefore have referred to some other event, when he told us that they were "reserved in everlast-"ing chains under darkness, unto the judgment of the great "day." The Scriptures say moreover, concerning men, "Behold the Lord cometh with ten thousand of his saints, " to execute judgment upon all." " We shall all stand before " the judgment-seat of Christ." A similar passage of the same writer is thus paraphrased by Macknight. "For we " must all [at the last day,] appear [in the body] before the "tribunal of [the Lord] Christ, that every one [of us] may "receive [from him,] rewards and punishments in the body, according to what he hath done [in the body] whether what "he hath done be good or bad." "Behold he cometh with "clouds, and every eye shall see him." "The Son of man

y Judges xiii. 5. Matt. ii. 23. Thus it is explained by Diodati, Dr. Clarke, d Parkhurst. See the latter on the word. z Ez. xxxiv. 17.

shall send forth his angels, and they shall gather out of his "kingdom all things that offend, and them which do iniquity." "So shall it be at the end of the world: the angels shall "come forth, and sever the wicked from among the just." "It is appointed unto men once to die, but after this the "iudgment." "For the Lord himself shall descend from " heaven, with a shout, with the voice of the arch-angel, and " with the trump of God: and the dead in Christ shall rise "first. Then we which are alive, and remain, shall be caught "up together with them in the clouds, to meet the Lord in "the air: and so shall we ever be with the Lord." "The " Lord Jesus shall be revealed from heaven, with his mighty " angels, in flaming fire, taking vengeance on them that "know not God, and that obey not the gospel of our Lord Je-" sus Christ; who shall be punished with everlasting destruc-"tion from the presence of the Lord, and from the glory of " his power: when he shall come to be glorified in his saints, and to be admired in all them that believe."a

As Canaan was a type of heaven, so was the destruction of Jerusalem, a figure of the day of judgment: thus it is as reasonable to confine all that is said of future happiness to the land of Canaan, as to restrict all the above texts to the temporal judgments by which Jerusalem fell. In those passages, men and devils are the subjects of this judgment; and it takes place at the end of the world, after the great body of mankind have died and arisen again: and those believers which are alive when the trumpet sounds, shall be eaught up in the clouds, together with the risen saints, to dwell with Christ forever. The same authorities agree with the parable of the wise and foolish virgins, and that of the talented servants, and many other passages of scripture, in making a complete separation between the righteous and the wicked, and sending the latter to eternal destruction. That this is the doctrine of Matthew xxvth, must be plain to the candid reader of God's word. "When the Son of man shall " come in his glory, and all the holy angels with him, then " shall he sit upon the throne of his glory: and before him 4 shall be gathered all nations; and he shall separate them " one from another, as a shepherd divideth his sheep from " the goats: and he shall wet the sheep on his right hand, "but the goats on the left. Then shall the king say unto-"them on his right hand, Come ye blessed of my Father, in-

a Jude vii 14, 15. Rom. xiv. 10. 2 Cor.v. 10. Rev. i. 7. Matt. xiii. 41, 48. Meb. ix. 27. 1 Thess. iv. 16, 17. 2 Thess. i. 7—10.

"berit the kingdom prepared for you, from the foundation of the world" "Then shall he say also unto them on the left hand, Depart from me, ye cursed, into evertasting fire, prepared for the devil and his angels." "And these shall go away into everlasting punishment, but the righteous into everlasting life." With a becoming deference to the good sense of this audience, and especially with a sacred regard to the authority of God, speaking in his word, I must conclude, that those texts, in which aisning is connected with the punishment of the wicked, should be considered affirmative evidence of the absolute eternity of that punishment.

#### RECAPITULATION.

With the help of Almighty God, I have now endeavoured to shew the meaning of his word, in support of the truth, and in answer to objections which are made against it: whether these objections were drawn from the present character and sufferings of mankind, the general offer of salvation, or the attributes of God; whether they related to purgatory, or restitution, or fatherly chastisements; or whether they contemplated the will of God, or Christ's prophetic,

kingly, or priestly office.

The doctrine for which the church of God has ever contended, has been fairly inferred from the scriptural account of God's milder and severer attributes, and the guilty, deprayed, and helpless condition of mankind. It has been shewn that this doctrine is often implied, in the use of such scriptural figures as insinuation, supposition, and interrogation. This doctrine has been also established, by the contrast which the scriptures mark, between the righteous and the wicked, in relation to their character, standing and future destiny: and by scriptural negations, or denials that there is any end to the punishment of the wicked.

The affirmative evidence was divided into two branches: the first of which related to the state of the damned. In this, the doctrine was proved by those scriptures which threaten the wicked, with the punishment of hell; whether by the name of Sheol, Hades, Gehenna, or Tartarus. The second branch of this argument related to the duration of punishment, and was founded on texts which declare that this punishment is eternal, or shall last forever; whether the writers

b Matt. xxv. 31-34, 41, 46.

convey their ideas in Hebrew or Greek; whether by nejeh,

od, or olam; or by aion. eis aiona, or aionios.

The texts belonging to the last branch of the last argument, assure us that some have their portion in the mist of darkness,—in the blackness of darkness,—in the lake of fire and brimstone,—in the smoke of torment,—in confusion, shame, contempt, reproach, destruction, damnation. And this is declared, in the unlimited sense of the words, to be forever, for ever and ever, everlasting, perpetual, eternal. While a God of infinite truth speaks such language, his people must believe, that he will punish the finally impenitent and unbelieving, to an absolute eternity.

#### CONCLUSION.

This point of faith, like all other articles of the Christian creed, is calculated, through grace, to promote the temporal welfare and the eternal salvation of men. It is rash for its enemies to impeach its moral tendency. Yet my opponent has just now insimuated, that its advocates do not entirely agree with the apostle, in his wish that all "may lead a "quiet and peaceable life, in all godliness, and honesty," but that they would allow of a little turbulence. a little impicty, and a little dishonesty.º Now that I think of it, I should be obliged to that lady, who sent me this fan, which has yielded me so much refreshment during this debate, if she would, when convenient, have the kindness to let me know, how it may be returned to its owner. As far as I understand the principles in question, they have, through the Spirit of Christ, a tendency to preserve their possessors from dishonesty, even to the value of a fan or a pin. Insinuations of this sort, come with a peculiarly ill grace from Universalists, and especially from that sect to which my opponent belongs. An inquiry into the connexion of principles and practice, will, by no means, redound to their honour. For the general promotion of piety, sobriety, and honesty, men should have correct views of their moral disease, and of the remedy which God has appointed for it. The scriptures put in requisition, all the resources of language, in order to describe the malignity and odiousness of sin. and the love and condescension of God, in the cross of Christ; by which we are crucified to the world, and the world to us. Yet my opponent's religion makes sin a mere negation, and the atone-

o Minutes p. 295.

ment a downright imposition. "They that be whole need not "a physician, but they that are sick." Those who make a mock at sin, will not apply to a divine Saviour, for pardon and sanctification: and without him they will never be truly wise, holy or upright. Their practice supports this position. Universalism never was known to reform a sinner: but it has been the means of depraving many a sober man, and of blasting the fair prospects of many a family. Universalism never attempts to mortify the lust of the flesh, the lust of the eye, and the pride of life; while all its energies are exerted, to keep men from being too religious themselves, and from

labouring for the conversion of others.

If ever piety, purity, and righteousness were found on earth, they have been found in the blood-bought church of Christ. Yet this church has ever held, with an unyielding pertinacity, the eternity of future rewards and punishments. They have ever viewed sin with abhorrence, and have preferred truth and holiness, to the enjoyment of reputation, property, or life. Permit one who has tasted the bitterness of sin, to add his feeble testimony to their general voice. Although I have conscientiously defended the truth, with a desire for your salvation, if God were to judge me, out of Christ, I am lost for ever. I have committed sin enough in this debate, to damn a world, if it were imputed to it. If God were to lay justice to the line, and righteousness to the plummet, and deal with me, independently of Christ's atonement, I confess that I cannot answer for the way in which I advocate his cause, or call upon his name. It is this which endears to me the cross of Christ, and it is this which makes my heart bleed with compassion for your precious souls. The pleasure of serving your spiritual interests, has far outweighed that bodily sickness and weakness which hap excited your sympathy. In the truth, there is an indescribable loveliness: and in the sweet hope, that God will bless his word, to your conviction, conversion, and edification, there is an unspeakable comfort. My flesh and my heart fail; but thou, O God, art the strength of my heart, and my portion forever.

### ERRATA.

In page 142, line 90, for This read The.
146, line 4 from bottom, for has read hast.
150, line 15, for in Rom. 8th read on Rom. 8th.
178, line 12, for charm read chain.
176, line 12, for several read severer
182, note, before kindness insert professional.
203, line 16, for that read than.
225, line 99, for mead read mood.

## ADVERTISEMENT.

#### DISCUSSION OF BAPTISM.

In June, 1820, there was, in Mount Pleasant, Jefferson county, Ohio, a public debate on Baptism, between Mr. John Walker, a minister of the Associate Church, and Mr. Alexander Campbell, an Arian Baptist. At the close of that conference. Mr Campbell spoke as follows, viz. "I have now " accepted the invitation or challenge of the Seceders, and having now fully " satisfied their most eager desires for an interview of this kind. I conceive "it is my time to give an invitation or challenge to any Pedo-Baptist mi-" nister; and to return the compliment with the utmost ceremoniousness, " I this day publish to all present, that I feel disposed to meet any Pedo-66 Baptist minister of any denomination, of good standing in his party, and "I engage to prove in a debate with him, either viva voce, or with the pen, "that Infant Sprinkling is a human tradition, and injurious to the well-" being of society religious and political. I have to add, that I must have " an equal vote, in determining the time and place. This is the only re-"striction I attach to the challenge I now publish." This professed challenge, as its author repeatedly calls it, was published in Mr. Campbell's printed account of the debate, which Mr. Walker afterward proved to be spurious. In consequence of an application from an important friend and partizan of Mr. Campbell's, I was induced, in the year 1823, to send him an acceptance of his challenge. This introduced a correspondence, which terminated in a public conference of seven days in Washington, Mason county, Kentucky, in October 1823. There was no stenographer engaged. Yet Mr. Campbell has been so kind as to write a volume of speeches for me. without my ever thinking of imposing such a task upon him. If God permit, the merits of his performance, and the character and testimony of his friends who have aided him, and certified for him, may be the subject of free animadversion, hereafter. This controversy is as interesting in Kentucky, as Universalism is in Philadelphia. The reason is, that Arianism and all other evils, are brought in under the cloak of Anti-Pedobaptism. Christian Baptists are the objects of my sincere love. Among them, I have dear relatives and friends in the West and in the East. Mr. Campbell would deprive me of their friendship by writing speeches for me. Providence will permit me, in consistency with pastoral duties, and a proper regard to health, it is my earnest wish, and my fixed design to publish my own argument, with Mr. Campbell, as I have with Mr. Kneeland. And that I have the means of doing it, Mr. Campbell well knows, since he made as frequent and as doleful complaints of my little book, as did the Universalist Meanwhile I wish it to be understood that I do not consider myself responsible for the follies which Mr. Campbell may forge for me.

# ARTICLES

OF THE

# SYNOD OF DORT,

AND ITS

# REJECTION OF ERRORS:

WITH THE

HISTORY OF EVENTS WHICH MADE WAY FOR THAT SYNOD,

AS PUBLISHED BY THE

AUTHORITY OF THE STATES-GENERAL;

AND THE

DOCUMENTS CONFIRMING ITS DECISIONS.

Cranglated from the Latin,

WITH

NOTES, REMARKS, AND REFERENCES,

BY THOMAS SCOTT.

RECTOR OF ASTON SANDFORD, BUCKS.

Audi alterem partem.

### Mondon:

PRINTED FOR THE AUTHOR,

By A. MACINTOSH, London Society's Office, Spitalfields:

AND SOLD BY L. B. SPELRY, FLEET STREET; AND J. HATCHARD, PICCADILLY.

1818.

Price 6s.

Digitized by Google

<sup>&</sup>quot;He that is first in his own cause seemeth just; but his neighbour cometh and searcheth him."—Prov. xviii. 7.

<sup>&</sup>quot;Judge not according to the appearance, but judge righteous judgment."—
John vii. 24.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS.

	PAGR
PREFACE	v—viii
<ol> <li>PREFACE TO THE REFORMED CHURCHES; in which the rise and progress of those controversies in Belgium, for the removal of which this Synod was especially held, are</li> </ol>	,
briefly and faithfully related	1-100
INTRODUCTION to this Preface and the History contained	
in it, by the Author	17
THE HISTORY (a translation with notes)	8100
II. THE JUDGMENT of the National Synod of the reformed Belgick churches held at Dort, A. D. 1618, 1619; at which very many Theologians of the reformed churches of Great Britain, Germany, and France were present; concerning the five heads of doctrine, controverted in the Belgick	
churches. (Published May 5, 1619.)	101-105
Remarks on this JUDGMENT	105-110
III. ARTICLES OF THE SYNOD OF DORT, &c	111—176
Introductory Remarks	111-112
CHAPTER I. On the doctrine of divine Predestination, in eighteen articles, (with Notes and References)	112-120
ported by Heylin, in one article, (with a remark)	120-121
Rejection of Errors, by which the Belgick churches have for	
some time been disturbed, (with Notes and References)	121-197
CHAPTER II. On the doctrine of the death of Christ, and	
through it of the Redemption of Man, in nine articles,	
(with Notes, &c.)	198-130
Abbreviation (in one article) by Tilenus and Heylin	130
Rejection of Errors on the Second Chapter, in seven articles	
(with Notes, &c.)	131-134
CHAPTERS III. and IV. On the doctrine of Man's corruption,	
and on the method of his conversion to God; in seventeen	<i>'</i>
articles, (with Notes, &c.)	135-142
Abbreviation by Tilenus and Heylin, in two articles	142, 143
Rejection of Errors on the third and fourth chapters, in nine	
articles (with Notes &c.)	143 - 148

# CONTENTS.

	PAGE
CHAPTER V. Of Doctrine.—Concerning the perseverance of the	
saints, in fifteen articles, (with Notes, &c.)	14915
Abbreviation by Tilenus and Heylin in one article, with their	
conclusion, and a remark upon it	154, 15
Rejection of Errors on the fifth chapter, concerning the	
doctrine of the perseverance of the saints, in nine articles,	
(with Notes, &c.)	15515
Conclusion, (with Notes, &c.)	159-16
THE DECISION OF THE SYROD, concerning the Remonstrants	162-16
Remarks on this decision	167—17
IV. THE APPROBATION OF THE MOST ILLUSTRIOUS AND	
VERY POWERFUL LORDS THE STATES-GENERAL	176-17
Concluding remarks on this APPROBATION	179-18

## PREFACE.

THE manner in which the author was brought to the determination, of adding the present work to all his former publications, will appear more fully in the introduction to the articles of the Synod of Dordrecht, or Dort. In general, he had erroneously adopted, and aided in circulating, a gross misrepresentation of the Synod and its decisions, in his 'Re-'marks on the Refutation of Calvinism;' and, having discovered his mistake previously to the publication of a second edition of that work, he was induced to do what he could, to counteract that misrepresentation, and to vindicate the Synod from the atrocious calumnies, with which it has been wilfully or inadvertently traduced. But other motives concurred in disposing him, to giving his attempt its present form and order.

1. A very interesting and important part of ecclesiastical history has been obscured and overwhelmed in unmerited disgrace, by the misrepresentations given of this Synod and its articles, especially in this nation; in which very few, even among studious men, know accurately the circumstances which led to the convening of this Synod, and the real nature and import of its decisions. To excite therefore

others, more conversant in these studies, and better qualified for the service, to examine this part of ecclesiastical history, and to do impartial justice to it, is one object which the author has in view.

- 2. He purposes to prove, that the doctrines commonly termed Calvinistick, whether they be or be not the doctrines of scriptural Christianity, may yet be so stated and explained, without any skilful or laboured efforts, as to coincide with the strictest practical views of our holy religion; and so as greatly to encourage and promote genuine holiness, considered in its most expanded nature, and in its effects on all our tempers, affections, words, and actions, in relation to God and to all mankind.
- 3. In a day when these doctrines are not only proscribed in a most hostile manner on one side, but deplorably misunderstood and perverted by many on the other side; the author desired to add one more testimony against these misapprehensions and perversions, by shewing in what a holy, guarded, and reverential manner, the divines of this reprobated Synod, stated and explained these doctrines; compared with the superficial, incautious, and often unholy and presumptuous manner of too many in the present day. And if any individual, or a few individuals, should by this publication, be induced to employ superior talents and advantages, in counteracting these unscriptural and pernicious statements, his labour will be amply compensated.
  - 4. The author desired to make it manifest, that



the deviations from the creeds of the reformed churches in those points which are more properly called Calvinistick, is seldom, for any length of time, kept separate from deviations in those doctrines, which are more generally allowed to be essential to vital Christianity. It must, indeed, appear from the history with which the work begins, that the progress is easy and almost unavoidable, from the controversial opposition to personal election, to the explaining away of original sin, regeneration by the Holy Spirit, justification by faith alone, and even of the atonement and deity of Christ: and that the opponents of the Synod of Dort, and the Remonstrants in general, were far more favourable to Pelagians, nay, to Socinians, than to Calvinists; and were almost universally unsound, in what are commonly called orthodox doctrines, and many of them far from conscientious in their conduct. Indeed, it will appear undeniable, that the opposition, made to them by the Contra-Remonstrants, was much more decidedly on these grounds, than because they opposed the doctrine of personal election, and the final perseverance of true believers as connected with it.

5. The author purposed also, by means of this publication, to leave behind him, in print, his deliberate judgment on several controverted points, which must otherwise have died with him, or have been published separately, for which he had no inclination. But he has here grafted them as notes or remarks on the several parts of this work; and he trusts he has now done with all controversy.

It is doubtless vain, to attempt any thing, against many of those opponents, who succeed to each other, with sufficient variety, as to the grounds on which they take their stand, and from which they make the assault; but in some respects nearly in the same course of misapprehension, or misrepresentation, as to the real sentiments of those, whom they undertake to refute. It suffices to say of them, "Neither can "they prove the things of which they accuse us:" and to say to them, "Thou shalt not bear false "witness against thy neighbour." But indeed Calvinists seem to be no more considered as neighbours by many Anti-Calvinists, than the publicans, Samaritans, and Gentiles, were by the Scribes and Pharisees!

After all that has been published on these subjects, the groundless charges brought by many against the whole body, cannot be considered as excusable misapprehension. They must be either intentional misrepresentation; or the inexcusable presumption of writing on subjects, which the writers have never studied, and against persons, and descriptions of persons, of whose tenets, amidst most abundant means of information, they remain wilfully ignorant. A fair and impartial opponent is entitled to respect, but I can only pity such controversialists.

THOMAS SCOTT.

Aston Sandford, March 15, 1818.

#### THE

# PREFACE.

## TO THE REFORMED CHURCHES OF CHRIST,

IN WHICH THE RISE AND PROGRESS OF THOSE CONTROVERSIES IN BELGIUM, FOR THE REMOVAL OF WHICH THIS SYNOD WAS ESPECIALLY HELD, ARE BRIEFLY AND FAITHFULLY RELATED.

# INTRODUCTION TO THIS PREFACE. BY THE AUTHOR.

IN perusing this preface, and the history contained in it, the reader should especially recollect, that it was drawn up and published by the authority and with the sanction of the States General, and the Prince of Orange, as well as by that of the Synod itself; and that, in every part of it, the acts, or publick records in which the events recorded were registered, are referred to, with the exact dates of each transaction. No history can therefore be attested as authentick, in a more satisfactory and unexceptionable manner: for, whatever degree of colouring prejudices or partiality may be supposed to have given to the narration; it can hardly be conceived, that collective bodies, and individuals filling up such conspicuous and exalted stations, would expressly attest any thing directly false; and then appeal to authorities, by which the falsehood of their statement might at any time be detected and exposed. It should also be remembered, that prejudices and partiality would be as likely to colour the account given to the world, and transmitted to posterity by the opposite party; while the very circumstances, in which they were placed, would render it impracticable for them to substantiate the authenticity of their narrative in the same manner. Yet, contrary to all rules of a sober and unbiassed judgment, the unauthenticated histories of the Remonstrants\* concerning the Synod of Dort have, almost exclusively, been noticed and credited by posterity, especially in this country, to the neglect of the authentick records.† In giving the translation of this history I would merely say, Audi alteram partem. 'Do not read the authenticated narration with greater suspicions of unfairness than you do those, which are not so fully authenticated. Let not your approbation of what you suppose to have been the doctrine of the Remonstrants, or your aversion to that of the Contra-Remonstrants, bias your mind in this respect; but judge impartially.' One of these histories was drawn up by a man, (Heylin,) who has been fully detected of misrepresenting the very articles of the Synod, in the grossest manner; and has thus misled great numbers to mistake entirely the real import and nature of the decisions made by it. I appeal to the abbreviation, as it is called, of the Articles of the Synod of Dort, as compared with the real Articles themselves, in another part of this publication. So scandalous a misrepresentation, which has been too implicitly adopted by many others,

<sup>\*</sup> So called from a Remonstrance presented by them to the States of Holland and West Friesland, against the doctrines of their opponents, or those of the Federated churches of Belgium.

<sup>†</sup> Neither Mosheim, nor his translator Maclaine, mention this history, while they refer to a variety of authorities on both sides of the question, in their narrative of these transactions. So that it is even probable that they had never seen it. Whether the severe measures by which the decisions of this Synod were followed up; and especially the strict prohibition of printing or vending any other account, in Latin, Dutch, or French, in the Federated provinces, during seven years, without a special licence for that purpose; did not eventually conduce to this, may be a question. The measure, however, was impolitick, if not unjustifiable.

should render the impartial reader cautious in giving implicit credit to other statements made by the same party, however celebrated the names of some of them may be.

When I first entered on this part of my undertaking, I purposed merely to give a short abstract of the history, just enough to render the subsequent part of the work intelligible to the less learned or studious reader: but, whether it were the result of partiality, or of unbiassed judgment, I found myself so deeply interested in the events recorded, (which were almost entirely new to me,) that my reluctancy to translating and transcribing the whole was overcome: and, (with a few remarks on different parts) I determined to give it entire, to the English reader. As far as I am competent to judge, it possesses every internal evidence of authenticity and fairness: and of impartiality, as far as even pious men, exactly circumstanced as the writers were, in the present imperfect state of human nature, can be expected to be impartial.—It is, I think, also drawn up, with a degree of calmness and moderation; far different from that fierce and fiery zeal, which is generally supposed to belong to all, who profess, or are suspected, of what many in a very vague and inappropriate manner call, Calvinism. And though according to the fashion of those times, epithets are in some instances applied both to men and opinions, which modern courtesy, nay, perhaps Christian meekness, would have suppressed; yet, if I mistake not, they are more sparingly employed in this, than in any contemporary controversial publication. Indeed the bigher points of what is called Calvinism, are far less insisted on, and the opponents of those points far more moderately censured, than might have been expected; while the doctrines commonly called orthodox, as opposed by Pelagians, Arians, and Socinians, are strongly maintained, and the opposers of them strenuously, nay, severely, condemned.

Mosheim allows, that the triumph of the Synod was that of the Sublapsarians, not only over the Arminians, but over the Supralapsarians also.\*

In order to the impartial reading of this history, it should be previously recollected, and well considered, that all the Belgick churches were, from the first, Presbyterian, in government and discipline; and constituted according to that plan, with presbyteries, classes, provincial Synods, and general Synods of all the Federated provinces; and with all those rules and methods for admission into the ministry, and to the pastoral charge in distinct congregations; as also to situations in Universities and schools of learning, which form a constituent part of it; as well as of that strict discipline, connected with it, implying not only excommunication of lay-members, but the suspension, or silencing of pastors; and excluding from their office, academical teachers and professors on account of heresy in doctrine, and gross inconsistency of conduct, proved against them in their classes, or Synods. Through the whole history, it appears, that no other form of government was proposed even by the Remonstrants; nor any thing mentioned about toleration in that respect; though their measures evidently tended to subvert the whole system. All the funds likewise, reserved for religious purposes, were appropriated entirely in consistency with the Presbyterian model; and all academical honours and distinctions were conferred in that line.

This, beyond doubt, having been the case; and the principal persons concerned in the controversy against the Remonstrants, having been sealously, and (most of them at least) conscientiously attached to this system; so that it appeared to them, as if the very interest of vital religion was intimately, if not inseparably, connected with it: he must, I say, be a most unreasonable, and partial Anti-pres-

<sup>\*</sup> Mosheim's Ecclesiastical History, vol. v. p. 368.

byterian, who can expect from men of this stamp, that they would permit their whole system, and all its operations, to be retarded, disturbed, nay, totally deranged and subverted, and the whole state of their churches thrown into confusion and anarchy, without vigorous struggles to prevent a catastrophe, in their view so deplorable and ruinous. Even in this age and land few persons, of supposed candour and liberality of mind, either among zealous Episcopalians, or Independents, seem inclined tamely to witness the subversion of their favourite system, without employing the most effectual means of preventing it, which are fairly within their reach. Indeed it is not in human nature, and cannot reasonably be expected. Nor, till men are convinced, that it is not the cause of God, nor essential to that of true religion, would it be right thus to yield it up to their opponents. But when measures of this nature are adopted, at first simply in self-defence, against aggressors, in order to preserve advantages, already possessed by law and custom; it must also be expected, that, in the eagerness of a violent and protracted contest, even conscientious men, will, through remaining prejudices and evil passions, excited and irritated by what they judge injurious usage, be betrayed into some unjustifiable measures, of which their opponents will make great advantage, and which even impartial spectators cannot justify or excuse. If then this should appear to have been the case in the Belgick contest, with the opposers of the Remonstrants; as well as with the Remonstrants themselves: it ought neither to excite our surprise, nor prejudice us so deeply against the whole company, as, on account of it, to involve them in one sweeping sentence of condemnation.

Again, it is well known, at least it is capable of the most complete proof, in respect of the doctrines controverted during this period in Belgium; that the Confession and Catechism of the Belgick churches, were entirely on the side

of the Contra-Remonstrants. Their appeal is constantly made to those articles; not under the disadvantage, in which some of us in England appeal to the articles of our established church, while our opponents, with a degree of plausibility interpret them in a different meaning; but, as to the very documents, to which the Remonstrants objected, nay, which they vehemently and openly opposed, both in their sermons and publick writings. So that their concessions and requisitions, in this respect, put the matter beyond all denial or doubt, to him that has carefully examined the history. This will fully appear as we proceed. Now he must be a most unreasonable and unfair advocate for the Remonstrants; who would require decided and conscientious Contra-Remonstrants, holding responsible stations in the Belgick churches, universities, and schools, by virtue of their subscription to this Confession and Catechism, to suffer, without any effort to the contrary, those documents to be opposed, proscribed, and vilified; and contrary doctrines promulgated, even by persons, who generally held their situations in the same manner: while the opposers of the established doctrines indefatigably laboured and employed all their influence with those in authority, to set them aside and introduce the contrary doctrines; and this by the authority of the civil governments alone, to the exclusion of that ecclesiastical power, by which they in great measure had been supported. Such a passive acquiescence would not, I apprehend, be found at this day, if eager opponents should put the matter to the trial, either among decided Episcopalians, or Lutherans, or any others, who are cordially attached to their own views of Christianity. How far the defenders of the Belgick Confession and Catechism used, exclusively, "weapons of "warfare not carnal, but mighty through God," is another question. It can scarcely be doubted, but there were faults on both sides, in this vehement contest; but I cannot think in an equal degree. Let the candid enquirer read and judge for himself.

In translating this history, and the other documents which I now lay before the publick, I make no pretensions to any thing beyond fairness and exactness, in giving the meaning of the original. Had I been disposed to aim at it, I do not think myself competent to the office of translating in such a manner, as to invest the Latin, fairly and fully, with the entire idiom of the English language: but I have even by design, confined myself more closely to literal translation, than I should have done, in an attempt less connected with controversy: and have often declined giving a more approved English word or expression; when I feared, it might be suspected of not exactly conveying the sense of the original. Indeed, as far as it could be made consistent with perspicuity, I have rather preserved than shunned the Latin idiom, where any doubt could remain as to the idea, which the writers intended to convey. And, when after all, I had any apprehension that I had not fully accomplished this, I have given in a parenthesis, the Latin word, that the reader may judge for himself.-In other places, a parenthesis often contains a word not found in the Latin, but useful in elucidating the meaning. My sole desire has been, to render the whole clearly understood by the English reader: and to call the attention of pious and reflecting persons to a part of Ecclesiastical history, which I am confident has been generally less known, and more grossly misrepresented by some, and mistaken by others, than any other part whatever has been: but which, I am also persuaded, is peculiarly replete with important useful instruction; especially to zealous Calvinists, who may here learn in what a guarded, and holy, and practical manner, these generally reprobated theologians, stated and defended their tenets; and on what grounds, exclusively scriptural, they rested them.

### THE HISTORY.

IN the course of the last summer, the decision of the venerable Synod, lately held at Dordrecht (or Dort) concerning some heads of doctrine, which had hitherto been disputed in the Belgick churches, with the greatest disturbance of the same, was published, having been comprised in certain distinct canons. And as this most celebrated Synod had been called together, by the Illustrious and most mighty the States General, the supreme magistracy of the federated provinces, especially for the removal of the controversies, which had arisen in religion, the most of them judged that it would be sufficient, if merely the determination of the Synod, concerning these same controversies were published. But when it afterwards was evident, that there were very many, who greatly desired further to know. from the very acts of the Synod, what besides these things, had been done in the Synod; and by what method, especially with the Remonstrant pastors: and when it was not doubtful, but that they themselves, in order to veil their own pertinacity, were about to publish some things concerning these matters, not with the best fidelity, it pleased the Illustrious and most mighty the States General, that the acts also of the same Synod, faithfully transcribed from the publick registers (tabulis) should be published in print, for the satisfaction (in gratiam) and use of the churches. And as in these (records) many things every where occur, which pertain to the history of the things transacted in the Belgick churches, and which could less advantageously be understood or judged of, by readers who were ignorant of these things: for which cause even the national Synod, (as it may be seen in the different sessions,) sometimes enjoined, especially on the deputies of the South Holland churches, to write a brief narrative of the affairs transacted with the Remonstrants: it seemed good to prefix, in the place of a preface, from it, (that history) some things, which were publickly transacted; that the foreign churches especially, might for once know with good fidelity, what was the rise and progress of these controversies; and on what occasion, and for what causes, the Illustrious and most mighty the States General convened this most celebrated Synod, at a very great expence; especially, when many things are related by the Remonstrants, in writings exhibited, and here inserted, which less accord with the truth of the things transacted.

In the Reformed churches of Federated Belgium, how great an agreement had, in the preceding age, flourished, on all the heads of orthodox doctrine, among the pastors and doctors, of the Belgick churches; and moreover how great order and decorum (ευθαξια and ευσχημοσυπ) had always been preserved in the government of the same, is too well known to the Christian world, for it to be needful to set it forth in many words. This peace and harmony of the Belgick churches, lovely (in itself,) and most pleasing to God and all pious men, certain persons had attempted to disturb, with unbridled violence, but not with great success: (persons) who having deserted popery, but not being yet fully purified from its leaven, had passed over into our churches, and had been admitted into the ministry in the same, during that first scarcity of ministers: (namely) Caspius Coolhasius, of Leyda, Herman Herbertius, of Dordrecht,

<sup>\* &#</sup>x27;After long and tedious debates, which were frequently attended with popular tumults and civil broils, this intricate controversy was, by the counsels and authority of Maurice, prince of Orange, referred to the decision of the church, assembled in a general Synod at Dordrecht, in the year 1618.' (Mosheim.)—'It was not by the authority of prince Maurice, but by that of the States General, that the national Synod was assembled at Dordrecht. The States were not indeed unanimous; three of the seven provinces protested against the holding of this Synod, viz. Holland, Utrecht, and Overyssel.' (Maclaine.) Mosheim's History, vol. v. p. 367.

and Gouda, and Cornelius Wiggerus, of Horn. For in the same places, in which they had got some persons too little favouring the reformed religion, on whose patronage they relied: this their wicked audacity was maturely repressed, as well by the authority of the supreme magistracy, as by the prudence of the pastors, and the just censures of the church: that of Coolbasius, in the national Synod at Middleburg; that of Herbertus, in the Synods of South Holland; and that of Wiggerus, in the Synods of North Holland.

Afterwards James Arminius, pastor of the most celebrated church at Amsterdam, attempted the same thing, with great boldness and enterprize; a man indeed of a more vigorous genius, (excitatioris,) but whom nothing pleased except that which commended itself by some shew of novelty; so that he seemed to disdain most things received in the Reformed churches, even on that very account, that they had been received. He first paved the way for himself to this thing, by publickly and privately extenuating, and vehemently attacking (sugillando) the reputation and authority of the most Illustrious doctors of the reformed church, Calvin, Zanchius, Beza, Martyr, and others; that by the ruin of their name, he might raise a step to glory for himself. Afterwards he began openly to propose and disseminate various heterodox opinions, nearly related to the errors of the ancient Pelagians, especially in an explanation of the epistle to the Romans: but by the vigilance and authority of the venerable presbytery of that church, his attempts were speedily opposed, lest he should be able to cause those disturbances in the church, which he seemed to project (moliri). Yet he did not cease among his own friends, as well as among the pastors of other churches, John Utenbogardus, Adrian, . Borrius, and others, whose friendship the same common studies had conciliated, to propagate his opinions, by whatever means he could; and to challenge Francis Junius, the most celebrated professor of sacred theology at Leyden, to a conference concerning the same.\*

But when in the second year of this age, (Aug. 28. 1602.) that most renowned man D. Junius had been snatched away from the University of Leyden, with the greatest sorrow of the Belgick churches, Utenbogardus, who then favoured the opinion of Arminius, with great earnestness commended him to the most noble and ample the Curators of the University of Leyden, that he indeed might be appointed in the place of D. Junius in the professorship of sacred theology in that University. When the deputies of the churches understood this, fearing lest the vocation of a man so very much suspected of heterodoxy, might sometime give cause of contentions and schisms in the churches; they intreated the most noble lords the Curators, that they would not expose the churches to those perils, but rather would think of appointing another proper person, who was free from this suspicion. And they also admonished Utenbogardus to desist from this recommendation; who, despising these admonitions, did not desist from urging his (Arminius's) vocation, until at length he had attained the same.

His vocation having been thus appointed, the presbytery of Amsterdam refused to consent to his dismission; especially for this reason, because the more prudent thought, that a disposition so greatly luxuriant, and prone to innovation, would be statedly employed, with more evident danger in an University, at which youth consecrated to the ministry of the churches are educated, and where greater liberty of teaching uses to be taken, than in any particular church in

<sup>\* &#</sup>x27;The lustre and authority of the cellege of Geneva began gra-'dually to decline, from the time that the United Provinces, being 'formed into a free and independent republic, Universities were founded 'at Leyden, Francher, and Utrecht.' Mosheim, vol. v. p. 865.

which it may be restrained within bounds, by the vigilance and authority of the presbytery. His dismission was notwithstanding obtained, by the frequent petitions of the lords the Curators, of Utenbogardus, and even of Arminius himself; vet upon this condition, that a conference having been first held with Dr. Francis Gomarus, concerning the principal heads of doctrine, he should remove from himself all suspicion of heterodoxy, by an explicit (rotunda) declaration of his opinion; when he had first promised, with a solemn attestation, that he would never disseminate his opinions, if perhaps he had any singular ones.\* This conference was held before the lords the Curators, the deputies of the Synod also being present; in which, when he (Arminius) professed, that he unreservedly (diserte) condemned the principal dogmas of the Pelagians concerning natural grace; the powers of free will, original sin, the perfection of man in this life, predestination, and the others; that he approved all things, which Augustine and the other fathers had written against the Pelagians; and moreover, that he judged the Pelagian errors had been rightly refuted and condemned by the fathers; and at the same time promised, that he would teach nothing which differed from the received doctrine of the churches, he was admitted to the professorship of theology.t

May 6, 7. 1602.] In the beginning of this, he endeavoured by every means to avert from himself every suspicion of heterodoxy; so that he defended by his support

<sup>\*</sup> How far he fulfilled this solemn promise and attestation, not only the following history, but even the histories of his most decided advocates, fully show. In fact, he fulfilled it in the very same manner, that the subscriptions and most solemn engagements of numbers in our church at their ordination are fulfilled.

<sup>†</sup> The received doctrine of the churches was contained in the Belgick Confession and Catechism. Let the reader carefully attend to this, and bear it in mind while he peruses the subsequent narrative.

and patronage in publick disputations, [October 28.] the doctrine of the reformed churches, concerning the satisfaction of Christ, justifying faith, justification by faith, the perseverance of those who truly believe, the certitude of salvation, the imperfection of man in this life, and the other heads of doctrine, which he afterwards contradicted, and which at this day are opposed by his disciples. (This he did) contrary to his own opinion, as John Arnoldi Corvinus, in a certain Dutch writing ingenuously confesses.

But when he had been now engaged in this employment as professor, a year or two, it was detected, that he publickly and privately attacked (sugillare) most of the dogmas received in the reformed churches, called them into doubt, and rendered them suspected to his scholars: and that he enervated the principal arguments, by which they used to be maintained from the word of God, by the same exceptions, which the Jesuits, the Socinians, and other enemies of the reformed church were accustomed to employ: • that he gave some of his own manuscript tracts privately to his scholars to be transcribed, in which he had comprised his own opinion: that he recommended in an especial manner to his scholars, the writings of Castalio, Cornhertius, Suerezius, and of men like them: and that he spake contemptuously of Calvin, Beza, Martyr, Zanchius, Ursinus, and of other eminent doctors of the reformed churches. + He moreover openly professed, that he had very many consi-

<sup>\*</sup> The reformed church included, not only the church of Geneva, but the churches in Switzerland, France, Holland, England and Scotland, and others. The doctrines opposed were then not those of Calvin or of Geneva in particular, but common to all these churches.

<sup>+</sup> This is the only way, in which Calvin is ever mentioned in the whole of this history, as along with many others, an eminent doctor of the reformed churches; for it was not then supposed, that there was any essential difference between the doctrine of the church at Geneva, and that of the other reformed churches.

derations or animadversions, against the received doctrine, which he would lay open in his own time. Some pastors, who were intimately acquainted with him, gloried, that they possessed an entirely new theology. His scholars, having returned home from the University, or having been removed to other Universities, petulantly (proterve) insulted the reformed churches, by disputing, contradicting, and reviling their doctrine.

When the churches of Holland considered these and other things, being justly solicitous, lest the purity of the reformed doctrine having been weakened, (or corrupted, labefactata,) and the youth which was educated in this seminary, for the hope of the churches, imbued with depraved opinions, this matter should at length burst forth to the great mischief and disturbance of the churches: they judged that an enquiry should be throughly made into the whole transaction, by their own deputies, to whom the common care of the churches used to be committed; so that in the next Synods it might be maturely looked to, that the church might not suffer any detriment. Concerning this cause the deputies of the churches, as well of South, as of North Holland, go to Arminius, and state to him, the rumours which were every where circulated concerning him and his doctrine, and how great solicitude possessed all the churches; and, in a friendly manner, they request him, that if perhaps he found a want of any thing in the received doctrine, he would sincerely (sincere, .ingenuously) open it to his brethren; in order, either that satisfaction might be given him by a friendly conference, or the whole affair might be carried before a lawful Synod. To these (persons) he answered, That he himself had never given just cause for these rumours; neither did it appear prudent in him to institute any conference with the same persons, as deputies, who would make the report concerning the matter unto the

Synod: but if they would lay aside this character, (personam,) he would not decline to confer with them, as with private pastors, concerning his doctrine; on this condition, that if perhaps they should too little agree among themselves, they would report nothing of this to the Synod. As the deputies judged this to be unjust, and as the solicitude could not be taken away from the churches by a conference of this kind, they departed from him without accomplishing their purpose (re infecta.) Nor did they yet the less understand, from the other professors of sacred theology, that various questions were eagerly agitated among the students of theology concerning predestination, free will, the perseverance of the saints, and other heads of doctrine, such as before the coming of Arminius had not been agitated among them.

Jely 26. 1605.] He was also admonished by the church of Leyden, of which he was a member, by the most ample and most celebrated men, Phædo Brouchovius, the consul of the city of Leyden, and Paulus Merula, professor of history (historiarum, histories, ancient and modern,) elders of the same church, that he would hold a friendly conference with his colleagues, before the presbytery of the church of Leyden, concerning those things which he disapproved in the received doctrine; from which it might be ascertained, whether, or in what dogmas, he agreed, or disagreed, with the rest of the pastors. To these (persons) he replied, That he could not do that without the leave of the Curators of the University; neither could he see what advantage would redound to the church from such a conference.

The time approached when the annual Synods of the charches in each Holland used to be held; and when, according to the custom, the grievances (gravamina) of the charch were sent from each of the Classes: and among the rest this also was transmitted by the Class of Dordrecht.

Inasmuch as rumours are heard, that certain controversies concerning the doctrine of the reformed churches, have 'arisen in the University and church of Leyden; the Class hath judged it to be necessary, that the Synod should de-'liberate on the means, by which these controversies may 'most advantageously and speedily be settled; that all 'schisms, and stumbling-blocks, which might thence arise, 'may be removed in time, and the union of the reformed churches be preserved against the calumnies of the ad-' versaries.'—Arminius bore this very grievously, (ægerrime,) and strove with all his power, that this grievance should be recalled; which when he could not obtain, by the assistance of the Curators of the University, he procured a testimomal from his colleagues, in which it was declared, 'That indeed 6 more things were disputed among the students, than it was 'agreeable to them; but that among the professors of sacred theology themselves, as far as it appeared to them, there 'was no dissention in fundamentals.'

A short time after the Synod of the province of South Holland was convened, in the city of Rotterdam, which when it had understood from the class of Dort, the many and weighty reasons, for which this grievance had been transmitted by the same, and at the same time also from the deputies of the Synod, how things really were in the University of Leyden, and what had been done by Arminius and the other professors of sacred theology; after mature deliberation it determined, that this spreading evil must be counteracted in time, neither ought the remedy of it to be procrastinated, under the uncertain hope of a national synod. And, accordingly, it enjoined on the deputies of the Synod, that they should most diligently enquire, concerning articles on which disputations were principally held among the students of theology in the University of Leyden; and should petition the lords the Carators, that a mandate might be given to the professors of sacred theology, to declare openly and explicitly their opinion concerning the same: in order, that by this means it might be ascertained respecting their agreement or disagreement; and the churches, if perhaps there was no dissention, or no grievous one, might be freed from solicitude: or, if some more weighty one should be detected, they might think maturely concerning a remedy of the same.

The Synod also commanded all the pastors, for the sake of testifying their consent in doctrine, that they should subscribe the Confession and Catechism of these churches; which, in many classes had been neglected, and by others refused. The deputies of the Synod, having diligently examined the matter, exhibited to the lords the curators nine questions, concerning which they had understood, that at this time disputations were principally maintained: and they requested, that it might be enjoined by their authority on the professors of sacred theology, to explain fully their opinion concerning the same. But they answered, That some hope now shone forth of obtaining a national Synod in a short time; and therefore they judged it more prudent (consultius)

<sup>&</sup>quot;The opinions of Calvin, concerning the decrees of God, and divine grace, became daily more general, and were gradually introduced every where into the schools of learning. There was not, however, any public law, or confession of faith, that obliged the pastors of the reformed churches in any part of the world, to conform their sentiments to the theological doctrines that were adopted and taught at Geneva.' Mosheim, vol. v. p. 366. This introduces the learned historian's account of the Synod of Dort: but the Confession and Catechism of the Belgick churches alone were appealed to in this contest, and they were certainly obligatory on all the pastors of those churches, and subscribed to by most of them. Again: 'Arminins knew, that the Dutch divines, were neither obliged by their confession of faith, nor by any other public law, to adopt and propagate the opinions of Calvin.' Vol. v. p. 41. Now Arminius was not accused, as the whole history shews, of deviating from the opinions of Calvin, but for openly opposing the Confession and Catechism of the Belgick Churches.

to reserve these questions to the same, than by any further inquisition respecting them to give a handle to dissention. The pastors also, who had embraced the opinion of Arminius, every where in the Classes refused to obey the mandate of the Synod, concerning the subscription of the Confession and the Catechism.

This matter increased the solicitude of the churches, when they saw that these pastors, relying on the favour of certain persons, evidently despised the authority of the Synod, and more boldly (audacius) persisted in their attempt. Wherefore, as in that way a remedy could not be applied to this evil, they copiously explained to the most illustrious and mighty lords the States General, in how great a danger the church was placed; and petitioned, that in order to the taking away of these evils, a national Synod, which had now been for many years deferred, might be called together, by the authority of the same persons, at the earliest opportunity. These (the States General) declared, that the states of all the provinces had already agreed on the convocation of a national Synod; but that there were those among them, who, in the letters of consent, had added this condition, or, as they called it, clause: Namely, that in the same there should be a revision of the Confession and Catechism of these churches; and, consequently, the convocation of a national Synod could not be made, unless this clause were added, without the detriment (præjudicio) of the States of that province. But, as it was not obscurely evident, who for some years had counselled (authores fuissent) the Illustrious the States of Holland, that this clause should be added, and even pressed; and as it might be feared, if should be annexed to the calling of the Synod, that they who earnestly desired changes of doctrine, would abuse the same; and at the same time also, lest, (especially in this state of things,) it should afford no light cause of offence to the churches; as if the Illustrious States themselves, or our churches, doubted of the truth of the doctrine comprised in this Confession and Catechism; the departies of the churches petitioned that the convocation of the Synod should be drawn up in general terms, as they call them, in the manner hitherto customary: especially, as this clause seemed the less necessary; seeing that in national Synods it had always been permitted, if any one thought that he had ought against any article of these writings, fairly and duly to propose it.

But the Illustrious lords the States General declared, that this clause was not so to be understood, as if they desired any thing to be changed by it, in the doctrine of these churches; for indeed a doctrine was not always changed by a revisal, (or recognition, recognitione,) but sometimes was even confirmed; yet it could not be omitted without the prejudice of that province, which had expressly added it. They therefore delivered the letters of consent, in which this also had been added, to the deputies of the churches, which they transmitted to the churches of each of the provinces; and with them they also signified, what pains they had bestowed that it might be omitted.

March 15, 1606.] The Belgick churches, on the receipt of these letters, rejoiced indeed, that after the expectation of so many years, at length the power of holding a national Synod had been obtained; though they were not a little stumbled by this clause. Not because they were unwilling, that the Confession and the Catechism should be recognized, after the accustomed and due manner, in the national Synod: but because they feared, lest they, who were labouring for a change of doctrine, should be rendered more daring, as if by this clause, a power was granted to them, by the publick authority of the lords the States, of moving and innovating whatever any one pleased; and that these discords and con-

troversies had arisen from them, not from the inordinate desire of innovating, but from an earnest endeavour of satisfying the decrees of the Illustrious the States. In the same letters, the Illustrious lords the States General gave information, that it had been determined by them, to call together some learned and peaceful theologians, from each of the provinces, that they might deliberate with the same, concerning the time, place, and manner of holding this national Synod.

August 1606.] While these things were transacting, the Annual Synod of the churches of Holland was held at ' Gorinchem; in which, when the deputies of the churches had related, what had been done by them in the cause of the National Synod, and what had been determined by the Illustrious lords the States General, it was judged proper to enjoin on the same (deputies) diligently to press the convocation of a National Synod; and, though the Synod thought, that the Confession and Catechism, would be recognized, in a way and manner, new and unaccustomed hitherto, in the national Synod, it purposed, that those persons, who should be called together by the States of Holland, out of South Holland, to the convention, in which (it was to be deliberated) concerning the time, place, and manner of holding the national Synod, should be admonished to petition from the States General, in the name of these churches; that the clause, of which it hath before been spoken, might be omitted in the letters of convocation, for the reasons before assigned; and that, in the place of it, other milder words, which might produce less offence, might be substituted.

It was also enjoined in the same Synod, to all the pastors of the churches of South Holland, and to all the professors of sacred theology in the University of Leyden, that, at as early a time as could be, they should exhibit the

considerations or animadversions, which they had, upon the doctrine contained in the Confession and Catechism; (because Arminius and the pastors who were attached to him were often accustomed to glory, that they had very many;) the pastors indeed in their own Classes, but the professors to the deputies of the churches; that the same might be lawfully carried unto the national Synod, if satisfaction could not be given to them in the Classes. When this was demanded of the pastors attached to Arminius, they declined proposing them in the Classes; because, they said, they were not yet prepared: but that they would propose them in their own time and manner. Arminius also, having been admonished concerning this thing by the deputies of the churches, answered, that it could not be done at that time with edification; but that, in the national Synod, he would fully lay open the same.

May 23, 1607.] And when not long after, the Illustrious the States General called together some theologians out of each of the provinces, with whom they might deliberate, respecting the time, place, and manner of the national Synod: namely, John Leo, and John Fontanus, from Geldria: Francis Gomarus, James Arminius, John Utenbogardus, and John Becius, out of South Holland; Herman, Frankelius, and Henry Brandius, out of Zealand; Everard Botius, and Henry Johannis, out of the province of Utrecht: Sibrander Lubertus, and Jannes Bogermannus, out of Friesland; Thomas Goswinius, out of Transisulania; John Acronus, and John Nicasias, out of the city Groningen and Omlandii; the questions, concerning which it should be deliberated in this convention, were proposed to them by the Illustrious the States General; and it was declared, by their concurrent suffrages, that as to the time, it was necessary that the Synod should be called together as soon as might be, in the beginning of the ensuing summer. [A. D. 1608.] That, as

to place, the city Utrecht would be the most convenient for holding the Synod: as to the manner, 1. That the grievances to be discussed in the Synod, should be brought before the national Synod, from each of the provincial Synods: 2. That from each of the several Synods, and by the suffrages of the same, four pastors and two elders should be deputed; in the place of which elders also, men of singular condition, and skill in matters of theology, and adorned by a testimony of piety, though they did not fill up any ecclesiastical office, might be deputed: 3. That to these deputies, power should be given in all things, which should be treated of in the Synod, not of deliberating only, but also of determining and deciding: 4. That the rule of judgment, in all the controversies, relating to doctrine and morals, should be the written word of God, or the sacred scriptures, alone: \* 5. That to the national Synod, should be called together, not only the churches which are in Federated Belgium, namely, of each language, the Dutch and French; but those also of the Belgick nation, which are dispersed without Belgium; whether they were collected under the

<sup>\*</sup> This rule completely excluded all human reasoning, authority, tradition, or new revelations, as opposed to the written word, "the sure "testimony" of God: not only the authority of fathers and councils, with the traditions of the church of Rome; but the authority also of the church of Geneva, of Calvin, and of all other reformed teachers. How is it then, that ecclesiastical historians generally represent this contest, as an attempt to impose the doctrine of the church of Geneva on the Belgick churches? It might as reasonably be said, that the clergymen and others, who combined and used every effort, some years since, to procure the abolishment of subscription to the articles of the church of England, but could not succeed, had the doctrines of Calvin and Geneva imposed on them. Whatever similarity there might be, between the doctrine of Calvin or that of the church of Geneva, and the Confession and Catechism of the Belgick churches; the latter was exclusively appealed to by the other pastors, and avowedly opposed by Arminius and his followers: yet even these were to be revised according to the written word of God.

cross, or otherwise: (alibi:) 6. That the Illustrious and most mighty, the States General, should be requested, that they would deign to send to the same their own delegates, professing the reformed religion, that, in their name, they might preside over the order of it: 7. That the professors also of sacred theology should be called to the same.

In these things indeed they were all agreed; as in some others they could not agree among themselves. For Arminius and Utenbogardus, and the two (deputies) from Utrecht, whom they had drawn over to their opinion, determined these three things: 1. That that was to be held as the decision of the Synod, not which had been determined by the votes of all the deputies to the Synod, but also by those who deputed them: for, under the name of the Synod, not the deputies alone, but those who deputed them also, ought to be understood: 2. That it should always be free to the deputies, as often as they might choose, and as they perceived that they were burdened in any thing, to retire to their own (friends or constituents) for the sake of taking counsel: 3. That the revision of the Belgick Confession and Catechism was altogether necessary; so that they saw no cause, for which the clause concerning the revision of those writings, should not be inserted in the letters of convocation.

The rest of the pastors and professors judged: 1. That that should be considered as the definitive decision of the Synod, which had been determined either by the concurrent votes of the deputies to the Synod, or of the majority of them; but that, under the name of the Synod, those were to be accounted, who, as lawful deputies to the same, had met together with the power of judging: 2. That it might indeed be allowed them to retire to their friends for the sake of taking counsel; yet so, that under this pretext, the proceedings of the Synod should not be rashly disturbed:

that when, and in what manner, and for what causes, they might thus recede, should not be left to the unrestricted will (arbitrio) of individuals, but to the judgment of the whole Synod: 3. That the Belgick Confession and Catechism might indeed be revised in the Synod; if, for adequate causes, the Synod should determine that this was necessary; and likewise that it should be free to all, who thought that they had any thing against those writings, to propose the same to the Synod in due manner, to be examined and decided on: but, because the clause concerning the tevision, if it should be inserted in the letters of convocation, seemed likely to give to some cause of offence, and to others the licence of innovating; they thought that the Illustrious the States General should be petitioned, that this clause, for the sake of the tranquillity of the churches, might be omitted in the letters of convocation; and that, in the place of it, these, or similar words might be substituted; namely, That the Synod was convened, for the confirmation, agreement, and propagation of pure and orthodox doctrine; for preserving and establishing the peace and good order (making) of the church; and finally, for promoting true piety among the inhabitants of these regions. And most of them shewed, that they had this very thing in the mandates from their own churches, and also from the States themselves of their own provinces. This disagreement of counsels and judgments threw in a new delay to the national Synod: for they, who had hitherto resisted its convocation, eagerly seizing on this occasion, laboured earnestly by all means, that the coavocation of the Synod, though now promised, might be hindered.

In this convention Arminius was requested, with the strongest obtestation, by the other professors and pastors, that, the things which he had (to alledge) against the doctrine expressed in the Confession and Catechism, those

he would in a free and brotherly manner communicate to them, as his fellow ministers: the promise being added. that they would bestow pains fully to satisfy him; or that he. on honourable conditions, might be reconciled to his colleagues, and might thenceforth live peaceably with them: neither would they, a reconciliation having been effected. publish beyond the place of the convention, any of those things, which he should make manifest unto them. But he said, that neither was this thought prudent by him, nor was he bound to do it, as the convention was not appointed for this purpose. In the following summer, when the annual Synod of the South Holland churches was held at Delph, Utenbogardus was admonished, to explain to the Synod, the reasons, on account of which, in giving the counsels concerning the manner of holding the national Synod, he, with Arminius, had thought and advised differently from the rest of the pastors; that the same might be well considered and decided on by the Synod. He answered, That he was bound to render an account of this to the Illustrious the States alone, and not to the Synod. Being requested, that he would explain those things, which he had (to alledge) against the doctrine that was contained in the Confession and Catechism of these churches: he replied, that neither did it appear to him prudent to do it in that assembly, nor was he prepared. It was in this Synod also enquired, whether, according to the decree of the former Synod, any considerations, or animadversions upon the Confession and Catechism had been exhibited to the classes: but it was answered by the delegates from each of the Classes, That most of the pastors had professed in the Classes, that they had so animadversions against the received doctrine; but that those, who professed that they had some, were unwilling to explain them: either because they said, that they were not yet prepared, or because they did not think that this was advisable for them. Wherefore the Synod judged, that it should again be enjoined on them, that, omitting all evasions, subterfuges, (tergiversationibus,) and delays, they should explain, as early as might be, all the animadversions which they had against the received doctrine; each of them to his own class.

It was likewise shewn to the Synod, that every where in the churches, dissentions daily more and more increased; and that most of the young men coming forth from the University of Leyden, and the instruction of Arminius, being called to the ministry of the churches, in the examination indeed concealed their opinion by ambiguous methods of speaking; but when they had been set forth to the ministry, they immediately moved new disputations, contended earnestly for opinions, and gloried that they had various considerations against the received doctrine: That in the classes and presbyteries, sharp dissentions and altercations arose, among the pastors, concerning most of the heads of doctrine; and that, among the people also, various disputings coucerning doctrine were heard, with the great offence and disturbance of the churches: yea, moreover, that the beginnings of schisms were seen: that the pastors attached to Arminius instituted frequent meetings in which they might deliberate concerning the propagation of their doctrine; and that the people more and more went away into parties.†

<sup>\*</sup> Nothing can be more evident than this fact, that the followers of Arminius aimed to subvert, or exceedingly to modify, the doctrine of the authorized writings of the Belgick churches; and that the others wanted no alteration to be made in that doctrine, as more favourable, either to the doctrine of the church of Geneva, or of Calvin, as many writers confidently assert.

<sup>+</sup> The enlightened and decided friend to free enquiry, will see even in the causes of these complaints, (while the immediate effects may perhaps be deemed very unfavourable to truth and holiness,) the dawn of that more enlarged state of things, in which free investigation of both received, and exploded, and novel opinions, proves ultimately

As therefore the Synod judged that the remedy of this evil could no longer be deferred, and that the hope of obtaining a national Synod, because of this diversity of counsels and opinions, was altogether uncertain: it was determined by the Synod, from the counsel of the most ample the delegates, to petition of the Illustrious lords the States of Holland and West Friesland; that from the two Synods of South and North Holland, one provincial Synod might be called at the first opportunity, (as it had formerly been done in similar difficulties,) in order to quiet and remove these evils. When the deputies of each Synod, had conjously explained to the Illustrious lords the States these difficulties of the churches, as growing more and more heavy; and had petitioned, that for the removal of the same the convocation of a provincial Synod might be appointed, at the most early time: though great hopes had been given them, by the most ample the lords the delegates, they were not as vet able to obtain it; because at that time [Sept. 14, 1607.] a beginning had been made of settling the terms of a truce with the enemy: and the Illustrious States being themselves fully occupied with the most weighty affairs of the Republick, could not have leisure to attend to these ecclesiastical concerns.

April 30, 1608.] In the mean time Arminius, when he saw that the churches were urgent, that this cause should be determined by the legal ecclesiastical judgments: in order that he might decline that trial, (forum, meaning the decision of the ecclesiastical courts,) having exhibited a and highly beneficial to the cause of truth: and he will agree, that the arm of authority, secular or ecclesiastical, could not beneficially be exerted against it; except so far, as to require those, who voluntarily belong to, and minister in any church, to conform to the rules of that church, or to recede from it without further molestation. But this does not prevent the propriety of doing justice to the character of wise and pious men, to whom no views of this kind had as yet ever been presented.

suppliant writing (libellum) to the Illustrious the States, obtained that cognizance should be taken of his cause, by the most ample the counsellors of the supreme court, being political men; (not ecclesiastical;) and Gomarus was commanded to hold a conference with Arminius before the same, the pastors being present, who had lately attended at the preparatory convention from South and North Holland. When the deputies of the churches had understood this, they again requested the Illustrious the States of Holland and West Friesland, that, instead of this conference instituted before the supreme court, a provincial Synod might be called; that in the same, cognizance might be taken and judgment given on this ecclesiastical cause, by ecclesiastical men, skilful in these matters, and lawfully delegated by the churches with the power of awarding judgment. The Illustrious the States answered, that the cognizance of the cause alone had been entrusted to the supreme court; but that the decision of it would afterwards be committed, either to a provincial or to a national Synod.

In this conference, a long dispute occurred about the order of proceeding. Arminius contended, that Gomarus ought to undertake the part of an agent, (actoris, pleader, or prosecutor, or accuser,) but that he was only bound to defend himself: while Gomarus judged, that this method of proceeding was not less unjust than unusual, especially in an ecclesiastical cause, before political judges: that he indeed was prepared to bring proof before a lawful Synod; that Arminius had proposed dogmas which were at variance with the word of God, and with the Confession and Catechism of the Belgick churches; but that it could not be done in this place, without prejudice to his cause: that he (Gomarus) thought this conference, in order to answer the intention of the Illustrious lords the States, might better be conducted in this manner; namely, that without these

mutual accusations, each of them should clearly and perspicuously explain and set forth his own opinion, concerning every one of the heads of doctrine; for thence it might most advantageously be understood, in what things they agreed or disagreed. As to what belonged to himself, he would not shrink from explaining his opinion concerning all the heads of doctrine fully and openly, as much so indeed as could be desired by any one; that Arminius also, if he were willing fully to perform the part of a faithful teacher, ought in the same manner to declare his own opinion, and not any longer in this business to use subterfuges of this kind. He, (Arminius,) nevertheless persisted in his purpose; so that he at length exclaimed, that he wondered, seeing various rumours of his heterodoxy had gone about through the churches; and the conflagration excited by him, was said to rise above the very roofs of the churches; that he yet found no one, who dared to lodge an accusation against him. Gomarus, in order to meet this boasting, undertook to prove that he had taught such an opinion concerning the first article of our faith, namely, concerning the justification of man before God, as was opposed to the word of God, and to the confession of the Belgick churches. For the proof of this thing, he produced his own very words, written out from the hand writing of the same Arminius, in which he asserts, that in the justification of man before God, the righteousness of Christ is not imputed for righteousness; but that faith itself, or the act of believing ( ro credere, ) by the gracious acceptation (acceptationem, acquittal) was that our righteousness, by which we are justified before God. When Arminius saw himself thus fast bound, as he could not indeed deny this to be evidence of proof, (evidentiam probationis, conclusive evidence,) he began to consent to another method of proceeding; namely, that each should sign in a writing his own opinion, comprised in

certain theses, concerning the principal articles, in which the difference was thought to consist; on which each afterwards in return marked his own animadversions.

This conference having been terminated, the counsellors of the supreme court reported to the Illustrious the states of Holland and West Friesland, that they, as far as they had been able to perceive from the conference, judged; that the controversies, which had arisen between these two professors, were not of so great importance, but regarded especially some more subtile disputes concerning Predestination, which might either be omitted or connived at, (dissimulari,) by a mutual toleration. But Gomarus added, that the difference detected in the opinions were of so great moment, that he, with the opinion of Arminius, should not dare to appear before the judgment of God: and, unless a remedy were maturely applied, it was to be feared, lest in a short time, one province should be engaged in contest against another, church against church, state against state, and citizens against each other. But the Illustrious the States determined, that the writings sealed on each side in this conference, should be preserved in the supreme court, even unto a national Synod, neither should they be communicated in the mean while to any man (cuiquam mortalium). Yet, neither did this conference deliver from anxiety the churches, but rather increased it; especially as the things which had been done at it were concealed from the churches. For not without reason they judged, (haud temere,) that this was done in favour of Arminius, lest his opinions should be made manifest. In the mean while the churches did not cease, by their deputies, strenuously to petition the Illustrious States, that this ecclesiastical cause, which, except with great danger of the church, could not be deferred, might be examined and decided on, as soon as possible, by the judgment either of a lawful provincial, or a national Utenbogardus, whose authority at that time was great among most of the chief persons of the country, that the Illustrious States should command, that the Annual Synods themselves, as well of South as of North Holland, the time of which was at hand, should be deferred. But as this could not be done without the greatest detriment of the churches, they again, having explained before the Illustrious the States their difficulties, petitioned, either that it might be allowed, to hold, according to custom, each of the annual Synods, as well that in South, as in North Holland; or that out of each united together one provincial Synod should as soon as possible be called, as it had also before this been petitioned.

June 28, 1608.] To this petition, the Illustrious States declared, that they had determined, in the next October to call together a provincial Synod for this purpose. When this had been made known to the churches, all the pastors attached to Arminius were again admonished, that each of them would lay open to his Class, his considerations, (or remarks, considerationes,) that the same might be lawfully carried to the approaching Synod. But they, as before, so now also cach of them, declined this with one consent, with their accustomed evasions (tergiversationibus). when the month of October approached, and the churches pressed the convocation of a provincial Synod, as promised, that was again deferred for two months: and it was again permitted to the churches, to hold the particular annual Synods, as well in South as in North Holland; yet on this condition, that the cause of Arminius should not be treated of in the same, which they willed to be reserved to the Provincial Synod. In the Synod of the churches of South Holland, which was held at Dordrecht (or Dort), when it had been reported, that all the pastors attached to Arminius were hitherto unwilling to lay open their considerations,

which they said they had against the received doctrine, to their fellow pastors, (symmistis,) but that they eluded by various evasions, the admonitions of the churches, and the decrees of the Synods; it was determined, that it should be gravely enjoined on them, to lay open these their considerations, within the space of the next month, after the admonition given, under the penalty of incurring the ecclesiastical censure against the contumacious. The same also was demanded from the professors of sacred theology in the University of Leyden, and from Peter Bertius, the ruler of the theological college. These pastors, when they saw, that either their opinion must be laid open, or they must undergo the ecclesiastical censure; in order to evade each of these, they, by the aid of Utenbogardus, obtained letters, from the Illustrious loads the States, in which it was enjoined on these pastors, that within the space of one month, they should transmit to the lords the States themselves, the considerations which they had, sealed up, that they might be reserved by the same, to be exhibited to the provincial Synod. The professors, being asked by the deputies of the Synod, if they had any considerations of this kind, to open these before them, Gomarus answered; indeed, that he had observed nothing in the Confession and Catechism of the churches, which he thought in need of correction or alteration, as too little agreeing with the word of God; but Arminius, that he would answer by writing to this demand, in his own time. And when he saw himself thus urged by the churches to the declaration of his opinion, he explained in a prolix discourse to the lords the States, in their stated convention, what he thought concerning divine predestination, the grace of God, and the free-will of man, the perseverance of the saints, the assurance of salvation, the perfection of man in this life, the Deity of the Son of God, the justification of man before God, and the other heads of doctrine.

At the same time, he endcavoured to persuade the Illustrious the States, that, in these reformed churches, a doctrine was delivered concerning the divine predestination, which was at variance (pugnaret) with the nature of God, with his wisdom, justice, and goodness; with the nature of man and his free-will; with the work of the creation; with the nature of life and death eternal, and finally with that of sin; and which took away the divine grace, was inimical to the glory of God, and pernicious to the salvation of men: which made God the author of sin, hindered sorrow for sin, took away all pious solicitude, lessened the earnest desire of doing good things, extinguished the ardour of prayer, took away the "fear and trembling," with which we ought to "work out "our own salvation," made way for desperation, subverted the Gospel, hindered the ministry of the word, and lastly, overturned the foundations, not only of the Christian religion, but also wholly of all religion.\*

When Gomarus had heard these things, he deemed it

\* It is probable, that in all the volumes, which ever since that time, have been written by Arminians, or Anti-calvinists, in Refutation of Calvinism, there is no objection of any plausibility, urged against the doctrines designated by that term, which is not here briefly, and fairly, and emphatically stated, as used by Arminius, before the States of Holland, in this history, written with the express purpose of sanctioning the decisions of the Synod of Dort: perhaps, no where else can so compendious a list of these objections he found. pilers evidently did not consider them as unanswerable, or very formidable; nor were they afraid of having the whole cause fairly tried and determined according to THE WORD OF GOD; the objections being indeed, neither more nor less, than man's presumptuous reasonings against the express, sure, and authoritative testimony of God himself; the substance of the enquiry which the apostle answered, or silenced at once, "Thou wilt say to me, Why doth he yet find fault? " for who hath resisted his will? Nay but, O man," rejoins the apostle, "Who art thou that repliest against God?" It is evident from the whole narrative, that the Confession and Catechism of the Belgick churches, as well as the sermons and writings of the pastors, were involved in this heavy charge, and condemned most deeply by this sweeping sentence.

a part of his duty, to give better information (melius erudire) to the Illustrious lords the States, lest perhaps by this method, their minds should be pre-occupied with unfavourable prejudices against the orthodox doctrine. therefore petitioned for permission to speak, he, in the same convention, copiously (prolixe) explained what was the genuine opinion of Arminius concerning the grace of God and the free-will of man, the justification of man before God, the perfection of man in this life, predestination, the origin of sin, and the perseverance of the saints: and what just causes of suspicion, he (Arminius) had given, that he did not think aright, concerning the Holy Scripture, the sacred Trinity, the providence of God, the satisfaction of Jesus Christ, the church, faith, good works, and the other heads of doctrine. By what arts also he disseminated his own opinions; namely, that when publickly asked and solemnly enjoined, he hath hitherto concealed his opinion from the churches; but had diligently inculcated it privately on the pastors, whom he hoped he should be able to draw over into it, and on his own pupils (or scholars); that he enervated the principal arguments of our party, (nostrorum,) with which the orthodox doctrine used to be fortified; but confirmed those of the Jesuits, and of the other adversaries, with which they are accustomed to fight against the doctrine of the reformed churches; that he suggested various doubts concerning the truth of the received doctrine, into the minds of the pupils; and (taught them) to hold the same at first, as in an equilibrium with the heterodox doctrine, and at length altogether to reject it: that hitherto he had not been willing to publish any declaration of sincerity and consent in doctrine, though very often levingly, and in a brotherly manner, asked by the churches to do it: that he had earnestly laboured by all means, that he might not lay open to the churches his errors, which had been detected

before the supreme court: and that he had aimed at this one thing, by delaying the time, to have the opportunity, of drawing over the more persons into his own opinion, and of every where occupying the churches: that, having despised the decisions and decrees of Synods, Classes, and Presbyteries, he had in the first instance burst forth (prosiliisse) to the tribunal of the Supreme Magistrate, and had there proposed his complaints and accusations against the doctrine of the churches; and by the arts of a courtier (aulicas) had industriously studied to conciliate favour to himself, but to bring hatred on the churches. Wherefore he (Gomarus) carnestly intreated the States, (seeing that the students of sacred theology in the University of Leyden, and every where the pastors, daily more and more revolted from the orthodox doctrine, discords and contentions spread abroad, the churches were disturbed, and the citizens were drawn into parties,) that the promised national Synod might as early as possible be called; in which, the causes of these evils having been legally examined, a suitable remedy might at length be applied. The deputies of the churches also soon after petitioned for the same: but by the endeavours of Utenbogardus and others it was effected, that this calling of the Synod should always be deferred.

April 4, 1609.] They (the deputies of the churches) likewise several times admonished Arminius, to send to them the considerations contained in the writing which he had promised; who at length answered by letter, that he did not deny that this had been promised by him, but, because he had understood that the Illustrious the States had ordered the pastors to send their considerations sealed up unto them, he had changed his mind, (consilium,) and that he would wait till the same also should be enjoined on him. Peter Bertius, the regent of the theological college, being admonished by the same deputies, that if he had any thing

against the received doctrine of the churches, he would freely explain it, declared his own opinion concerning most of the heads of doctrine openly without any evasion; and shewed that, in the articles of the justification of man before God, of predestination, of the grace of God, of free-will, and finally, of the perseverance of true believers, (vere fidelium,) he thought differently from the doctrine of the Belgick churches.\* This rendered the churches more and more anxious; seeing they understood that not only Arminius in the University, but Bertius also, in the seminary of the churches of Holland, set before the youth entrusted to his fidelity, and destined to the ministry of the churches, heterodox doctrine; and, having drawn them aside from the sincerity (or purity) of the doctrine, instilled into them (imbuere) new opinions. The churches saw these things, and grieved; yet they were not able to apply the lawful remedy to these evils, though it was that which they chiefly wished and judged necessary; Utenbogardus, and others, whose authority was at that time great among certain chief persons of the country, hindering with all their power, by their means, all synodical conventions and ecclesiastical judgments.

Hence the pastors attached to Arminius were made more bold to propose their own heterodox opinions; and they began even publickly before the people, to defame the re-

<sup>\* &#</sup>x27;There was not, however, any public law, or confession of faith, that obliged the pastors of the reformed churches in any part of the world, to conform their sentiments to the theological doctrines that were adopted and taught at Geneva.' Mosheim, vol. v. p. 366. 'Ar-minius knew, that the Dutch divines and doctors, were not obliged by their confession of faith, nor by any public law, to adopt and propagate the principles of Calvin.' Ibid. p. 441. It might be supposed from this, that the opposers of Arminius, and all coacerned in procuring the Synod of Dort, wanted Arminius and his party to adhere to the Geneva Confession and the creed of Calvin, &c: whereas in fact, these are never mentioned in the history prefixed to that of the Synod, but the received doctrine of the Belgick churches alone.

ceived doctrine with various calumnies, and to rage furiously (debacchari) against it, as horrid and detestable. these, a certain person, (called) Adolphus Venator, the pastor of the church of Alcmar in North Holland, was not the last; who, besides that he was of too little approved a life, (vitor minus probatæ,) openly and by no means in a dissembling manner, scattered abroad Pelagian and Socinian errors, with incredible impudence, publickly and privately: for which cause, he was suspended from the office of teaching, by the legitimate judgment of the churches of North Holland. (however) despising the judgment of the churches, persisted in the office of teaching, against the will of the churches. The orthodox pastors in the Class of Alcmar judged that this unholy man, (impurum,) having been lawfully suspended from the ministry, and a few other pastors whom he had drawn over into his opinion, and who pertinaciously refused to testify their consent to the doctrine of the reformed churches, by the subscription of the Confession, should not be admitted into their assembly. They, having complained of this matter to the Illustrious the States, by the aid of Utenbogardus, obtained a mandate, by which this admission for them was commanded; which when the orthodox could not do, because of their conscience; they submissively requested the Illustrious the States, that they might not be burdened by mandates of this kind, which they could not conscientiously obey. The deputies of the churches, when they saw that these dissentions and scandals were daily more and more increased, again earnestly intreated (or adjured, oblestati sunt) the Illustrious the States, in the name of the churches, that the promised provincial Synod might be called together at the earliest time, for the removal of these evils. But when Utenbogardus, and the rest of the pastors addicted to Arminius, observed the minds of the Illustrious lords the States to incline to this; in order that they might avoid the ecclesiastical decisions, they effected by certain individuals who seemed more attached to their cause, that, in the stead of the provincial Synod, a conference, concerning the controverted articles between Gomarus and Arminius, should be held, in the convention itself of the Illustrious States; in the which each might take to himself four pastors, whose counsels they might be allowed to use. Arminius had taken Jannes Utenbogardus, of Hague, Adrian Borrius of Leyden, Nicholas Grevinchovius of Rotterdam, and the before mentioned Adolphus Venator of the Alcmarian church. But Gomarus, (took) Ricardus Acronius of Scheidam, James Roland of Amsterdam, John Bogardus of Harlem, and Festus Hommius of Leyden, pastors of the church.

When they had come together, Gomarus and the pastors, who had joined themselves to him, requested these two things: 1. That this conference should be instituted in writing to be exhibited on each side; by which means, vain rumours of whatever kind might be counteracted. 2. That these writings should afterwards be delivered to a national Synod, to be examined and judged, by which the judgment of an ecclesiastical cause, might be reserved entire to the churches.\* The Illustrious the States, willed that the conference should be instituted, by word of mouth, (viva voce,) yet so that it might be allowed to use writing in aid of the memory; and they promised, having given publick letters for confirmation of the matter, that this cause, when they

<sup>\*</sup> That this cause might be regularly condemned, it was judged 'proper to bring it before an ecclesiastical assembly or Synod. This method of proceeding, was agreeable to the sentiments and principles of the Calvinists, who are of opinion, that all spiritual concerns and religious controversies ought to be judged and decided by an ecclesiastical assembly or council.'—Mosheim, vol. v. p. 450. 'The Calvinists are not particular in this; and indeed it is natural that debates, purely theological, should be discussed in an assembly of divines.'—Note, Ibid. Maclaine.

had known concerning the same from this conference, should be reserved to the judgment of a provincial Synod; and in order to this, that all things whatever, which should there be treated of by word of mouth, being afterwards sealed up in writing, those writings should be exhibited to the Synod.

The same persons also thought it a shameful thing, (indignum,) that Adolphus Venator who, on account of his doctrine and impure life, had been suspended from the ministry by the lawful censures of the churches, should be brought forward (or employed, adhiberi) in such a conference, to the great detriment of ecclesiastical censures. Wherefore they demanded, that another person should be taken in his place; which, as Arminius vehemently struggled against it, they were not able to obtain. In the beginning also, a disputation occurred concerning the order of handling the articles. For Arminius seemed to place the great defence of his cause in this, that the beginning should be made with the article of predestination. Gomarus thought, that because the article which respected justification seemed more necessary, the beginnings should be made with it; which also pleased the Illustrious the States.\*

Concerning this article, there was the same controversy, which had previously been agitated before the supreme court, namely, Whether faith, inasmuch as it is an act according to the gracious estimation of God, be that righteousness itself by which we are justified before God. In the second place, it was treated concerning the doctrine of divine predestination, which Arminius endeavoured to render odious, by the same consequences, which he had lately brought

<sup>\*</sup> Arminius in this point, shewed his sound policy: for when declarmations against predestination have prepared the way, a prejudice as to the other doctrines connected with it, or held by those who hold that offensive doctrine, will seldom be impartially considered. Some modern refuters of Calvinism either have not been so politick, or they have been more fair, in this respect than Arminius was.



forward in the convention of the Illustrious the States. But Gomarus urged the principal point, namely, Whether faith were the antecedent cause or condition of election, or whether indeed the fruit or effect of the same. The third controversy was concerning the grace of God and free-will. Arminius professed that he acknowledged all the operations of divine grace, whatever could be assigned in the conversion of man; only that no grace should be assigned, which is irresistible. Gomarus shewed what ambiguity and what guile might be concealed under that word irresistible: namely, that indeed under the same might be hidden the doctrine of the Semi-Pelagians, and the Synergists (Cooperators) which had been condemned of old: and he stated, that in the regeneration of man, that grace of the Holy Spirit was necessary; which works so efficaciously, that the resistance of the flesh being overcome, whoseever are made partakers of this grace, are certainly and infallibly converted to God by the same. Finally, they treated concerning the perseverance of the truly believing. Arminius declared, that he had never opposed the doctrine of the certain perseverance of the truly believing, nor thus far was he willing to oppose it, because those testimonies of scripture stood for it (or were extant for it) to which he was not as yet able to answer: he should therefore only propose those topicks, which in this article had excited scruple and hesitation in him.\* When Gomarus had answered to these topicks, he confirmed this doctrine from the word of God by many evident testimonies.

<sup>\*</sup> It is remarkable, that Arminius himself in this his last publick conference, and just before his death, should express himself so undecided on this grand point of decided and unqualified opposition to modern Arminians; and should make the concession, that he was not yet able to answer the scriptures, which seemed to favour the doctrine of the final perseverance in all true believers. It is worthy the serious consideration of his disciples.—He died Oct. 19, in this same year.

These things having been fully discussed, the collocutors were asked, whether there remained more articles, concerning which they differed from each other. Gomarus answered, that there were more: the articles for instance concerning original sin, the providence of God, the authority of the sacred scriptures, the assurance of salvation, the perfection of man in this life, and some others; concerning which, whether they should treat also in this place, he left to the prudence of the Illustrious the States; especially as they must a second time be discussed by them in the Synod. But when the state of Arminius's health did not seem such as could endure a longer conference, it pleased the Illustrious the States, that it should be broken off; after that they had promised, to the petition of Gomarus and the rest of the pastors who had joined themselves to him, that this entire cause should be more fully examined and decided on in a provincial Synod, to be called together as soon as might be; and had enjoined the collocutors, that each of them should exhibit to them his opinion, with the arguments and refutations of the contrary opinion, contained in a writing, within the space of fourteen days; in order that these writings might be preserved by them, even to the provincial Synod. Gomarus within the prescribed time transmitted his writings, which were afterwards published in Dutch (Belgice).

As the difficulties of the church were rather increased than taken away by this conference, the deputies of the churches submissively again petitioned the Illustrious the States, that the provincial Synod, so often before, and in the conference itself, promised, should be called, and also at the earliest time. Answer was returned to them, though there were certain persons who strove against it, that the convocation of it would then be appointed, when the pastors of the Alcmerian Class had obeyed the mandate of the Il-

lustrious the States, admitting to their assembly Adolphus Venator, and the pastors attached to him. But, lest that affair should delay the Provincial Synod, the deputies of the churches going to Alcmar, treated with the pastors of that Class concerning this admission, and so far prevailed on them, that they were ready to admit the pastors attached to Venator, on honourable conditions (or equitable, honestis): but they laid before the deputies so many and weighty reasons why they could not admit Venator himself, that they themselves judged, that in this respect, they ought not to be urged. When this had been reported to the Illustrious the States, not even yet could the calling of a Synod be obtained. For indeed the pastors attached to Arminius effected this, that it should be again enjoined to the Class of Alcmar, unreservedly to admit these pastors without any condition; which when they could not do, the calling (of the Sunod) was again hindered.\*

Arminius in the mean while excused himself to the Illustrious States by letters; that by reason of bodily weakness he was not able to prepare the writing enjoined him; which weakness so increased upon him by degrees, that a short time after he departed this life. [Oct. 19, 1609.]

<sup>\* &#</sup>x27;These measures confirmed, instead of removing the apprehensions of the Calvinists; from day to day they were still more firmly persuaded that the Arminians aimed at nothing less, than the ruin of all religion: and hence they censured their magistrates with great warmth and freedom, for interposing their authority to promote peace and union with such adversaries. And those, who are well informed and impartial, must candidly acknowledge, that the Arminians were far from being sufficiently cautious in avoiding connexions with persons of loose principles; and by frequenting the company of those whose sentiments were entirely different from the received doctrines of the reformed church, they furnished their enemies with a pretext for suspecting their own principles, and representing their theological system in the worst colours.' (Mosheim, vol. v. p. 445.) It seems evident that they patronized men not only of loose principles, but of licentious character. The word Calvinists is not used in the historical preface of the Synod of Dort.

Thus these contests and dissensions exercised the University and the churches of Batavia while Arminius was living; but when he was taken away from among the living, though every good man hoped, that a great part of these evils would be taken away and buried along with him, seeing, that he had been the leader and author of all these contentions; yet, as many pastors, every where in the churches of Holland, had consented to his opinion, and would not cease from propagating it, the deputies of the churches thought, that nevertheless the convocation of a provincial Synod should be urged; to whom it was again answered, that the Illustrious the States would then consider about calling some ecclesiastical convention, when the Class of Alcmar had obeyed their mandates.

In the mean time the pastors attached to Arminius, when they saw the affair brought into such a situation, that, the calling of a Synod having been hindered, little seemed to be feared by them from ecclesiastical judgments and censures; as if with loosened reins of boldness and impudence, they began to inveigh and rage furiously, both in publick and private, against the orthodox doctrine of the reformed churches, concerning election, the perseverance of the saints. the assurance of salvation, and other articles, with the most bitter and contumelious revilings, with the greatest offence of the pious, and the congratulation of adversaries, and disturbance of the churches; and to render the doctrine of the churches by all means suspected by the people, and to embitter the minds, especially of the nobles, (magnatum) against it, and the faithful teachers of the same. was it sufficient for them, by private whisperings, and publick and official sermons (tribunitiis) to excite the minds, as well of the common people as of the rulers; but by publick writings also, which in great number, and not with less scandal, were daily every where dispersed among the people,

they so defamed (proscindebant, cut up) the doctrine of the reformed churches, that the sworn adversaries of the same had scarcely been able to do it with greater virulence and evil speaking. And, that they might the better conciliate to themselves the favour of the magistrates, and render their minds more and more bitter against the rest of the pastors, by Utenbogardus, at first in a speech made in the convention of the Illustrious the States, and then publickly in writing, they endeavoured to persuade the magistrates, that the rest of the pastors diminished and undermined the authority of the magistrates, and affected and arrogated to themselves a power collateral, or equal to their power.

Wherefore the deputies of the churches judged, that the Illustrious the States should be again approached, and intreated, that they would deign at length to apply a legal remedy to these evils, which seemed now to have come to the height, by calling together a Provincial Synod. And when the Illustrious the States seemed easily about to consent, because of the extreme necessity of the matter, the pastors attached to the opinions of Arminius suggested to them a new counsel, by which they thought that this calling (of a Synod) might either be entirely hindered, or be so instituted, that their cause might be in safety: namely, if the persons, from among whom the Synod was to be called, should not be delegated by the churches, (as was equitable, and had been hitherto the custom,) but be called forth by the States themselves: for they would easily afterwards obtain that those only should be selected, who either were attached to their cause, or too little averse from it. This innovation, though they had already persuaded some of the chief persons of the country, the more prudent could not approve; who judged that this convocation (of a Synod) should be instituted after the accustomed manner. They effected, nevertheless, that, while a disputation was excited among the Illustrious the

States, concerning the manner of calling the Synod, that the convocation itself, (which in the first place these pastors regarded,) not only of the Provincial Synod, but of the Annual Synods, and those which before were ordinarily held. should by this means be entirely hindered. For, as often as they who wished, that these evils should be taken away from the churches by this lawful remedy, made mention concerning the convocation of any Synod; so often they who favoured Arminius and his cause, renewed the contentions concerning the manner of calling it. Wherefore the pastors also, who were attached to the opinions of the same, (Arminius,) when they discerned that matters were now brought to that situation, that the fear of all ecclesiastical judgment and censure seemed to be taken away, being rendered more daring, their own churches not having been consulted, or aware of it, and without the authority of the supreme magistrate, they privately met together in a great number; and there, having entered into confederacy or conspiracy, by the subscription of names, they formed a body, as they called it, separate from the body of the rest of their fellow pastors. and instituted a manifest schism in the reformed churches. At this time they exhibited a suppliant writing, (libellum,) or, as they called it, the Remonstrance, to the Illustrious the States of Holland and West Friesland; from which they were afterwards called Remonstrants. In this they placed before them the doctrine of the reformed churches, concerning the divine predestination, and the perseverance of the mints, unfaithfully, (mala fide,) and not without open and atrocious slanders,\* that by this means they might render it odious to the Illustrious orders; at the same time they

<sup>\*</sup> It seems a sort of right by prescription to Anticalvinists, to misrepresent and bear false witness against the Calvinistick doctrines, and those who hold them: I would that no Calvinist had ever imitated them in this respect.

added that declaration of their own opinion concerning the same articles, which they under the ambiguous coverings of words concealed, that so it might appear to the more unskilful not much distant from the truth. And moreover they petitioned from the Illustrious the States, to be received under their patronage and protection, against all the censures of the churches.

This matter vehemently affected all the Belgick churches with amazement and grief, (perculit,) as they saw that these controversies had now burst forth into an open schism; and they used every endeavour that they might be able to procure a copy of this remonstrance, by which means an answer might be returned to the calumnies of these persons. But, by the favour of him who was used to keep these things, they (the Remonstrants) easily obtained, that not one copy could come into the hands of the rest of the pastors. Another thing was added to this calamity of the churches, which above measure increased their anxiety and their diffi-For when a successor was sought to J. Arminius in the Professorship of theology, the deputies of the churches strenuously requested and adjured the most Ample the Directors of the University of Leyden, in the publick name of the churches, that they would substitute in that place a man clear from all suspicion of heterodoxy; in order that by this means the controversies in the University of Leyden might gradually cease, and their peace be restored to the churches: at the same time they commended certain eminent theologians, as well foreign as Belgick, to the directors; but without success (irrito successu). For the Remonstrants, who seem to have pre-occupied the minds of certain persons, effected by their commendations, that Conradus Vorstius, a Professor of Steinfurt, a man for many years justly suspected by the reformed churches of Socinianism, should be called to the Professorship of Theology in the place of Arminius, and for that cause that Utenbogardus should be sent away to Steinfurt: which thing when the deputies of the churches bad understood, they thought it to belong to their duty, to admonish the Illustrious the States, that a man of this kind might not rashly be admitted to this vocation, who might be as a nail or claw in an ulcer, especially in so disturbed a state of the churches. Moreover, that this might be done by them with the greater fruit, they petitioned by letters from the venerable the Theological Faculty of the University of Heidelberg, to whom this Vorstius had been intimately known, that it would sincerely declare, whether it thought that this Vorstius, in the present state of things, could with profit, and the peace and edification of the churches, be placed over the education of youth in the University of Leyden. It was also answered (by this Theological Faculty) that a certain book of his had lately been published concerning God and the divine attributes, in which he refuted (convelleret) the doctrine both of ancient and modern theologians; and taught, that God was as to essence, great, finite, composed of essence and accident, changeable in his will, and obnoxious to passive power, (passivæ potentiæ,) with other similar portents. And that he had been sent ten years since to Heidelberg, that he might clear himself before the Theological Faculty, D. Pezelius also being present, from (the charge) of Socinianism, of which had been accused by the churches. And indeed that he had so cleared himself, a writing (syngrapha) having been left: but that this clearing of himself (purgationem) had not been made valid; but, on the contrary, too often and by various means he had rendered himself more suspected; because he carried in his head a nest of monstrous fancies, (portentorum,) with which he had hitherto polluted the school and the youth at Steinfurt: but if a man of so suspected a faith should be called to the most illustrious University of Leyden, this would be nothing other than to extinguish a conflagration with oil.

When not only the deputies of the churches, but also the most ample the magistrates of the principal cities of Holland, of Dort for instance, and Amsterdam, had signified these things to the lords the curators, and to the Illustrious the States themselves; and intreated that they would not exasperate the difficulties of the churches, and expose them to the danger of new and greater (evils) by this calling of that man; the Remonstrants laboured with all their powers that they would not desist from this purposed calling (of him); for they persuaded them that this would be joined with the loss of their own authority. In the mean time, Vorstius came into Holland; who, after he had been heard in the convention of the Illustrious the States, Utenbogardus alone of the pastors being present, returned to Steinfurt.

About this time, when certain students of sacred theology, having been called to the ministry of the word in the divers Classes, were about to be subjected to examination, the Remonstrants procured it to be enjoined to these Classes, by the counsellors of the Illustrious the States, that no further declaration should be demanded from any one, in the examination, concerning the article of predestination, and the heads annexed to it, than what had been expressed in five articles of the Remonstrants, which were sent along with (this injunction); and at the same time, it was strictly forbidden, that any should be driven away from the ministry of those, who professed that they thought in the before mentioned articles with the Remonstrants. When the

<sup>\*</sup> The five articles of the Contra-Remonstrants so often mentioned in this history, do not occur separately and all together in the authenticated documents, of which I make use, but comparing the detached accounts of them, and the arguments used in the Synod of Dort, concerning them, with the following statement from Mosheim,

pastors, on many accounts, were very reluctant (gravarentur) to consent to this, the deputies of the churches having been asked by them, laid open their grievances, in the next election of the Illustrious the States of Holland and West Friezland; and at the same time declared, that they

(vol. v. p. 444, 445,) the latter appears sufficiently accurate for our present purpose.

- 1. "That God, from all eternity, determined to bestow salvation on those who, as he foresaw, would persevere unto the end in their faith in Christ Jesus, and to inflict everlasting punishment on those who should continue in their unbelief, and resist, to the end of life, his divine succours.
- 2. "That Jesus Christ, by his death and sufferings, made an atone"ment for the sins of mankind in general, and of every individual in
  "particular: that, however, none but those who believe in him can
  "be partakers of that divine benefit.
- 3. "That true faith cannot proceed from the exercise of our natural "faculties and powers, or from the force and operation of free-will, "since man, in consequence of his natural corruption, is incapable "either of thinking or doing any good thing; and that therefore it is necessary to his conversion and salvation that he be regenerated and "renewed by the operation of the Holy Ghost, which is the gift of God, through Jesus Christ.
- 4. "That this divine grace, or energy of the Holy Ghost, which "heals the disorders of a corrupt nature, begins, advances, and brings "to perfection every thing that can be called good in man; and that, "consequently, all good works, without exception, are to be attributed "to God alone, and to the operation of his grace: that, nevertheless, "this grace does not force the man to act against his inclination, but may be resisted and rendered ineffectual by the perverse will of the impenitent sinner.
- 5. "That they who are united to Christ by faith are thereby fur"nished with abundant strength, and with succours sufficient to enable
  "them to triumph over the seductions of Satan, and the allurements
  "of sin and temptation; but that the question, Whether such may fall
  "from their faith, and forfeit finally this state of grace? has not been
  "yet resolved with sufficient perspicuity, and must therefore, be yet
  "more carefully examined by an attentive study of what the holy
  "Scriptures have declared in relation to this important point."
- 'It is to be observed, that this last article was afterwards changed by the Arminians, who, in process of time, declared their sentiments with less caution, and positively affirmed, that the saints might fall from a state of grace.' Mosheim, vol. v. p. 445.

were prepared to prove in a lawful Synod, that those articles of the Remonstrants were contrary to the word of God, and the Confession and Catechism of the Belgick churches: and they intreated the Illustrious the States, not to suffer these heterodox articles, having never been duly examined in a lawful assembly of the churches, to be obtruded in this manner on the churches; but rather, that they would call together the provincial Synod so often petitioned for, nay, now for a long time earnestly sought, in which these articles might be first examined according to the rule of the divine word. They shewed also, with how great scandal and detriment of the churches, it would be joined, if the appointed calling of Vorstius should proceed. And further they request, that this should be hindered by the authority of the Illustrious the States.

A consultation having been held concerning these things, it was determined, that a conference should be appointed, at the next Comitia of the count of Hague, in the convention itself of the Illustrious the States, on these five articles of the Remonstrants, between six pastors, to be chosen by each The Remonstrants had chosen for themselves, by the deputies of the several Classes, John Utenbogardus, of the Hague; Adrian Borrius, and John Arnoldi Corvin of Leyden; Nicolas Grevinchovius of Rotterdam; Edward Poppius of Goudan, and Simon Episcopius, pastors of the church of Bleswick. But the rest of the pastors had chosen, by the deputies of each of the Classes, Peter Plancinus of Amşterdam; Libertus Frascinus of Brilan; Ruardus Acronius of Schiedam; John Beccius of Dort; John Bogardus of Harlem; and Festus Hommius of Leyden, pastors of the church.

March 11, 1611.] When they had met together, the Remonstrants refused to institute the conference with the other six pastors, as with the deputies of the Classes of Holland

and West Friezland, such as they shewed themselves to be by letters of commission (fidei), lest they should seem to be the adversaries of the churches: moreover they protested that they would depart, the matter being left unfinished, (re infecta,) unless these would lay aside that character. When there had been for a long time much disputation, the rest of the pastors chose rather to yield to their importunity, than to contend any longer concerning that matter. And they who had been deputed by the Classes, before they went in to the conference, besought the Illustrious lords the States. that the promise which had been made to the churches more than two years before, in the conference held between Arminius and Gomarus, (namely, that the conference being ended, the judgment of this cause might be permitted and reserved to a provincial, or national Synod,) might here also be renewed.

It was agreed upon that this order of proceeding should be observed by them; that each party should comprise in writing the arguments of its own opinion; concerning which a conference should then be instituted by word of mouth. Before they came to the examination of the articles, the pastors, whom we before said had been deputed by the Classes, exhibited an answer to the suppliant writing (libellum) of the Remonstrants, a copy of which they had procured a little before the conference; in which they shewed, that the Remonstrants had most unfaithfully (pessima fide) set forth the opinion of the reformed churches, and had feigned in addition to it (adfinxisse) many things as a calumny: and that they had not openly avowed their own (opinion), or set forth all the articles concerning which there was a controversy. And, seeing there were more controverted heads, besides those which were explained in these five articles, they humbly prayed, that, by the authority of the Illustrious the States, it might be enjoined on the

Remonstrants, that they should likewise roundly and openly declare themselves concerning all the rest. Therefore, when the first article of the Remonstrants was about to be discussed, (or canvassed, excutiendus) in which it is stated. that God had from eternity decreed to save persevering believers,' which no Christian denies; and this article was so placed by them, as that which contained the doctrine concerning God's eternal election; the Remonstrants were asked, that (in addition) to the declaration of their opinion. as expressed in this article, they would explain these two things. First, Whether they would maintain that this article contained the whole decree of predestination; secondly, Whether they thought, that this faith and perseverance in the faith were causes and conditions which preceded election unto salvation; or fruits which spring from election, and follow after it. After they had shifted about for some time, they answered at length, to the first indeed, that they acknowledged no other predestination to salvation, than that which had been expressed by them in the first article; but to the second, that faith in the consideration and view of God was prior to election to salvation; and that it did not follow in the manner of any fruit. They then proposed in return seven other questions, as well concerning election. as reprobation, to which they desired an answer to be given by the pastors deputed from the Classes. These, as they did not belong to the state of the controversy concerning the first article, and moreover were most of them mutilated and intricate, were proposed by them, that by this method they might draw them from the principal state of the controversy, and the right manner of treating it into doubtful disputations (ambages). The pastors, having shewn by a libel (libellum) to the Illustrious the States this unjust

<sup>\*</sup> A common method among many controversialists, expressively called, 'throwing dust in men's eyes.'

way of proceeding, did not indeed intreat that they might not manifest their own opinion concerning reprobation; as the Remonstrants had too often iniquitously (improbe) objected to the same persons; but declared expressly their opinion, as far as they thought might suffice for the peace and edification of the churches, not only by word of mouth, but also in writing: That indeed when they state the eternal decree concerning the election of individual persons, they at the same time state the eternal decree concerning the reprobation or rejection of certain individual persons: because it could not be, that there should be election, but moreover there must be, at the same time, a certain reprobation or dereliction. Yet to rashly canvass all these difficult questions concerning this article, was nothing else, but to fill the church with useless disputations and contentions not profitable, and to disturb its peace. That this their declaration suppliantly expressed in this libel, ought to suffice all men of moderate dispositions and lovers of peace: namely, that it was indeed believed and taught by them, that God condemned no one; yea, neither had he decreed to condemn any one, unless justly for his own proper sins.

It therefore pleased the Illustrious the States, that, leaving these thorny questions, they should come to the discussion of the articles. The pastors deputed by the churches, proposed in writing their reasons, on account of which, they disapproved of each of these articles. The Remonstrants also, on the other side, exhibited in writing their own arguments, by which they thought that each of them might be confirmed. About these reasons and arguments, dispu-

<sup>\*</sup> That God, by an absolute decree had elected to salvation a very small number of men, without any regard to their faith and obedience whatever; and secluded from saving grace all the rest of mankind, and appointed them by the same decree to eternal damnation, without any regard to their infidelity or impenitency.' Heylin's 1st. Article of the Synod of Dort.

tations were held by speaking, in the full convention of the Illustrious the States. The parts of the collocutor, in the name of those deputed by the churches, were sustained by Festus Hommius; but in the name of the Remonstrants, at first by Adrian Borrius, and then by Nicolas Grevinchovius, John Arnoldi, and Simon Episcopius, succeeding each other by turns.

While the pastors were occupied in this conference, Conr. Vorstius had returned out of Westphalia into Holland, whom the Illustrious the States appointed to be heard in a full convention, all the collocutors being present. When they were come together, he made a prolix oration, in which he endeavoured to clear himself from the errors objected to Then the collocutors were asked, whether they had any considerations, on account of which they judged that the calling of Vorstius, to the professorship of theology in the university of Leyden, should be hindered. The Remonstrants expressly declared, that they had nothing against Vorstius; neither had they detected any thing in his writings, which was repugnant to truth and piety.\* The other pastors exhibited in writing their reasons, for which they judged that this vocation would be vehemently mischievous and disgraceful to the churches of Holland: and they shewed from a book of Socinus, concerning the authority of the sacred scriptures, edited by Vorstius himself, and interpolated; and also from that, which Vorstius himself had very lately written and published concerning God and the divine attributes, his principal errors, concerning which there was held during some days a conference between him and Festus - Hommius, in the convention of the Illustrious the States, in

<sup>\* &#</sup>x27;Among the persecuted ecclesiastics was the famous Vorstius, who by his religious sentiments, which differed but little from the Socinian system, had rendered the Arminians particularly odious.' Mosheim, vol. v. p. 455.

the presence of the collocutors. This having been finished. the pastors on each side were again asked by the Illustrious the States, that they would sincerely, and without any passions (affectibus) declare, whether Vorstius by his answers seemed to have satisfied them. The Remonstrants answered, that full satisfaction had been given to them by Vorstius; and they moreover judged, that it would be very useful to the churches and to the University, if his vocation proceeded. The rest of the pastors declared in writing, that the answers of Vorstius were so far from having moved them from their former opinion, that by them they were the more confirmed in that opinion: and that his vocation could not be forwarded, except by the extreme detriment of the churches and of the University, and the manifest danger of still greater disturbance; to which, that they might not rashly expose the churches by this vocation, they submissively adjured (or obtested) the Illustrious the States, that, dismissing Vorstius, they might return to the conference concerning the five articles of the Remonstrants: and when this, having been continued during some days, was at length brought to a conclusion, the Illustrious the States commanded the collocutors on each side, that those things which had been spoken, vira voce, and whatever they might judge necessary to a more full answer, being on each side comprised in writing, should by Utenbogardus and Festus be exhibited to the Illustrious the States. And in the mean time, that the pastors might not glory among themselves, concerning the victory, which they had gained one over the other, but that they might teach moderately with edification concerning the controverted articles, and live among themselves in peace and charity; they determined that these articles should be left in the same state, in which they had been before the conference.

In the cause of Vorstius nothing was at that time decided:

but when a little time afterwards, the most ample the magistrates of the city of Dort, by their delegates, most ample men, D. Hugo Musius, ab Holii the Prætor (or Mayor), James Wittius, Adrian Repelarius, John Berkius, the Syndich, requested the Illustrious the States, seeing rumours concerning the errors and heresies of Vorstius, became daily more and more frequent, that his vocation might be broken off, or at least deferred; the Illustrious the States commanded the curators of the University, to proceed no further in his vocation. And when the report of his vocation had come to James the First himself, the most Screne and powerful king of Great Britain, the Defender of the Faith, who out of his admirable skill in theological matters, especially in a king, and for his singular zeal towards the reformed religion, when he had himself carefully read the tract of Vorstius concerning God, and had noted the principal errors with his own hand, judged that the Illustrious the High Mightinesses the States General, his neighbours and allies, were to be admonished, as well by letters, (the catalogue of his errors being also transmitted,) as by his own ambassador, an Illustrious person, D. Rodolphus Winwood, not to admit a man infamous by so many and so great errors and blasphemies, to the publick office of teaching in the University; but rather to banish him from their borders: lest if the youth should be inbued by him with these wicked and execrable errors, the state should by little and little go to decay; seeing that, by the purity of the reformed doctrine, in which the Belgick churches had hitherto cultivated an amicable agreement with the English, and in the preservation of it, the safety of the republick itself was concerned.\* When this was delayed, the Re-

<sup>\*</sup> This at least shews the general judgment of theologians concerning Vorstius, whom the Remonstrants so zealously supported; and

monstrants earnestly striving against it, and especially Vorstius, by various explanations, apologies, prologues (prodromis), and answers, as well modest, as more fully excusing and strengthening (incrustante) his own errors; yet his most Serene Royal Majesty did not desist to urge his dismission, sometimes repeating his admonitions, and even adding a serious protestation.

While these things were doing, certain students of sacred theology who likewise had come forth from the instruction and the house of Vorstius, in the University of Franckar, which they had now been sedulously employed in infecting with Socinian errors, published in print a certain little book of Faustus Socinus, concerning the duty of a Christian man; in which persuasions are given, that all who would consult the salvation of their own souls, having deserted the dogmas and assemblies of the Reformed churches, should embrace the opinion of the Phothinians and the Ebonites; adding a preface, in which they diligently commend this book unto the churches.† The Illustrious the States of Friezland, having been assured of this, and having at the same time

even still more strongly, on the supposition that James and his select divines, were not at that time favourable to Calvinism.

<sup>\*</sup> This shews what the generally received doctrine of the church of England was then supposed to be; viz. for substance the same as that of the Belgick church. The eulogium on James I. reminds us of the words of Cowper, 'Grant me discernment, I allow it you:' yet the English divines have spoken still more decidedly on the subject. (Preface to Translation of the Bible.) It may be supposed, that the Belgick divines, who adhered to the Synod of Dort, would retract or qualify this eulogium, when they learned the change which soon after took place in England under the patronage of the same James.

<sup>†.</sup> Photinus's opinions concerning the Deity, were equally repugnant to the orthodox and Arian systems.'—See Mosheim, vol. i. p. 425, 426. Though the Ebonites believed the celestial mission of Christ and his participation of a divine nature, yet they regarded him as a man born of Joseph and Mary, according to the ordinary course of nature.'— Ibid. vol. i. p. 214, 215.

procured certain familiar letters of these students, in which they declared, by what arts the common cause of Socinianism. (which they not obscurely intimated was also carried on by Vorstius and by Utenbogardus and others in Holland), might be occultly and safely propagated; having taken care that the most of these copies of this book should be destroyed by the avenging flames, and having expelled the students from their confines; they, at first indeed by letters admonished the magistrates of the principal cities of Holland; and then by the most noble person Kempson a Donia, the Illustrious lords the States themselves; and they requested, inasmuch as the orthodox consent in the reformed doctrine was the principal bond and foundation of union, among the confederated provinces, that they would not admit, by the vocation of one man, thus suspected of manifest heresies, this agreement to be enfeebled; nor suffer themselves to be led about by artifices and frauds of this kind, by which it was evident, that these men secretly attempted this. But the pastors of Leoward having made publick the above mentioned letters of the students, with necessary annotations, solemnly warned all the churches, to take heed to themselves against artifices of this kind, and especially the deceitful machinations of the hereticks, and in the first place of Vorstius. The Illustrious dukedom of Gueldria and county of Lutphan also warned the Illustrious the States of Holland, concerning the same thing, who answered, that nothing would be more their hearty desire and care, than that they might retain, in the common business of religion, this consent with the rest of the federated provinces inviolate. Concerning which their constant purpose, they peculiarly requested, that their federated neighbours would be assured. In the mean time, that they themselves would have regard to this admonition. And they command Vorstius, to remove his place of abode from the city of Leyden to Gouda, and there to vindicate himself from the errors objected to him by publick writings, as much as he could.

Then the same, the lords the States, decreed, that they who held the conference at the Hague should on each side exhibit in writing the state of the controversy concerning the five articles of the Remonstrants; and should at the same time add their counsels, by what method they thought that these controversies might be most advantageously composed to the peace of the church and the good of the republick. The Remonstrants judged, that no more certain method of concord could be entered on, than a mutual toleration, by which each party might be permitted, freely to teach and contend for his own opinion concerning these articles. The other pastors declared that they could not show a more advantageous way, than that as soon as possible, and on the first opportunity, a national Synod should be called together by the authority of the Illustrious the High Mightinesses the States General; in which, these and all other controversies having been clearly explained and examined, it might be determined which opinion agreed with the word of God, and the common judgment of the reformed churches, and on that account ought to be publickly taught; lest, by the agitating of discordant opinions, truth should be injured, or the peace of the churches disturbed.

Such a toleration amounted to an entire abolition of the Belgick Confession and Catechism, without any previous interference of those Synods, Classes, and Presbyteries, which were essential to their form of church-government. As if, under the name of toleration here in England, the whole establishment of the church, without any reference to the authority which established it, should be disannulled by one royal or senatorial mandate; and all preferments in the church and Universities thrown open to men of every creed and character. James the Second attempted a little in this way in order to bring in popery, but the dissenters in general opposed this his dispensing power; and few if any of modern dissenters, who make the highest claims of something above toleration, mean such a complete abolition of the present state of things, by the same despotick authority as this implied.



On these counsels the opinions of the Illustrious the States were various; some approving the counsel of the Remonstrants, and others that of the rest of the pastors, which was the cause, that nothing was determined in this matter, by which an end might be put to these controversies.

Dec. 3, 1611.] But when the Illustrious the States had understood that, besides these five articles, concerning many other things controversies of no small importance were moved; in order that they might meet the innovations maturely, they appointed, that the doctrine of the holy Gospel of our Lord Jesus Christ, should be most purely set forth, as well in the churches as in the publick schools of these regions; and to this end, in the churches and in the publick schools of Holland and West Friezland; that, concerning the perfect satisfaction of our Saviour Jesus Christ for our sins, concerning the justification of man before God, concerning saving faith and original sin, and the certitude of salvation, and the perfection of man in this life, nothing should be taught otherwise, than as it is every where delivered in the reformed churches, and hath been hitherto delivered in these provinces. In the mean while, every where in the churches, discords, scandals, disturbances and confusions increased in a deplorable For the Remonstrants laboured assiduously with all their powers, that the pastors who especially resisted their attempts, (the magistrates having been excited against them by false accusations,) should not only be cast out of their ministerial stations, but out of the cities themselves; and that on all the churches which were deprived of pastors, even when reluctant and struggling against it, those should be obtruded, who were addicted to their own opinions; all others being excluded wherever they were able, though excellently furnished with learning, piety, and necessary endowments, and lawfully sought out and called by the church. And this was the cause, that the orthodox churches could not consider, as their lawful pastors, pastors of this kind; who had either oppressed and cast out their innocent colleagues, contrary to all law and justice, or who had been obtruded on them against their will, and who had reviled the doctrine of the reformed churches, in the most virulent sermons, daily and in a horrid manner; that they could not hear their sermons, or partake of the Lord's supper along with the same; but that they chose rather to go to the sermons of orthodox pastors in the adjacent places; though they were exposed to many reproaches, disgraces, and injuries on that account. And these were the beginnings and occasions of the separations from the Remonstrants.

The church at Alcmar was the first among all, which was compelled to institute a separation of this kind. For Adolphus Venator the pastor of that church, having been suspended from the office of teaching, as well for his too impure life, as for his most impure doctrine, by the churches of North Holland, despising the censures of the churches, nevertheless persisted in the office of teaching. And now that the magistracy having been changed, as it was used to be done every year, such persons had been lawfully chosen as seemed least to favour his party, and on whose patronage he could no longer depend; having excited the people against the lawful magistracy, he effected that they (the common people) having seized arms by sedition, would not be appeased, before the lawful magistracy, having abdicated themselves,

The toleration which these men pleaded for, was precisely like that which papists demand as emancipation; that is, power and full liberty to draw over others to their party by every artful means; till they become strong enough to refuse toleration to all other men.

<sup>†</sup> Here was a schism begun, as several others have been: but did all the blame lie on those who separated from the rest? On the other hand, Would such a toleration as is here described, meet the wishes and claims of the advocates for toleration, who in this transaction, as in many others, are imposed upon by a favourite term, however misapplied?

certain others were substituted to the same, men estranged from the reformed religion, and addicted to the party of Venator. These men, as soon as they had been established in the government of the city, at Venator's instigation, at first commanded the elders and deacons to go out of their office: and then they also deprived of their ministerial stations two pastors, because they had opposed themselves against the errors of Venator; of whom the one, Peter Cornelii, for almost fifty years had presided over that church with the greatest edification, the other Cornelius Hillenius, a man of the most upright faith and life, and a very earnest (accerrimum) defender of the orthodox doctrine, they most unworthily cast forth as driven out of the city. This separation (at Alcmar) the church at Rotterdam was compelled to imitate: for Nicolas Grevinchovius, when he saw his colleague Cornelius Gezelius most acceptable to the church at Rotterdam, on account of his singular piety, modesty, and sincerity, and that by his endeavours, he vehemently resisted the introduction of the doctrine of the Remonstrants: procured, that by the magistracy of that place, he should first be deprived of his ministry and then driven out of the city by the publick beadles (lictores).\* The pastors also of the Class of Rotterdam, attached to the purity of doctrine, declined holding the meetings of the Class with this Grevinchovius, and others who had been drawn over by him to the opinion of the Remonstrants, when the magistracy of Rotterdam by authority had obtruded Simon Episcopius, to whom the church of Amsterdam in which he had lived, had refused to give a testimonial of doctrine and life, on the unwilling church of Bleyswick, contrary to the preferable

<sup>\*</sup> The names both of the persecuted and persecuting pastors are given in this history; but the names of the magistrates who concurred in the persecution are withheld, in honour as it may seem of the magistracy. This greatly accords to the narrative in the Acts of the Apostles.

(potiora) suffrages of the pastors. Many churches also in the villages, on which either Remonstrants had been obtruded against their will, or whose pastors had revolted to the Remonstrants, because they could not hear without the greatest offence, and sorrow, and perturbation of mind, those horrid railings against the orthodox doctrine, which were daily heard in their sermons, having left their temples they either went to the sermons of the neighbouring orthodox pastors; or where these could not be had at their own villages they were instructed by other pastors, or by orthodox candidates for the ministry, in separated assemblies; which when the Remonstrants had in vain attempted to hinder by the edicts of their magistrates, they excited no small persecution against these churches.\*

In the mean time, the lords the curators of the University of Leyden, by the counsel of the Remonstrants called M. Simon Episcopius to the professorship of theology, that very renowned man Dr. John Polyander, who had been called to the same professorship in the place of F. Gomarus, being unwilling and struggling against it. This augmented not a little the grief and anxiety of the churches; when from this it appeared, that it was determined by them (the curators) to cherish contentions in that University, and to establish the doctrine of the Remonstrants. But as these evils now could scarcely any longer be contained within the limits of the churches of Holland; this contagion at length pervaded, in the first place, the neighbouring churches of Gueldria, the province of Utrecht and Transisulania. In the diocese of Utrecht, by the negligence of the pastors, the ecclesiastical order seemed fallen down. And under the

\* This was their teleration! Certainly, according to this history, the persecution began on the part of the Remonstrants; nor does the contrary appear that I can learn from other histories. The Contra-Remonstrants appealed to existing laws and to legal Synods; the Remonstrants used the illegal aid of penal edicts and secular magistrates.

pretext of restoring it, Utenbogardus introduced into that church some Remonstrant pastors, and among them, one James Taurinus, a fierce and turbulent man. These (pastors) from that time gave diligence, not only in this city, but in the whole province, by ejecting every where the orthodox pastors, and substituting Remonstrants in their places; that the doctrine of the Remonstrants alone should publickly prevail. But in order to establish their cause in the same province, they devised a new formular of ecclesiastical government, which at first had been approved by the Synod, in which Utenbogardus the pastor of the Hague presided, and then through the endeavour of the same person, by the Illustrious the States of that province likewise. In the fourth and fifth article of the second chapter, the toleration of the opinion of the Remonstrants, which in Holland they so greatly urged, was established; where also the doctrine of the reformed churches is obliquely and odiously traduced. Finally very many new things in the government of the churches, occur every where in this formular (formula). So that from the same it might appear, that nothing other was proposed by these men, than that they might make all things new, not only in doctrine, but in the external government of the church by rites (gubernatione ritibus ecclesiæ).

And now also in Gueldria, the Remonstrants had drawn over to their party, the pastors of Neomagen, Bommelien, and Tilan; who from that time placed over the ministerial charges of the neighbouring churches, only men of their own opinion. And that they might do this with the more freedom and safety, Utenbogardus, Borrius, and Taurinus, going into Gueldria, when the comitia of the Illustrious the States were celebrated in the same place, with the other Remonstrants effected this, that in the province also, the ordinary and annual meeting of the Synods should be prevented. In Transisulania also, some pastors, especially in

the church of Campen and Daventer, by the endeavour and artifices of certain persons, had been drawn over to the opinion of the Remonstrants; who in those places thenceforth disturbed peaceable churches with new contentions.

Sept. 27, 1612.] When the Belgick churches saw that this evil, thus crept also into the other provinces, was spread abroad in them; as they judged it to be most highly necessary that it should be met as soon as possible, neither that the remedy should be any longer deferred, having communicated counsels one with another, they sent away two delegates from each of the provinces, to the Illustrious the High Mightinesses the States General: namely, from Gueldria, John Fontanus and William Baudartius; from Holland, Libertus Fraxinus and Festus Hommius; from Zeland, Herman Frankelius and William Telingius; (those of Utrecht refused to send their's;) from Friezland, Gellius Acronius and Godofrid Sopingius; from Transisulania, John Gosmannus and John Langius; finally, from the state of Groningen and Omlandia, Cornelius Hillenius and Wolfgang Agricola, who, together with the deputies of the church of Amsterdam, which was Synodal, Peter Plancius, and John Hallius, having set forth copiously the difficulties and dangers of the churches, as well in the name of the churches themselves, as also most of them in the name of the Illustrious the States of their own provinces, (whose letters also they set before them,) most strenuously requested and adjured the Illustrious their High Mightinesses the States General, that, pitying the most afflicted state of the churches, they would at length seriously think concerning a remedy of these evils; and for that purpose, at the earliest time call together a national Synod, (which had been) first promised many years before. Though most persons among the States General judged, that the convocation (of a Synod) was not to be deferred any longer, and even themselves

urged it: yet because the delegates of the province of Utrecht were absent, and those of Holland and West Friezland said, that they had not been furnished with mandates sufficiently clear as to that business, by those who delegated them; the matter was put off, until the delegates of all the provinces had agreed to it by their common suffrages, which was thenceforth hindered from being done, by the endeavour of the Remonstrants in Holland and Utrecht.

In the mean time, the Remonstrants did not desist from strenuously promoting their own cause, (or cease) to court (aucupari) the favour of the great men, to occupy the minds of the magistrates, to render suspected to the politicians and impede all Synodical meetings, to seize on the vacant churches, to propagate their own opinion by sermons and publick writings, to rail at the orthodox doctrine with horrid calumnies, to draw over the people to their party, and to alienate them more and more from the doctrine of the Reformed churches. For this purpose they earnestly scattered pamphlets (libellos) in great number, among the common people, written in the vulgar tongue, under the titles of 'The bells of a conflagration,' (campanes incendiariæ) 'A 'more compressed declaration,' 'A more direct way,' and others; in which they not only fought in defence of their own doctrine, but both excused Vorstius, and most atrociously, with a canine eloquence, canvassed the received doctrine of the Belgick churches, by most impudent calumnies, and most absurd consequences deduced wickedly and unjustly against the same. Hence bitter disputes and altercations were excited among the people, which sounded throughout all places: and the minds also of those who were most nearly related, (or connected, conjunctissimorum) having been embittered among themselves; (with the great wound of charity, and the disturbance of the churches and of the publick peace; and with the immense grief and offence of the pious,) were torn asunder in the most miserable manner. And, as in most of the cities, they had the magistracy more favourable to them, and could do every thing, through J. Utenbogardus, with the advocate of Holland, they insolently exulted over the churches, and their fellow ministers.

In the mean while, all pious men, and lovers of their country and of religion, bewailed and wept over this most wretched calamity of the churches: and when they could not in their mind perceive, whither at length these tumults were about to grow, unless a remedy should be maturely applied; because this had not hitherto been practicable by publick authority; they began seriously to think, whether by some other way this evil might at least be stopped, if it could not be taken away. In the first place the most Illustrious the count of Nassau, William Lewis the Governor of Friezland, according to his extraordinary affection toward the churches and the republick, privately admonished as well Utenbogardus on the one side, as Festus Hommius on the other; that, seeing the state of the republick itself grievously assaulted by these ecclesiastical contentions, they should look well to it, in a friendly and brotherly manner between themselves, to see whether some honourable way might not be found out, of composing this most deplorable dissention. and of coming to an agreement. Festus declared, that if the Remonstrants differed from the rest of the pastors, in no other articles, than in those five concerning predestination. and the heads annexed to it, he thought that a way might be found out, in which some peace might be established between the parties, until the whole controversy should be settled by a national Synod. But because there were weighty reasons, on account of which the churches believed, that most of the Remonstrants dissented from the doctrine of the Belgick churches in more articles, and those of greater importance: neither could it be done, (fieri) that under the pretext of these five articles, they should permit or suffer the most grievous errors to be brought into the same (churches;) there did not seem any hope of entering into agreement with the Remonstrants, unless they would sincerely (or unreservedly, sincerc) declare, that except these five articles, they thought with the reformed Belgick churches in all the heads of doctrine.\* Utenbogardus being interrogated as to these things, answered, that as far as he himself was concerned, he had nothing, beyond these five articles, in which he dissented; and that he would be always ready to declare sincerely his own opinion; nor did he doubt, but that the most of the Remonstrants would do the same; and that he did not wish for any thing more than that, for this cause, a conference might be instituted among some pastors of a more moderate disposition. And when he had repeated the same declaration privately to Festus at Leyda, it was agreed between them, that each of them should procure among his own friends, three pastors to be deputed on each side, who might in a friendly manner confer together, and seriously consider among themselves concerning a convenient way of peace, which afterwards might be communicated to the churches, and approved by them.

Feb. 27, A. D. 1513.] When the Illustrious the States of Holland understood that these counsels were privately agitated, they approved this their earnest endeavour, and com-

\* As predestination, and the doctrines immediately and evidently connected with it, are more readily rendered odious in the view of mankind in general, than the other peculiar doctrines of Christianity: at that time, as well as at present, it was the policy of those whose real and declared views were opposed to others of these doctrines; to hold out to the publick, and to rulers especially, that the whole dispute, or difference, was about election and reprobation: while in refuting these articles they take in a much wider compass. But an obnoxious word will do a great deal of execution, on those who have not time or heart to examine the matter deeply.

manded in the publick name, that this conference should be beld as soon as it could be done. Soon after, there met together, for this cause, in the city of Delph, on the part of the Remonstrants, John Utenbogardus, Adrian Borrius, and Nicolas Grevinchovius; on the part of the rest of the pastors, John Beccius, John Bogardus, and Festus Hommius. After that the Illustrious the States had, by their delegates, exhorted them seriously, that laying aside all resentments and evil affections, they would bend the whole energy of their capacity, that some way of peace among themselves might be found; and had declared that this would be at the same time acceptable to God, and to the churches and all pious men, and in the first place to themselves the Illustrious the States; and when each of these pastors had testified that they came together with a mind most earnestly desirous of peace, and that they would bring thither all things which could proceed from them, in order to conciliate peace, an amicable conference was held by them. In this the Remonstrants declared, that they were not able to shew any other way of peace, except a mutual toleration, as they called it: namely, that it should be freely permitted to each party, to teach publickly his own opinion, concerning those five articles; and they asked of the rest of the pastors, to declare whether they thought their opinion, expressed in these five articles, to be tolerable or not. If they thought that it was not tolerable, (or to be tolerated,) it was not necessary, that any further deliberation should be had, concerning the way of peace; as truly in their judgment no method then would remain of entering into peace. The rest of the pastors answered, that this appeared to them the safest and most advantageous way of peace; that seeing, they were, each of them, pastors of the reformed Belgick churches, and were desirous of being considered as such, each party should submit its own cause to the lawful decision of the Belgick

churches; and that it should for that end and purpose, seriously and sincerely labour, that a national Synod of the reformed churches should be called together, as speedily as might be, even, if it could be done, in the next summer, by the authority of the Illustrious and High Mightinesses the States General; in which the whole cause having been lawfully examined and discussed, it might either be determined which doctrine, as agreeable to the word of God, ought thenceforth to be taught in the churches; or that the plan of a toleration might be entered into, by the suffrages of all the churches, of that kind which might appear proper to be instituted from the word of God. That they were ready to subject themselves to the judgment of the Synod; if the Remonstrants were willing to do the same, thus peace might be accomplished: but that a toleration, such as they had hitherto used, and such as they seemed to request, being circumscribed by no laws, could not promote the peace of the churches; but if they would suffer it to be circumscribed with fair (or honourable) conditions, they were ready to confer with them concerning the same (conditions); provided they would assure the churches, by a sincere and open declaration, that they thought differently from these reformed churches, in no other heads of doctrine except these five articles.\* But since the Illustrious the States, two years before, [Dec. 3, 1611.] had by name expressed six heads of doctrine, concerning which they forbad to be taught, otherwise than it had been hitherto delivered to the Belgick churches: namely, concerning the perfect satisfaction of our

<sup>\* &#</sup>x27;The demands of the Arminians were moderate; they required no more than a bare toleration of their religious sentiments; and some of the first men in the republic, such as Olden Barneveldt, Grotius, Hoogerberts, and several others, looked upon this demand as just and reasonable.' Mosheim, vol. v. p. 442. 'This toleration was offered to them in the conference holden at the Hague in 1611, provided they would renounce the errors of Socinianism.' Note by Maclaine.

Lord Jesus Christ for our sins, the justification of man before God, saving faith, original sin, the assurance (or certitude) of salvation, and concerning the perfection of man in this life; they in the first place demanded, that they would declare concerning these articles, that they embraced the opinion expressed in the Confession and Catechism of these churches, which they the other pastors had comprised from the same in certain written theses; and that they rejected the contrary opinion proposed in certain anti-theses, from the writings of Arminius, Bertius, Vorstius, Venator, The Remonstrants replied (regesserunt) to this, and others. that they could not see in what manner these controversies could be quieted (sopiri) by a national Synod; and truly in the present state of things, that they neither approved nor demanded its convocation: that this cause could not be helped by synodal decisions; nor did they think that Holland, in the concern of religion, would ever submit itself to the decisions of the other provinces. As to the declaration which was demanded, they would communicate with the other Remonstrants concerning the same: and when, on each side, they had comprised briefly in writing their own opinion, they departed, the business being left unfinished.\* Afterwards the Illustrious the States called Utenbogardus and Festus to them, that they might know from them what had been done in this conference at Delph, and what hopes shone forth of concord being entered on. Festus sincerely

<sup>\*</sup> The event was what might previously have been expected; indeed nothing else could come of such a conference, between parties whose sentiments were so entirely discordant (2 Cor. vi. 16—18) The toleration demanded by the Remonstrants was in direct opposition to the existing laws, grounded on private or partial authority at best; like King James's claim of the dispensing power over acts of parliament in matters of religion, and indeed it amounted to a private repeal of those laws. The others were willing to consent to a legal and limited toleration. It is also evident, that their firm decision and opposition was not mainly about predestination and reprobation.

and without disguise (nudeque) related what had been done, and declared, that hope of peace shone forth, only provided the Remonstrants would openly declare their opinion on the articles delivered to them. Utenbogardus, by courtly craftiness, had procured that he should be heard alone, Festus being absent; that he might the more freely propose the things which he thought would serve his own purpose. And when he had odiously traduced the proceedings of the rest of the pastors, as the persons who, by the demand of a declaration, (which yet before the conference he himself had promised,) endeavoured to bring a new inquisition into the churches, and one by no means to be endured, obtained, that the same persons should be forbidden any more to demand this declaration from the Remonstrants: and moreover, that it should at the same time be enjoined on them, to explain more at large in writing, their counsel on the best way of peace, and concerning the conditions, by which they thought that a toleration should be circumscribed. When this had been done by them, and it had also been shewn, that the proposed theses concerning which a declaration had been demanded, were extant, in so many words, in the Confession and Catechism of the Belgick churches; and the antitheses themselves had been delivered in publick writings, by many persons, with whom the Remonstrants had much communication, in these regions: when this their writing had been publickly read, they (the Remonstrants) by their advocate effected that it should be severely forbidden, to be communicated to any of the human race, either in printing, or as

<sup>•</sup> Mosheim and many (indeed most) other writers on the subject, represent the Contra-Remonstrants, as aiming to impose the creed of Geneva, or of Calvin, on the Remonstrants in Belgium. Let the impartial render judge whether this was the real case. There might be, and indeed was some coincidence between this and the Confession and Catechism of the Belgick churches, but the latter exclusively are mentioned in the whole contest.



written by the hand of any one. And because they saw, that the deputies of the churches, or of the Synods, to whom the common cause of these concerns used to be committed, greatly withstood them, (as the nature of their office demanded,) they caused also, that, as before all the annual Synods had been hindered, so that it should likewise be forbidden to the same persons, henceforth to use the name, or perform the office, of a deputy of the churches or of a Synod. That, by this means, all care respecting the safety and peace of the churches being taken away, they (the Remonstrants) might so much the more freely make progress among them.\*

By this method of acting, the Remonstrants rendered themselves more and more suspected by the churches; while all the more prudent men judged that, unless they dissented in these articles (the six stated above, p. 70, 71.) from the doctrine of the churches, they would have had no reason, why they should covertly flee from this declaration; especially when they might have (thus) premoted (consuli posset) the peace of the churches and their own credit. But that they might the more easily obtain that toleration by publick authority which they always pressed; by the benefit of which they indeed hoped to be able by little and little, to introduce their own doctrine in the churches, they employed this artifice; they

These decrees were made by the States of Holland alone, or nearly; and they directly tended to disannul the code of laws of the federated provinces, promulged by the States General of these provinces, and thus to dissolve their political, as well as religious union. Now what motives could the Remonstrants or their patrons have, in such circumstances, for so carefully concealing the statements and avowed sentiments of the other pastors? Impartial love of the truth could not possibly suggest such precautions and injunctions. They cannot but call to our recollection, the conduct of the Jewish priests and rulers respecting the apostles of Christ: "But that it spread no further among the people, "let us straitly threaten them, that they speak to no man in this name." (Acts iv. 16, 17.)

sent over into England, by Hugo Grotius, a certain writing, in which the true state of the controversy was dissembled, a copy of a letter being also annexed; and they requested, that he would petition from the most Serene James, King of Great Britain, seeing this cause could not be settled by any other method than by a toleration, that his most Serene royal Majesty would deign to give letters according to the form of the annexed copy, to the Illustrious the High Mightinesses the States General; which he, (Grotius) having seized on an opportunity, surreptitiously obtained, and transmitted them to the Illustrious the States General.

On this occasion, the Remonstrants exulted after a wonderful manner, and, hoping that they might now become possessed of their wish, they laboured by their advocate, that a certain formular of a toleration, (the same indeed which is contained in the fourth and fifth articles of the second chapter of the ecclesiastical government of Utrecht,) should be confirmed by the authority of the Illustrious the States, and commanded to the churches. Though the minds of many, in the convention of the States were inclined to this, yet the more prudent strenuously opposed it; thinking it to be unjust, to command (authoritatively) on the churches, a toleration, as to articles of faith, which had never been duly examined in a lawful ecclesiastical convention, and which drew with them a manifest change in doctrine; neither could the peace of the churches be obtained by this, when it was to be feared, if it were permitted, that opinions so discordant, should be proposed from the same pulpit to the same congregations, that the churches should be more

<sup>\*</sup> It should be noted, that this narrative was published several years before the death of James; who therefore, it must be presumed, was willing to have it thought that these letters were surreptitiously obtained by Grotius: and indeed he seems to have been enveigted into a measure, by no means consistent with the part which he afterward sustained in the controversy.

and more disturbed, as experience had hitherto taught.\* Yet the Remonstrants went on to press this their toleration by every means, and to commend it privately and publickly in their writings and sermons; especially by this argument, that the articles, concerning which the controversy was maintained, they said, were of so small importance, that they did not relate to the ground or fundamental points of salvation; but in articles of this kind, toleration might and ought to be established.

July 25, 1614.] And thus they at length effected, that a decree concerning this toleration, some of the principal and powerful cities of Holland and West Friezland being unwilling and striving against it, should be published in print, confirmed with certain testimonies of scripture and of the fathers (among whom they had also brought forward Faustus Regiensiensis, the leader of the Semi-Pelagians). Against which things, when James Triglandius, a pastor of the church at Amsterdam, had answered in a publick writing, Utenbogardus also prolixly attempted a defence of this decree. In this he, by unworthy methods, traduced and

\* Let it be recollected, that all the parties were professedly, and many of them, in judgment and conscience, strict presbyterians as to church-government. The toleration, here described, is entirely different from any thing known in Britain, or indeed at present thought of. The general sentiment even of those who claim not only the fullest toleration, but something beyond toleration, as their indisputable right, is. at least, 'Separate places of worship for those of discordant opinions.' The ground of the toleration here stated likewise, is widely different from that which is at present insisted on; namely, that in matters of conscience towards God, no human authority has a right to interfere, provided nothing be avowed or done, which threatens or disturbs the peace of the community; and that human authority can make only hypocrites, not willing and conscientious conformists. This is simple, intelligible, and evidently reasonable; but to tolerate exclusively opinions, which do not relate to the fundamentals of salvation, or militate against them, must make way for intricate and endless disputes and difficulties, about what are and what are not the fundamentals of salvation; what is tolerated, and what is not tolerated.



reviled, as well the doctrine of the reformed churches, as especially the lights of the same, Calvin, Beza, Zanchius, and others. To this writing Triglandius opposed an accurate answer, in defence of the honour, both of the doctrine and the doctors of the reformed churches. And when they (the Remonstrants) saw, that the authority of this writing, to which they had given the name of a decree of the States, was not so great, as that by it they could attain to what they aimed at, they indicated that the same things must be attempted in another way; and for that purpose, a certain other formular of toleration having been devised in deceitful phrases, they, by the hands of certain persons, who secretly favoured their party and opinions, but were not considered as Remonstrants, solicited from the pastors, subscription to this formular, every where throughout Holland, both privately and in their convention.

But when even in this way the business did not go on according to the purpose of their own mind; they judged, that those persons must be compelled (cogendos) by the authority of the superiors, whom they were not able to persuade to this, and that at length sometime it must be broken through, and this business evidently accomplished. To this end they likewise obtained, that in the name of the Illustrious the States, the decree concerning mutual toleration, which had been published in the former year, should be sent to each of the Classes, and at the same time it should be enjoined on the pastors to obey the same without any contradiction. And that they might the more easily prefer those, who were attached to their party, to the ministries of the churches, others having been excluded; they effected moreover that another (decree) should be joined to it, by which it was permitted, that in the vocation of pastors and elders, it should be allowable to use that order, which in the year 1591 had been framed, but not approved; from

the prescribed rule, of which the election was appointed to be by four of the magistracy, and four others to be deputed from the presbytery. When these decrees had been transmitted to the Classes, the most of them sent away their deputies to the Illustrious the States, that they might publickly explain their difficulties or grievances, which they had as to those things, that were contained in the writing; and might deprecate the introduction of the same. When on this account they had come to the Hague, and had now learned from the delegates of the principal cities, that those decrees, though they had already been transmitted, had not as yet been confirmed by the customary (solemi) approbation of all the States; and therefore could not as yet obtain the force of a law; they judged, that they must desist from the design till they should be further pressed. But this last decree gave occasion to new contentions and disturbances in many places, especially in the church at Harlem. For when some magistrates determined, that ministers should be called, according to this new form, and (thus) called them, but the churches did not approve it; it came to pass, that they refused to acknowledge those who had been thus called as their lawful pastors, and to have any ecclesiastical communion with them. It was also effected by these decrees, that certain classes in Holland, which had hitherto preserved unity, in the government of the churches, with the Remonstrants for the sake of peace, were now torn away from them (divellerentur), because the most of the pastors could not approve these things: yet as the Remonstrants purposed that the churches should be governed according to the prescript and law of these decrees, but were not able to extort this from their fellow ministers by authority, they introduced into the conventions of the Classes certain political persons, mostly alienated from the reformed religion, and attached to their party, and brought dominion into the churches.

For the orthodox pastors, tired out by the contentions which from these causes daily arose with the Remonstrants, judged it to be better, to meet together apart without them, and to take care of their own churches in peace, than to be wearied with their perpetual contentions.

In the mean time Utenbogardus procured, that it should be enjoined on his colleagues, by the authority of the superiors, to obey these decrees also; which when his colleague Henry Rosæus said that he could not promise with a good conscience; he was suspended from his office of teaching by the authority of the same persons, and by the sinister instigation of Utenbogardus.\* Thence the members of the church at the Hague, who loved the purity (sinceritatem) of the reformed doctrine, continued the exercise of their religion; at first indeed in the neighbouring village of Risverch, but, when the pastors had obtained it by loan from the other churches at the Hague, in a separate place of worship (templo) to which afterwards some of the chief persons out of the States themselves, and the counsellors of the courts, and the other colleagues, and the most Illustrious the Prince of Orange himself, and the most Generous Count William Ludovicus, leaving the assemblies of the Remonstrants, resorted, that they might testify their consent to the orthodox doctrine, and their strong attachment to the same. The Remonstrants odiously traduced this separation under the title of schism, + and endeavoured by all methods to hinder or to punish it: labouring in the mean while, that these decrees should be authoritatively put in execution in every place, where they knew that the magistrate favoured

<sup>\*</sup> Whatever pretensions were made to toleration by the Remonstrants, it is from this most evident, that they paid no due regard to the rights of conscience, the proper ground of all toleration.

<sup>+</sup> It commenced nearly as most other schisms have done; but all the blame did not rest on those stigmatized as schismaticks, nor even the greatest measure of it.

them. On which account, when many pious men were punished by fines, prisons, and banishments, they appealed to the supreme tribunal of justice, and implored assistance against force; and when now the most ample the Senators of the supreme court attempted to succour the oppressed, they (the Remonstrants) obtained by the advocate of Holland, that an interdict should be laid on the same court, from protecting them.\*

March A. D. 1616.] But when many also and principal cities of Holland, and in the first place among them the most powerful city of Amsterdam, opposed the execution of these decrees, it was effected that Hugo Grotius with certain persons should be sent to Amsterdam, in order that by his eloquence he might persuade the most ample the Senate of that city to approve the same decrees. When he had attempted this with a prolix oration, it was answered by the most ample the Senate: That they could by no means approve that, passing by the lawful synodical conventions, it should be deliberated in a convention of the States, concerning ecclesiastical affairs, that decrees should be made, and the execution of those decrees enjoined by authority: That it was purposed by them, that the true Christian religion, the exercise of which had flourished during fifty years in these regions, should be preserved; they judged also that even the least change would be pernicious to the republick, unless it had been first maturely examined by a lawful Synod; and further, they could not assent to the different propositions and acts made from the year 1611, even to the eighteenth of March of this year 1616, nor to this last proposition; neither were they willing,

<sup>\*</sup> What must the modern advocates for toleration, and more than toleration, think of that toleration which these men pleaded for, while thus employed in persecution; and who have rendered their opponents odious even to this day, as enemies to toleration, for rejecting their illegal measures!



that under the name of the city of Amsterdam, (when it was no feeble member of that convention of the States,) any decrees should be established, much less authoritatively carried into execution, or any thing decreed against those who professed the reformed religion, unless controversies, and changes in religion, and in ecclesiastical affairs, had been first examined and discussed in lawful Synods, by the authority of the Illustrious the States. But neither were they willing, that pastors who were attached to the opinion of the reformed religion defended by the Contra-Remonstrants, should in the mean time on that account, either be suspended or removed from their ministerial offices; because they declared that they could not conscientiously cultivate ecclesiastical unity with the Remonstrants: neither that the churches which followed the same opinion, should, under the pretext of Schism, or because according to conscience, they were reluctant to attend on the sermons of the Remonstrants, be hindered in the exercise of divine worship. And all these things they determined, until by the authority of the Illustrious the States, a lawful Synod should be convened, in which these controversies might be duly examined and discussed. Thus the labour and endeavour of the Remonstrants, and of those who favoured them, were in vain; especially because the magistrates of the most ample city of Dort, of Enchuse, of Edamen, and of Purmerend, publickly approved this determination of the Senate of Amsterdam.\*

About this time, the pastors of Camp in Transisulania, having embraced the opinion of the Remonstrants, by the assistance of the magistracy, cast out of the ministry their most

<sup>\*</sup> As no intimation is here given of molesting the Remonstrants, either pastors or churches, but merely of preventing the Contra-Remonstrants from being molested, till a Synod were held; this decision of the Senate of Amsterdam, contains more of the spirit of toleration than any thing which we have yet met with.

learned colleague, and most tenacious of sound doctrine William Stephanus, because he opposed their attempts; and by pamphlets published, and by publick sermons full of calumnies, they endeavoured to bring the reformed religion into the hatred of the common people.

March, A. D. 1617.] When, on account of these innovations in doctrine, and the disturbances of the churches, and of the state which followed, they saw that they were rendered more and more odious; they presented a second Remonstrance to the Illustrious the States, in which, with incredible impudence, they endeavour to remove from themselves the crime of innovation, and to fasten the same on those pastors, who most constantly remained in the received doctrine of these churches. And the rest of the pastors presented likewise to the Illustrious the States a copious and solid answer to it. But, whereas these long continued controversies had already brought not into the churches only, but the republick likewise, so great a mass of difficulties, perturbations, and confusions, that all who loved the safety of the federated provinces, or of the reformed churches which are in them, or who favoured the same, understood, that the remedy of these evils could no longer be deferred without the manifest danger of the state and of the churches; and yet the Illustrious the States had not been able hitherto to agree as to the kind of remedy: James I. the most powerful and Serene king of Great Britain, out of his singular and sincere affection towards these regions and churches, thought, that the Illustrious and most powerful the States

<sup>\*</sup> Either this whole narrative is false throughout, or this attempt was made with consummate effrontery: not indeed incredible, because other innovators, both ancient and modern, have endeavoured, and with success, to fasten the charge of innovation on those, who most steadily abode by the doctrine of articles, &c, subscribed by all parties. But nothing is incredible, of which several undeniable instances may be adduced.

General should be admonished by letters, no longer to suffer this gangrene to feed upon the body of the republick: but that they should, as soon as possible, proceed to meet these unhappy contentions, divisions, schisms, and factions, which threatened manifest danger to the state. And at the same time he obtested them, that they would restore to its original purity, all errors having been extirpated, the true and ancient reformed doctrine, which they had always professed, which had been confirmed by the common consent of all the reformed churches, and which had been always the foundation and bond of that most strict friendship and conjunction, which had so long flourished between his kingdoms and these provinces; and which he judged, might be done, of all means the most advantageously, by a national Synod, to be called together by their authority. For indeed this was the ordinary, legitimate, and most efficacious remedy, which had been had recourse to in every age, in evils of this kind among Christians. But moreover the most Illustrious Maurice, prince of Orange, the governor of federated Belgium, as often before this, so now did not desist daily, in a most solemn and weighty manner, to obtest, as well the Illustrious and most powerful the States General, and also the Illustrious the States of Holland and West Friezland, that in proportion as the safety of the republick and the churches was dear to them, so they would give diligent endeavours, that a remedy as soon as possible might be applied to these most grievous evils. For this purpose he also commanded, and pressed upon them, the convocation of a national Synod, as the most ordinary and the safest remedy.

The Illustrious the States of Zeland also, by the most noble and ample men, D. Malderæus, Brouwerus, Potterus, and Bonifacius Junius, solemnly warned and intreated the Illustrious the orders of Holland and West Friezland, in their convention, that, seeing the contentions and dissentions

grew more and more grievous every day, with the greatest danger of the republick; and many remedies had hitherto been tried in vain; that they would agree to the convoking of a national Synod, as the ordinary remedy, proposed by the Holy Spirit for evils of this kind, and always had recourse to by Christians.\* Then likewise the Illustrious the States of Gueldria, Friezland, Groningen, and Omlandia, requested the like thing by their deputies of the same the Illustrious the States (General).

But when the Remonstrants saw, that the convoking of a national Synod was recommended with so great earnestness by kings and princes, and the neighbouring and federated republicks, yea, and also by the principal cities of Holland and West Friezland; and when they feared lest the States of Holland and West Friezland, of whom many of their own accord inclined to it, and promoted this business diligently, should at length be moved to this consent; and so, that at some time, an account must be rendered of their doctrine and actions before the ecclesiastical tribunals; in order to avoid this, they at first proposed a new way of settling the controversies: namely, that a few persons, both

<sup>\*</sup> It has, I believe, been generally supposed, that the Synod of Dort was convened by a faction or party, and for party ends and purposes; but it seems undeniable, that it became the general and almost universal opinion of the different States in the confederated provinces, that such a national Synod, as the Contra-Remonstrants always had urgently requested, was become absolutely and indispensably needful; and that the Remonstrants and their party could no longer resist this genorally prevailing sentiment. Indeed nothing can be more clear, than that all parties, except the zealous Remonstrants, regarded a national Synod as the proper and only effectual way of terminating the controversial disturbances; and not only sanctioned by the example of Christians in every age, but enjoined by God himself. How far they were warranted in this sentiment, constitutes a distinct question. The Synod of Dort however should not be judged by our modern opinions, but by the general opinion of that age. The reasons why the Remonstrants dissented from that opinion are very evident.

political and ecclesiastical, of a certain and equal number. should be chosen by the Illustrious the States of Holland and West Friezland, who, having communicated counsels with each other, might devise some method of peace and concord, which, having been approved by the Illustrious the States, might then be prescribed to the churches. But when this did not succeed, (because, the more prudent easily foresaw, from whom, and of what kind of persons. this convention would be instituted, and what was to be expected from it; and besides, that it was unprecedented in the churches, and very little suited for taking away ecclesiastical controversies in things pertaining to doctrine,) they thought that the most extreme measures must be tried, rather than be reduced to this necessity; and accordingly recourse was had to the most desperate counsels. For some of the chief persons (or nobles, proceribus) were persuaded by them, that the calling of a national Synod, which was then pleaded for, was adverse to the majesty and liberty of the provinces: for that each province possessed the supreme right of determining about religion, as it should seem good to it: that it was an unworthy thing to subject this their liberty to the judgment of other provinces; (and) that this right of majesty was to be defended by all means, even by arms. By these and similar arguments, the minds of the more imprudent were so stirred up, that the rulers of some cities, having made a conspiracy, decreed to levy soldiers, who should be bound by oath, neither to the most powerful the States General, nor to the Illustrious the Prince of Orange, the Commander in chief of the army, but to themselves alone, for the defence of the cause of the Remonstrants, and of their own authority; which for the sake of the same (cause) they had exposed to danger. This was done at Utrecht, in which city the most powerful the States General had a garrison sufficiently strong against tumults and seditions; at Harlem, Leyda, Rotterdam, as also Gouda, Schookhove, Horn, and other places; the Remonstrants instigating the magistrates of the cities to this, as may be clearly proved by divers of their letters, which afterwards came into (the) hands (of the States.) And thus the dissentions of the Remonstrants would have brought these flourishing provinces into the danger of a civil war, if this madness had not been early repressed, by the singular prudence of the most powerful the States General, and by the vigilance and fortitude of mind, never to be sufficiently celebrated (depredicanda) of the Illustrious the Prince of Orange.\*

The most powerful the States General, when they saw, that by this method, the provinces were brought into extreme danger, judged, that the calling of a national Synod must no longer be delayed, but be hastened at the earliest opportunity; especially when that most Illustrious man, Dudley Carleton, the ambassador of the most Serene King of Great Britain, by a very weighty and prudent speech, had earnestly stirred up their Illustrious Highnesses to the same. This oration the Remonstrants afterwards were not afraid publickly to revile, in a most impudent and most calumniating pamphlet, to which they gave the title of Bilancis; sparing with a slanderous tongue no order of men, not the most powerful the States, not the Prince of Orange, yea, not even the most Serene the King of Great Britain. This pamphlet, the most powerful the States General condemned by a publick

<sup>\*</sup> How far the subsequent proceedings against the Remonstrants, are to be considered simply as religious persecution, may well be questioned, when such seditious, if not treasonable practices, were proved against them from their own letters. It seems evident from this history, that recourse to arms, in the first instance at least, was had by the party of the Remonstrants, and in opposition to existing laws. This is not generally understood. The rights of conscience, and the toleration arising from the recognition of it, seem to have been equally unthought of by both parties.

edict as scandalous and seditious; having offered a most ample reward, if any one could point out the author. Afterwards Jo. Casimirus Junius, the son of the most celebrated Francis Junius, not unlike his father, (haud degener,) copiously refuted the same. Therefore the Illustrious and most powerful the States, decreed the convoking of a national Synod, at length in the name of the Lord, to be held on the first day of May in the following year; and at the same time, they enacted some laws, according to which they willed as well that the convocation should be instituted, as the Synod itself held. But because the Remonstrants did not appear greatly to regard the judgment of the Belgick churches, and had always endeavoured to persuade the people, that they did not dissent from the opinion of the reformed churches; it seemed good also, to their Illustrious Mightinesses, to invite, from all the reformed churches of the neighbouring kingdoms, principalities, and republicks, some theologians, distinguished for piety, learning, and prudence, that they might support by their judgments and counsels the deputies of the Belgick churches; and that so these controversies, having been examined and throughly discussed, as it were by the common judgment of all the reformed churches, might be composed so much the more certainly, happily, safely, and with the greater benefit.

Dec. 11, 1617.] This decree having been made, the Remonstrants began in a wonderful manner to make disturbances, and proposed various other projects (conceptibus) by those who were attached to their cause, in endeavouring to overturn it and render it of no effect: in Holland indeed, they themselves, by their favourers, demanded a provincial Synod, against which a little while ago they had entertained so strong an aversion (tantopere abhorruerant). And because measures had been devised for calling foreign theologians to the national Synod, they thought that to this provincial

Synod, if so it seemed good, some foreign theologians might But it was answered, that indeed a probe (invited). vincial Synod had formerly been demanded by the churches of Holland, when no hope appeared of obtaining a national Synod, and when the controversies were confined within the boundaries of the churches of Holland alone: but now, because the calling of a national Synod had been decreed, and the evil had diffused itself through all the provinces, so that it could not be taken away by the Synod of one province, it was at this time altogether unreasonable to think of a provincial Synod, for the composing of these controversies. Because, in like manner, as it behoved particular Synods, in each of the provinces, to precede the national Synod; so in Holland also, both North and South (Holland) particular Synods would precede. Yet the Remonstrants, by their favourers, pressed eagerly and urged such a Synod: either, because they thought, that it would less obstruct their cause, as they had in Holland so many great men and even pastors favouring them; or that they might by this tergiversation absolutely hinder the calling of the national Synod. when they themselves saw, that this demand was too unjust for them easily to persuade (the granting of) it; they fled to a new exception, and desired (or proposed) that this cause should be deferred, (or reserved) to a general council (œcumenicam). But it was answered them, That it was most uncertain, whether or when a general council could be called; yet that these evils required a present remedy, and that this national (Synod) about to be called by the Illustrious and most powerful the States General, would be as it were an occumenical and general (council); when deputies from almost all the reformed churches would be present at the same. If they should account themselves aggrieved by the judgment of such a Synod, it would always be entire and lawful to them to appeal from this national to a general council; provided only, that in the mean time they obeyed the judgment of the national Synod. By these evasions and subterfuges they effected, that the letters of convocation were for some little time delayed; and it was necessary that the day appointed for the meeting should be changed and deferred.

In the mean while that most Illustrious person, Dudley Carleton, in the convention of the Illustrious and most powerful the States General, publickly complained, that the honour of his master, the most Serene the King of Great Britain, had been very unworthily and impudently reviled, in the infamous libel (or pamphlet) Bilancis, which the Remonstrants, even after the edict of their Highnesses, had taken care should be printed again, having been translated into the French language: and having briefly and solidly refuted most of the objections of the Remonstrants, he explained to the Illustrious and most powerful the States General, what method the most powerful King of Great Britain was accustomed to employ, in settling controversies concerning religion or doctrine; which, because it agreed with the decree of the Illustrious the States General, it more and more confirmed their Illustrious Highnesses in this holy determination. The most ample also the Magistracy of the city of Amsterdam, having communicated counsel previously with the pastors of that church, and others called together for this cause, propounded in writing many and very weighty reasons, in the convention of the Illustrious the States of Holland and West Friezland, in which it was most evidently demonstrated, that these controversies could not be determined at this season

<sup>\*</sup> The conduct of the Remonstrants, on this occasion, evidently resembled that of an accused person, who, instead of demanding a fair trial, objects to the authority of the court, challenges the jurymen, and endeavours to find out flaws in the indictment, and adopts every evasion to escape the trial, which can be suggested by his solicitor or counsel.

by any other method, than by a national Synod; at the same time they most solidly answered all the objections of the Remonstrants, and all their projects, concerning a provincial Synod, and also concerning a general council. Soon after likewise the most ample the Magistracy of the city of Enchusen, having exhibited many reasons, in writing also, approved the same. These reasons were afterwards made publick; that it might be evident to all men, how unjustly the Remonstrants and their favourers acted, because they obstinately resisted the calling of a national Synod, by these new projects, and eluded (subterfugerent) its decision.

The Illustrious the States General, as they judged that this thing so entirely necessary, and for the most just and weighty causes already decreed, was not to be any longer delayed, on account of projects and shiftings of this kind; again decreed, that the convocation of a national Synod, without any delay or adjournment, should be immediately instituted; and they determine, that the city Dordrecht (or Dort) should be the place of its meeting; the day, the first of the next November. When some persons among the States of Holland and West Friezland, favouring the cause of the Remonstrants, opposed themselves to this decree, in the convention of the Illustrious and most powerful the States General, who complained, that an injury was done to the majesty, the right, and finally, the liberty of that province; the Illustrious and most powerful the States General, declared by publick letters, that they did not purpose, by this convocation of a national Synod, that any thing should be taken away from, or lessened in the majesty, right, or liberty, of any province; but that this was the sincere intention of their Highnesses, that without any prejudice of any province, and even of the union and confederation, by the ordinary decision of a national Synod, the ecclesiastical controversies alone that had arisen concerning doctrine, which

pertained to all the reformed Belgick churches, should lawfully be determined to the glory of God, and the peace of the republick and of the churches. They then addressed letters to the States of each of the provinces, in which they declared, that it had been determined by them, to call together, in the name of the Lord, from all the churches of these provinces a national Synod, on the first of November ensuing; that by this method the controversies which had arisen in the same churches, might be lawfully examined and settled in a beneficial manner, (truth being always preserved). At the same time they admonished them, that as soon as they could, they would call a provincial Synod in their own provinces, after the accustomed manner; from which six pious and learned men, and greatly loving peace; namely, three or four pastors, with two or three other proper persons, professing the reformed religion, might be deputed, who in the aforementioned national Synod, according to the laws constituted by them, (a copy of which they transmitted,) might examine those controversies and take them away, truth being preserved, (or safe, salva veritate). To the Gallo-Belgick churches also (of French Flanders), which used to constitute a peculiar Synod among themselves, seeing they had been dispersed through all these provinces, they addressed letters of the same kind. These letters having been received, the Illustrious the States of each of the provinces, called together the provincial or particular Synods of their own churches; in which the grievances might be proposed which were to be carried to the national Synod, the persons to be sent out to the same be deputed, and the commands with which these were to be furnished, framed by the common suffrages of the churches. These things were transacted in each of the provinces, in the manner hitherto in use in these reformed churches; except that in Holland and in the province of Utrecht, because of the very great

number of the Remonstrants, the customary method could not in all things be observed. For when in Holland separations had been made in some of the Classes, so that the Remonstrants held their own Class-meetings apart, and the other pasters their's also; it seemed proper to the most Illustrious the States of that province, that of the Classes, in which a separation of this kind had not been made, four should be deputed by the majority of votes, in the manner hitherto customary, who with the ordinary power might be sent forth to the particular Synod; but in the other Classes, for the sake of avoiding confusion, the Remonstrants should appoint two, and the other pastors in like manner two, who might be sent with equal power to the particular Synod. In the province of Utrecht, the churches had not been distributed into certain Classes: wherefore it pleased the most Illustrious the States of that province, that all the Remonstrants should meet together apart in one Synod; but the rest of the pastors, who did not follow the opinion of the Remonstrants, of whom there still remained no small number, in another (Synod); and that from each Synod and party, three should be sent forth to the national Synod with the power of judging. But the church of Utrecht, as it had been torn asunder into parties, of which the one followed the opinion of the Remonstrants, but the other disapproved of it; and this (party) recently set at liberty from the oppression of the Remonstrants, had not made provision for stated pastors, but used at that time the ministry of John Dipetzius, a pastor of Dort; it so happened that he was lawfully deputed by another Synod, in the name of the churches of Utrecht, which did not follow the opinion of the Remonstrants. But when the Synod of the churches of Gueldria and Zutphan, had been assembled at Arnhem, the Remonstrant deputies from the Class of Bommellien refused to sit along with the rest, unless previously certain con-

ditions had been performed to them, which the Synod judged to be opposed to the decree of the Illustrious the States. And when ten articles had before this been offered by the Remonstrants of the Class of Neomage, Bommelli, and Tiel. to the Illustrious the States of Gueldria, and to the counsellors of the same, which they intimated to be taught by the rest of the pastors; it had been enjoined on them, that they should publickly name those pastors who taught these things, in order that they might be cited before the Synod. that it might in a legal manner be examined, whether the matter were so indeed. For it was evident (constabat) that those articles had been framed by the Remonstrants in a calumniating manner, in order to excite odium (ad conflandam invidiam) against the rest of the pastors, before the Supreme magistracy. But they were not able to name any one in that whole province, except the pastor of Hattemis, who had abundantly cleared himself to the Class; and when the Synod nevertheless was willing to cite him, that he might be heard before them, the Remonstrants no further pressed it. Certainly Henry Arnoldi, a pastor of Delph, who was present in the name of the churches of South Holland, declared that there was no one in South Holland who approved or taught these things.\* Therefore the Synod severely reproved them for these atrocious calumnies; and at the same time declared, that the churches of Gueldria did not embrace or approve the doctrine contained in these articles, as it was set forth by them: though there were in them some sentences,

<sup>\*</sup> In like manner it is at this day confidently asserted by writers, who, on one account or another, are regarded as worthy of credit; and thus it is generally believed, that there are a numerous set of men in Britain, called Calvinists, or Methodists, or evangelical preachers, who preach doctrines, defined and stated by the writers, and justly deemed absurd and pernicious; who, if they were thus authoritatively called on to prove their assertions, would scarcely be able to substantiate the charge on one individual of the whole company.

which, taken apart, and in an accommodating sense, could not be disapproved. Then at length, having confessed the crime of a calumny into which they had been driven (impactæ calumniæ), they requested forgiveness of it (eam deprecati sunt). There was then drawn up in the same Synod, a state of the controversy between the Remonstrants and the rest of the pastors, which afterwards was exhibited to the national Synod. And as there were many pastors in that province, of whom some had been suspected of various other errors besides the five articles of the Remonstrants, others had illegally intruded into the ministry, and finally others were of profligate life: some of them having been cited before the Synod, for these causes were suspended from the ministry: but by no means because of the opinion contained in the five articles of the Remonstrants, which were reserved to the national Synod. The cause of the rest, having been left in the name of the Synod, was referred to some persons deputed by it, to whom the Illustrious the States likewise joined their own delegates. These causes, having been fully examined in their Classes, they suspended certain of them from their ministry, and others they entirely removed.

In the mean while the Illustrious the States General, when they had several times commanded those of Utrecht especially, to dismiss the new soldiers, and those who, it appeared, had been levied for this purpose also, that the execution of the decrees of the future national Synod, if perhaps the Remonstrants could not approve of them, might be hindered by an armed force; determined that all these soldiers, of which there were now some thousands, should, as soon as possible, be disbanded and discharged by their authority. And when this measure had been carried into effect by the most Illustrious the Prince of Orange, with incredible fortitude of soul, prudence, dexterity, and promptitude, without any effusion of blood; and their principal

officers, who had endeavoured by force to resist this disbanding of them, had been committed to custody: John Utenbogardus, James Taurinus, and Adolphus Venator. conscious in themselves of criminality, (male sibi conscii), having deserted their churches, fled out of federated Belgium; as likewise did a short time after Nicolas Grevinchovius, having been cited by the court of Holland to plead his own cause. And when a particular Synod in South Holland had been called at Delph, most of the Remonstrants, despising the before mentioned decree of the Illustrious the States, refused to depute any person to the Synod; and, having presented a little suppliant book (libello supplicæ) to the Illustrious the States of Holland and West Friezland, they petitioned that, instead of the national Synod now proclaimed, another convention instituted according to the same twelve conditions, which those who were cited afterwards laid before the national Synod, might be called. The Illustrious the States, having heard the judgment of the Synod of Delph concerning this demand, (which also was inserted in these acts,) commanded them to obey the constituted order, and the mandates of the Illustrious the States; and moreover, fully to state their opinion comprised in writing, concerning the articles proposed in the conference at Delph, in the year 1613; and to add all their considerations, which they had respecting the Confession and Catechism of these churches. They exhibited the declaration of their opinion on the before mentioned articles, which afterwards, having been translated into Latin by the delegates of this Synod, was communicated to the national Synod: but, in the place of considerations, they sent some things gathered out of the writings of certain learned men, as if opposite to the Confession and the Catechism.

Before this Synod, John Utenbogardus, and Nicolas Grevinchovius were cited; and when the former, as a fugitive

(profugus), dared not to appear, but the latter contumaciously refused, the accusations produced against them having been examined, each of them was, by the judgment of this Synod, removed from the ecclesiastical ministry. But when in South Holland, besides these two, there were many others, of whom the most, in these dissentions, had been obtruded on unwilling churches, without a lawful vocation; and others, who besides these five articles, had moreover scattered many Socinian errors, others had grievously offended the churches by wicked and turbulent actions, and others finally led a profane life; it was judged necessary, in order that the churches should be purified from these scandals, and the discipline of the clergy as it is called, which had fallen into decay, should at length be restored, that all these disorderly (alaxres) pastors should be cited, that they might render before the Synod, an account, as well of their vocation, as of their doctrine, and also of their life; which seemed proper to be done, even for this cause also, before the national Synod, that if perhaps any should deem themselves aggrieved by the sentence of the Synod, or its deputies, they might appeal to the judgment (of the national Synod). Certain of these appeared, whose causes having been duly examined, some of them were suspended from their office, and other wholly set aside. But as to those, who because of the shortness of the time, having been cited. could not be heard, and those who, having been cited, had not appeared; five pastors were deputed, to whom the Illustrious the States joined also three deputies, who might take cognizance of their cause, and give sentence upon it in the name of the Synod. But it was expressly enjoined to these deputies, not to fix any censure on any one, because of the opinion expressed in the five articles of the Remonstrants; forasmuch, as the judgment concerning the same had been reserved entire to the national Synod. But

they, though they every where, on the afore mentioned most weighty causes, even during the national Synod, suspended many, partly from the office of teaching, and partly entirely set them aside; yet marked no one with any censure because of the opinion of the five articles, as it may be evidently shewn from their very Acts.\* In North Holland, matters were conducted after the same method, in the Synod of Horn, in which the pastors of Horn, John Valesius, John Rodingenus, and Isaac Welsingius, having been suspended from the office of teaching, appealed to the national Synod. And when the deputies of this Synod, along with the delegates of the Illustrious the States, examined, in the Class of Alcmar, the cause of John Geystran, a pastor of Alcmar, and of Peter Geystran, his brother, a pastor of Egmond; it was detected, that they had been evidently addicted to the blasphemous and execrable errors of Socinus, as it appears from their own confession; which, because it was publickly read in the national Synod, with the horror of all men, is likewise inserted in these Acts. In the Synod of the Transisulanian churches, some of the Remonstrants were commanded to render an account of their doctrine and actions; and when among them four pastors of the church of Campe, Thomas Goswin, Assuerus Matthisius, John Scotlerus, and above all Everard Vosculius, had been accused of many errors, and of various turbulent actions; the cause having been examined, it seemed good to reserve it for the national Synod; even as it was afterwards brought before the same. In the other provinces, because no manifest Remonstrants were found, the Synods there held duly prepared all things with less labour, after the accustomed manner, for the national Synod.

<sup>\*</sup> The appeal is thus made to the registered Acts of these deputies, evidently because they had been or were likely to be misrepresented by the favourers of the Remonstrants; as, beyond doubt, they generally have been to this very day.

In the mean time, the most Illustrious and powerful the States General had addressed letters to the most Serene and powerful James I. King of Great Britain, to the deputies of the reformed churches of the kingdom of France, to the most Serene the Elector Palatine, and the Elector of Brandenburg; to the most Illustrious the Landgrave of Hesse; to the four reformed republicks of Helvetia, (Switzerland,) the Tigurine, Bernessian, Basilian, and Schaphusian; to the Illustrious and generous the counts of Correspondentia and Wedevarica; to the republicks of Geneva, Bremen, and Emden, in which they requested, that they would deign to send from them to this Synod, some of their own theologians, excelling in learning, piety, and prudence, who might earnestly labour by their counsels and decisions, along with the rest of the deputies of the Belgick churches, to settle those controversies, which had arisen in these Belgick churches, and to restore peace to the same.

All these things having been duly prepared and completed, when at the appointed time as well the deputies of the Belgick churches, as also the foreign theologians, a few excepted, had met together at Dordrecht, (or Dort), that national Synod was begun in the name of the Lord, on the thirteenth day of November (1618). But in this Synod, what now was actually done, the prudent reader may copiously (prolixe) know from the Acts of the same, which now are published for the favour (satisfaction, gratiam) and use of the reformed churches. It hath seemed good also, that to these Acts should be joined, besides other writings exhibited to this Synod, the judgments also of the theologians, concerning the five articles of the Remonstrants as they were proposed in the Synod; by which they may more fully know, by the same, on what passages of Scripture, and on what arguments, the canons of the reformed church do rest. It is not to be doubted, but that the prudent reader

will discover in these judgments, the highest and most admirable agreement. If perhaps in less matters a certain diversity appear; even this will be an argument, that a due liberty of prophesying and judging flourished, in this venerable convention; but that all, notwithstanding, by concording opinions, agreed in the doctrine expressed in the canons of this Synod; of whom all and every one, (not one indeed excepted, or declining to do it,) subscribed to testify this consent.

But all the reformed churches are requested, willingly to embrace, preserve, and propagate this orthodox doctrine, so solemnly in this Synod, explained and confirmed from the word of God; and transmit it to all posterity, to the glory of divine grace, and the consolation and salvation of souls. And at the same time also favourably to receive the pious, and never sufficiently to be celebrated zeal and earnest endeavour of the most Illustrious and mighty the States General of federated Belgium, for preserving the purity (sinceritate) of the reformed religion; and also to follow up with their favour, the diligence and piety, in maintaining the same, of so many doctors, of distinguished churches, who were present at this Synod: and, above all things, it is requested, that they would carnestly intreat the most high and gracious God (optimum maximum) that he would indeed benignly preserve the Belgick churches, and, in like manner, all others professing with them the same orthodox doctrine, in the unity of the faith, in peace and tranquillity; and that he would inspire a better mind into the Remonstrants themselves, and all others who are involved in error; and by the grace of his own Spirit, would at

<sup>\* &#</sup>x27;That it may please thee to bring into the way of truth, all such 'as have erred and are deceived.'—Litany. The Calvinism of the Symod did not, it seems, prevent their prayers for those who, as they supposed, were in error. It did not lead them to treat their most eager opponents, as reprobates, and give up as necessarily consigned to destruction:

length, some time lead them to the knowledge of the truth, to the glory of his own divine name, the edification of the churches, and the salvation of us all; through our Lord and Saviour Jesus Christ; to whom with the Father, and the Holy Spirit, the one, true, and immortal God, be praise, and honour, and glory, for ever and ever. Amen.

as many ignorantly suppose, or confidently assert, that decided Calvinists do, even with malignity and malignant satisfaction. So greatly are they calumniated!

\* 'Accordingly a Synod was convoked at Dordrecht in the year 1618, by the counsels and influence of prince Maurice, &c.'—Mosheim, vol. v. p. 450. 'Our author always forgets to mention the order issued by the States General, for the convocation of this famous Synod; and by his manner of expressing himself, and particularly by the phrase, (Mauritio auctors,) would seem to insinuate, that it was by this prince, that the assembly was called together. The legitimacy of the manner of convoking this Synod was questioned by Olden-Barneveldt, who maintained that the States General had no sort of authority in matters of religion; affirming that this was an act of sovereignty, that belonged to each province separately, and respectively.'—Maclaine, Ibid.

It was by means of these disputes, about the ecclesiastical authority, (which all parties supposed to be possessed by some of them,) that the union of the confederated States was endangered in this controversy.

'Dr. Mosheim, however impartial, seems to have consulted more 'the authors of one side than of the other, probably because they were 'more numerous, and more generally known. When he published this ' history, the world had not been favoured with The Letters, Memoirs, 'and Negociations of Sir Dudley Carleton, which Lord Royston (afterwards Earl of Hardwicke) drew from his inestimable treasure of his-'torical manuscripts, and presented to the public, or rather at first to 'a select number of persons, to whom he distributed a small number of copies, printed at his own expence. They were soon translated both into Dutch and French: and, though it cannot be affirmed, that the spirit of party is no where discoverable in them; yet they contain 'anecdotes with respect both to Olden-Barneveldt and Grotius, that the 'Arminians, and the other patrons of these two great men, have been 'studious to conceal. These anecdotes, though they may not be sufficient to justify the severities exercised against these eminent men, would, however, have prevented Dr. Mosheim from saving, that he ' knew not on what pretext they were arrested.'-Mosheim, vol. v. p. 449, 450. Note by Maclaine.

In a political contest for authority, between prince Maurice, and his opponents, in the States General, the Remonstrants favoured his

opponents, and the Contra-Remonstrants were attached to him. The prince's party at length prevailed, and, 'the men who sat at the helm 'of government, were cast into prison. Olden-Barneveldt, a man of wisdom and gravity, whose hairs were grown grey in the service of his country, lost his life on the public scaffold, while Grotius and 'Hoogerberts were condemned to perpetual imprisonment; under what 'pretext, or in consequence of what accusations or crimes, is unknown to us.'—Mosheim, vol. v. p. 448, 449.

## THE JUDGMENT

## OF THE

National Synod of the Reformed Belgick Churches,

Held at Dort, in the Years of our Lord, 1618, 1619;

AT WHICH VERY MANY THEOLOGIANS OF THE REFORMED CHURCHES OF GREAT BRITAIN, GERMANY, AND FRANCE, WERE PRESENT; CONCERNING THE FIVE HEADS OF DOCTRINE CONTROVERTED IN THE BRIGICK CHURCHES.

(Published on the fifth of May, A. D. 1619.)

## PREFACE.

In the Name of our Lord and Saviour Jesus Christ. Amen.

Among very many comforts, which our Lord and Saviour Jesus Christ hath given to his own church militant, in this calamitous pilgrimage; that which he left unto it, when about to go away to his Father, into the heavenly sanctuary, saying, "I am with you at all times, even unto the end of "the world," is deservedly celebrated. The truth of this most delightful promise shines forth in the church of all ages, which, whilst it has been besieged from the beginning, not only by the open violence of enemies, but also by the secret craftiness of seducers, truly if at any time the Lord had deprived it of the salutary guard of his own promised presence, had long since been either crushed by the power of tyrants, or seduced into destruction by the fraud of impostors.

But that good Shepherd, who most constantly loveth his flock, for which he laid down his life, hath always, most

scasonably, and often by his own right hand stretched forth, most miraculously repressed the rage of persecutors; and hath also detected and dissipated the crooked ways of seducers, and their fraudulent counsels; by both demonstrating himself to be most effectually present (presentissimum) in his church. Of this thing, an illustrious instruction (documentum) exists in the history of the pious emperors, kings, and princes, whom the Son of God hath excited so often for the assistance of his church, hath fired with the holy zeal of his house, and by their help, hath not only repressed the furious rage (furorcs) of tyrants; but also hath procured to his church when conflicting with false teachers, in various ways adulterating religion, the remedies of holy Synods; in which the faithful servants of Christ, by united prayers, counsels, and labours, have valiantly stood for the church, and for the truth of God; have intrepidly opposed themselves against the "ministers of Satan, "though transforming themselves into angels of light;" have taken away the seeds of errors and discords; have preserved the church in the concord of pure religion; and have transmitted the genuine (sincerum) worship of God, uncorrupted, to posterity. With a similar benefit, our faithful Saviour hath, at this time, testified his own gracious presence with the Belgick church, by one means or other (aliquam) very much afflicted for many years. For this church, rescued by the powerful hand of God from the tyranny of the Roman antichrist, and the horrible idolatry of popery, (or the popedom, papatus,) and many times most miraculously preserved in the dangers of a long continued war; and flourishing in the concord of true doctrine and discipline, to the praise of her God, to an admirable increase of the republick and the joy of the whole reformed world, James (Jacobus) Arminius and his followers, holding out the name of Remonstrants, by various errors, old as well as new; at first covertly, and then openly assaulted (tentarunt), and while it was pertinaciously disturbed with scandalous dissentions and schisms, they had brought it into such extreme danger, that, unless the mercy of our Saviour had most opportunely interposed in behalf of his most flourishing church, they had at length consumed it with the horrible conflagration of discords and schisms.

But, blessed be the Lord for ever, who, after he had hid his face for a moment from us, (who by many ways had provoked his wrath and indignation,) hath made it attested to the whole world, that he doth not forget his covenant, nor contemn the sighs of his own people. For when scarcely any hope of a remedy, humanly speaking (humanitus) appeared; he inspired this mind into the most Illustrious and very powerful the States General of confederated Belgium.\* that, with the counsel and direction of the most Illustrious and valiant the Prince of Orange, they determined to go forth to meet these raging evils, by those legitimate means, which have been sanctioned by the examples of the apostles themselves, and of the Christian church that followed them. during a long course of years, and which have before this been had recourse to (usurpatæ) in the Belgick church, with much fruit; and they called a Synod at Dordrecht by their own authority, out of all the provinces which they governed; having sought out towards it both the favour of the most Serene and powerful James king of Great Britain, and of Illustrious Princes, Counts, and Republicks, and having obtained also very many most grave theologians; that, by common judgment of so many divines of the reformed church, those dogmas of Arminius and of his followers might be decided on accurately, and by the word of God alone; that the true doctrine might be confirmed, and the false rejected; and that concord, peace, and tranquillity

\* Sec Ezra vii. 27, 28.

might, by the divine blessing, be restored to the Belgick churches. This is that benefit of God, in which the Belgick churches exult; and they humbly acknowledge and thankfully proclaim, the compassions of their faithful Saviour. Therefore this venerable Synod, (after a previous appointment and observance of pragers and fasting, by the authority of the Supreme Magistracy, in all the Belgick churches, to deprecate the wrath of God, and to implore his gracious assistance) being met together in the name of the Lord at Dordrecht, fired with the love of God (divini numinis) and for the salvation of the church; and, after having invoked the name of God, having bound itself by a sacred oath, that it would take the Holy Scriptures alone as the rule of judgment, and engage in the examination (cognitione) and decision of this cause, with a good and upright conscience, they attempted diligently, with great patience, to induce the principal patrons of those dogmas, being cited before them, to explain more fully their opinion, concerning the known five heads of doctrine, and the grounds (or reasons) of that opinion.

But when they rejected the decision of the Synod, and refused to answer to their interrogatories, in that manner which was equitable, and when neither the admonitions of the Synod, nor the mandates of the most honourable and ample the delegates of the States General; nor yet even the commands of the most Illustrious and very powerful lords the States General, availed any thing with them, (the Synod) was compelled, by the command of the same lords, to enter on another way; according to the custom received of old, in ancient Synods; and from writings, confessions, and declarations, partly before published, and partly even exhibited to this Synod, an examination of those five dogmas, (or points of doctrine,) was instituted. Which when it was now completed, by the singular grace of God, with the

greatest diligence, fidelity, and conscience (or conscientiousness) with the consent of all and every one; this Synod, for the glory of God, and that it might take counsel for the entireness (integritate) of the saving truth, and for the tranquillity of consciences, and for the peace and safety of the Belgick church, determined that the following judgment, by which both the true opinion, agreeing with the word of God, concerning the aforesaid five heads of doctrine is explained, and the false opinion, and that discordant with the word of God is rejected, should be promulgated.

On this preface, I would make a few remarks:

1. If the expectations, which the persons constituting this Synod, and of those who were concerned in convening it, as to the useful tendency and beneficial effects of such assemblies, were indeed ill grounded, and of course the measure improper; the fault was not exclusively their's, but that of the age in which they lived, and indeed of almost all preceding ages. Not one of the reformers, or of the princes who favonred the Reformation, can be named, who did not judge, either a general council, or national councils or Synods of some kind, proper measures for promoting the cause of truth and holiness, and counteracting the progress of schism, heresy, and false doctrine: and in every place. where the reformation was established, assemblies of the rulers and teachers of the church, under one form or other, were employed, either in framing, or sanctioning, the articles of faith, adopted in each church, and in regulating the several particulars respecting the doctrine to be preached, the worship to be performed by those who constituted each church, and the terms of officiating as ministers, in their respective societies. The system of independency, and individuality, so to speak,

either of separate congregations, or ministers, or Christians, without any such common bond of union or concert, had not then been thought of, at least in modern times. And at this day, while numbers suppose that they steer their course at a distance from the rocks which endangered the first reformers. as well as the whole church in former ages, it may well be questioned whether they do not run into the opposite extreme. Solomon says, or God himself by him, "In the multitude of "counsellors there is safety;" yet who does not know, that through the evil dispositions, and selfish conduct of those, who constitute the counsellors, and senates, and parliaments of different nations, such abuses often occur in them, as form a manifest exception to this general maxim? Yet who does not also see, that parliaments, and counsellors, and laws, are in themselves very desirable; and far preferable to every thing being settled by the sole will or caprice of every one, who by any means obtains authority? or, that every man should do that which is right in his own eyes, as when there was no king in Israel? The abuse alone is the evil, and to be guarded against: the thing itself is allowedly beneficial.

The apostles themselves, when consulted by Paul and Barnabas, did not settle the question proposed to them by their own direct authority: but "the apostles and elders "came together for to consider of this matter." (Acts xv. 6.) It is evident that some, even in 'that first general council,' as it is very improperly called, had strong prejudices against the measure which was finally decided on: yet its decrees proved a blessing of no small magnitude to the churches of Christ, whether constituted of Jewish or Gentile converts. Now, a measure thus sanctioned, cannot be evil in itself: though General councils and Synods should have in many or most instances, been productive of far greater evil than good. The fault lay in the motives, the corrupt passions, and wrong state of mind and heart of those who convened,

and of those who constituted them, (that is, in the abuse of the thing;) not in the thing itself.

The apostles by their own authority might have decreed the same things, and have said, "It seemed good to the Holy "Ghost and to us, &c:" but they were not led by the Spirit of inspiration, to adopt this method: they did nothing by absolute authority; it does not appear that any thing directly miraculous, or of immediate revelation, concurred in their decision. It was the result of arguments drawn from facts, and from the holy Scriptures, under the teaching of the Holy Spirit, not materially differing from what uninspired men, of the same character and heavenly "wisdom, without partiality "and without hypocrisy" might have formed, under the mere ordinary teaching and superintendence of the same Spirit. Now, it is not impossible for God to raise up elders and teachers, bearing this holy character, and endued with this heavenly wisdom, in other ages and nations, who, coming together to consider of those things which corrupt the doctrine, worship, and purity, or disturb the peace, of the church, may form and promulgate decisions, so evidently grounded on a fair interpretation of the sacred oracles, and so powerfully enforced by the character and influence of those concerned, as, by the divine blessing, may produce the most extensively beneficial effects.

General councils, so called, convened by the concurring authority of many princes and rulers, over rival nations, are not likely to come to any such scriptural decisions; and the history of general councils is certainly suited exceedingly to damp our expectations from them. But the history of the Reformation, both on the continent and in this land, produces many instances of conventions, under one name or other, in which the rulers and teachers of the church, under the countenance of princes who favoured the cause of truth and holiness; came to such decisions, in the most important matters,

as proved very extensive and permanent benefits to mankind, and which could not have been expected without united deliberations and determinations of this kind. The ministers and members of the establishment, in this land, at least, must be allowed to think that this was the case, in the framing of our articles, liturgy, and homilies.

It is true that afterwards, convocations became useless, or even worse than useless, and so sunk into disuse: but this was not until the spirit of wisdom and piety, which actuated our first Reformers, had most grievously declined, and made way for a political and party spirit, in the persons concerned. Thus the abuse of the measure, not the measure itself, must bear the blame.

2. I observe from this preface, that the members of the Synod of Dort, in the most solemn manner, and in the language at least of genuine piety, declare the awful obligations under which they brought themselves, to decide the controverted questions according to the holy scriptures alone, and their full consciousness that they had discharged this obligation in an upright manner. The names annexed to their decisions certainly include among them a great proportion, of the most able protestant and reformed theologians in Europe: and who can doubt the sincerity of these professions, when coming from such men as Bishops Davenant and Ward, and those with whom they thus cordially united?—Prejudices, mistakes, and faults of many kinds may be supposed in them; but the candid and pious mind recoils from the idea, that the whole was direct and intended hypocrisy.

In fact, I must give it as my opinion at least, that they did fulfil their solemn engagement; and must confess, that fewer things appear to me unscriptural, in these articles, than in almost any human composition which I have read upon the subject. Of course I expect that Anticalvinists will judge otherwise, and even many Calvinists: yet surely every

candid man will allow, that they honestly meant thus to decide, and thought that they had thus decided.

It may also be seen in the course of this work, that their doctrine accorded with the Belgick articles before in force among them, to which the Contra-Remonstrants had all along appealed.

- 3. I would observe, that they seem to have aimed at too much in their deliberations and decisions; not too much for an ordinary controversial publication, but too much for an authoritative standard, to be entirely received and adhered to, by all the ministers of religion and teachers of youth in the Belgick churches. I should indeed say, far too much. And here, I again avow my conviction of the superior wisdom bestowed on the compilers of our articles, on the several points under consideration; in which, while nothing essential is omitted or feebly stated a generality of language is observed, far more suitable to the design, than the decrees of this Synod, and tending to preserve peace and harmony among all truly humble Christians, who do not in all respects see eye to eye, yet may "receive one another, but not to " doubtful disputations:" whereas the very exactness, and particularity, into which, what I must judge, scriptural doctrine is branched out, and errors reprobated, powerfully counteracted the intended effect, and probably more than any thing else, or all other things combined, has brought on this Synod such decided but unmerited odium and reproach.
- 4. I would observe, that using the arm of the magistrate, and inflicting penalties on those who stood out against the decisions of the Synod, not being mentioned in the preface, will more properly be considered, in another stage of our progress. But had the decrees been promulgated, and compliance with them demanded, from all who acted as ministers of religion, or teachers of youth in the established seminaries



of the Belgick church; with simply the exclusion from such stations, of those, who declined compliance, or violated their engagements to comply; while a toleration was granted, as at present in Britain, either to preach, or teach in other places or schools: the terms might indeed have been considered as too strict, and requiring more than could reasonably be expected; but, in other respects, it does not appear, that the conduct of the Synod would have been blameable. For, every body or company of professed Christians, down from established national churches, to independent dissenting congregations, prescribe terms of communion, or of officiating as ministers on those, who desire voluntarity to join them, and exclude such as decline compliance.

How far the revenues, in the Belgick churches, could, with any propriety, have been shared, and any portion of them allotted, to what we might call the dissenting teachers. I am not prepared to say. But, as toleration (in this sense at least) was no part of the system at the Reformation in any country; the ancient revenues for religious purposes, as far as they were preserved for those uses, of course were allotted to the established ministers in the different churches. Neither dissenters, nor provision for dissenters, were thought of: and it would afterwards have been expecting too much in general, to suppose that they who found themselves in possession of these revenues, would voluntarily share them with the dissentients, or that rulers would venture to compel them. Yet, if to a full toleration, something had publickly been allotted towards the support of peaceful and conscientious dissenting teachers; it would, as it appears to me at least, have had a most powerful effect in diminishing acrimony, silencing objections, and promoting peace and love.

## ARTICLES OF THE SYNOD OF DORT.

'The Articles of the Synod of Dort, Heylin introduces 'in this manner:— Because particular men may sometimes 'be mistaken in a public doctrine, and that the judgment of such men, being collected by the hands of their enemies, may be unfaithfully related; we will next look on the conclusions of the Synod of Dort, which is to be conceived to have delivered the genuine sense of all the parties, as being a representative of all the Calvinian Churches of 'Europe, (except those of France,) some few Divines of England being added to them. Of the calling and proceedings of this Synod we shall have occasion to speak further in the following chapter. At this time I shall only lay down the results thereof in the five controverted points (as I find them abbreviated by Dan. Tilenus) according to the heads before mentioned in summing up the doctrine of the Council of Trent.'\*

A few things may here be noted.—Is it very probable, that such decided Anticalvinists, as Heylin or Collier should be impartial, in their account of this celebrated Synod?—Is it to be supposed, that there was no difference of sentiment among the persons of whom it was composed?—Were four divines an adequate representation of all the Calvinists in England? Did not one, or more, of all these four, dissent from the decisions of this Synod? Were other protestant countries represented in any great degree more adequately? Were not the leading men greatly embittered with personal

\* Refutation of Calvinism, p. 566.

enmities, and the spirit of persecution and resentment? Did not political interests, and the spirit of party, still more embitter the spirits, or sway the deliberations and conclusions of the Synod? And therefore are all the Calvinists, who lived at that time, or who now live, or whoever shall live, to be judged according to the proceedings of the Synod of Dort? It would be no difficult undertaking, by such a procedure, to fix very heavy charges on the whole body of Anticalvinists in Europe and in the world: but attempts of this kind prove nothing; except a disposition to act the part of a special pleader in the controversy, rather than that of an impartial judge. As I, however, had met with the same abstract of the articles of this Synod, in other publications more favourable to Calvinism, I had no suspicion that these were not the real articles of the Synod, but an abbreviation, (yet with several clauses also added,) an abbreviation by avowed opponents. But the Christian Observer first excited a suspicion that these were not the real articles of the Synod; and led me to enquire after a copy of those articles, which are indeed immensely more discordant with the abbreviations than I could have previously imagined. But let the attentive reader judge, from the following literal translation of these articles, &c. as contained in the Sylloge Confessionum, Oxford 1804.

## CHAPTER J.

## Of the Doctrine of Divine Predestination.

Art. 1. As all men have sinned in Adam, and have become exposed to the curse and eternal death, God would have done no injustice to any one, if he had determined to leave the whole human race under sin and the curse, and to condemn them on account of sin; according to those words of the Apostle, "All the world is become guilty

- "come short of the glory of God." 23. And "The wages of sin is death." Rom. vi. 23.
- 2. But "in this is the love of God manifested, that "he sent his only begotten Son into the world, that every "one who believeth in him should not perish, but have "everlasting life." 1 John iv. 9. John iii. 16.
- 3. But that men may be brought to faith, God mercifully sends heralds of this most joyful message, to whom he willeth, and when he willeth, by whose ministry men are called to repentance, and faith in Christ crucified. For "How shall they believe in him of whom they have not heard?" and how shall they hear without a preacher? and how shall they preach except they be sent?" Rom. x. 14, 15.
- 4. They who believe not the Gospel, on them the wrath of God remaineth: but those who receive it, and embrace the Saviour Jesus with a true and living faith, are, through him, delivered from the wrath of God, and receive the gift of everlasting life (ac vitá eterná donantur).
- 5. The cause or fault of this unbelief, as also of all other sins, is by no means in God, but in man. But faith in Jesus Christ, and salvation by him, is the free gift of God: "By grace are ye saved, through faith, and that not of "yourselves, it is the gift of God." Eph ii. 8. In like manner, "It is given you to believe in Christ." Phil. i. 29.‡
- 6. That some, in time, have faith given them by God, and others have it not given, proceeds from his eternal decree; For, "known unto God are all his works, from "the beginning of the world." Acts xv. 18. Eph. i. 11.5 According to which decree, he graciously softens the hearts

<sup>\*</sup> Gal. iii. 10. 22.—'In every person born into the world, it (original 'sin,) deserveth God's wrath and damnation.' Art. ix.

<sup>§</sup> Eph. i. 4, 5. iii. 11. 2 Thess. ii. 13, 14. 2 Tim. i. 9, 10. Tit. i. 2. 1 Pet. i. 2. 20. Rev. xiii. 8. xvii. 8.

of the elect, however hard, and he bends them to believe: but the non-elect he leaves, in just judgment, to their own perversity and hardness.\* And here, especially, a deep discrimination, at the same time both merciful and just, a discrimination of men equally lost, opens itself to us; or that decree of *Election* and *Reprobation* which is revealed in the word of God. Which, as perverse, impure, and unstable persons do wrest to their own destruction, so it affords ineffable consolation to holy and pious souls.†

- 7. But Election is the immutable purpose of God, by which, before the foundations of the world were laid, he chose, out of the whole human race, fallen by their own fault from their primeval integrity into sin and destruction, according to the most free good pleasure of his own will, and of mere grace, a certain number of men, neither better nor worthier than others, but lying in the same misery with the rest, to
- \* Predestination to life is the everlasting purpose of God, whereby, before the foundations of the world were laid, he hath constantly decreed by his counsel, secret to us, to deliver from curse and damnation those whom he hath chosen in Christ out of mankind, and to bring them by Christ to everlasting salvation, as vessels made to honour. Wherefore they which are endued with so excellent a benefit of God, be called according to God's purpose by his Spirit working in decesson: they through grace obey the calling; they be justified freely, &c.' Art. xvii.
  - + 'As the godly consideration of predestination and our election in Christ is full of sweet, pleasant, and unspeakable comfort to godly persons, and such as feel in themselves the working of the Spirit of Christ, mortifying the works of the flesh and their earthly members, and drawing ap their minds to high and heavenly things; as well because it doth greatly establish and confirm their faith of eternal salvation, to be enjoyed through Christ, as because it doth fervently kindle their love to God; so for curious and carnal persons, lacking the Spirit of Christ, to have continually before their eyes the sentence of God's predestination, is a most dangerous dewald, whereby the devil doth thrust them either into desperation, or into wretch-lessness of most unclean living, no less perilous than desperation.' Art. xvii. Whatever method of interpretation be adopted, as to the different parts of this our article; they, who cordially approve it, cannot consistently object to this article of the Synod of Dort, which is entirely coincident with it; and at least not more decided and explicit.

salvation in Christ; whom he had, even from eternity, constituted Mediator and Head of all the elect, and the foundation of Salvation; and therefore he decreed to give them unto him to be saved, and effectually to call and draw them, into communion with him, by his own word and Spirit; or he decreed himself to give unto them true faith. to justify, to sanctify, and at length powerfully to glorify them, having been kept in the communion of his Son; to the demonstration of his mercy, and the praise of the riches of his glorious grace, as it is written: "God hath chosen us in "Christ before the foundations of the world were laid, that "we should be holy and without blame before him in love; "having predestinated us unto the adoption of children, by "Jesus Christ to himself, according to the good pleasure "of his will. To the praise of the glory of his grace, "wherein he hath freely made us accepted to himself in "that Beloved One." Eph. i. 4-6. And in another place, "Whom he did predestinate, them he also called; and "whom he called, them he also justified; and whom he "justified, them he also glorified." Rom. viii. 30.

- 8. This Election is not multiform, but one and the same of all that shall be saved, in the Old and New Testament, seeing that the Scripture declares the good pleasure, purpose, and counsel of the will of God, by which he has, from eternity, chosen us to grace and glory: both to salvation and the way of salvation, which he hath "before prepared that "we should walk in it."
- 9. This same Election is not made from any foreseen faith, obedience of faith, holiness, or any other good quality and disposition, as a pre-requisite cause or condition in the

1 2

<sup>\* &#</sup>x27;We believe that the Holy Spirit dwelling in our hearts, imparts 'to us true faith, that we may obtain the knowledge of so great a "mystery.'—Belgick Confession.

<sup>† 2</sup> Thess. ii. 13, 14. 1 Pet. j. 2.

man who should be elected, but unto faith, and unto the obedience of faith, holiness, &c. And, therefore, (or truly, proinde) election is the fountain of every saving benefit; whence faith, holiness, and the other salutary gifts, and finally, eternal life itself, flow as its fruit and effect, according to that word of the Apostle: "He hath chosen us (not because we were, but) "that we might be holy, and "without blame before him in love." Eph. i. 4.

- 10. Now the cause of this gratuitous Election, is the sole good pleasure of God: not consisting in this, that he elected into the condition of salvation certain qualities or human actions, from all that were possible; but in that, out of the common multitude of sinners, he took to himself certain persons as his peculiar property, according to the scripture: "For the children being not yet born, neither "having done any good or evil, &c, it is said," (that is, to Rebecca,) "The elder shall serve the younger: even as "it is written, Jacob have I loved, but Esau have I hated." (Rom. ix. 11—13.) And, "As many as were ordained (or-"dinati) to eternal life, believed." Acts xiii. 48.
- 11. And as God himself is most wise, immutable, omniscient, and omnipotent; so, election made by him can neither be interrupted, changed, recalled, nor broken off; nor can the Elect be cast away, nor the number of them be diminished.
- 12. Of this, his eternal and immutable election to salvation, the elect, though by various steps, and in an unequal measure, are rendered certain (or assured); not indeed by curiously scrutinizing the deep and mysterious things of God; but by observing in themselves, with spiritual delight and holy pleasure, the infallible fruits of election described in God's word; such as true faith in Christ, filial fear of God, sorrow for sin, according unto God (Augus RATE Geor-

<sup>\*</sup> Matt. xi. 26. Eph. i. 5. 1 Tim. i. 9. Jam. i. 18.

- "Godly sorrow," hungering and thirsting after righteousness, &c.+
- 13. From the sense and assurance (certitudine) of this election, the children of God daily find greater cause of humbling themselves before God, of adoring the abyss of his mercies, of purifying themselves, and of more ardently loving him reciprocally, who had before so loved them: so far are they from being rendered, by this doctrine of Election, and the meditation of it, more slothful in observing the divine commands, or carnally secure. Wherefore, by the just judgment of God, it is wont to happen to those who either are rashly presuming, or idly and frowardly prating (fabulantes) about the grace of Election, that they are not willing to walk in the ways of the Elect.
- 14. But as this doctrine of divine Election, in the most wise counsel of God was predicated by the prophets, by Christ himself and by the Apostles, under the Old, as well as under the New Testament, and then committed to the monuments of the sacred scriptures; so it is to be declared at this day by the church of God, to whom it is peculiarly destinated, with a spirit of discrimination, in a holy and religious manner, in its own place and time, all curious scrutinizing the ways of the Most High being laid aside: and this to the glory of the most holy divine name, and for the lively solace of his people.
  - \* 2 Cor. vii. 10. Gr.
- † How different is this from the generally circulated opinion, that they who believe election, in the Calvinistick sense, are taught to assume it a certainty, that they are the elect without further evidence! In this the vehement opposers, and the perverters of the doctrine, seem to coincide; but no more with the Synod of Dort, than with St. Peter's exhortation, 2 Pet. i. 5—10.
  - ‡ 1 Cor. xv. 58. Col. iii. 13, 14. 1 John iii. 2, 3.
- Selection, as a part of divine revelation, and of the "whole counsel "of God," must be preached: we must "not shun to declare it;" for in doing so, what do we, but presume ourselves wiser than he who revealed it as a part of his counsel; and decide that it ought not to

- 15. Moreover, Holy Scripture doth illustrate and commend to us, this eternal and free grace of our election, in this more especially, that, it doth also testify all men not to be elected, but that some are non-elect, or passed by in the eternal election of God, whom truly God, from most free, just, irreprehensible, and immutable, good pleasure, decreed to leave in the common misery, into which they had, by their own fault, cast themselves, and not to bestow on them living faith, and the grace of conversion; but, having been left in their own ways, and under just judgment, at length not only on account of their unbelief, but also of all their other sins, to condemn and eternally punish them to the manifestation of his own justice.\* And this is the decree of Reprobation, which determines that God is in no wise the Author of sin, (which to be thought of is blasphemy,) but a tremendous, irreprehensible, just Judge and Avenger.
- selves a lively faith in Christ, or an assured confidence of have been revealed? But this declaration must be made, with 'discrimination, in a holy and religious manner, &c.' Thus declared in its proper connection, application, and proportion, as in the sacred scriptures, it will greatly conduce to improve the true believer's character, his humility, gratitude, admiring love of God, meekness, compassion, and good will to man, as well as his comfort and joy of hope. It will also exhibit the gospel of most free and rich grace, in its unclouded glory, cast a clearer light on every other part of divine truth; and secure to the Lord alone, the whole honour of man's salvation. Yet the same doctrine, rashly, indiscriminately, and disproportionately, preached; and not properly stated and improved, does immense mischief.

16. Those who do not as yet feel efficaciously in them-

"He' (God) 'secluded from saving grace all the rest of mankind '(except a very small number) and appointed them by the same decree 'to eternal damnation, without any regard to their infidelity and impenitency.'—Heylin's Abbreviation. Is not this a direct violation of the command, "Thou shall not bear false witness against thy neighbour?' Or are not Calvinists to be considered as neighbours by Anti-Calvinists? And do not they who retail the false accusation, intentionally, or heedlessly share a measure of the criminality? Is this the moral practice, which is contended for by Anti-Calvinists?

heart, peace of conscience, earnest desire (studium) of filial obedience, glorying in God through Christ, yet nevertheless use the means by which God has promised to work these things in us, ought not to be alarmed by the mention of Reprobation, nor reckon themselves to be reprobate; but to use diligently the means of grace, and ardently to desire, and reverently and humbly to expect the period of more abounding (or fructifying, uberius) grace. And much less should those persons be terrified by the doctrine of Reprobation, who, when seriously converted to God, simply desire to please him, and to be delivered from the body of death, yet cannot attain to what they wish in the path of faith and piety; because the merciful God hath promised that he will not "quench the smeking flax, nor break the bruised "reed." But this doctrine is justly for a terror to those who, forgetful of God and the Saviour Jesus Christ, have delivered themselves wholly to the cares and carnal pleasures of the world, so long as they are not in earnest (serio) converted unto God.

- 17. Seeing that we are to judge of the will of God by his word, which testifies that the children of believers are holy, not indeed by nature, but by the benefit of the gracious covenant, in which they are comprehended along with their parents; pious parents ought not to doubt of the election and salvation of their children, whom God hath called in infancy out of this life.
- \* 'Furthermore, we must receive God's promises, in such wise, as 'they be generally set forth to us in holy scripture, and that will of God 'is to be followed, which we have expressly declared to us in the word 'of God.'—Art. xvii. Church of England. John vi. 37—40.
- + The salvation of the offspring of believers, dying in infancy, is here scripturally stated, and not limited to such as are baptized. Nothing is said of the children of unbelievers dying in infancy; and the scripture says nothing. But why might not these Calvinists have as favourable a hope of all infants dying before actual sin, as Anti-Calvinists can have?

election, and the severity of just reprobation, we oppose this word of the Apostle: "O man, who art thou that re"pliest against God?" Rom. ix. 20: And that of our Saviour: "Is it not lawful for me to do what I will with 
"mine own?" Matt. xx. 15. We indeed, piously adoring these mysteries, exclaim with the Apostle: "Oh the depths 
"of the riches both of the wisdom and knowledge of God! 
"How unsearchable are his judgments and his ways past 
finding out! For who hath known the mind of the Lord, 
or who hath been his Counsellor! Or who hath first given 
to him, and it shall be recompensed to him again! For 
of him, and through him, and to him, are all things: to 
whom be glory for ever. Amen."

These eighteen articles concerning predestination are abbreviated by Dan. Tilenus, and reported by Heylin, in the following single article.

## Of divine Predestination.

'That God, by an absolute decree, hath elected to salvation, a very small number of men without any regard to their faith and obedience whatsoever; and secluded from saving grace all the rest of mankind, and appointed them by the same decree to eternal damnation, without any regard to their infidelity and impenitency.'

I have long been aware, that there is "no new thing under "the sun;" + and that "speaking all manner of evil falsely," of the disciples of Christ, is no exception to this rule;

<sup>\*</sup> A more appropriate and scriptural conclusion of these articles, cannot even be imagined. + Ecc. i. 9, 10.

and that misrepresenting and slandering men called Calvinists has been very general, ever since the term was invented: but I own, I never before met with so gross, so barefaced, and inexcusable a misrepresentation as this, in all my studies of modern controversy.—It can only be equalled by the false testimony borne against Jesus and his apostles, as recorded in holy writ. But is that cause likely to be in itself good, and of God, which needs to be supported by so unhallowed weapons?

# Rejection of Errors by which the Belgick Churches have for some time been disturbed.

The orthodox doctrine of Election and Reprobation, having been stated, the Synod rejects the errors of those,

- 1. Who teach that 'The will of God, concerning the saving of those who shall believe, and persevere in faith and the obedience of faith, is the whole and entire decree of Election unto salvation; and that there is nothing else whatever concerning this decree revealed in the word of God.' For these persons impose upon the more simple, and manifestly contradict the sacred scripture, which testifies, not only that God will save those who shall believe, but also that he hath chosen certain persons from eternity to whom, in preference to others, (præ aliis) he may, in time, give faith and perseverance: as it is written: "I have made known thy name "unto the men whom thou hast given me." John xvii. 6. Also, "As many as were ordained unto eternal life believed." Acts xii. 48. And, "He hath chosen us before the foundation "of the world, that we should be holy, &c." Eph. i. 4.
- 2. Who teach that 'The election of God to eternal life is of different kinds (multiplicem): one, general and indefinite; another, singular and definite: And again, this

either incomplete, revocable, not peremptory, or conditional; or else complete, irrevocable, peremptory, or absolute.' In like manner, 'that one election is to faith, another to salvation: so that there may be an election to justifying faith, without a peremptory election to salvation.' This is indeed a comment exceptiated by the human brain without the scriptures, corrupting the doctrine of election, and dissolving this golden chain of salvation: "Whom he predestinated, them he also "called, whom he called, those he also justified, and whom "he justified, those he also glorified." Rom. viii. 30.\*

3. Who teach, 'That the good pleasure and purpose of God which the scripture mentions in the doctrine of election does not consist in this, that God before selected certain men above the rest (præ aliis); but in this, that God chose, that from among all possible conditions, (among which are also the works of the law,) or from the order of all things, the act of faith, ignoble in itself, and the imperfect obedience of faith, should be the condition of salvation; and willed (voluerit) graciously to account this instead of perfect obedience, and to judge it of the reward of eternal life. For by this pernicious error, the good pleasure of God and the merit of Christ are enervated, and men are called away by unprofitable disputations, from the truth of gratuitous justification and the simplicity of the Scriptures; and that of the apostle is accused of falsehood: "God hath called us "with a holy calling; not of works, but of his own purpose "and grace, which was given us in Christ Jesus, before the "world began." 2 Tim. i. 9.†

+ 'We are accounted righteous before God only for the merit of

<sup>\* &#</sup>x27;They be called according to God's purpose by his Spirit working 'in due season; they through grace obey the calling, they be justified 'freely, they be made the children of God by adoption, they be made 'like the image of the only begotten Son Jesus Christ, they walk religiously in good works, and at length by God's mercy they attain 'to everlasting felicity.'—Art. xvii.

- 4. Who teach that 'In election to faith this condition is prerequired, that man should rightly use the light of nature, that he should be honest, lewly, humble, and disposed for eternal life, as if, upon these things, in some measure, may 'election depend.' For they savour of Pelagius, and by no means obscurely accuse the apostle of falsehood in writing, "Among whom we also had our conversation in times past, " in the lusts of the flesh, fulfilling the desires of the flesh and of the mind; and were by nature the children of "wrath, even as others. But God, who is rich in mercy. "for his great love wherewith he loved us, even when we "were dead in sins, bath made us alive together with "Christ, (by grace ye are saved). And bath raised us up "together in heavenly places in Christ Jesus, that in the "ages to come, he might show the exceeding riches of his "grace, in his kindness towards us through Christ Jesus. "For by grace are ye saved, through faith; and that not "of yourselves; it is the gift of God; not of works, lest "any man should hoast." Eph. ii. 3-9.
- 5. Who teach that 'Election of individuals to salvation, 'incomplete and not peremptory, is made from foreseen faith, 'repentance, and sanctity and piety begun, and for some 'time persevered in: but that complete and peremptory

our Lord and Saviour Jesus Christ, by faith, and not for our own works or deservings.'—Art. xi. 'Faith is the only hand which putteth on 'Christ unto justification; and Christ the only garment which, being 'so put on, covereth the shame of our defiled nature, hidelt the imperfection of our works, preserveth us blameless in the sight of God; 'before whom otherwise, the weakness of our faith were cause sufficient to make us culpable: yea, to shut us from the kingdom of 'heaven, where nothing that is not absolute can enter.'—Hooker. The error refuted in this article, is as contrary to the dectrine of our church, as to that of the Synod of Bort.

<sup>\*</sup> This error requires from unwegenerate man, and ascribes to nature, that which is the effect of regeneration and grace. Prov. xvi. 1. James i. 15—17. Second Collect, Evening Service.

election is from the foreseen final perseverance of faith, repentance, holiness, and piety: and that this is the gracious and evangelical worthiness, on account of which, he who is elected, is more deserving than he who is not elected: and therefore, faith, the obedience of faith, holiness, piety, and e perseverance, are not the fruits or effects of immutable election to glory, but the conditions and causes required before hand, and foreseen as if they were performed in the persons to be elected, without which there cannot be 'complete election.' This is what opposes the whole scripture, which every where assails (ingerit) our ears and hearts with these and other sayings: "Election is not of works, "but of him that calleth." Rom. ix. 11. "As many as "were ordained to eternal life, believed." Acts xiii. 48. "He chose us to himself, that we might be holy." Eph. i. 4. "Ye have not chosen me, but I have chosen you." John xv. 16. "If it is of grace, it is not of works." Rom. xi. 6. "Herein "is love: not that we loved God, but that he loved us, and "sent his own Son." 1 John iv. 10.

6. Who teach that, 'Not all election to salvation is im'mutable, but that some elect persons, no decree of God
'preventing (obstante), may perish, and do perish eternally.'
By which gross error, they make God mutable, subvert the consolation of the godly concerning the stability of their election, and contradict the sacred scriptures, whereby we are taught that the elect cannot be deceived: Matt. xxiv. 4. that "Christ loses not those who were given to him by the "Father." John vi. 39. That "those whom he (God) "hath predestinated, called, and justified, them he also "glorifies." Rom. viii. 30.†

`† John x. 27—30. 2 Thess. ii. 13, 14. 1 Pet. i. 5. 23—25. 1 John iii. 9. v. 18.

<sup>\*</sup> Some of the texts here adduced seem not decidedly conclusive, but may be otherwise explained; but others might easily be substituted, Eph. ii. 4, 5, 9, 10. 2 Tim. i. 9. James i. 17, 18. 1 Pet. i. 2.

- 7. Who teach that 'In this life there is no fruit, no 'sense, no certainty of immutable election to glory, except 'from a mutable and contingent condition.' But, besides that it is absurd to mention an uncertain certainty, (ponere incertam certitudinem,) these things are opposite to the experience of the saints, who, with the apostle, exult in the consciousness of their election, and celebrate this benefit of God; who rejoice with the disciples, according to Christ's admonition, "that their names are written in heaven." Luke x. 20. Who finally oppose the feeling of election to the fiery darts of diabolical temptations, inquiring, "Who shall "lay any thing to the charge of God's elect." Rom. viii. 33.\*
- 8. Who teach that 'God has not decreed from his 'own mere just will, to leave any in the fall of Adam, and 'in the common state of sin and damnation, or to pass 'them by in the communication of grace necessary to faith 'and conversion.' For that passage stands firm, "He hath "mercy on whom he will have mercy, and whom he will "he hardeneth." Rom. ix. 18. Also, "I glorify thee, O "Father, Lord of heaven and earth, for that thou hast hid "these things from the wise and prudent, and hast revealed "them unto babes; even so Father, for so it hath pleased "thee." Matt. xi. 25, 26.
- 9. Who teach that 'the reason why God sends the gospel 'to one nation rather than another is not the mere and sole 'good pleasure of God; but because this nation is better

<sup>\*</sup> See Article xii. on Predestination.—'The godly consideration of predestination and our election in Christ is full of sweet, pleasant, and unspeakable comfort to godly persons; and such as feel in themselves the working of the Spirit of Christ, mortifying the works of the flesh, and their earthly members, and drawing up their minds to high and beavenly things; as well because it doth greatly establish and confirm their faith of eternal salvation, to be enjoyed through Christ, as because it doth fervently kindle their love towards God.'—Art. xvii. of the Church of England.

'scription of our hands.'

and more deserving than that to which the gospel is not communicated.' Yet Moses recals the people of Israel from this, saying, "Behold the heavens and the heaven of heavens is the Lord thy God's; the earth also, with all that therein is: only the Lord had a delight in thy fathers to love them; and he chose their seed after them, even you above all people, as it is this day." Deut. x. 14, 15. And Christ: "Woe unto thee, Chorazin! Woe unto thee, "Bethsaida! for if the mighty works that are done in thee, had been done in Tyre and Sidon, they would have remember the pented long ago in sackcloth and ashes." Matt. xi. 21.4 That we thus think and judge, we testify by the sub-

Then follows a list of the names of all those who subscribed and attested these articles, and refutations, among whom are found, George, Bishop of Landaff, John Davenant, Presbyter, Doctor, and publick professor of sacred theology in the University of Cambridge, and at the same time president (præses) of King's College. Samuel Ward, presbyter, Archdeacon Fauntonnensis Doctor of sacred theology, and head of Sidney College of the University of Cambridge. Thomas Goad, presbyter, Doctor of sacred theology, and preceptor of the cathedral church of St. Paul, London. Walter Balcanqual (Scoto-Brittanus), a Scotchman, presbyter, Batchelor of sacred theology; with very many others from various parts of the continent of Europe, amounting to above eighty. These were deputed by churches, differing from each other, in various respects, Episcopalians, Preshyterians, and those in some of the regions which are generally accounted Lutheran, and men that occupied the most important stations in the church and Universities of their several coun-

<sup>\*</sup> This shews that the election of nations is really as opposite to the Anticalvinists' ideas of divine justice as the election of individuals.

tries; yet they all subscribed these articles of the Synod, agreeing in this respect though not in others. For it cannot be supposed, that they who opposed, or were much dissatisfied with any of the conclusions, would thus voluntarily and solemnly attest and subscribe the same decisions. This consideration should, in all reason, at least induce us to give these articles a candid and attentive examination, comparing them carefully with the scriptures of truth, and praying for the teaching of the Holy Spirit, that we may not be so left "to "lean to our own understanding," as to reject and even to revile that, which perhaps may, in great part at least, accord with the "sure testimony of God."

#### CHAPTER II.

On the Doctrine of the Death of Christ, and through it the Redemption of Men.

- 1. God is not only supremely merciful, but also supremely just. And his justice requires (according as he hath revealed himself in the word) that our sins committed against his infinite majesty, should be punished, not only with temporal but also with eternal sufferings,—of soul as well as of body; which punishment we cannot escape, unless the justice of God be satisfied.\*
- 2. But as we cannot satisfy it, and deliver ourselves from the wrath of God, God of infinite mercy gave to us his only begotten Son as a Surety, who, that he might make satisfaction for us, was made sin and a curse on the cross for us, or in our stead.†
- 3. This death of the Son of God is a single and most perfect sacrifice and satisfaction for sins; of infinite value and price, abundantly sufficient to expiate the sins of the whole world.
- 4. But this death is of so much value and price, on this account; because the person who endured it is not only, truly and perfectly, a holy Man, but also, the only begotten Son of God, of the same eternal and infinite essence with God the Father and the Holy Spirit, such as it behoved our Saviour to be. Finally, because his death was conjoined with the feeling of the wrath and curse of God, which we by our sins had deserved.

<sup>\*</sup> Isa. xlv. 21. Rom. iii. 25, 26.

<sup>†</sup> Isa. liii. 4—6. 10, 11. 2 Cor. v. 21. Gal. iii. 13. 1 Pet. ii. 24. iii. 18.

<sup>‡</sup> John i. 29. 1 John ii. 2. Prayer of consecration. Communica Service. Catechism, second instruction from the articles of the creed.

- 5. Moreover, the promise of the Gospel is, that whoseever believeth in Christ crucified, shall not perish, but have everlasting life. Which promise ought to be announced and proposed, promiscuously and indiscriminately, to all nations and men, to whom God in his good pleasure hath sent the Gospel, with the command to repent and believe.
- 6. But because many who are called by the Gospel do not repent, nor believe in Christ, but perish in unbelief; this doth not arise from defect or insufficiency of the sacrifice offered by Christ upon the cross, but from their own fault.
- 7. But to as many as truly believe, and through the death of Christ, are delivered and saved from sin and condemnation, this benefit comes from the sole grace of God, which he owes to no man, given them in Christ from eternity.†
- 8. For this was the most free counsel, and gracious will and intention of God the Father, that the life-giving and saving efficacy, of the most precious death of his own Son, should exert itself in all the elect, in order to give them alone justifying faith, and thereby to lead them to eternal life: that is, God willed that Christ, through the blood of the cross, (by which he confirmed the new covenant) should, out of every people, tribe, nation, and language, efficaciously redeem all those, and those only, who were from eternity

<sup>\*</sup> John iii. 19, 20. v. 44. Heb. iii. 5.

<sup>†</sup> John i. 12. 1 Cor. xv. 10. Phil. i. 29. 2 Thess. ii. 11—14. 'We believe, that God, (after that the whole race of Adam had been thus precipitated into perdition and destruction, by the fault of the first man) demonstrated himself to be such as he is in reality, and to have acted as such, (præstitisse) namely, both merciful and just; mercipul indeed in delivering and saving from damnation and death (interritu) those, whom in his eternal counsel, according to his gratuitous goodness by Jesus Christ our Lord, he elected, without any respect to their works: but just, in leaving others in that their own fall and perdition, into which they had cast themselves headlong.' Belgick Confession, Article xvi.

chosen to salvation, and given to him by the Father; that he should confer on them the gift of faith; (which, as well as other saving gifts of the Holy Spirit, he obtained by his death;) that he should cleanse them by his own blood from all sins, both original and actual, committed after, as well as before faith; that he should preserve them faithfully to the end; and at length present them glorious before himself without any spot and blomish.

9. This counsel, having proceeded from eternal love to the Elect, from the beginning of the world to this present time, the gates of hell in vain striving against it, has been mightily fulfilled, and will henceforth also be fulfilled: so that indeed the elect may in their time be gathered together in one, and that there may always be some church of believers founded in the blood of Christ, who may constantly love the Saviour, who for her, as a Bridegroom for his bride, gave up his soul upon the cross; and perseveringly worship and celebrate him, here and to all eternity.

These nine articles are thus abbreviated by Tilenus and Heylin.

## ART. II. Of the Merit and Effect of Christ's Death.

'That Jesus Christ hath not suffered death, but for those elect only; having neither any intent nor commandment from the Father, to make satisfaction for the sins of the whole world.'t

<sup>\*</sup> John vi. 87—40, 44, 65. Bph. v. 25—27. 1 Pet. i. 2—5. Rev. v. 9, 10. † See Articles iv. v.

Rejection of Errors on the Second Chapter.

The orthodox doctrine having been explained, the Synod rejects the errors of those,

- 1. Who teach, 'That God the Father destined his own Son unto the death of the cross, without a certain and definite counsel of saving any one by name, (nominatim)\* so that its own necessity, utility, and meritoriousness, (dig-'nitas) might be established unimpaired (sarta tecta) to the ' benefit obtained (impetrationi) by the death of Christ, and be perfect in its measures (numeris,) and complete and entire. even if the obtained redemption had not, in the fact, been ap-'plied to any individual.' For this assertion is contumelious to the wisdom of God and the merit of Jesus Christ, and is contrary to Scripture; as the Saviour says: "I lay down "my life for the sheep, and I know them." John x. 15. 27. And the prophet Isaiah concerning the Saviour: "When he 44 shall give himself a sacrifice for sin, he shall see his seed. "he shall prolong his days, and the will of Jehovah shall " prosper in his hand." Is. liii. 10. And finally, it overturns the article of faith by which we 'believe the church.'+
- 2. Who teach 'That this was not the end of the 'death of Christ, that he might, in very deed, confirm the 'new covenant of grace through his blood; but only that 'he might acquire a bare right to the Father of entering 'again into some covenant with men, either of grace or of 'works.' For this contradicts the Scripture, which teaches, that "Christ is become the Surety and Mediator of a better "covenant." Heb. vii. 22. And a testament is at length ratified in those that are dead. Heb. ix. 15. 17.

<sup>\*</sup> Rev. xiii. 8. xvii. 8. xx. 15.

<sup>+</sup> For in this case there might possibly have been no "church of God, which he hath purchased with his own blood." Acts xx. 28.

<sup>‡</sup> Isa. xlii. 6. xlix. 8. Dan. ix. 27. Matt. xxvi. 28. Mark xiv. 24. Gr. Heb. ix. 13—23. xiii. 20.

- 3. Who teach that 'Christ, by his satisfaction did not with certainty (certo) merit that very salvation and faith, by which this satisfaction of Christ may be effectually applied unto salvation; but only that he acquired to the Father, power, and a plenary will, of acting anew with men, and of prescribing whatever new conditions he willed, the performance of which might depend on the free will of man; and therefore it might so happen either that none or that all might fulfil them.' Now these think far too meanly of the death of Christ; they in no wise acknowledge the principal fruit, or benefit obtained by it, and recal from hell the Pelagian heresy.\*
- 4. Who teach that 'That new covenant of grace, which God the Father, through the intervention of the death of Christ, hath ratified with men, does not consist in this, that by faith, so far as it apprehends the merit of Christ, we are justified before God and saved; but in this, that God, having abrogated the exaction of perfect legal obedience, imputes (reputet) faith itself, and the imperfect obedience of faith, for the perfect obedience of the law, and graciously reckons it as deserving of the reward of eternal life.' For these contradict the scripture: "They are justified freely by his grace, through the redemption made in Jesus Christ, whom God hath set forth as a propitiation, through faith in his blood." Rom. iii. 24, 25.
- \* That so large a body of learned theologians, collected from various churches, should unanimously, and without besitation and in so streng language, declare-the error here rejected, to be the revival of the Pelagian heresy, may indeed astonish and disgust numbers in our age and land, who oppose something, at least exceedingly like this, against the doctrines called evangelical; but it should lead them to reflect on the subject, and to pray over it. Are they not, in opposing Calvinism, reviving and propagating the heresy of Pelagius?

+ 'We of good reason and right, say with divine Paul, That "we are justified by faith alone," or "by faith without the works of "the law." But, properly speaking, we by no means understand, that

- 5. Who teach that 'All men are taken into a state of re'conciliation and the grace of the covenant; so that no
  'one on account of original sin is liable to damnation, or
  'to be damned; but that all are exempt from the condem'nation of this sin.' For this opinion opposes the scripture,
  affirming, that "By nature we are the children of wrath."
  - 6. Who usurp the distinction of impetration and appli-
- faith by itself, or of itself, justifies us; seeing it is that which becomes indeed as an instrument, by which we apprehend Christ our Righteousness. Christ therefore himself is our Righteousness, who imputes unto us all his own merits; but faith is an instrument, by which we are joined to him in the society or communion of all his goods, and are retained in it: insomuch that all these having been made our's, are more than sufficient for us for our absolution from sins.' Belgick Confession, Art. xxii.
- \* See on the third article of the Rejection of Errors, concerning divine Predestination. 'Original sin, the fault and corruption of 'every man that is naturally engendered of the offspring of Adam, in every person born into this world, deserveth God's wrath and damination. And although there is no condemnation for them that believe, and are baptized; yet the apostle doth confess, that concupiscence and lust hath of itself the nature of sin.' Art. ix.' Church of England.
- We believe, that the disobedience of Adam's sin, which they call coriginal, (eriginis), hath been spread abroad, and poured out upon the whole human race. But original sin is the corruption of the whole nature, and hereditary vice, by which even infants themselves, in the mothers' womb are polluted: and which, as a certain noxious root, shoots forth (progerminat) every kind of sins in man; and is so base and execrable before God, that it suffices for the condemnation of the whole human race. Neither is it to be believed, that it is entirely extinguished or pulled up by the roots in baptism; seeing that from it, as from a corrupt fountain, perpetual streams and rivulets con-'tinually arise and flow forth; though it does not fall out to condem-'nation, and is not imputed, to the children of God: but is remitted to them by the pure grace and mercy of God; not that they should fall asleep confiding in this remission; but that it should excite the ' more frequent groans (gemitus) in the faithful; and that they should more ardently desire to be freed from this body of death. Hence we condemn the error of the Pelagians, who assert, that original sin is 'nothing but imitation.' Phil. ii. 13. John xv. 5. Psalm li. 7. Rom. iii. 10. Gen. vi. 3. John iii. 6. Rom. v. 14. Eph. ii. 5. Rom. vii. 18-94. Belgick Confession.

cation, that they may instil this opinion into the unwary and inexperienced; that God, as far as pertained to him, had willed to confer equally upon all men the benefits which were acquired by the death of Christ: and that some rather than others (præ aliis) should be partakers of the remission of sins and eternal life, this discrimination depended on their free will, applying to themselves of the grace indifferently offered; not from an especial gift of mercy operating effectually in them, that they, rather than others, should apply to themselves this grace. For these, while they pretend to propose to themselves this distinction in a wholesome sense, endeavour to give the people a taste of the pernicious poison of Pelagianism.\*

7. Who teach that 'Christ neither could nor ought to die, neither did he die, for those whom God especially (summe) loved and chose to eternal life, when to such there was no need of the death of Christ.' For they contradict the apostle, saying, "Christ loved me, and gave himself for me." Gal. ii. 20. Also, "Who can lay any thing to the charge of God's elect? It is God that justifieth. Who is "he that condemneth? It is Christ who died:" Rom. viii. 32, 34, doubtless, for them. And the Saviour who declared, "I lay down my life for my sheep." John x. 15. And, "This is my command, that ye love one another, as "I have loved you; greater love hath no man than this, "that he lay down his life for his friends." John xv. 12, 13.

<sup>\* 1</sup> Cor. xv. 10. Eph. ii. 3-6. Tit. iii. 4-6. Art. x. of the Church of England, on Free-will.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;We believe, that the Holy Spirit dwelling in our hearts imparts unto us true faith, that we may attain to the true knowledge of this so great a mystery; which faith embraces Jesus Christ, with all his merits, and claims it to itself, as its proper effect, and seeks thenceforth nothing beyond him. Belgick Confession. Art. xxii.

### CHAPTERS III. & IV.

Of the Doctrine of Man's Corruption, and of the Method of his Conversion to God.

- 1. Man, from the beginning was created in the image of God, adorned in his mind, with the true and saving knowledge of his Creator and of spiritual things, with righteousness in his will and heart, and purity in all his affections, and thus was altogether holy; but, by the instigation of the devil and his own free will (libera sua voluntate,) revolting from God, he bereaved himself of these inestimable gifts; and, on the contrary, in their place, contracted in himself blindness, horrible darkness, and perversity of judgment in the mind; malice, rebellion, hardness, in the will and heart; and finally, impurity in all his affections.
- 2. And such as man was after the fall, such children also he begat: namely, being corrupted, corrupt ones; corruption having been derived from Adam to all his posterity, (Christ only excepted,) not by imitation as the Pelagians formerly would have it, but by the propagation of a vicious nature, through the just judgment of God.\*
- 3. Therefore, all men are conceived in sin, and born the children of wrath, indisposed (inepti) to all saving good, propense to evil, dead in sins, and the slaves of sin; and,
- \* Hence we condemn the error of the Pelagians, who assert that this original sin (peccatum originals) is no other thing than imitation.' Belgick Confession, Art. xv.
- 'Original sin standeth not in the following of Adam (in imitations Adams) as the Pelagians do vainly talk (fabulantur); but it is the fault and corruption of the nature of every man, that naturally is engendered of the offspring of Adam, whereby man is very far gone (quam longissime distes) from original righteousness, and is of his own nature inclined to evil, &c. Art. ix. Church of England.'

without the grace of the regenerating Holy Spirit, they neither are willing nor able to return to God, to correct their deprayed nature, or to dispose 4hemselves to the correction of it.\*

- 4. There is indeed remaining in man, since the fall, some light of nature, by the help of which, he retains certain notions concerning God and natural things; concerning the difference of things honourable and shameful, and manifests some desire after virtue and external discipline; but, so far from his being able, by this light of nature, to attain to the saving knowledge of God, or to turn himself to him, he does not use it rightly in natural and civil things: nay, indeed, whatever thing it may at length be, he contaminates it all in various ways, and holds it in unright-cousness, which when he does he is rendered inexcusable before God.†
- 5. The reason (or purport or purpose, ratio) of the decalogue, particularly delivered from God, by Moses, to the Jews, is the same as that of the light of nature; for when indeed it exposes the magnitude of sin, and more and more convicts man of guilt; yet it neither discloses a remedy, nor confers the power of emerging from misery; so that, being rendered weak through the transgression of the flesh,

<sup>\*</sup> See on Rejection of Errors, chap. ii. Art. 6.

<sup>†</sup> Man, by the fall 'entirely withdrew himself from God, (his true 'life), and alienated himself, his nature having been wholly vitiated and 'corrupted by his sin; by which it came to pass, that he rendered himself 'obnoxious, as well to corporeal, as to spiritual death. Therefore having become wicked and perverse, and in all his ways and pursuits (studies) 'corrupt, he lost all those excellent gifts, with which he (God) had adorned him; so that only small sparks and slender remains (restigis) of them are left to him, which yet suffice to render men inexcusable; because whatever there is in us of light, hath been turned into blind 'darknesses.'\* Belgick Confession, Art. xiv.

<sup>\*</sup> Rom, i. 18. 29. li. 1. 12. 16. Epb. iv. 17-19-

it leaves him under the curse, and man cannot through it obtain saving grace.\*

- 6. What, therefore, neither the light of nature nor the law could do, that God performs by the power of the Holy Spirit, through the word, or the ministry of reconciliation; which is the gospel concerning the Messiah, by which it hath pleased God to save believers, as well under the Old, as under the New Testament.
- 7. God revealed this mystery of his own will to fewer persons under the Old Testament; but now, the distinction of people being taken away, he manifests it to more. The cause of which dispensation is not to be ascribed to the dignity (or worthiness) of one nation above another, or to the better use of the light of nature; but to the most free good pleasure and gratuitous love of God.—Therefore they to whom, beyond and contrary to all merit, such grace is given (fit) ought to acknowledge it with a humble and thankful heart: in respect of the rest to whom this grace is not given, to adore with the apostle the severity and justice of the judgments of God, but by no means to scrutinize them curiously.‡
- 8. But as many as are invited by the gospel, are invited sincerely (or in earnest, serio). For sincerely and most truly God shews in his word, what is pleasing to him; namely, that they who are called should come to him. And he sincerely promises to all who come to him, and believe, the peace of their souls and eternal life.
  - 9. That many, who are called by the ministry of the

<sup>\*</sup> Rom. iii. 20. v. 20. viii. 3. 2 Cer. iii. 7. 9. Gal. iii. 10. 22.

<sup>+</sup> Rom. viii. 3. Gal. iii. 22. Heb. iv. 1, 2. xi. 7. Both In the Old and New Testament, everlasting life is offered to mankind by Christ, who is the only Mediator between God and man, being both God and man.'—Art. vii. Church of England.

<sup>4</sup> See Rejection of Errors on first chapter, Art. ix.

Matt. xxii. 4-10. John vi. 37-40. Rev. xxi. 6. xxii. 17.

gospel, do not come and are not converted, the fault of this is not in the gospel, nor in Christ offered by the gospel, nor in God inviting by the gospel, and conferring various gifts on them; but in the persons themselves who are invited: some of whom being regardless, (or unconcerned securi,) do not admit the word of eternal life: others indeed admit it, (admittunt,) but do not receive (immittant) it into their heart, so that they turn back after an evanescent joy of temporary faith; and others choke the seed of the word with the thorns of the cares and pleasures of the world, and bring forth no fruit; as our Saviour teaches us in the parable of the sower. Matt. xiii.\*

10. And that others, who are called by the ministry of the gospel, do come and are converted, this is not to be ascribed to man, as if distinguishing himself by free-will (libero arbitrio) from others, furnished with equal or sufficient grace for faith and conversion, (which the proud heresy of Pelagius states,) but to God, who, as he chose his own people in Christ from eternity, so he also effectually calls them in time; gives them repentance and faith; and, having been rescued (erutos) from the power of darkness, translates them into the kingdom of his Son, that they may declare his energies (virtutes) who called them out of darkness into this marvellous light; and glory, not in themselves but in God:—the apostolick scripture every where testifying this.+

<sup>\*</sup> Luke vii. 19-15. John iii. 19-21. Heb. iii. 12. Gr. iv. 2.

<sup>†</sup> Whatever things are delivered to us concerning the free-will (libero arbitrio) of man, these we deservedly reject; because he is the slave of sin; and man can do nothing of himself, unless it hath been given to him from heaven. For who will dare to boast that he can perform whatsoever things he shall will; when Christ himself saith, "No one can come unto me, except the Father who sent me, shall draw him?" Who will boast his own will, who hears, that "the affections of the flesh are enmittee against God?" Who will glory in his understanding, who knows that the animal man is not capable of those things which are of the Spirit of God? In fine, who will bring forward (preferat in medium)

- 11. But, when God performs his good pleasure in his elect, or works in them true conversion, he not only provides that the Gospel should be outwardly preached to them, and that their mind should be powerfully illuminated by the Holy Spirit, that they may rightly understand, and judge what are the things of the Spirit of God; but he also, by the efficacy of the same regenerating Spirit, penetrates into the innermost recesses of man, opens his closed heart, softens his obdurate heart, circumcises his uncircumcised heart, infuses new qualities into his will, makes that which had been dead alive, that which was evil good, that which had been unwilling willing, and from being refractory, obedient; and leads and strengthens it, that as a good tree, it may be able to bring forth the fruit of good works.\*
- 12. And this is that regeneration, which is so much declared in the scriptures, a new creation, a resurrection from the dead, a giving of life, (vivificatio,) which God without us (that is, without our concurrence) worketh in us. And this is by no means effected by the doctrine alone sounding without, by moral suasion, or by such a mode of working, that after the operation of God (as far as he is concerned) it should remain in the power of man, to be regenerated or not regenerated, converted or not converted; but it is manifestly an operation supernatural, at the same time

any thought of his own, who understands, that "we are not sufficient of ourselves to think any thing as of ourselves," but that we are sufficient, all this is of God? That which the apostle hath said ought to remain certain and firm: "It is God who worketh in us, both that we may be willing, and that we may effect (ii) of his own most gratuitous benevolence." Phil. ii. 13. For no mind no will acquiesces in the will of God, in which Christ himself hath not first worked; which he also teacheth, saying, "Without me ye are able to do nothing." John xv. 5. Belgick Confession, Art. xiv.

<sup>\*</sup> Deut. xxx. 6. Ps. cx. 3. Bible translation. Jer. xxxi. 33. xxxii. 39. Ex. xi. 19. xxxvi. 25, 26. Zech. xii. 10. Matt. xi. 25, 20. John i. 19. iii. 3—6. vi. 44, 45. 65. Eph. ii. 4, 5. Phil. i. 13. Col. i. 13. 1 These. ii. 13, 14. Tit. iii. 4—6. 1 Pet. i. 3. ii. 9, 10.

most powerful, and most sweet, wonderful, secret, and ineffable in its power, according to the scripture (which is inspired by the Author of this operation) not less than, or inferior to, creation, or the resurrection of the dead: so that all those, in whose hearts God works in this admirable manner, are, certainly, infallibly, and efficaciously regenerated, and in fact (actu) believe. And thus their will, being now renewed, is not only influenced and moved by God, but being acted on by God, itself acts and moves. Wherefore, the man himself, through this grace received, is rightly said to believe and repent.

- 13. Believers cannot in this life, fully comprehend the manner of this operation: in the mean time they acquiesce in it; because, by this grace of God, they know and feel, that they believe in their heart and love their Saviour.
- 14. Thus, therefore, faith is the gift of God; not in that it is offered to the will of man by God, but that the thing itself is conferred on him, inspired, infused into him. Not even that God only confers the power of believing, but from thence expects the consent, or the act of believing: but that he, who worketh both to will and to do, worketh in man both to will to believe, and to believe itself, (et velle credere et ipsum credere,) and thus he worketh all things in all.‡

15. This grace God owes to no one. For what can be owe to him, who is able to give nothing first, that he may be recompensed? Nay, what can he owe to him, who has nothing of his own but sin and a lie? He therefore, who

<sup>\*</sup> John v. 21. 24, 25. Rom. vi. 4—6. viii. 2. 2 Cor. v. 17, 18. Gal. vi. 15. Eph. i. 19, 20. ii. 6. 10. Col. ii. 12, 13. iii. 1.

<sup>†</sup> Jer. xxxi. 18, 19. Acts iii. 19. v. 31. Rom. viii. 13. 2 Tim. ii. 25, 26. 1 Pet. i. 22.

<sup>‡ &#</sup>x27;We believe that the Holy Spirit dwelling in our hearts doth 'impart to us true faith.' Belgick Confession, Art. xxii-

<sup>§</sup> Rom. zi. 25.

receives this grace, owes and renders everlasting thanks to God: he who receives it not, either does not care for those spiritual things, and rests satisfied within himself; or, being secure, he vainly glories that he possesses, what he has not. Moreover concerning those who outwardly profess faith, and amend their lives, it is best to judge and speak after the example of the apostles; for the inmost recesses (penetralia) of the heart, are to us impenetrable. As for those who have not yet been called, it behoves us to pray to God, who calls the things which are not, as though they were: but in no wise are we to act proudly against them (adversus superbiendum eos est) as if we had made ourselves to differ.\*

16. But in like manner, as by the fall man does not cease to be man, endowed with intellect and will, neither hath sin, which has pervaded the whole human race, taken away the nature of the human species, but it hath depraved and spiritually stained it; so even this divine grace of regeneration does not act upon men like stocks and trees, nor take away the proprieties (or properties, proprietates) of his will, or violently compel it while unwilling; but it spiritually quickens, (or vivifies,) heals, corrects, and sweetly. and at the same time, powerfully inclines it: so that whereas before it was wholly governed by the rebellion and resistance of the flesh, now, prompt and sincere obedience of the Spirit may begin to reign; in which the renewal of our spiritual will and our liberty truly consist. In which manner, (or for which reason,) unless the admirable Author of all good should work in us, there could be no hope to man of rising from the fall, by that free-will, by which when standing, he fell into ruin.+

<sup>\*</sup> Rom. xi. 18-90. 1 Cor. iv. 6, 7.

<sup>†</sup> A more lucid and scriptural exposition of the efficacious influence, by which the regenerating, life-giving, illuminating grace of the Holy Spirit, draws, teaches, and inclines the heart, to willing and sweet submission and obedience, can hardly be produced from any writer. 2 Cor. x. 5.

17. But in the same manner as the omnipotent operation of God, whereby he produces and supports our natural life, doth not exclude, but require the use of means, by which God in his infinite wisdom and goodness sees fit to exercise this his power: so this fore-mentioned supernatural power of God by which he regenerates us, in no wise excludes, or sets aside the use of the gospel, which the most wise God hath ordained as the seed of regeneration and the food of the soul. Wherefore, as the apostles, and those teachers who followed them, have piously instructed the people concerning this grace of God, in order to his glory and to the keeping down of all pride; in the mean time neither have they neglected (being admonished by the holy gospel) to keep them under the exercise of the word, the sacraments, and discipline: so then, be it far from us, that teachers or learners in the church should presume to tempt God, by separating those things, which God, of his own good pleasure, would have most closely united together. For grace is conferred through admonitions, and the more promptly we do our duty, the more illustrious the benefit of God, who worketh in us, is wont to be, and the most rightly doth his work proceed. To whom alone, all the glory, both of the means and their beneficial fruits and efficacy, is due for everlasting. Amen.\*

These seventeen articles are abbreviated, as above stated, in these two that follow.

## ART. III. Of Man's Will in a State of Nature.

'That by Adam's fall his posterity lost their free-will, being put to an unavoidable necessity to do, or not to do, whatsoever they do or do not, whether it be good or

<sup>•</sup> Can any statement be more rational, unexceptionable, and scriptural than this is?

'evil; being thereunto predestinated by the eternal and 'effectual secret decree of God.'

## ART. IV. Of the Manner of Conversion.

'That God, to save his elect from the corrupt mass, doth beget faith in them, by a power equal to that whereby he created the world and raised up the dead: insomuch, that such unto whom he gives grace cannot reject, and the rest, being reprobate, cannot accept it.\*

## Rejection of Errors on the Third and Fourth Chapters.

The orthodox doctrine having been set forth, the Synod rejects the errors of those,

- 1. Who teach that 'It cannot properly be said, that 'original sin (peccatum originis) suffices of itself for the condemnation of the whole human race, or the desert of temporal and eternal punishments:' For they contradict the apostle, who says, Rom. v. 12. "By one man sin entered into the world, and death by sin; and so death passed upon all men, for that all have sinned." And ver. 16. "By one man the offence entered unto condemnation." Also, Rom. vi. 23. "The wages of sin is death."
- 2. Who teach that 'Spiritual gifts, or good habits and 'virtues, such as kindness, sanctity, and justice, could have
- \* Let the candid reader compare carefully the seventeen articles above given, with these two abbreviated articles, and then judge for himself, whether such a reporter deserves even the least credit or confidence.
- † 'Original sin is so base and execrable, that it suffices to the condemnation of the whole human race.' Belgick Confession, Art. xv. God saw that man had so cast himself into the condemnation of death,
- 'both corporeal and spiritual, and was made altogether miserable and accursed.' Ibid. Art. xvii. 'In every person born into the world, it
- 'accursed.' Ibid. Art. xvii. 'In every person born into the world, it deserveth God's wrath and damnation.' Art. ix. Church of England.

- on place in the will of man when he was first created, and therefore, neither in the fall, could they be separated from it.' For this opposes (pugnat eum) the description of the image of God, which the apostle states in Eph. iv. 24. where he describes it (as consisting) "in righteousness and holiness," which have a place in the will altogether.
- 3. Who teach that 'Spiritual gifts are not separated from the will of man in spiritual death, as it (the will) never was corrupted in itself, but only impeded by the darkness of the mind, and the irregularity of the affections; which impediments being removed, it may be able to exert the free power planted (insitam) in it; that is, it might of itself will or choose, or not will or choose, whatever good was proposed to it.' This is new and erroneous; even so far as it causes the power of free-will to be exalted, against the words of the prophet, Jeremiah xvii. 9. "The heart is de-"ceitful above all things and perverse:" and the apostle, Eph. ii. 3. "Among whom (contumacious men) we all had our conversation in times past, in the lusts of our flesh, "fulfilling the desires of the flesh and of the thoughts."
- 4. Who teach that 'Man unregenerate is neither properly 'nor totally dead in sins, or destitute of all power for what 'is spiritually good; but that he can hunger and thirst after 'righteousness or life, and offer the sacrifice of a broken and 'contrite spirit, which is accepted by God:' For these things are contrary to the open testimonies of Scripture, Ephes. ii. 14. "Ye were dead in trespasses and sins." And

<sup>&</sup>quot;that we should will, and that we should do, of his own free benevolence;" for no mind, no will, acquiesces in the will of God, in which Christ himself hath not first operated.' Belgick Confession, Art. xiv. 'We have no power to do good works, pleasant and acceptable to God, without the grace of God by Christ preventing us, that we may have a good will; and working with us, when we have that good will.' Art. x. Church of England.

Gen. vi. 5. and viii. 21. "The imagination of the thoughts "of man's heart is only evil continually." Moreover, to hunger and thirst after deliverance from misery, and for life—and to offer unto God, the sacrifice of a contrite spirit, is the part of the regenerate, and of those who are said to be blessed. Ps. li. 19. 1 Chron. xxix. 14. Matt. v. 6.

5. Who teach that 'Man, corrupt, animal, (\psi vxixos) can 'so rightly use common grace, which in them is the light of nature, and the gifts remaining after the fall, that by this good use he may obtain greater grace, for instance, 'evangelical or saving, and gradually may obtain salvation itself: And on this account God hath shewed himself ready, on his part, to reveal Christ to all, seeing that he administers to all, sufficiently and efficaciously, the necessary means to the revelation of Christ, faith and repentance.' For, besides the experience of all ages, this is testified to be false by the Scripture: Ps. cxlvii. 19, 20. "He sheweth "his words unto Jacob, his statutes and laws unto Israel: "he hath not done so unto any other people, neither have "they known his laws." Acts xvi. 16. "God permitted in " past ages all the nations to walk in their own ways." Acts xvi. 6, 7. "They were forbidden (Paul and his compa-"nions) by the Holy Ghost to preach the word of God in "Asia." And, "When they were come into Mysia, they " endeavoured to go towards Bithynia, but the Spirit suf-" fered them not." \*

<sup>\*</sup> The matter of fact, that all those, who enjoy the means of grace in the greatest abundance, do not profit by them; is as undeniable, as that all nations are not favoured with the means of grace: but to speak of those things as sufficient and efficacious, which in the case of a vast majority prove insufficient and inefficacious, must surely be unreasonable; especially as to them the Gospel itself proves "a savour of death unto death." That "Paul may plant and Apollos may water," but that God alone can give "the increase," is most manifest to those, who have the deepest experience, and have made the most accurate and long-continued observation, on the event of the wisest, most loving,

- 6. Who teach, that 'In the true conversion of man. there cannot be new qualities, habits, or gifts, infused by God into his will: and so faith, by which we are first converted, and from which we are called the faithful, is 'not a quality or gift infused by God; but only an act of man, nor can it be otherwise called a gift, than with respect to the power of attaining it.' For these contradict the holy Scriptures, which testify that God doth infuse new qualities of faith, obedience, and a sense of his love into our hearts. Jer. xxxi. 33. "I will put my law into "their mind and will write it in their heart." Isa. xliv. 3. I will pour water on him that is a-thirst, and rivers upon "the dry ground: I will pour out my Spirit on thy seed." Rom. v. 5. "The love of God which is shed abroad in our "hearts by the Holy Spirit which is given to us." They also contradict the constant practice of the church, according to the prophet, praying - "Convert thou me, and I "shall be converted." Jer. xxxi. 18, 19. (Ez. xi. 19, 20. xxxvi. 25-27. Eph. i. 19, 20, ii. 8-10.)
- 7. Who teach, 'That the grace, by which we are con-'verted to God, is nothing else than gentle suasion; or (as 'others explain it) the most noble method of acting in the

and most scriptural instructions. 1 Cor. iii. 6, 7.—Rnough has been quoted from the Belgick Confession to shew that this error was as contrary to that document, as to any article of the Synod of Dort.—'The condition of man after the fall of Adam, is such, that he cannot turn or prepare himself, by his own natural strength and good works, to faith and calling upon God.' 'Works done before the grace of Christ, and 'the inspiration of his Spirit, are not pleasant to God; forasmuch as 'they spring not of faith in Jesus Christ; neither do they make men 'meet to receive grace, or (as the school authors say) deserve grace of congruity: yea rather, for that they are not done as God hath willed 'and commanded them to be done, we doubt not but they have the nature of sin.' Art. x. xiii. Ch. of Eng.—He, who is well versed in this controversy, is aware, that the doctrine here condemned, comprises the very hinge, on which the whole turns: if false, Calvinists (in the modern use of the word) are right; if true, Anti-calvinists are right.

conversion of man, and the most suitable (convenientissi-'mum) to human nature, is that which is done by suasions, and that nothing hinders that moral grace alone should reader animal (natural, \psi \chi \chi \chi \chi \chi) men spiritual; indeed God 'produces the consent of the will no otherwise than by 'moral reason; and the efficacy of divine grace, by which he overcomes the operation of Satan, consists in this, that 'God promises eternal benefits, and Satan temporal ones.' For this is altogether Pelagian, and contrary to the whole Scripture, which, besides this, acknowledges also another, and far more effectual and divine mode of acting of the Holy Spirit in man's conversion. Ezek. xxxvi. 26, "I will "give you a new heart, and I will put a new spirit within "you; and I will take away the heart of stone and give "you a heart of flesh, &c." - "Except a man be born "again, he cannot see the kingdom of God." John iii. 3-6. "The natural man (ψυχικος) receiveth not the things of the "Spirit of God, neither can he know them, because they "are spiritually discerned." 1 Cor. ii. 14.

8. Who teach that 'God does not apply those powers of his own omnipotence in the regeneration of man, by which he mightily and infallibly bends his will to faith and conversion; but all the operations of grace having been employed (positis) which God makes use of in man's conversion, man nevertheless can so resist God and the Spirit, intending his regeneration and willing to regenerate him, and in very deed (ipso actu) often doth so resist, as entirely to hinder his own regeneration, and thus it remains in his own power, whether he will be regenerated or not.' For this is no other than taking away all the efficacy of God's grace in our conversion, and subjecting the act of Almighty God to the will of man, and contradicts the apostles, who teach that "We believe through the efficacy of the mighty power of God." Ephes. i. 19. and

that "God fills up in us the good pleasure of his goodness, "and the work of faith with power." 2 Thess. i. 11. Also, that "His divine power hath given us all things which "pertain to life and godliness." 2 Pet. i. 3. "Thy people "shall be willing in the day of thy power." "It is God "that worketh in us both to will and to do." The want of the willing mind is the grand thing wanting, and until this is wrought in us, we "do always resist the Holy Ghost." Ps. cx. 4. Phil. i. 13.

- 9. Who teach that 'Grace and free-will are partial causes 'concurring at the same time, to the beginning of conversion; nor doth grace, in the order of causality, precede 'the efficacy of the will: that is, God does not effectually 'help the will of man to conversion, before the will of man 'moves and determines itself.' For this dogma the ancient church long ago condemned in Pelagians, from the apostle, Rom. ix. 16. "It is not of him that willeth, nor of him "that runneth, but of God that sheweth mercy." And I Cor. iv. 7. "Who maketh thee to differ? And what hast "thou that thou didst not receive?" Also, Phil. ii. 13. "It "is God who worketh in you this very thing, to will and "to do of his good pleasure."\*
- \* Almighty God, we humbly beseech thee, that, as by thy special grace preventing us, thou dost put into our minds good desires, so, &c. (Collect Rast. Sund. Ch. Eng.)

### CHAPTER V, of Doctrine.

Concerning the Perseverance of the Saints.

- 1. Those whom God, according to his purpose, calleth to the fellowship of his Son our Lord Jesus Christ, and regenerates by the Holy Spirit, he indeed sets free from the dominion and slavery of sin, but not entirely in this life from the flesh and the body of sin.
  - 2. Hence daily sins of infirmity arise, and blemishes (nævi) cleave to the best works even of the saints; which furnish to them continual cause (materiam) of humbling themselves before God, of fleeing to Christ crucified, of mortifying the flesh more and more by the spirit of prayers, and the holy exercises of piety, and of panting after the goal of perfection (ad perfectionis metam suspirandi) until the time when, delivered from this body of death, they shall reign with the Lamb of God in the heavens.
    - 3. Because of these remains of in-dwelling sin, and
  - \* They who constitute the true church; 'such a mark of them is the faith, by which Christ, or their only Saviour, being apprehended, they flee from sin and follow after righteousness; at the same time, they love the true God and their neighbours, neither turning aside to the right hand nor to the left; they crucify the flesh with its affections; but by no means this indeed, as if there were not in them any longer infirmity: but that they fight against it through the whole time of their life, by the energy (virtutem) of the Holy Spirit; and in the mean time they flee to the blood, the death, and the sufferings and obedience of our Lord Christ, as to their most safe protection.' Belgick Confession, Art. xxix. Rom. vii. 21—25. viii. 1, 2. Gal. v. 16, 17. 24. See Art. ix. Ch. Eng.—The Remonstrants or Arminians of those days held, it seems, the doctrine of sinless perfection in this life more generally than Anticalvinists do at present.
  - † 'Not that they should slumber, trusting in this remission, but that 'the feeling of this corruption may excite in the faithful more frequent groans; and that they may wish more ardently to be freed from this body of death. Rom. vii. 18. 24.' Belgick Confession, Art. xv.

moreover also, the temptations of the world and of Satan, the converted could not continue (perstare) in this grace, if they were left to their own strength. But God is faithful, who confirms them in the grace once mercifully conferred on them, and powerfully preserves them in the same even unto the end.\*

- 4. But though that power of God, confirming the truly faithful (ver) fideles) in grace, and preserving them, is greater than what can be overcome by the flesh; yet the converted are not always so influenced and moved by God, that they cannot depart, in certain particular actions, from the leading of grace, and be seduced by the desires (concupiscentiis) of the flesh, and obey them. Wherefore, they must continually watch and pray, lest they should be led into temptations. Which when they do not, they may be not only violently carried away by the flesh, and the world, and Satar, unto grievous and atrocious sins; but they are sometimes even thus violently carried away, by the righteous permission of God; which the mournful falls of David and Peter, and of other saints recorded in scripture, demonstrate. +
- 5. But by such enormous sins they exceedingly offend God: they incur the guilt of death, they grieve the Holy Spirit, they interrupt the exercise of faith, they most grievously wound conscience, and they sometimes lose, for a time, the perception of grace; until by serious repentance, returning into the way, the paternal countenance of God again shines upon them.
- 6. For God, who is rich in mercy, from his immutable purpose of election, does not wholly take away his Holy Spirit from his own, even in lamentable falls; nor does he

<sup>\*</sup> Prov. xxviii. 26. Jer. xvii. 9. Luke xxii. 31; 32. 1 Pet. i. 5.

<sup>†</sup> Ps. cxix. 116, 117. Matt. xxvi. 40, 41. 69—75. 1 Pet. v. 8. Jude 20, 21. 24. ‡ Ps. li. 11, 12.

so permit them to glide down, (prolabi,) that they should fall from the grace of adoption and the state of justification, or commit the sin unto death, or against the Holy Spirit; that, being deserted by him, they should cast themselves headlong into eternal destruction.\*

- 7. In the first place, he preserves in them, in these falls, that immortal seed, by which they are regenerated, (or begotten again, regeniti,) lest it should perish, or be shaken out.† Then, by his own word and Spirit, he assuredly and efficaciously renews them to repentance; that from the soul they may mourn according to God, for the sins committed; may seek remission in the blood of the Mediator by faith, with a contrite heart, and obtain it; that they may feel the favour of God again reconciled; may adore his mercies by faith; and finally work out their salvation more earnestly with fear and trembling.‡
- 8. So that, not by their own merits or strength, but by the gratuitous mercy of God they obtain it, that they neither totally fall from faith and grace, nor finally continue in their falls and perish. Which as to themselves (quoad ipsos) not only might easily be done, but would without doubt be done; yet, in respect of God, it cannot at all be done, (or take place, fieri,) as, neither can his counsel be changed, his promise fall, their vocation according to his purpose be recalled, the merit, intercession, and guardianship of Christ be rendered void, nor the sealing of the Holy Spirit become vain, or be blotted out.

<sup>•</sup> Luke xxii. 32. John iv. 14. 1 John v. 16-18.

<sup>† 1</sup> Pet. i. 23. 1 John iii. 9.

<sup>‡</sup> Can any thing be guarded in a more wise, holy, and scriptural manner, than this statement of the means, by which God preserves and restores his offending children? Ps. lxxxix. 30—34. Jer. xxxii. 40. 1 Cor. xi. 32. Matt. xxvi. 75. John xxi. 17. 1 Pet. iv. 7. v. 8.

<sup>§</sup> John x. 27—30. xiii. 36. xiv. 19. xvii. 34. Rom. v. 9, 10. viii. 16, 17. 28—39. 2 Cor. i. 2. Bph. i. 13, 14. v. 30.

- 9. Of this guarding of the elect to salvation, and the perseverance in the faith of the truly faithful, (vere fidelium,) the faithful themselves may become certain, (assured) and are, according to the measure of their faith; by which they certainly believe themselves to be, and that they shall perpetually remain, true and living members of the church, have remission of sins, and eternal life.
- 10. And indeed, (truly, proinde,) this certainly is not from any peculiar revolation, made beyond, or without, the world of God; but from the belief of the promises, which God hath most copiously revealed in his own word, for our comfort; by the testimony "of the Holy Spirit witnessing "with our spirit, that we are the sons and heirs of God." (Rom. viii. 16.) Finally, from the earnest (or serious, serio) and holy desire (or pursuit, studio) of a good conscience and good works.† And of this substantial consolation of the victory to be obtained, and the infallible earnest of eternal glory, if the Elect of God could be deprived "in this world, they would of all men be the "most miserable."
- faithful in this life, are assaulted (confictari) with various doubtings of the flesh, and, being placed in heavy temptations, do not always feel this full assurance of faith and certainty of perseverance. But God, "the Father of all "consolation," does not suffer them to be tempted above "their strength, but with the temptation makes some way "of escape" (præstat evasionem, \*\*monon-uccarn). And, by

<sup>\*</sup> May become certain, not, are all of them, or at all times, certain. Heb. vi. 10, 11. 2 Pet. i. 10, 11. 1 John v. 11-13. 19, 20.

<sup>+</sup> Surely this has the stamp of holiness deeply impressed upon it! It is evangelical truth, in that part of it, which is most vehemently accused as tending to laxity of practice, and most frequently mistated by the injudicious, and perverted by enthusiasts and hypocrites, set forth in its genuine and inseparable connection with good works. 1 Cor. xv. 58.

the Holy Spirit, he excites again in the same persons the certainty of perseverance.

- 12. But so far is this certainty of perseverance from rendering the truly faithful proud and carnally secure, that, on the contrary, it is the true root of humility, of filial reverential fear, of true piety, of patience in every conflict, of ardent prayers, of constancy in the cross, and in the confession of the truth, and of solid joy in God: and the consideration of this benefit is the spur (stimulus) to the serious and continual exercise of gratitude and good works; as it appears by the testimonies of the scriptures, and the examples of the saints.
  - 13. Neither even in those, who are reinstated after a fall, doth the renewed confidence of perseverance produce licentiousness, or neglect (incuriam) of piety, but much greater care of solicitously being guarded (or kept) in the ways of God, which are prepared, that by walking in them they may retain the certainty of their own perseverance: lest, on account of the abuse of his paternal benignity, the face of the merciful God, (the contemplation of which is to the pious sweeter than life, and the withdrawing of it more bitter than death,) should again be turned away from them, and so they should fall into heavier torments of the soul.\*
  - 14. But, as it hath pleased God to begin this work in us by the preaching of the gospel; so, by the hearing, reading, meditation of the same, by exhortations, threatenings, promises, and moreover by the use of the sacraments, he preserves, continues, and perfects it.

<sup>•</sup> Ps. lxxxv. 8.

<sup>+</sup> Is not this a full confutation of those who accuse such as hold this doctrine, with rendering all means of grace needless, and all exhortations augutory? The means to be used by the persons themselves, and by others for them, in whatever form they are employed, constitute a

15. This doctrine, concerning the perseverance of the truly believing and saints, and of its certainty, which God hath abundantly revealed in his word, to the glory of his own name and to the comfort of pious souls, and hath impressed on the hearts of the faithful, the flesh indeed doth not receive, Satan hates, the world derides, the inexperienced (imperiti) and hypocrites violently hurry away (rapiunt,) into abuse, and the spirits of error oppose.—But the spouse of Christ hath always most tenderly loved it, as a treasure of inestimable value, and hath constantly defended it (propugnavit), which indeed that she may do God will take care (procurabit), against whom neither counsel can avail, nor any strength succeed. To whom, the only God, Father, Son, and Holy Spirit, be honour and glory for ever and ever. Amen.

These fifteen articles are abbreviated, as has been above stated, in the following article.

## ART. V. Of the Certainty of Perseverance.

'That such as have once received that grace by faith, can never fall from it, finally or totally, notwithstanding the most enormous sins they can commit.

To which is added, 'This is the shortest, and withal the most favourable summary, which I have hitherto met with of the conclusions of this Synod: that which was drawn up by the Remonstrants in their antidotum, being much more large, and comprehending many things by way of inference, which are not positively expressed in the words themselves."

I am not able to annex the Antidotum of the Remon-

part of that counsel and plan, by which God preserves his people, and causes them 'to walk religiously in good works, and at length by his 'mercy they attain to everlasting felicity.' Art. xvii. Ch. Rng. Compare Acts xxvii. 22—24. with 31. and Jude 20, 21. with 24.

strants: yet, I cannot but be disposed to think, that it does not contain a more unfavourable statement of the conclusions made by the Synod of Dort, than that abbreviated in these five articles, though doubtless, it is more prolix. But would not the very articles published by the Synod itself, being produced or commented on, have been far more like a fair and equitable conduct towards it, than any abbreviation, or antidotum, drawn up by its avowed opponents? I trust such would have been the conduct of most Calvinists, in recording the proceedings of an Anti-calvinistick Synod: but, it seems, Calvinists are exceptions to all rules, and have no right to expect fair and equitable treatment from other men.

Rejection of Errors on the Fifth Chapter, concerning the Doctrine of the Perseverance of the Saints.

The orthodox doctrine having been set forth, the Synod rejects the errors of those,

1. Who teach that 'The perseverance of the truly faithful is not the effect of election, or the gift of God obtained by the death of Christ, but a condition of the new covenant, to be performed by man, of free-will, antecedent to his peremptory election and justification, as they them-selves speak.' For, the sacred scripture testifies, that it follows election, and that it is given to the elect, through the power of the death, resurrection, and intercession of Christ. Rom. xi. 7. "The election have obtained; the rest were hardened." ((THO) DATE) Also, Rom. viii. 32. "He who spared not his own Son, but delivered him up for us all, how shall he not with him freely give us all "things? Who shall lay any thing to the charge of God's "elect? It is God that justifieth. Who is he that con-

- "demneth? It is Christ who died; yea, rather who is "risen again, who also sitteth at] the right hand of God, "who likewise intercedeth for us: Who shall separate us from the love of Christ?"
- 2. Who teach that 'God indeed provides the believer, 'with powers sufficient for persevering, and is ready to preserve them in him if he performs his duty: all things, however, being furnished which are necessary to persevering in faith, and which God willeth to supply for the preservation of faith, it always depends upon the freedom of the will whether he will persevere, or not persevere:' For this opinion contains manifest Pelagianism; and, while it willeth to make men free, makes them sacrilegious, contrary to the perpetual agreement of the evangelical doctrine, which deprives men of all ground (materiam) for glorying, and ascribes to divine grace alone the praise of this benefit; and it is opposite to the apostle, who declares, that "It is God" who will confirm us even to the end blameless, in the day of our Lord Jesus Christ." 1 Cor. i. 8.+
- 3. Who teach that 'True believers and regenerate persons 'may not only fall from justifying faith, and in like manner 'from grace and salvation, totally and finally, but likewise 'that in fact (re ipsa) they not seldom do fall from it, and 'perish eternally:' For this opinion renders vain the grace itself of justification and regeneration, and the perpetual guardian care (custodiam) of Christ, contrary to the expressive words of the apostle Paul, Rom. v. 8, 9. "If Christ died for

<sup>\*</sup> Luke xxii. 32. 1 Pet. i. 5. 'Because the frailty of man without 'thee cannot but fall, keep us ever by thy help from all things hurtful.' Col. xv. after Trinity, Church of England.

t "Being confident of this, that he who hath begun a good work "in you, will perform it until the day of Jesus Christ." Phil. i. 6. If it depend absolutely on the freedom of man's will, whether he will persevere or not, his reliance must and ought to be placed on that, on which the whole event depends; and is not this to trust our own hearts?

"us while we were yet sinners, much more therefore, being "now justified through his blood, we shall be saved from "wrath by him." And, contrary to the apostle John, I John iii. 9. "Every one that is born of God doth not "commit sin, because his seed remaineth in him: neither "can he sin, because he is born of God." Also, contrary to the words of Jesus Christ, John x. 28, 29. "I give "eternal life to my sheep, and they shall never perish, "neither shall any one tear them violently out of my hand: "my Father who gave them me is greater than all, neither "can any one tear them violently out of my Father's hand."

- 4. Who teach that 'True believers and the regenerate 'may sin the sin unto death, or against the Holy Spirit.' But the same apostle, John, chap. v. after, in the 16th and 17th verses, he has mentioned those who sin unto death, and forbidden to pray for them, immediately, ver. 18. adds, "We "know, that whosoever is born of God, sinneth not," (namely, in that kind of sin) "but he that is born of God, keepeth "himself, and that wicked one toucheth him not."
- 5. Who teach that 'No certainty of future perseverance 'can be had in this life, without special revelation.' For, by this doctrine, solid consolation is taken away from true believers in this life, and the doubting of the papists (pontificorum) brought back into the church. But the holy scripture every where requires this certainty, not from special and extraordinary revelation, but from the peculiar marks of the children of God, and the most constant promises of God. In the first place, the apostle Paul, Rom. viii. 39. "No created thing can separate us from the love of God, which is in Christ Jesus our Lord," and I John iii. 24. "Whoso keepeth his commandment remaineth in him, and "he in him; and hereby we know that we remain in him "by the Spirit which he hath given us."
- \* 1 John ii. 3, 4. iii. 14. 18, 19. Not a single instance can be adduced from the scripture, in which any prophet or apostle ascribes his

- 6. Who teach that 'The doctrine of perseverance and the assurance of salvation, from its nature and tendency. (indole) is a pillow for the flesh, and injurious to pietv. good conduct, prayers, and other holy exercises; but that on the contrary to doubt concerning it is laudable: For these persons shew themselves to be ignorant of the efficacy of divine grace, and of the operation of the in-dwelling Holy Spirit: and they contradict the apostle John affirming in express words, 1 John iii. 2, 3. "Beloved, now are we "the sons of God; but it doth not yet appear what we "shall be: we know, however, that when he shall be re-"vealed, we shall be like him, because we shall see him "as he is. And whose hath this hope in him, purifieth "himself, even as he is pure." They are moreover, confuted by the examples of the saints in the Old as well as in the New Testament, who, though they were certain of their own perseverance and salvation, were nevertheless assiduous in prayers, and other pious exercises.
- 7. Who teach that 'The faith of temporary believers 'doth not differ from justifying and saving faith, except in duration alone: For Christ himself, Matt. xiii. 20. and Luke viii. 13, &c, besides this, manifestly constituted a threefold distinction between temporary, and true believers, as he says, those received the seed in stony ground, these in good ground, or "an honest heart:" those are without root; these have a firm root: those are destitute of fruit, these bring forth their fruit in divers measure, constantly or perseveringly.

own assurance of salvation to special revelation, or to any thing different from what he exhorts others to, in order to obtain and retain the same assurance. This concludes at least as strongly against those, who ground their assurance on dreams, visions, and impressions, of whatever kind; as those who say, it can only be enjoyed by immediate revelation.

<sup>&</sup>quot; "The foolish virgins took their lamps but no oil with them. The "wise, took oil in their vessels, with their lamps." Matt. xxv. 4, 5. 1 John ii. 19.

- 8. Who teach that 'it is not absurd, that the first regeneration being extinct, man should be again, yea, more 'often regenerated:'\* For by this doctrine they deny the incorruptibility of the seed of God, by which we are born again; contrary to the testimony of the apostle, 1 Pet. i. 23. "Being born again, not of corruptible seed, but of in-"corruptible."
- 8. Who teach that 'Christ doth in no wise pray for the 'infallible perseverance in faith of believers:' For they contradict Christ himself, who says, Luke xxii. 32. "I have "prayed for thee (Peter) that thy faith fail not," and John the evangelist, testifying, John xvii. 20. that Christ prayed, not only for the apostles, but likewise for all who shall believe through their words: ver. 11. "Holy Father, keep "them through thy name:" and ver. 15. "I pray not that "thou mayest take them out of the world, but that thou "shouldest keep them from evil."

#### CONCLUSION.

And this is a perspicuous, simple, and ingenuous declaration of the orthodox doctrine concerning the five controverted articles in Belgium, and a rejection of the errors by which the Belgick churches have for some time been disturbed, which the Synod, having taken from the word of God, judges to be agreeable to the confessions of the reformed churches. Whence it clearly appears, that they, whom it by no means became, purposed to inculcate on the people, those (articles) which are contrary to all truth, equity, and charity.

This is a ground, that modern opposers of the doctrine not only disclaim, but charge it erroneously as an error, which the Calvinists maintain.

(Namely,) That the doctrine of the reformed churches, concerning predestination, and the heads connected with it, (annexis ei) by its own proper nature (genio) and impulse, draws away the minds of men from all piety and religion: that is the pillow of the flesh and of the devil, the citadel of Satan, from which he lies in ambush (insidietur) for 'all, wounds very many, and fatally pierces through many, as well with javelins of desperation as of security: That the same doctrine makes God the author of sin, unjust, a tyrant, a hypocrite; nor is it any other than interpolated Stoicism, Manicheism, Libertinism, and Turcism (Turcismum): That it renders men secure, as being persuaded, that it does not hinder the salvation of the elect, in what manner soever they live; and they can with safety pere petrate the most atrocious crimes; That it does not profit the reprobate, as to salvation, if they should truly do all the works of the saints: That by the same (doctrine) it is taught, that God by the bare and mere determination (nudo puroque arbitrio) of his will, without any respect, views

<sup>\*</sup> Two things clearly appear from this passage, 1. The Remonstrants assumed it as undoubted, that the predestination which they opposed with its connected heads of doctrine, was generally held by the reformed churches, including the church of England. And, 2. They injuriously charged it with involving those very consequences, which they who contend that the church of England is not Calvinistick, charge on the doctrine of those whom they call Calvinists.

<sup>†</sup> The chapter in the 'Refutation of Calvinism,' shewing 'that the 'earliest heretics maintained opinions greatly resembling the peculiar 'tenets of Calvinism;' comes far short it seems of the charges brought by the Remonstrants against the doctrine of predestination, as held by the reformed churches including that of England among the rest. That doctrine, as held in these churches, was not only Manicheism, but heathen Stoicism, infidel Libertinism, and Mohammedism. But it is far more easy to bring accusations against any tenet or body of them, than satisfactorily to prove them. The Synod of Dort did not at all shrink from proclaiming, that such charges had been brought; and they were satisfied, and on good ground, that they had fully demonstrated them to be unfounded.

'(intuitu) of the sin of any man, predestinated and created the greatest part of the world to eternal damnation: That in the same manner as election is the fountain and cause of faith and good works, reprobation is the cause of infidelity and impiety: That many unoffending (innoxiæ) infants of believers are violently torn away from the breasts of their mothers, and tyrannically precipitated into hell; so that neither baptism, nor the prayers of the church at 'their baptism, profit them.'\*

Also those very many other things that are of the same kaind, which the reformed churches not only do not acknowledge, but which they detest with their whole soul (pectore). Wherefore, this Synod of Dordrecht, obtests by the name of the Lord, all as many as piously call on the name of our Saviour Jesus Christ, that they would judge concerning the faith of the reformed churches, not from the calumnies beaped together from this and the other quarter (hinc inde,) nor even from the private sayings of certain individuals, as well ancient as modern doctors quoted often either unfaithfully, or wrested (detortis) into a foreign meaning: but from the publick confessions of those churches, and from this declaration of the orthodox doctrine, confirmed by the unanimous consent of all, and every one of, the members of this whole Synod. It then (deinde) seriously admonishes the calumniators themselves, to consider how heavy a judgment of God, they may be about to suffer, who, against so many churches, against so many confessions of churches, bear false witness, disturb the consciences of the weak, and



<sup>\*</sup> The language of these accusations is so horridly irreverend, that if it had not been actually used by the Remonstrants, it could hardly have been thus brought forward; and nothing but to shew the real spirit of these controversialists, could excuse the repeating of it, either by the Synod, or in this publication.

diligently employ themselves (satagunt) to render the society of true believers suspected.

Lastly, this Synod exhorts all their fellow ministers in the gospel of Christ, that in the treating (pertractione) of this doctrine, they would walk piously and religiously in the schools and in the churches; and apply it, whether by tongue or pen, to the glory of the divine name, to holiness of life, and to the consolation of alarmed souls, that they may not only think, but speak, with the scripture, according to the analogy of faith: finally, that they would abstain from all those phrases which exceed the prescribed limits of the genuine sense of the holy scriptures, and that might afford a just handle to perverse sophists of reviling, or even calumniating the reformed churches. May Jesus Christ, the Son of God, who, sitting at the right hand of the Father, bestows gifts on men, sanctify us in truth; lead those to the truth who err; shut the mouths of those who calumniate the hely doctrine; and endow the faithful ministers of his word, with a spirit of wisdom and discretion, that all their eloquence may tend to the glory of God and the edification of the hearers. Amen.t

### THE DECISION OF THE SYNOD CONCERNING THE RE-MONSTRANTS.

The truth, having been, by the grace of God, thus far explained and asserted, errors rejected and condemned, and

This solemn warning is quite as seasonable in Britain at present, as it was in Belgium in the seventeenth century.

<sup>†</sup> Can any thing be more wise, pious, and scriptural, than this concluding counsel and prayer? Who can deny, that many called Calvinists, by neglecting the counsel here exhibited, have given much occasion of misapprehension, prejudice, and slander to opposers, which might have been avoided? Who can object to this counsel? What pious mind will refuse to add his hearty Amen, to the closing prayer?

iniquitous calumnies refuted: this Synod of Dort, (according to the duty which is farther incumbent upon it) seriously, earnestly, and by the authority, which, according to the word of God, it possesses over all the members of its churches, in the name of Christ, beseeches, exhorts, admonishes, and enjoins all and every one, of the pastors of the churches in confederated Belgium; the doctors and rectors of the academies and schools; and the magistrates, and indeed all universally, to whom, either the care of souls, or the discipline of youth is committed, that, casting away the five known articles of the Remonstrants, which are erroneous, and mere hiding places of errors, they will preserve this wholesome doctrine of saving truth, drawn from the most pure fountain of the divine word, sincere and inviolate; according to their ability and office, propound and explain it faithfully to the people and youth; and diligently declare its most sweet and beneficial use in life, as well as in death: that they instruct those of different sentiments, those who wander from the flock, and are led away by the novelty of opinions, meekly by the evidence of the truth, "if peradventure, God "will give them repentance to the acknowledgment of the "truth:" that, restored to a sound mind, they may with one spirit, one mouth, one faith and charity, return to the church of God and the communion of the saints: and that at length, the wound of the church may be closed, and all her members be of one heart and mind in the Lord.

But moreover, because some persons having gone out from among us, under the title of Remonstrants, (which name of Remonstrants, as also of Contra-Remonstrants, the Synod thinks should be blotted out by a perpetual oblivion;) and the discipline and order of the church having been violated, by their endeavours and private counsels in unlawful ways; and the admonitions and judgments of their brethren having been despised; they have grievously, and altogether danger-

ously disturbed the Belgick churches, before most flourishing, and most united in faith and love, and in these heads of doctrine: have recalled ancient and pernicious errors, and framed new ones: and publickly and privately, both by word and by writings, have scattered them among the common people, and have most vehemently contended for them; have made neither measure nor end, of enveighing against the doctrine hitherto received in the churches, by enormous calumnies and reproaches: have filled all things every where, with scandals, dissensions, scruples of consciences, and inventions (excogitationibus); which great crimes certainly against faith, against love, and good morals, and the unity and peace of the churches, as they could not justly be endured in any man, ought necessarily to be animadverted on, in pastors, with that most severe censure, which hath in every age (abomni ævo) been adopted by the church: the Synod having invoked the holy name of God, and honestly conscious of its authority from the word of God; treading in the footsteps as well of ancient as of recent Synods, and fortified by the authority of the most Illustrious the States General, declares and judges, that those pastors, who have yielded themselves leaders of parties in the church, and teachers of errors, and of a corrupt religion, and of the rended unity of the church, and of most grievous scandals, and moreover, having been summoned before this Synod, of intolerable obstinacy against the decrees of the supreme authority made known by this Synod, and also against the venerable Synod itself, be accounted convicted and guilty persons.

For which causes, in the first place, the Synod interdicts the before cited persons from every ecclesiastical service, and abdicates them from their offices, and judges them even to be unworthy of academical functions, until by earnest repentance, abundantly proved by words and deeds, and contrary exertions, they satisfy the church, and be traly

and fully reconciled with the same, and received to her communion; which for their own good, and for the joy of the whole church, we peculiarly (unice) desire in Christ our Lord. But the rest, of whom the knowledge hath not come to this national Synod, the Synod commits to the Provincials, the Classes, and the Presbyteries, after the received order; that they may take care that the church at present receive no detriment, nor fear it hereafter. Let them discriminate with the spirit of prudence the followers of these errors: Let them abdicate the refractory, the clamorous, the factious, the disturbers, as soon as possible, from ecclesiastical offices, and those of the schools which belong to their knowledge and care: and let them be admonished that, without any interposed delay, after the reception of the decision of this national Synod, having obtained the authority of the magistrate, in order to it, they assemble (for this purpose) lest the evil should increase and be strengthened by delay. Let them, with all lenity, by the duties of love, by patience, excite those, who have fallen or been carried away, by infirmity and the fault of the times, and perhaps hesitate in lighter matters, or are even dissentient, but quiet, of blameless life, tractable, to true and perfect concord with the church: yet so, that they may diligently take care that they do not admit any to the sacred ministry, who refuse to subscribe these synodical constitutions of the declared doctrine, and to teach it: that they even retain no one, by whose manifest dissention the doctrine approved with such agreement in this Synod may be violated, and the tranquillity of the churches again disturbed.

Moreover, this venerable Synod seriously admonishes all ecclesiastical assemblies, most diligently to watch over the flocks committed to them, and maturely to go and meet all innovations privily springing up in the church, and pull them up, as it were tares, out of the field of the Lord: that

they attend to the schools, and the conductors (moderatoribus) of schools, lest any things, from private sentiments and depraved opinions, having been instilled into the youth, destruction should afterwards be produced to the church and the republick.

Finally, thanks having been reverently given to the most Illustrious and very powerful the States General of Belgiam, because they in so necessary and seasonable a time, clemently gave succour to the afflicted and declining interests of the church, by the remedy of the Synod; that they received the upright and faithful servants of God under their protection, and willed that the pledge of every blessing and the divine presence, the truth of his word should be, in a holy and religious manner, preserved in their dominions; that they spared no labour or expence, to promote and complete such a work; for which extraordinary benefits, the Synod, with its whole heart, prays for the most abundant recompence on them from the Lord, both publickly and privately, both spiritual and temporal. And the Synod indeed most strenuously and humbly asketh the same most clement lords, to will and command that this salutary doctrine, most faithfully expressed according to the word of God, and the consent of the reformed churches, be alone, and publickly heard in these regions; to drive away all privily springing up heresies and errors, and repress unquiet and turbulent spirits, that they would go to approve themselves the true and benign nursing fathers and tutors of the church; that they would determine that the sentence, according to the ecclesiastical authority confirmed by the laws of the country, be valid against the persons before spoken of; and that they would render the Synodical constitutions immoveable and perpetual, by the addition of their own decision (calculo).

On this conclusion a few remarks may be useful.

Conceding, that there were things unjustifiable in the decisions made, and the measures adopted by the Synod, I would enquire, whether all the blame in the whole of that lamentable contest, was on one side? Whether the conduct of the Remonstrants were not as remote at least from a conciliatory spirit, as the members of the Synod? And whether, in case the Remonstrants had been victorious, they would have made a more Christian use of their victory and authority than the Synod did? I never yet knew or read of an eager and pertinacious contest, in which both parties were not greatly culpable; and in many instances, it is not easy for an impartial observer to determine on which side the greatest degree of criminality rests: only where other motives or prejudices do not counteract, the suffering party is generally favoured and excused; and still more, when the motives, sentiments, or prejudices of the persons concerned are on his side. The Remonstrants, and all who ever since have favoured them, throw the whole blame of the contest. both of the management, result, and consequences of it, on the Synod; and as the Remonstrants were, in the first instance at least, the chief sufferers, and as their tenets are generally more favoured than those of the Synod, the publick mind has greatly favoured the cause of the suffering party. Yet the Synod and its supporters seem very confident, that the Remonstrants exclusively were in fault, and consider their conduct as intolerably haughty and pertinacious. But will not an impartial judge, would not one, who had no sympathy with either party, no partiality or prejudice, as to the five points of doctrine, on either side, (if such a man can be found on earth,) would he not fairly divide the criminality? At least would he not allot nearly one half of it to the one, and one half to the other? Nay, might he not allot the greater part to the Remonstrants? Thus, in all other contests,

which have terminated in incurable separations, the charge of schism has been brought with the utmost confidence (if not bitterness) by each party against its opponent; and, except in one solitary instance, nearly with equal justice. I say, one instance excepted; for beyond all doubt, on the broad ground of Scripture, in the separation of protestants from the Roman church, all the guilt of schism rested with that corrupt body, which excluded from its communion all those, who would not worship creatures, or conform to anti-christian observances; and, in many ways, made it the duty, the absolute daty, of all the true worshippers of God through Christ Jesus, to come forth and be separate. But perhaps this is the only exception.

I would by no means exclude schism from the vocabulary of sins, of great and grievous sins, as many seem disposed to do. Pride, ambition, obstinacy, and self-will, and other very corrupt passions, powerfully influence both those, who by spiritual tyranny, would lord it over other men's consciences, and impose things not scriptural, if not directly anti-scriptural, as terms of communion, or even of exemption from pains and penalties; and also on those, who on slight grounds refuse compliance, where the requirement is not evidently wrong; and then magnify by a perverse ingenuity, into a most grievous evil, some harmless posture, or garb, or ceremony. If the one party would, humbly and meekly without desiring to arrogate a power not belonging to man, desist from peremptorily requiring, such things as are doubtful, and liable to be misunderstood, and so scrupled by upright, peaceable, and conscientious persons: and if the other party would determine to comply, as far as on much previous examination of the scripture, with prayer and teachableness, they conscientiously could do it; the schism might be prevented, and all the very bad effects of the church of Christ being thus zent and split into parties prevented. For these several parties, are generally more eager in disputing with each other, than "contending for "the faith once delivered to the saints;" in making proselytes, than in seeking the conversion of sinners; and in rendering their opponents odious and ridiculous, than in exhibiting our holy religion as lovely and attractive to all around them. In these things, their zeal spends itself to no good purpose.

As to the existing divisions, it appears to me, on long and patient investigation, that they originated from very great criminality on both sides; nor am I prepared to say, on which side it was the greater; and that there is crimimality on both sides, in the continuance of them, and still . more in the increase of them; in which the heaviest lies, on those who hastily, and on very doubtful or inadequate grounds, make new separations. Yet as to the general division of the Christians in England, into churchmen and dissenters, it appears to me, that in present circumstances, neither individuals, nor publick bodies, can do any thing to terminate it; nor till some unforeseen event make way for a termination, by means, and in a manner, of which little conception can previously be formed. In the mean while, it seems very desirable to abate acrimony and severity, and to differ, where we must differ, in a loving spirit; and to unite with each other in every good work, as far as we can conscientiously. It is in my view in this case, precisely the same, as it was with the Synod of Dort and the Remonstrants; each party throws the whole blame on the other: but impartiality would, I think, nearly allot half to the one and half to the other. True Christians of every description, live, surrounded with ungodly men, nay, such as are profane. and immoral, and contentious, yet they generally are enabled to live peaceably with them all. How is it then, that they cannot, on the same principles, bear with each other, when differences in merely the circumstances of religion are the

only ground of disputations, bickerings, and contests? "Whence come fightings among them?"

2. A large proportion of that, which at present would be disapproved, if not reprobated, in the concluding decision of the Synod of Dort, and in its effects, must be considered, by every impartial and well informed person, as pertaining to that age, and those which had preceded it. The authority of such conventions to determine points of theology, to enforce their decisions by ecclesiastical censures, interdicts, and mandates, such as this conclusion contains, had not been called in question, at least in any great degree, by any of the reformers or reformed churches. It was the general opinion, that princes and states ought to convene councils or assemblies, when needed; and, as far as hope was given of such councils being convened, they generally acted on this principle. They considered the ruling powers as invested with the right of authorising these conventions, to cite before them the persons, whose tenets and conduct gave occasion of convening them; and of animadverting on them as contumacious, if they refused to appear, or to submit to the decisions of the majority. And they regarded it as a great advantage, when the secular power would concur in carrying into effect, their censures, exclusions, or requirements. These points had been almost unanimously assumed as indisputable, from the dawn of the reformation, to the time of this Synod, both on the continent, and in Britain; and little had been advanced, in direct opposition to the justice of proceeding still further to punish the refractory with pains and penalties. The vanquished party indeed generally complained, and remonstrated with sufficient acrimony, yet, when the tables were turned, and they acquired a victory, they used their superiority in the same manner, and sometimes even with still greater severity. How far all this was criminal, unscriptural, unreasonable, or not, is by no

means the present question; but how far the Synod of Dort went beyond the precedents of former times, and of other countries.

3. Thus far, as it seems to me at least, the case is clear, and to an impartial mind not difficult: but how far the whole of this procedure, either in this Synod or in other similar cases, on the continent and in our land, was wrong, in toto or in parte: whether the whole must be reprobated together, or only some part of it; or where the line should be drawn, are questions of greater difficulty, on which men in general will decide, according to the prevailing sentiments of the day, and those of that part of the visible church to which they belong. Yet, I would venture, with a kind of trepidation, and with much diffidence, to drop a few hints on the subject: the result of very much reflection, during a long course of years, with what other aid I could procure, in addition to the grand standard of truth and duty, of principle and practice, to men of all ranks, individually, or in corporate bodies, the "Oracles of God."

It must, as it appears to me, be incontrovertible, that penal means, of whatever kind, are wholly inadmissible, in matters purely religious; and in which the persons concerned would act peaceably, if not irritated by opposition and persecution; for "oppression in this case often maketh a wise man mad;" and his mad conduct is ascribed to his religious peculiarities, when it originates from other causes, and is excited by oppression. Punishments can have no tendency to enlighten the understanding, inform the mind, or regulate the judgment; and they infallibly increase prejudice, and tempt to resentment. They may indeed make hypocrites, but not believers; formalists, but not spiritual worshippers; and, in a word, they are no 'means of grace' of God's appointment, and on which his blessing may be expected and supplicated. "The weapons of this warfare are carnal, not

"mighty through Ged." The judicial law of Moses, as a part of the theocracy, punished with death nothing but idolatry and blasphemy, and this to prevent the contagion, "that men might hear, and fear, and do no more such wick-"edness;" not to produce conviction or conformity: and no penalty in other things was appointed, where the publick peace was not interrupted, and God's appointed rulers opposed. In the New Testament, not a word occurs on the subject; except as our Lord blamed the apostles when they forbad one to cast out devils because he followed not with them.

Whatever company, in any nation, can give proper security that they will act as peaceful citizens and good subjects, has, I apprehend, a right to the protection of the state, whatever its religious opinions or observances may be; provided nothing grossly immoral, and contrary to the general laws of the country, be practised under the pretence of religion. Yet the murders, human sacrifices, and other abominations in the East Indies, and in many other places, can have no right to toleration, nor can the toleration be by any means excused. Again, whatever may be urged in favour of allowing papists full liberty, as to their superstitions and idolatrous worship, (for so it doubtless is,) this should be done in their case with peculiar circumspection. But to grant them what they claim, and many claim for them, as emaneipation, and which means nothing else, than admission to power and authority; seems irreconcilable to wisdom either human or divine. It is an essential principle of popery, however disguised by some, and lost sight of by others, to tolerate none who are not of that church: and the grant of power to them till this principle be disavowed by bishops, vicars-general, legates, cardinals, and popes, as well as others, in the most full and unequivocal language, is to liberate lions, because they have been harmless when not at liberty: and the event, should this emancipation be fully conceded, will be, that the power thus obtained, will be used in persecution, of those who gave it, as soon as it has acquired a proper measure of consolidation. If the advocates for this measure in our land, should they prove successful, do not themselves live to feel this, their posterity, I can have no doubt, will know it by deplorable experience. Avowed atheists seem also inadmissible to full toleration; as incapable of being bound by any obligation of an oath, or of an affirm. ation, as in the sight of God, which is equivalent to an oath. How far some kinds of blasphemers should be also exempted, may be a question; but every species of profaneness, or impiety, is not direct blasphemy. Yet if men outrage, or expose to ridicule or odium, the most sacred services of the religion of the country, or if publick instructors inculcate immoral principles; they may, as far as I can see, be restrained, so that the mischief may be prevented; though perhaps without further punishment, except for actual violation of the peace. Every collective body, however, has an indisputable right to prescribe the terms, on which men shall be admitted into it, either as members of the company, or in an official capacity: and if it have funds at its disposal, the terms on which men shall be allowed to receive a share of them: provided that they who join them, do it voluntarily, and that others may, without molestation, be permitted to decline these terms, or to withdraw, if they, after having joined them, can no longer conscientiously comply. I say, a right indisputable by man, yet a right, for the use of which they are responsible to God; and the abuse of which has been and is the source of most deplorable consequences.

If, however, the Synod of Dort had only proceeded to exclude from office, publick teachers, whether of congregations or schools, belonging to the church or churches es-

tablished in Belgium, who would not comply with the terms agreed on in the Synod; the terms alone would have been the proper subject of our judgment, and not this exclusion. provided no further punishment had been inflicted. But this exclusion (ex officio) would of course be also (ex beneficio) or from the emolument of the office. And how far this would have been justifiable, I am not prepared to sav: and, indeed, much depended on the nature of their funds. and the tenure on which they were obtained or held. But one thing is clear, that if some reasonable proportion of the emolument had been reserved to those who were excluded from office, so long as they conducted themselves peaceably. it would have been a very conciliatory measure, and suited to give a convincing testimony, that the glory of God, the peace of the church, the cause of truth, and the salvation of souls had been their motives and object; and not secular and party interests.

In respect of those revenues which, having been appropriated to religious purposes in former ages, fell into the hands of those, who conducted the reformation and formed establishments, it cannot reasonably be expected, that the bodies thus in possession, should voluntarily agree to share them with dissentients: but in revenues raised by taxes, on the present generation for the purposes of supporting religion, and other things connected with it; equity seems to require that a proportion should be awarded to peaceful dissentients, of whatever description, according to the sum which that whole body may be required to pay towards such a tax: for they who contribute, and are good subjects, and can give a pledge to the government of good behaviour, ought, in all reason, to share the benefit in proportion.

<sup>\*</sup> It may be worthy of consideration, how far a grant from Parliament, for building churches or chapels exclusively for the establishment; while the publick at large must advance the money from the general tax, or taxes, is thus consistent with strict equity. The design is excellent and

When the teachers of congregations and of schools, supported by the revenues of the churches in Belgium, had been excluded or suspended from their office, and its emolument, all that was done in accession, seems to have been unjustifiable. The excluded party, in reason, and according to the scripture, (though not according to the general sentiments of that age,) were entitled to full toleration, to worship God, and instruct others either as preachers or teachers of schools, not supported by the establishment, provided they did this peaceably. At most, only very general restrictions should have been required. But such teachers of separate congregations, and of schools, were not then known, or at least not recognized: nearly all places of worship and schools. were in the hands of the established authorities, and every thing attempted must be done secretly, and then, on that very ground, condemned as a conventicle or seditious meeting.

Excommunication, according to scripture, is nothing more than simple exclusion from the communion of the church, "Let him be as an heathen man, and a publican:" except when God miraculously by his apostles, who could, in that respect "do nothing against the truth, but "for the truth," inflicted salutary chastisements, "for the "destruction of the flesh, that the spirit might be saved in "the day of the Lord Jesus;" or that "others might learn "not to blaspheme." But when, in addition to such an exclusion, many heavy consequences followed, even to fines, banishment, imprisonment, exclusion from the common benefits of society, and even death, the very word excommunication became dreadful and hateful; and the relaxation of all discipline, nay, almost its annihilation, has been the

most desirable; but whether it would not be more unexceptionable, if a proportionable sum were granted to peaceable dissenters, for the building or repairing their places of worship, may be matter of enquiry to impartial legislators.

consequence. Restore the matter to its original use: let the communicants become such of their own voluntary choice, admitted on a simple and credible profession of those things in which Christianity consists; and let them, if they act inconsistently, be excluded from communion, and left, in their former state, till they give proof of repentance: considered as equally entitled to good will and good offices in temporal things, as our other neighbours; admitted to any means of grace, which may aid their recovery; conversed with in every way, which does not sanction their misconduct: and "restored," if it may be, in "the spirit of meekness." On this plan, I apprehend discipline might again be established, and great benefit arise from it. But they, who cannot inflict miraculous judgments, surely are not authorised to attempt other punishment of excommunicated persons, which have a thousand times oftener been exercised against the truth. than for the truth.

The distinctions, among the different offenders, and the mandates given to the different subordinate classes, and presbyteries, appear in no other way exceptionable, than as the presbyterian plan will of course be objected to, both by episcopalians and independents. But the Synod, as it has been seen, attempted far too much; and, forgetful of our Lord's prohibition, were so eager to root up the tares, that they greatly endangered the wheat also.

# THE APPROBATION OF THE MOST ILLUSTRIOUS AND VERY POWERFUL LORDS THE STATES GENERAL.

The States General of Federated Belgium, to all, who shall see and read this, health (or salvation, salutem). We make it known (that) when, in order to take away those lamentable and pernicious controversies, which a few years

since, with great detriment to the republick, and disturbance of the peace of the churches, arose concerning the known five heads of Christian doctrine, and those things which depend on them; it seemed proper to us, according to the order in the church of God, and thus also in the Belgick church, to convene at Dordrecht a national Synod of all federated Belgium; and that this might be celebrated (celebrari) with the greatest fruit and advantage of the republick, not without much inconvenience (molestia) and great expences, we sought for and obtained, unto the same, very many, the most excellent, learned, and celebrated foreign theologians of the reformed church; as it may be seen from the subscription of the decrees of the aforesaid Synod, after each of the heads of doctrine. Moreover, our delegates, being also commissioned (deputatis) from each of the provinces, who from the beginning to the end being present, should take care, that all things might there be handled in the fear of God. and in right order, from the word of God alone, in agreement to our sincere intention. And when this aforesaid Synod, by the singular blessing of God, hath now judged with so great a consent of all and every one, as well of foreigners, as of Belgians, concerning the aforementioned five heads of doctrine, and the teachers of them: and we, having been consulted and consenting, published, on the sixth of May last past, the decrees and determination affixed to these presents; we, that the much wished for fruits from this great and holy work (such a one as the reformed churches have never before this time seen) might be abundant to the churches of these countries; seeing that nothing is to us equally desired and cared for as the glory of the most holy name of God, and the preservation and propagation of the true reformed Christian religion, (which is the foundation of prosperity and bond of union of federated Belgium,) as the concord, the tranquillity, and the peace

of the churches, and in like manner the preservation of the concord and communion of the churches in these regions with all foreign reformed churches, from which we never ought, nor are able to separate ourselves; having seen, and known, and maturely examined and weighed the aforementioned judgment and decision of the Synod, we have fully in all things approved them, confirmed and ratified them, and by these presents we do approve and ratify them; willing and enacting, (statuentes), that no other doctrine concerning the aforesaid five heads of doctrine be taught or propagated in the churches of these regions, besides that which is conformable and agreeable to the aforesaid judgment: enjoining and commanding with authority, to all the ecclesiastical assemblies, the ministers of the churches, the professors and doctors of sacred theology, the rulers of colleges, and to all in general, and to every one without exception, (in universum), whom these things can in any way concern or reach unto, that, in the exercise of their ministerial offices and functions, they should in all things follow them faithfully, and sincerely conduct themselves consistently with them. And that this our good intention may every where be fully and in all things satisfied, (or complied with,) we charge and command the orders, governors, the deputies of the orders, the counsellors, and deputed orders of the provinces of Gueldria, and the county of Lutphan, of Holland, West Friezland, Zeland, Utrecht, Frisia, Transisulania, and of the state of Groningen, and the Omlandias, and all their officiaries, judges, and justiciaries, that they should promote and defend the observation of the aforesaid Synodical judgment, and of those things which depend on it; so that they should not either themselves make any change in these things, or permit it by any means to be done by others; Because we judge, that it ought to be so done, to promote the glory of God, the security and safety of the state of

these regions, and the tranquillity and peace of the church. Given (actum) under our seal, and it hath been sealed by the sealing of the president, and the subscription of our secretary, the count of Hague, the second of July, in the year 1619.

A. PLOOS.

As also beneath,

By the mandate of the aforesaid High Mightinesses the States General.

Subscribed. C. AERSSEN.

And in that space, the aforesaid seal was impressed on red wax.

On this document it must be again observed, that the measure adopted by the rulers of Belgium, in respect of the decisions of the Synod of Dort, ought not to be judged according to the generally prevailing sentiments of modern times. An immense revolution in opinion, on these subjects, has taken place, within the last two centuries: and to render these rulers and this Synod, amenable to what we may call statutes long after enacted, as if whatever there was wrong in the conduct, was exclusively their fault, would be palpably unjust. "Are ye not partial in yourselves, and are "become judges of evil thoughts." Jam. ii. 4. "But the "wisdom from above is without partiality." Jam. iii, 18. The general principle of inducing, by coercive measures, conformity in doctrine and worship, to the decisions of either councils, convocations, synods, or parliaments, was almost universally admitted and acted upon to a later period, than that of this Synod; and though not long afterwards it was questioned, and in some instances relinquished; yet it retained a very general prevalency, for at least half a century after; nor is it without its advocates, even in the reformed churches, at this present day. Had the opponents of the Synod possessed the same authority, they would have acted in like manner, and so would the rulers of the other countries in Europe. The exclusive charge therefore against the measures under consideration, must be laid in those things, which were peculiar in their proceedings.

As authority and compulsion can never produce conviction, or any regulation of the mind and judgment; the word sincerely, in this state-paper, is very improperly used. It could not indeed reasonably be expected, that even external conformity to so exact and extensive a doctrinal standard, could be generally or durably accomplished: but to suppose that any thing beyond this would be the result, except what argument and explanation, and appeals to the scriptures, in the articles of the Synod itself could effect, was evidently most irrational; yet it was the notion of the times, and does not still appear absurd to all men, even in protestant countries.

Had the rulers of Belgium adopted and ratified the decisions of the Synod, as approving and recommending them to all the persons concerned; and giving countenance in some measure to those who voluntarily avowed the purpose of adhering to them, and leaving others, entirely at liberty, to decline these terms, whether as authorized teachers of congregations or of schools, but no further molesting them, or interfering with their pursuits or instructions; their conduct might have been advocated, especially, if, as it was said before, some fair portion of their former incomes had been reserved to those, who relinquished their situations, rather than promise to conform, but who otherwise behaved as peaceful members of the community. But by absolute authority to demand of all entire conformity, whether voluntary or involuntary; and to follow up this demand by

the secular arm, and by heavy punishments, was altogether unjustifiable. Yet, except the strictness of the rule itself, what country almost was there in Europe at that time, or which almost of either the rulers or teachers of the reformed churches, that did not in great measure attempt to do the same? So that while authority, in many instances repeatedly shifted sides, which ever part was uppermost, its religious decisions were enforced by similar measures.

'The reformers dissented from almost every principle of the church of Rome, but this, the right of persecution; and though Luther and some others thought it rather too much to burn heretics, all agreed that they should be restrained and punished, and in short, that it was better to urn them than to tolerate them. The church of England has burnt protestants for heresy, and papists for treason. The church of Scotland, and the London ministers in the interregnum declared their utter detestation and abhorrence of the evil of toleration, patronizing and promoting all therefore, heresies, and blasphemies whatever, under the abused name of liberty of conscience.' (Williams on Religious Liberty, Eclectic Review.)

The main point in this quotation is indisputable; but in respect of Luther especially, it is erroneous. It would, probably be difficult, to produce an instance, in which this great man even so much as sanctioned the punishment of the wild enthusiasts and deceivers of his day, except where the peace of society rendered the interposition of the magistrate indispensable.— At the same time, he (Luther) took occasion to reprobate the cruel sufferings inflicted on the poor wretches by the persecutions of the ecclesiastical rulers; insisting with the utmost precision on that grand distinction of which this reformer never lost sight; that errors in articles of faith were not to be suppressed by fire and sword, but confuted by the word of God; and that recourse was

'never to be had to capital penalties, except in cases of actual sedition and tumult.'—(Milner's Eccl. Hist. vol. iv. p. 1098.

'His worthy friend Lineus, probably in a state of irritation, had asked him, Whether he conceived a magistrate 'to be justified in putting to death teachers of false religion? A question, then little understood, and not generally agreed upon till long afterwards. I am backward, replied Luther, to pass a sentence of death, let the demerit be ever so ap-'parent; For I am alarmed, when I reflect on the conduct of the papists, who have so often abused the statutes of capital punishments, against heresy, to the effusion of inone nocent blood. Among the protestants, in process of time I foresee a great probability of a similar abuse, if they should now arm the magistrate with the same powers, and there should be left on record a single instance, of a person having suffered legally for the propagation of false doctrine. On this ground, I am decidedly against capital punishment in such cases; and think it quite sufficient that mischievous teachers of religion be removed from their situations.' Milner's Eccl. Hist. vol. v. p. 1100.

But, whatever were the opinions or practice of those times in this respect, or whatever the sentiments of any in our times may be, it seems to me incontrovertible, that every church, or associated company of Christians, whether as a national establishment, or in any other form, has a right (for the use of which they are responsible to God alone) to appoint the terms, on which such as voluntarily desire it, shall be admitted to communion with them, or to teach as pastors, and as tutors in their schools and academies; to refuse admission to such as do not agree to these terms, and to exclude those who afterwards act contrary to them. And if they have funds, which are properly their own, they have a right to employ these funds, to the exclusive support

of such as voluntarily concur with them; volenti non sit injuria: and it is absurd to deem those compelled, or their liberty infringed, who of their own voluntary will choose to conform, whether under an establishment or elsewhere. The Eclectic Review on 'Gisborne on the Colossians,' says, 'Was it possible for the author of these discourses to put 'down a sentiment so just, and so weighty as this, without 'the perception of its censure bearing against the rites and 'ceremonies of his own church? Is there nothing of will-'worship in that communion? What are sponsors, and the 'sign of the cross in baptism, the compulsion to kneel at 'the Lord's supper, but new commands and prohibitions 'added to those which are established in the Bible?—Eclectic Review, May 1817, p. 481.

My concern at present, is only with the word compulsion. Can it be conceived, that they who voluntarily come to the Lord's supper in the church of England, consider kneeling as compulsion? And, who is at present compelled to receive the Lord's supper in that church? Some indeed, are tempted, too strongly tempted; but none are compelled. Again, would it not excite at least as much surprise and perplexity in a dissenting congregation, both to minister and communicants, if one or more of the company should kneel down to receive the bread and wine, and refuse to receive them in any other posture; as it would in a church, if one or more should sit down, or stand, or refuse to kneel, at the time of receiving? Should the custom of receiving in a sitting posture, be considered as compulsion, and as a command, or prohibition added to those which are established in the Bible? By no means. Each company has its usage, whether established by law, or by the appointment of an independent church. That usage is known; it is seldom seen, that a communicant expresses the least objection to it. He is voluntary, or he need not come. Whether kneeling, as uniting solemn prayer with receiving; or sitting, as among Presbyterians and Independents; or standing, or reclining on couches, (the posture no doubt of the apostles, at its institution,) if it be *voluntary* in each person, there is no infringement of *liberty*, whatever else may be controverted respecting the posture,

But to return to Belgium and the Synod of Dort. There toleration of dissentients was not thought of; and the effort was made, to enforce conformity on the whole mass of the population, especially on publick teachers; and this, not only by exclusions, but by very severe disqualifications and other punishments. And probably the change of sentiment and practice in Belgium in this particular, which soon afterwards took place, and the toleration granted there, before it had any legal ground in Britain, combined in augmenting the general odium against the measures connected with this Synod.

However I do, in my private judgment, consider the articles of the Synod of Dort as very scriptural: yet, when made the terms of conformity, or of officiating as publick teachers, even with full toleration and exemption from any thing beyond simple exclusion, I must regard them as peculiarly improper. The terms of communion, even where none are molested who decline them; and of being publick teachers, should by no means be carried into all the minuties of doctrine, which perhaps the ablest theologians are convinced to be scriptural. They should include only the grand principles, in which all the humble disciples and pious ministers of Christ agree; and not those in which they are left to differ. "Him that is weak in the faith, receive "ye, but not to doubtful disputations."

The apostles never attempted to enforce by authority, the whole of what they infallibly knew to be true. And who then should attempt to enforce their fallible opinions on

others? Besides, by aiming at too much, the very end is defeated: the numbers, who from ignorance or indolence, and corrupt motives conform in such cases; and of those, who teach other doctrines, than what they have consented to; becomes too great for any discipline to be exercised over them. Many also, of the most pious and laborious teachers who, in one way or other, manage to explain the established articles in their own favour, or at least as not against them, add greatly to the difficulty and evil: and so all discipline is neglected, as facts deplorably prove.

Probably, this has been, and is in a measure the case, in most or all of the churches; but the proceedings of the Synod of Dort, and the rulers of Belgium at that season, were more exceptionable than those of any other, at least as far as I can judge. And this appears to me the chief blame to which they are justly exposed; but which is almost, if not wholly overlooked, in the torrent of indiscriminate invective in which they, and these transactions, have been long overwhelmed.

THE END.

## Lately published by the Author,

REMARKS on the REFUTATION OF CALVINISM, by GEORGE TOMLINE, D. D. F. R. S. Lord Bishop of Lincoln, and Dean of St. Paul's, London. The SECOND EDITION, carefully revised; with many Additions and Retrenchments. 1 vol. 8vo. 14s.

# SERMONS

ON

DIFFERENT SUBJECTS,

LEFT FOR PUBLICATION

BŸ

JOHN TAYLOR, LL.D.

LATE PREBENDARY OF WESTMINSTER,

RECTOR OF BOSWORTH, LEICESTERSHIRE,

AND MINISTER OF ST. MARGARET'S, WESTMINSTER.

THE REV. SAMUEL HAYES, A. M.
USHER OF WESTMINSTER-SCHOOL.

TO WHICH IS ADDED

## A SERMON,

WRITTEN BY

SAMUEL JOHNSON, LL.D.

FOR THE FUNERAL OF HIS WIFE.

WALPOLE, N. H.
PRINTED FOR THOMAS AND THOMAS, BY G.W. NICHOLS.
1806.

Commence of the State of the St

.

•

## CONTENTS.

#### SERMON I.

THE 2D CHAPTER OF GENESIS, AND THE TORMER PART OF THE 24TH VERSE.

Therefore shall a man leave his Father and his Mother, and shall cleave unto his Wile.

Page 9

#### SERMON II.

ISAIAH, CHAP. IV. VER. 7.

Let the wicked for sake his way, and the unrighteous man his thoughts, and let him return unto the Lord, and he will have mercy upon him, and to our God, for he will abundantly pardon.

Page 21

## SERMON III.

PROVERBS, CHAP. XXVIII. VER. 14.

Happy is the man that feareth alway; but he that hardeneth his heart, shall fall into mischief.

Page 34

## SERMON IV.

isaiah, chap. lviii. ver. 7, 8.

Is it not to deal thy bread to the hungry, and that thou bring the poor that are cast out, to thy house? when thou seest the naked that thou cover him, and that thou hide not thyfelf from thine own sless?

Then shall thy light break forth as the morning, and thine health shall spring forth speedily; and thy righteousness shall go before thee, the glory of the Lord shall be thy rereward.

Page 40

## SERMON V.

NEHEMIAH, CHAP. ix. VER. 33.

Howbeit thou art just in all that is brought upon us, for thou hast done right, but we have done wickedly. Page 52

## SERMON VI.

PROVERBS, CHAP. zii. VER. 2.

When Pride cometh, then cometh Shame; but with the Lowly is Wildom. Page 64

#### SERMON VII.

JEREMIAH, CHAP. vi. VER. 46.

Thus faith the Lord, stand ye in the ways and see, and ask for the old paths, where is the good way, and walk therein, and ye shall find rest for your souls. But they said, we will not walk therein.

Page 78

#### SERMON VIII.

ROMANS xii. THE LATTER PART OF THE 16TH YERSE.

Be not wife in your own conceits.

Page 83

#### SERMON IX.

But let a man examine himsels, and so let him eat of that bread, and drink of that cup.

Page 94.

## SERMON X.

GALATIANS, CHAP. VI. VER. 7.

Be not deceived, God is not mocked; for whatfoever a man foweth, that shall he reap.

Page 102

### SERMON XI.

1 PETER, CHAP. iii. VER. 8.

Finally be ye all of one mind, having compassion one of another, love as brethren, be faithful, be courteous. P. 112

### [ vii ]

#### SERMON XII.

#### ECCLESIASTES, CHAP. i. VER. 14.

I have feen all the works that are done under the Sun; and, behold, all is vanity and vexation of spirit. Page 121

#### SERMON XIII.

2 TIMOTHY, CHAP. iii. PART OF THE 5TH VERSE.

Having a form of godliness, but denying the power thereof.
Page 131

#### SERMON XIV.

ISAIAH, xxvi. 3.

Thou will keep him in perfect peace, whose mind is stayed on thee, because he trusteeth in thee.

Page 148

#### SERMON XV.

JOB, xiv. 1.

Man that is born of a Woman, is of few days and full of trouble. Page 151

## SERMON XVI.

JOB, i. 22.

In all this Job sinned not, nor charged God soolishly. P. 161

#### SERMON XVII.

EXODUS, XX. 16.

Thou shalt not bear salie witness against thy neighbour. P. 170

## SERMON XVIII.

1 CORINTHIANS, vi. 8.

Nay, you do wrong and defraud, and that your brethren. P.180

#### SERMON XIX.

2 CORINTHIANS, ix. 7.

Every man according as he purposeth in his heart so let him give, not grudgingly, or of necessity, for God loveth a cheerful giver.

Page 189

## SERMON XX.

2 PETER, iii. 3.

Knowing this first, that there shall come in the last days Scoffers, walking after their own lusts.

Page 200

## [ viii ]

## SERMON XXI.

PSALM cxlv. 9.

The Lord is good to all, and his tender mercies are over all his works.

Page 211

## SERMON XXII.

1 CORINTHIANS, xi. 29.

He that eateth and drinketh unworthily, eateth and drinketh damnation to himself. Page 220

### SERMON XXIII.

IAMES, iii. 16.

Where envying and strife is, there is confusion. Page 228

#### SERMON XXIV.

PROVERBS XXIX. 2.

When the righteous are in authority, the people rejoice. P.238

#### SERMON XXV.

[Written by Dr. Johnson, for the Funeral of his Wife.]
JOHN, xi. 25, 26, (FORMER PART.)

Jesus said unto her, I am the Resurrection, and the Lite; he that believeth in me, though he were dead, yet shall he live; and whosoever liveth, and believeth in me, shall never die.

Page 248

# SERMONS, &c.

## SERMON I.

The Second Chapter of Genesis, and the former part of the 24th Verse.

Therefore shall a Man leave his Father and his Mother, and shall cleave unto his Wife.

THAT fociety is necessary to the happiness of human nature, that the gloom of solitude, and the stillness of retirement, however they may flatter at a distance with pleasing views of independence and serenity, neither extinguish the passions, nor enlighten the understanding, that discontent will intrude upon privacy, and temptations follow us to the defert, every one may be easily convinced, either by his own experience, or that of others. That knowledge is advanced by an intercourse of sentiments, and an exchange of observations, and that the bosom is disburthened, by a communication of its cares, is too well known for proof or illustration. In solitude perplexity swells into distraction, and grief settles into melancholy; even the satisfactions and pleasures, that may by chance be found, are but impersectly enjoyed, when they are enjoyed without participation.

How high this disposition may extend, and how far society may contribute to the selicity of more exalted natures, it is not easy to determine, nor necessary to enquire: it seems, however, probable, that this inclination is allotted to all rational beings of limited excellence, and that it is the privilege only of the infinite Creator to derive all his happiness from himself.

It is a proof of the regard of God for the happinels of mankind, that the means by which it must be attained are obvious and evident that we are not left to discover them, by difficult speculations, intricate disquisitions, or long experience, but are led to them, equally by our passions and our reason, in prosperity and distress. Every man perceives his own insufficiency to supply himself with what either necessity or convenience require, and applies to others for assistance. Every one feels his satisfaction impaired by the suppression of pleasing emotions, and consequently endeavours to find an opportunity of diffusing his satisfaction.

As a general relation to the rest of the species is not sufficient to procure gratification for the private desires of particular persons; as closer ties of union are necessary to promote the separate interests of individuals; the great Society of the World is divided into different communities, which are again subdivided into smaller bodies, and more contracted associations, which pursue, or ought to pursue, a particular interest, in subordination to the public good, and consistently with the general happiness of mankind.

Each of these subdivisions produces new dependencies and relations, and every particular relation gives rise to a particular scheme of duties; duties which are of the utmost importance, and of the most sacred obligation, as the neglect of them would defeat all the blessings of society, and cut off even the hope of happiness; as it would poilon the sountain from whence it must be drawn, and make those institutions, which have been formed as necessary to peace and satisfaction, the means of disquiet and misery.

The lowest subdivision of society is that by which it is broken into private samilies; nor do any duties demand more to be explained and enforced, than those which this relation produces; because none are more universally obligatory, and perhaps very sew more frequently neglected.

The universality of these duties requires no other proof than may be received from the most cursory and superficial observation of human life. Very sew men have it in their power to injure society in a large extent; the general happiness of the world can be very little interrupted by the wickedness of any single man, and the number is not large of those by whom the peace of any particular nation can be disturbed; but every man may injure a samily, and produce domestic disorders and distresses; almost every one has opportunities, and perhaps sometimes temptations, to rebel as a wise, or tyrannize as a husband; and, therefore, to almost every one are those admonitions necessary, that may assist in regulating the conduct, and impress just notions of the behaviour which these relations exact.

Nor are these obligations more evident than the neglect of them; a neglect of which daily examples may be found, and from which daily calamities arise. Almost all the miseries of life, almost all the wickedness that infests, and all the distresses that afflict mankind, are the consequences of some defect in these duties. It is therefore no objection to the propriety of discoursing upon them, that they are well known and generally acknowledged; for a very small part of the disorders of the world proceed from ignorance of the laws, by which life ought to be regulated; nor do many, even of those whose hands are polluted with the foulest crimes, deny the reasonableness of virtue, or attempt to justify their own actions. Men are not blindly betrayed into corruption, but abandon themselves to their passions with their eyes open; and lose the direction of Truth, because they do not attend to her voice, not because they do not hear, or do not understand it. therefore no less useful to rouse the thoughtless, than instruct the ignorant; to awaken the attention, than enlighten the under standing.

There is another reason, for which it may be proper to dwell long upon these duties, and return frequently to them; that deep impressions of them may be formed and renewed, as

often as time or temptation shall begin to erafe them. Offences against society in its greater extent are cognizable by human laws. No man can invade the property, or diffurb the quiet of his neighbour, without subjecting himself to penalties, and suffering in proportion to the injuries he has offered. But cruelty and pride, oppression and partiality, may tyrannize in private families without controul; Meekness may be trampled upon, and Piety insulted, without any appeal, but to conscience and to Heaven. A thousand methods of torture may be invented, a thousand acts of unkindness, or disregard, may be committed; a thousand innocent gratifications may be denied, and a thouland hardships imposed, without any viola-Life may be embittered with hourly tion of national laws. vexation; and weeks, months, and years, be lingered out in milery, without any legal cause of separation, or possibility of judicial redress. Perhaps no sharper anguish is felt, than that which cannot be complained of; nor any greater cruelties inflicted, than some which no human authority can relieve.

That marriage itself, an inflitution designed only for the promotion of happiness, and for the relief of the disappointments, anxieties and distresses to which we are subject in our present state, does not always produce the effects for which it was appointed; that it sometimes condenses the gloom, which it was intended to dispel, and encreases the weight, which was expected to be made lighter by it, must, however unwillingly, be yet acknowledged.

It is to be confidered to what causes effects, so unexpected and unpleasing, so contrary to the end of the institution, and so unlikely to arise from it, are to be attributed; it is necessaty to enquire, whether those that are thus unhappy, are to impute their misery to any other cause than their own folly, and to the neglect of those duties which prudence and religion equally require.

This enquiry may not only be of use in stating and explaining the duties of the marriage state, but may contribute
to tree it from licentious missepresentations, and weak object-

tions; which indeed can have little force upon minds not already adapted to receive impressions from them, by habits of debauchery; but which, when they co-operate with lewdness, intemperance and vanity; when they are proposed to an understanding naturally weak, and made yet weaker by luxury and sloth, by an implicit resignation to reigning follies, and an habitual compliance with every appetite; may at least add strength to prejudices, to support an opinion already savoured, and perhaps hinder convistion, or at least retard it.

It may indeed be afferted to the honour of marriage, that it has few adverfaries among men either distinguished for their abilities, or eminent for their virtue. Those who have assumed the province of attacking it, of overturning the conflitution of the world, of encountering the authority of the wifest legislators, from whom it has received the highest fanction of human wisdom; and subverting the maxims of the most flourishing States, in which it has been dignified with honours, and promoted with immunities; those who have undertaken the task of contending with reason and experience. with earth and with heaven, are men who feem generally not selected by nature for great attempts, or difficult undertakings. They are, for the most part, such as owe not their determinations to their arguments, but their arguments to their determinations: disputants, animated not by a consciousness of truth, but by the numbers of their adherents; and heated, not with zeal for the right, but with the rage of licentiousnels and impatience of restraint. And perhaps to the sober, the understanding, and the pious, it may be sufficient to remark, that Religion and Marriage have the same enemies.

There are indeed some in other communions of the christian church, who censure marriage upon different motives, and prefer celibacy as a state more immediately devoted to the honour of God, and the regular and assiduous practice of the duties of religion; and have recommended vows of abstinence, no where commanded in scripture, and imposed restraints upon lawful desires; of which it is easy to judge how

well they are adapted to the present state of human nature, by the frequent violation of them, even in those societies where they are voluntarily incurred, and where no vigilance is omitted to secure the observation of them.

But the authors of these rigorous and unnatural schemes of life, though certainly missed by salse notions of holiness, and perverted conceptions of the duties of our religion, have at least the merit of missaken endeavours to promote virtue, and must be allowed to have reasoned at least with some degree of probability, in vindication of their conduct. They were generally persons of piety, and sometimes of knowledge, and are therefore not to be consounded with the sool, the drunkard, and the libertine. They who decline marriage for the sake of a more severe and mortissed life, are surely to be distinguished from those, who condemn it as too rigorous a consinement, and wish the abolition of it, in savour of boundless voluptuousness and licensed debauchery.

Perhaps even the errors of missaken goodness may be rectified, and the prejudices surmounted by deliberate attention to the nature of the institution; and certainly the calumnies of wickedness may be, by the same means, consuted, though its clamours may not be silenced; since commonly in debates like this, consutation and conviction are very distant from each other. For that nothing but vice or folly obstructs the happiness of a married life, may be made evident by examining.

First, The Nature and End of Marriage.

Secondly, The means by which that end is to be attained. First, The Nature and End of Marriage.

The Vow of Marriage which the wisdom of most civilized nations has enjoined, and which the rules of the christian church enjoin, may be properly considered as a vow of perpetual and indissoluble friendship; triendship which no change of fortune, nor any alteration of external circumstances can be allowed to interrupt or weaken. After the commencement of this state there remain no longer any separate inter-

effs; the two individuals become united, and are therefore to enjoy the same felicity, and suffer the same missortunes; to have the same friends and the same enemies, the same forcess and the same disappointments. It is easy by pursuing the parallel between friendship and marriage, to shew how exact a conformity there is between them, to prove that all the precepts laid down with respect to the contrastion, and the maxims advanced with regard to the effects of friendship, are true of marriage in a more literal sense, and a stricter acceptation.

It has been long observed that friendship is to be confined to one; or that, to use the words of the Greek axiom, He that That ardour of kindness, that hath friends, has no friend. unbounded confidence, that unfulpecting fecurity which friendship requires, cannot be extended beyond a single of-A divided affection may be termed benevolence, but can hardly rife to friendship; for the narrow limits of the hisman mind allow it not intenfely to contemplate more than one idea. As we love one more, we must love another less: and however impartially we may, for a very thort time, diftribute our regards, the balance of affection will quickly incline, perhaps against our consent, to one side or the other. Besides, though we should love our friends equally, which is pehaps not possible; and each according to their merit, which is very difficult: what shall secure them from jealously of each other? Will not each think highly of his own value, and imagine himself rated below his worth? Or what shall preserve their common friend from the same jealouly, with regard to them? As he divides his affection and esteem between them. he can in return claim no more than a dividend of theirs: and as he regards them equally, they may justly rank some other in equality with him; and what then shall hinder an endless communication of confidence, which must certainly end in treachery at last? Let these reflections be applied to marriage, and perhaps polygamy may lose its vindicators.

It is remarked that \*Friendship amongst equals is the most

<sup>·</sup> Amicitia inter pares firmissima.

lasting, and perhaps there are tew causes to which more visihappy marriages are to be ascribed than a disproportion between the original condition of the two persons. Difference of condition makes difference of education; and difference of education produces differences of habits, sentiments and inelinations. From thence arise contrary views, and opposite schemes, of which the frequent though not necessary consequences are debates, disgust, alienation, and settled hatred.

Strift friendship his to have the fame defires and the fame aversions. Whoever is to choose a friend is to consider first the refemblance, or the diffimilitude of tempers. How neceffary this caution is to be urged as preparatory to matriage, the milery of those who neglect it sufficiently evinces. enumerate all the varieties of disposition, to which it may on this occasion be convenient to attend, would be a tedious talk; but it is at least proper to enforce one precept on this head, a precept which was never yet broken without fatal confequences, Let the religion of the man and woman be the fame. rancour and hatred, the rage and perfecution with which religious disputes have filled the world, need not be related: every history can inform us, that no malice is so fierce, so eruel, and implacable, as that which is excited by religious It is to no purpose that they stipulate for the free enjoyment of their own opinion; for how can he be happy. who fees the person most dear to him in a state of dangerous error, and ignorant of those sacred truths, which are necessary to the approbation of God, and to future felicity? How can he engage not to endeavour to propagate truth, and promote the falvation of those he loves? or if he has been betrayed into such engagements by an ungoverned passion, how can he vindicate himself in the observation of them? The education of children will foon make it necessary to determine, which of the two opinions shall be transmitted to their posterity; and how can either content to train up in error and delution those

Art observation of Catiline in Sallust.

from whom they expect the highest satisfactions, and the only comforts of declining life.

of condition is chiefly eligible; for as friend/hip, lo marriage, either finds or makes an equality. No disadvantage of birth or fortune olight to impede the exaltation of virtue and of will dom; for with marriage begins union, and union obligerates all diffinctions. It may indeed become the person who received the benefit, to remember it, that gratitude may heighten affection; but the person that conferred it ought to forget it, because, if it was deserved, it cannot be mentioned without injustice, not if undeserved, without imprudence. All reproaches of this kind must be either retractions of a good action, or proclamations of our own weakness.

Friends, fays the proverbial observation, have every thing in common. This is likewise implied in the marriage covenant. Matrimony admits of no separate possessions, nor incommunicable interests. This rule, like all others, has been often broken by low views and fordid stipulations; but, like all other precepts sounded on reason and in truth, it has received a new confirmation from almost every breach of it; and those parents, whose age had no better effects upon their understanding than to fill them with avarice and stratagem, have brought milery and ruin upon their children, by the means which they weakly imagined conducive to their happiness.

There is yet another precept equally relating to friendship and to marriage; a precept which, in either case, can never be too strongly inculcated, or too scrupulously observed; contrast friendship only with the good. Virtue is the sirst quality to be considered in the choice of a striend, and yet more in a fixed and irrevocable choice. This maxim surely requires no comment, nor any vindication; it is equally clear and certain, obvious to the superficial, and incontessible by the most accurate examiner. To dwell upon it is therefore and

Every man will, without hesitation, confess that it is absurd to trust a known deceiver, or voluntarily to depend for quiet and for happiness upon insolence, cruelty and oppression. Thus marriage appears to differ from friendship chiesty in the degree of its efficacy, and the authority of its institution. It was appointed by God himself, as necessary to happiness, even in a state of innocence; and the relation produced by it, was declared more powerful than that of birth. Therefore shall a man leave his father and his mother, and shall cleave unto his wife. But as, notwithstanding its conformity to human nature, it sometimes sails to produce the effects intended, it is necessary to enquire,

Secondly, By what means the end of marriage is to be at-

As it appears, by examining the natural leftern of the unit verse, that the greatest and smallest bedies are impered with the same properties, and moved by the same tame impered with the same properties, and moved by the same tame is so a selection world will inform us, that greater or left societies are to be made happy by the same means and that homeway selections may be varied, or circumstances shanged, virtue, and virtue alone, is the parent of selicity. We can only, in whatsoever state we may be placed, fecure ourselves from different and from missery by a resolute attention to truth and read on. Without this, it is in vain that a man chooses a friend or cleaves to a wite. If passion be suffered to prevail once right, and the duties of our state be broken through, on perfect, for the sake of gratifying our anger, our pride, or our savenges; the union of hearts will quickly be dissolved, and kindness will give way to releniment and aversion.

The duties, by the practice of which a married life is so he made happy, are the same with those of triendship, has exalted to higher persection. Love much be more ardent, and confidence without limits. It is therefore needship on each set to deserve that confidence by the most unflaken fidelity, and to preferve their love unextinguished by continual lasts of ten-

dernels; not only to detest all real, but seeming offences; and to avoid suspicion and guilt with almost equal solicitude.

But fince the frailty of our nature is such that we cannot hope from each other an unvaried rectitude of conduct, or an uninterrupted course of wisdom or virtue; as folly will sometimes intrude upon an unguarded hour; and temptations, by frequent attacks, will sometimes prevail; one of the chief acts of love is readily to forgive errors, and overlook defects. Neglect is to be reclaimed by kindness, and perverseness softened by compliance. Sudden starts of passion are patientally to be borne, and the calm moments of recollection silently expected. For if one offence be made a plea for another; it anger be to be opposed with anger, and reproach retorted for reproach; either the contest must be continued forever, or one must at last be obliged by violence to do what might have been at first done, not only more gracefully, but with more advantage.

Marriage, however in general it resembles friendship, disfers from it in-this; that all its duties are not reciprocal. Friends are equal in every respect; but the relation of marriage produces authority on one side, and exacts obedience on the other; obedience, an unpleasing duty; which yet the nature of the state makes indispensible; for friends may separate when they can no longer reconcile the sentiments, or approve the schemes of each other; but as marriage is indissoluble, either one must be content to submit, when conviction cannot be obtained, or life must be wasted in perpetual disputes.

But though obedience may be justly required, fervility is not to be exacted; and though it may be lawful to exert authority, it must be remembered, that to govern and to tyrannize are very different, and that oppression will naturally provoke rebellion.

The great rule both of authority and obedience is the law of God; a law which is not to be broken for the promotion of any onds, or in compliance with any commands; and which indeed never can be violated without destroying that confi-

dence which is the great fource of matual happines; for how can that person be trusted, whom no principles oblige to fieldlity?

Thus religion appears, in every fixte of life, to be the balls of happiness, and the operating power which makes every good inflitution valid and efficacious. And he that shall attempt to attain happiness by the means which God has cardianed, and shall leave his sather and his mother, and shall eleave mate his mother, and shall eleave mate his mother, and shall eleave mate his sather and his mother, and shall eleave allows, it in his choice, he pays the first regard to virtue, and regulates his conduct by the pro-

A superior of the control o

and the state of t

A few sections of the section of

. The second constant is the second constant of the second constant in the second constant is  $A_{\rm cons}$ 

A construction of the constructio

A company of the second second

SERMON

isermon in

## The I Sold of Man Odn off the company of the compan

Set in lasty of consecutive <u>to a superior of the set in the set </u>

fit the wicked for sake his way, and the unrighteous man his shoughts, and let him recurs unto the Lord, and he will have mercy upon him, and to our God, for he will abundant.

If partial 197

the grand last minimized of the cartilless with A HAT God is a Being of infinite mercy; that he defires not the death of a finner, nor takes any pleasure in the milery of his eremuras, may not only be deduced from the confideration of his patureand bis apributes : but, for the fake of those that are incapable of philosophical enquiries, who make far the greatest page of mankind, it is evidently revealed to us in the scriptures, in which the Supreme Being, the source of life, the author of existence, who spake the world, and the world was made, who commanded, and it was created, is described as looking down from the height of infinite felicity, with tendernels and pity. upon the fons of men; inciting them, by folt impulles, to perseverance in virtue, and recalling them, by instruction and punishment, from error and from vice. He is represented as not more formidable for his power, than amiable for his merey; and is introduced as expossulating with mankind upon their obflinacy in wickedness, and warning them, with the highest affection, to avoid these punishments which the laws of his government make it necessary to instict upon the inflexinic and disobedient. Return unto me, and I will return unto you, faith the Lard of Hofts, Mal. iii, 7. Make you a new heart, and a new fairit, for why will ye die, O house of Israel ? Ezek. zviii. 36 His mercy is ever made the chief motive of obedience to him; and with the highest reason inculcated, as

the attribute which may animate us most powerfully to an at-Pention to our duty. If thou, o Lora, vert centule to man's what is done amiss, O Lord, who shall abide it ? But there is mercy with thee, therefore that that be feared. If God were a power unmerciful and severe, a rigid exacter of unvaried regplarity and unfailing virtue; lif he were not to be pleafed but with perfection, nor to be pacified after transgressions and ofsences; in vain would the best men endeavour to recommend shemselves to his favour; in vain would the most circumspect watch the motions of his own heart, and the most diligent apply himfelf so the exercise of virtue. They would only deftroy their ease by ineffectual solicitude, confine their defires with unnecessary restraints, and weary out their lives in uidavailing labours. God would not be to be ferved, because All lervice would be rejected : it would be much more rea-Johable to abilities the mind from the contemplation of him. than to have him only before us as an object of terror, as a Being too mighty to be relifted, and too cruel to be implored; s Being that created men only to be miferable, and revealed himfell to them only to interrupt even the transfent and imperfect enjoyments of this life, to altonish them with terror, and to overwhelm them with defbair.

But there is mercy with him, therefore finall he be feared. It is reasonable that we should endeavour to please him; because we know that every sincere endeavour will be rewarded by thin; that we should use all the means in our power to enlighten our minds and regulare our lives; because our or emighten our minds and regulare our lives; because our or emight not exactly agreeable to the divine ideas of rectainly, well not be condemned by that God, who judges of the heart, weighs every directablesed of our lives; and admits every real extennation of our failings and transglessions.

Were there not mercy with him, were he not to be reconailed after the commission of a crime, what much be the flate afithole, who are confeious of having eace offended him? A flate of gloothy melancholy, or outrageous desperation; a diffinal wearinest of life, and inexpressible agonies at the thought of death; for what affright or affliction could equal the homers of that third which expected every moment to fall into the hands of impleable Omnipotence?

But the mercy of God extends not only to those that have made his will, in some degree, the rule of their actions, and have only deviated from it by inadvertency, surprise, inattention, or negligence, but even to those that have polluted themselves with studied and premeditated wickedness; that have violated his commands in opposition to conviction, and gone on, from crime to crime, under a sense of the divine disposerosation.

Even these are not forever excluded from his favour, but have in their hands means, appointed by himself, of reconcilisation to him; means by which pardon may be obtained, and by which they may be restored to those hopes of happiness, from which they have sallen by their own fault.

The great duty, to the performance of which these benefits are promised, is repetitance; a duty which it is of the utmod importance to every man to understand and practise; and which it therefore may be necessary to explain and enforce, by snewing,

First, What is the true nature of Repentance.

Secondly, What are the obligations to an early Repenterance.

First, What is the true nature of Repentance.

The duty of repeatance, like most other parts of religion, has been misrepresented by the weakness of superstition, or the artistices of interest. The clearest precepts have been observed by false interpretations, and one error added to another, till the understanding of men has been bewildered, and their morals deprayed by a false appearance of religion.

Repentance has been made, by fome, to confist in the outward expressions of forrow for sin, in tears and sighs, in dejection and lamentation. It must be owned that where the crime is public, and where others may be in danger of corruption from the example, some public and open declarations of septentance may be proper, if made with decency and propriety, which are needfary to preserve the best actions from contempt and ridicule a but they are necessary only for the sake of dastroying the institute of a bad example, and are no otherwise effectual to this duty. No man is obliged to accuse himself of crimes, which are known to God alone; even the sear of hurting others ought often to restrain him from it, since to contest crimes may be, in some measure, to teach them, and those may imitate him in wickedness, who will not follow him in his repentance:

It feems here not impertinent to mention the practice of private confession to the Priest, indispensibly enjoined by the Roman Church, as absolutely necessary to true repensances but which is no where commanded in scripture, or recommended otherwise than as a method of disburthening the completence, for the sake of receiving comtact or instruction, and as such, is directed by our own liturgy.

Thus much, and no more, seems to be implied in the Apostle's precept, of confessing our faults one to another, a precept expressed with such latitude, that it appears only to be one of those which it may be often convenient to observe, but which is to be observed no further than as it may be convenient. For we are lest entirely at liberty, what terms, whether general or particular, we shall use in our confessions. The precept, in a literal and rational sense, can be said to direct no more, than general acts of humiliation, and acknowledgments of our own depravity.

No man ought to judge of the efficacy of his own repentance, or the fincerity of another's, by fuch variable and uncertain tokens as proceed more from the conflictation of the body than the disposition of the mind, or more from sudden passions and violent emotions, than from a fixed temper or settled resolutions. Tears are often to be found, where there is little forrow, and the deepest forrow without any tearsEven forrow itself is no other than an accidental, or a secondary part of repentance, which may, and indeed ought to arise from the consciousness of our own guilt; but which is merely a natural and necessary effect, in which choice has very little part, and which therefore is no virtue. He that seels no forrow for fin, has indeed great reason to doubt of the sincerity of his own repentance, since he seems not to be truly sensible of his danger and his misery but he that seels it in the highest degree is not to put considence in it. He is only to expect mercy upon his reformation.

For reformation is the chief part of repentance; not he that only bewails and confesses, but he that for skes his sins, repents acceptably to God, that God who will have mercy, and not facrifice: who will only accept a pure heart and real virtue, not outward forms of grief, nor pompous solemnities of devotion. To conceive that any thing can be substituted in the place of reformation, is a dangerous and stall, though perhaps no uncommon error; nor is it less erroneous, though less destructive, to suppose that any thing can be added to the efficacy of a good life by a conformity to any extraordinary seremonies or particular institutions.

To falle notions of repentance many nations owe the cuftern which prevaits amongst them, of retiring in the decline of life to solitudes and cloisters, to atone for wickedness by penance and mortifications. It must indeed be consessed that it may be prudent in a man, long accustomed to yield to particular temptations, to remove himself from them as far as he can, because every passion is more strong of violent as its particular object is more near. Thus it would be madness in a man, long enslaved by intemperance, to frequent revels and bapquiets with an intent to reform; nor can it be expected that cruelly and tyranny should be corrected by continuance in high authority.

That particular state, which contributes most to excite and stingulate our inordinate passions, may be changed with very

way, or their equant profit tell

good effect; (but any retirement from the world does not necellarily precede or follow repetitance, because it is not requiffice to reformation. As man, whole conference sectiles him of having perverted others, seems under some oblightous to continue in the world, and to practife virtue in public, that those who have been seduced by his example, may by his ex-

ample be reclaimed.

For reformation includes not only the forbestance of those crimes of which we have been guilty, and me practice of those duties which we have kitherio neglected, but a repa-Pation, as far as we are able to make it, of all the injuries that we have done, either to mankind in general, or to particular persons. It we have been guilty of the open propagation of error, or the prompigation of fallehood, we mult make our recantation no lels openly; we mult endeavour, without regard to the flame and reproach to which we may be expoted, to undeceive those whom we have formerly milled. If we have deprived any man of his right, we must restore it to him; if we have aspersed his reputation, we must retract our calumny. Whatever can be done to obviate the ill confequences of our paft misconduct, must be diligently and steadily practised. Whoever has been made vicious or unhappy by our fault, must be restored to virtue and happiness, to far as our counsel br fortune can contribute to it.

Let no man imagine that he may indulge has malice, his avarice, or his ambition, at the expense of others; that he may raise himself to wealth and honour by the breach of the ry law of heaven and earth, then retire laden with the pluder of the miserable, spend his life in lantastic penances, or falle devotion, and by his compliance with the external dimes of religion, atone for withholding what he has torn away from the lawful possessor vapine and extortion. Let him he flatter himself with false persuasions that prayer and mortification can alter the great and invariable rules of reason and justice. Let him not think that he can acquire a right to keep what he had no right to take away, or that frequent profinations

before God will justify his perseverance in oppressing men. Let him be assured that his presence profanes the temple, and that his prayer will be turned into sin.

A trequent and serious reflection upon the necessity of reparation and restitution, may be very effectual to restrain men
from injustice and defamation, from cruelty and extortion;
for nothing is more certain, than that most propose to themselves to die the death of the righteous, and intend, however
they may offend God in the pursuit of their interest, or the
gratifications of their passions, to reconcile themselves to him
by repentance. Would men therefore deeply imprint upon
their minds the true notions of repentance in its whole extent, many temptations would lose their force; for who
would utter a falsehood which he must shamefully retract;
or take away, at the expense of his reputation and his innocence, what, if he hopes for eternal happiness, he must afterwards restore? Who would commit a crime, of which he
must retain the guilt, but lose the advantage?

There is indeed a partial restitution, with which many have attempted to quiet their consciences, and have betrayed When they are sufficiently enriched by their own fouls. wicked practices, and leave off to rob from fatiety of wealth, or are awaked to reflection upon their own lives by danger, advertity, or ficknels, they then become defirous to be at peace with God, and hope to obtain, by refunding part of their acquisitions, a permission to enjoy the rest. In pursuance of this view, churches are built, schools endowed, the poor clothed, and the ignorant educated; works indeed highly pleafing to God, when performed in concurrence with the other duties of religion, but which will never atone for the violation of justice. To plunder one man for the sake of relieving another, is not charity; to build temples with the gains of wickedness, is to endeavour to bribe the Divinity. This ought ye to have done, and not left the other undone. Ye qught doubiles to be charitable, but ye ought first to be just.

There are others who consider God as a Judge still more easily reconciled to crimes, and therefore perform their acts of atonement alter death, and dessine their estates to charity, when they can serve the end of luxury or vanity no longer. But whoever he be that has loaded his soul with the spoils of the unhappy, and riots in assume by cruelty and injustice, let him not be deceived! God is not mocked. Restitution must be made to those who have been wronged, and whatever he withholds from them, he withholds at the hazard of eternal happiness.

An amendment of life is the chief and effential part of repentance. He that has performed that great work, needs not disturb his conscience with subtile scruples, or nice distinctions. He needs not recollect whether he was awakened from the lethargy of sin, by the love of God, or the tear of punishment. The scripture applies to all our passions; and eternal punishments had been threatened to no purpose, if these menaces were not intended to promote virtue.

But as this reformation is not to be accomplished by our own natural power, unaffisted by God, we mut, when we form our first resolutions of a new life, apply ourselves, with tervour and constancy, to those means which God has prescribed for obtaining his assistance. We must implore a blesfing by frequent prayer, and confirm our faith by the holy facrament. We must use all those institutions that contribute to the increase of piety, and omit nothing that may either promote our progress in virtue, or prevent a relapse into vice. It may be enquired, whether a repentance begun in fickness. and prevented by death from exerting its influence upon the conduct, will avail in the fight of God. To this question it may be answered in general, that, as all reformation is begun by a change of the temper and inclinations, which, when altered to a certain degree, necessarily produce an alteration in the life and manners; if God who fees the heart, fees it rectified in fuch a manner as would confequently produce a good life, he will accept that repentance.

But it is of the highest importance to those who have so long delayed to secure their salvation, that they sold none of the moments which yet remain; that they omit no act of justice or mercy now in their power; that they summon all their diligence to improve the remains of life, and exert every virtue which they have opportunities to practice. And when they have done all that can possibly be done by them, they cannot yet be certain of acceptance, because they cannot know whether a repentance, proceeding wholly from the sear of death, would not languish and cease to operate, if that sear was taken away.

Since therefore such is the hazard and uncertain efficacy

of repentance long delayed, let us feriously reflect,

Secondly, upon the obligations to an early repentance.

He is essemed by the prudent and the diligent to be no good regulator of his private affairs, who defers till to-mortow, what is necessary to be done, and what it is in his power to do to-day. The obligation would still be stronger, if we suppose that the present is the only day in which he knows it will be in his power. This is the case of every man, who delays to reform his life, and fulls himself in the supineness of iniquity. He knows not that the opportunities he now rejects will ever be again offered him, or that they will not be depied him because he has rejected them. This he certainly knows, that life is continually stealing from him, and that every day cuts off some part of that time which is already perhaps almost at an end.

But the time not only grows every day shorter, but the work to be performed in it more difficult; every hour, in which repentance is delayed, produces something new to be repented of. Habits grow stronger by long continuance, and passions more violent by indulgence. Vice, by repeated acts, becomes almost natural; and pleasures, by frequent enjoyment, captivate the mind almost beyond resistance.

If avarice has been the predominant passion, and wealth has been accumulated by extention and rapacity, repentance is not

to be postponed. Acquistions, long enjoyed, are with great difficulty quitted; with so great difficulty, that we feldom, very seldom, meet with true repentance in those whom the defire of riches has betrayed to wickedness. Men, who could willingly resign the luxuries and sensual pleasures of a large tortune, cannot consent to live without the grandeur and the homage. And they, who would leave all, cannot bear the reproach which they apprehend from such an acknowledgment of wrong.

Thus are men withheld from repentance, and confequently debarred from eternal felicity; but these realons, being founded in temporal interest, acquire every day greater strength to millead us, though not greater efficacy to justify us. man may, by fondly indulging a falle notion, voluntarily forget that it is false, but can never make it true. We must banish every falle argument, every known delution from our minds, before our passions can operate in its favour; and forfake what we know must be forfaken, before we have endeared it to ourselves by long possession. Repentance is always difficult, and the difficulty grows kill greater by delay. / But let those who have hitherto neglected this great duty remember, that it is yet in their power, and that they cannot perish everlastingly but by their own choice! Let them therefore endeavour to redeem the time loft, and repair their negligence by vigilance and ardour. Let the wicked for fake his way, and the unrighteous man his thoughts: and let him return unto the Lord, and he will have mercy upon him, and to our God, for he will abundantly pardon.

magency of the first control of the control of the

# SERMON III.

no exemple, a joi joi<del>n this of har non</del> hears of the or Sound of our colors with the by a conflict the order f

## PROVERES, CHAP. XXVIII. VER. 😘 👚

Happy is the man that feareth alway: but he that hardeneth

HE great purpole of revealed religion is to afford man clear representation of his dependence on the Supreme Being, by teaching him to confider God as his Creator and Governor, his Father and his Judge. Those, to whom Providence has granted the knowledge of the holy scriptures, have no need to perplex themselves with difficult speculations, to deduce their duty from remote principles, or to enforce it by doubtful motives. The bible tells us, in plain and authoritative terms, that there is a way to life and a way to death; that there are acts which God will reward, and acts that he will punish; that with soberness, righteousness and godliness, God will be pleafed; and that with intemperance, iniquity and impiety, God will be offended; and that, of those who are careful to pleafe him, the reward will be fuch, as eye hath not feen, nor ear heard; and of those who, having offended him, die without repentance, the punishment will be inconceivably severe and dreadful.

In consequence of this general doctrine, the whole lystem of moral and religious duty is expressed, in the language of scripture, by the fear of God. As good man is characterised, as a man that searcth God; and the sear of the Lord is said to be the beginning of wisdom; and the text affirms, that happy is the man that searcth alway.

On the diffinction of this fear into servile and filial, or

fear of punishment, or fear of offence, on which much has been superflexited by the casuifical theology of the Rountile Church, it is not necessary to dwell. It is sufficient to obferve, that the religion which makes sear the great principle of action, implicitly condemns all self-confidence, all presumptious security; and enjoins a constant state of vigilance and caution, a perpetual district of our own hearts, a suit conviction of our natural weakness, and an earnest soficience for divine assistance,

The philotophers of the heathen, world feemed to hope; that man might be flattered into virtue, and therefore fold him much of his rank, and of the meannels of degeneracy; they afferted, indeed with truth, that all greatnels was in the practice of virtue; but of virtue their notions were narrow; and price, which their doctrine made its chief support, was not of power sufficient to struggle with sense or pallion.

Of that religion, which has been taught from God, the bafis is humility: a holy fear which attends good men through the whole course of their lives, and keeps them always attentive to the motives and consequences of every action; it always unlaisted with their progress in holiness, always withing to advance, and always alraid of falling away.

ing to advance, and always atraid of falling away.

This fear is of fuch efficacy to the great purpole of our being, that the Wife Man has pronounced him happy that lears always; and declares that he who hardens his heart thall fall into mischiet. Let us therefore carefully consider.

thall fall into mischief. Let us therefore carefully consider,
First, What he is to lear, whose sear will make him happy.
Secondly, What is that hardness of heart which ends in mischief.

Thirdly, How the heart is hardened. And,
Fourthly, What is the confequence of hardness of heart.
First, We must enquire, what he is to sear, whose sear will make him happy.

The great and primary object of a good man's lear, is fin; and in proportion to the atrociousness of the crime, he will thrink from it with more horror. When he meditates on the

infinite perfection of his maker and his judge; when he confiders that the heavens are not pure in the fight of God, and yet remembers that he must in a short time appear before him; he dreads the contaminations of evil, and endeavours to pass through his appointed time with such cautions as may keep him unspotted from the world.

The dread of fin necessarily produces the dread of temptation: he that wishes to escape the effects, slies likewise from the cause. The humility of a man truly religious seldom suffers him to think himself able to resist those incitements to evil which, by the approach of immediate gratifications, may be presented to sense or fancy; his care is not for visitory, but safety; and when he can escape assaults, he does not willingly encounter them.

The continual occurrence of temptation, and that imbecility of nature which every man fees in others, and has experienced in himself, seems to have made many doubtful of the possibility of salvation. In the common modes of life, they find that business enfoares, and that pleasure seduces; that success produces pride, and miscarriage envy; that conversation consists too often of censure or of slattery; and that even care for the interests of friends, or attention to the establishment of a samily, generates contest and competition, enmity and malevolence, and at last fills the mind with secular solicitude.

Under the terrots which this prospect of the world has impressed upon them, many have endeavoured to secure their innocence by excluding the possibility of crimes; and have fled for refuge, from vanity and sin, to the solitude of deserts; where they have passed their time in woods and caverns; and, after a life of labour and maceration, prayer and penitence, died at last in secrecy and silence.

Many more, of both fexes, have withdrawn, and still withdraw themselves from crowds, and glitter, and pleasure, to monasteries and convents; where they engage themselves, by irrevocable vows, in certain modes of life, more or lefs auftere, according to the feveral inflitutions; but all of them comprising many positive hardships, and all prohibiting almost all sensual gratifications. The fundamental and general principle of all monastic communities is celibacy, poverty, and obedience to the superior. In some there is a perpetual abstinence from all food that may join delight with nourishment; to which, in others, is added an obligation to silence and solitude;—to suffer, to watch, and to pray, is their whole employment.

Of these, it must be consessed, that they sear always, and that they escape many temptations, to which all are exposed, and by which many fall, who venture themselves into the whirl of human affairs; they are exempt from avarice and all its concomitants, and by allowing themselves to possels nothing, they are free from those contests for honour and power which fill the open world with stratagems and violence. But surely it cannot be said that they have reached the persection of a religious life; it cannot be allowed that slight is victory; or that he fills his place in the creation laudably, who does no all, only because he does nothing. Those who live upon that which is produced by the labour of others, could not live if there were none to labour; and if celibacy could be universal, the race of man must soon have an end.

Of these recluses it may without uncharitable censure be affirmed, that they have secured their innocence by the loss of their virtue; that to avoid the commission of some faults, they have made many duties impracticable; and that, less they should do what they ought not to do, they leave much undone which they ought to do. They must, however, be allowed to express a just sense of the dangers with which we are surrounded, and a strong conviction of the vigilance necessary to obtain salvation; and it is our business to avoid their errors and imitate their piety.

He is happy that carries about with him in the world the temper of the cloifter; and preferves the fear of doing evil,

Digitized by Google

while he suffers himself to be impelled by the zeal of doing good; who uses the comforts and the conveniences of his condition as though he used them not, with that constant defire of a better state which sinks the value of earthly things; who can be rich or poor, without pride in riches, or discontent in poverty; who can manage the business of life with such indifference as may shut out from his heart all incitements to fraud or injustice; who can partake the pleasures of sense with temperance, and enjoy the distinctions of honour with moderation; who can pass undefiled through a polluted world, and, among all the vicistitudes of good and evil, have his heart fixed only where true joys are to be sound.

This can only be done by fearing always, by preferving in the mind a constant apprehension of the Divine presence, and a constant dread of the Divine displeasure; impressions which the converse of mankind, and the solicitations of sense and sancy are continually labouring to essay, and which we must therefore renew by all such practices as religion prescribes; and which may be learned from the lives of them who have been distinguished as examples of piety, by the general approbation of the christian world.

The great efficient of union between the foul and its Creator, is prayer; of which the necessity is such that St. Paul directs us to pray without ceasing; that is, to preserve in the mind such a constant dependence upon God, and such a constant desire of his assistance, as may be equivalent to constant prayer.

No man can pray with ardour of devetion, but he must excite in himself a reverential idea of that power to whom he addresses his petitions; nor can he suddenly reconcile himself to an action by which he shall displease him to whom he has been returning thanks for his creation and preservation, and by whom he hopes to be still preserved. He therefore who prays often, sortifies himself by a natural effect, and may hope to be preserved in safety by the stronger aid of Divine proytection.

Belides the returns of daily and regular prayer, it will be necessary for most men to assist themselves, from time to time. by fome particular and unaccustomed acts of devotion. For this purpose intervals of retirement may be properly recommended; in which the dust of life may be shaken off, and in which the course of life may be properly reviewed, and its future possibilities estimated. At such times socular temptations are removed, and earthly cares are difmiffed; a vais. transitory world may be contemplated in its true state; past offences may obtain pardon by repentance; new resolutions may be formed upon new convictions; the past may supply instruction to the prefent and to the future; and such preparation may be made for those events which thresten spiritual danger, that temptation cannot easily come unexpelled; and interest and pleasure, whenever they renew their attacks, will find the foul upon its guard, with either caution to avoid, or vigous to fepal theme

In these seasons of retreat and recollection, what external helps shall be added must by every one be discreetly and soberly considered. Fasts and other austerities, however they have been brought into disrepute by wild enthusiasm, have been always recommended and always practised by the sincere believers of revealed religion; and as they have a natural tendency to disengage the mind from sensuality, they may be of great use as awakeners of holy sear; and they may assist our progress in a good life, while they are considered only as expressions of our love of God, and are not substituted for the sove of our neighbours.

As all those duties are to be practifed, lest the heart should be hardened, we are to consider,

Secondly, What is meant by hardness of heart.

It is apparent from the text, that the hardness of heart, which betrays to mischief, is contrary to the fear which secures happiness. The sear of God is a certain tenderness of spirit, which shrinks from evil and the causes of evil; such a sense of God's presence, and such persuasion of his justice, as given

fin the appearance of evil, and therefore excites every effort to combat and escape it,

Hardness of heart, therefore, is a thoughtless neglect of the Divine law; such an acquiescence in the pleasures of sense, and such delight in the pride of life, as leaves no place in the mind for meditation on higher things; such an indifference about the last event of human actions, as never looks forward to a suture state, but suffers the passions to operate with their full force, without any other end than the gratifications of the present world.

To men of hearts thus hardened, Providence is feldom wholly inattentive; they are often called to the remembrance of their Creator, both by bleffings and affiliations; by recoveries from fickness, by deliverances from danger, by loss of friends, and by miscarriage of transactions. As these calls are neglected, the hardness is increased; and there is danger less the, whom they have resuled to hear, should call them no more.

This flate of dereliction is the highest degree of milery; and, fince it is so much to be dreaded, all approaches to it are diligently to be avoided. It is therefore necessary to enquire,

Thirdly, How, or by what causes the heart is hardened.

The most dangerous hardness of heart is that which proceeds from some enormous wickedness, of which the criminal dreads the recollection because he cannot prevail upon himself to repair the injury, or because he dreads the irruption of those images by which guilt must always be accompanied; and, finding a temporal ease in negligence and forgetfulness, by degrees confirms himself in stubborn impenitence.

This is the most dreadful and deplorable state of the heart; but this I hope is not very common. That which frequently occurs, though very dangerous, is not desperate; since it confists, not in the perversion of the will, but in the alienation of the thought; by such hearts God is not desied, he is only forgotten. Of this forgetfulness the general causes are worldly cares and sensual pleasures. If there is a man, of whose soul avaries or ambition have complete possession, and who places

his hope in riches or advancement, he will be employed in bargains or in schemes, and make no excursion into remote suturity, nor consider the time in which the rich and the poor shall lie down together; when all temporal advantages shall for sake him, and he shall appear before the supreme tribunal of eternal justice. The slave of pleasure soon sinks into a kind of voluptuous dotage; intoxicated with present delights, and careless of every thing else; his days and his nights glide away in luxury or in vice, and he has no cure but to keep thought away; for thought is always troublesome to kim who lives without his own approbation.

That such men are not roused to the knowledge and the consideration of their real state, will appear less strange, when it is observed, that they are almost always either stupidly, or profanely negligent of those external duties of religion, which are instituted to excite and preserve the sear of God. By perpetual absence from public worship, they miss all opportunities, which the pious wisdom of christianity has afforded them, of comparing their lives with the rules which the scripture contains, and awakening their attention to the presence of God, by hearing him invoked, and joining their own voices in the common supplication. That carelessness of the world to come, which first suffered them to omit the duties of devotion, is, by that omission, hourly encreased; and having sink neglected the means of holiness, they in time do not remember them.

A great part of them whose hearts are thus hardened, may justly impute that insensibility to the violation of the Sabbath. He that keeps one day in the week holy, has not time to become profligate, before the returning day of recollection reinstates his principles and renews his caution. This is the benefit of periodical worship. But he, to whom all days are alike, will find no day for prayer and repentance.

Many enjoyments, innocent in themselves, may become dangerous by too much frequency; public spectacles, convivial entertainments, domestick games, sports of the field, or gay or ludicrous conversation, all of them harmless, and some of them useful, while they are regulated by religious prudence; may yet become pernicious when they pass their bounds, and usurp too much of that time which is given us that we may work out our salvation.

And furely, whatever may diminish the fear of God, or abate the tenderness of conscience, must be diligently avoided by those who remember what is to be explained,

Fourthly, the confequence of hardness of heart.

He that hardeneth his heart shall fall into mischief. Whethex mischief be considered as immediately signifying wickednefs, or mifery, the fense is eventually the same. the effect of wickedness, and wickedness is the cause of misery; and he that hardeneth his heart shall be both wicked and Wicked he will doubtless be, for he that has lost the fear of God has nothing by which he can oppose tempta-He has a breast open and exposed, of which interest or voluptuousnels take easy possession. He is the flave of his own desires, and the sport of his own passions. He acts without a rule of action: and he determines without any true principle of judgment. If he who fears always, who preferves in his mind a constant sense of the danger of sin, is yet often affaulted, and fometimes overpowered by temptation, what can be hoped for him that has the same temptation without the fame defence? He who hardens his heart will certainly be wicked, and it necessarily follows that he will certainly be miserable. The doom of the obstinate and impenitent sinner is plainly declared: it is a fearful thing to fall into the hands of the living God.

Let us all therefore watch our thoughts and actions; and that we may not, by hardness of heart, fall into mischief, let us endeavour and pray that we may be among them that feared always, and by that fear may be prepared for everlasting happiness.

## SERMON IV.

### tsatan, Chap. Iviii. Ver. 7, 8.

Is it not to deal thy bread to the hungry, and that thou bring the poor that are cast out, to thy house? when thou seest the naked that thou cover him, and that thou hide not thyself from thine own slesh?

Then shall thy light break forth as the morning, and thine health shall spring forth speedily: and thy righteousness shall go before thee, the glory of the Lord shall be thy rereward.

If the necessity of every duty is to be estimated by the frequency with which it is inculcated, and the sanctions by which it is enforced; if the great Lawgiver of the universe, whose will is immutable, and whose decrees are established for ever, may be supposed to regard, in a particular manner, the observation of those commands, which seem to be repeated only that they may be strongly impressed and secured, by an habitual submission, from violation and neglect, there is scarcely any virtue that we ought more diligently to exercise than that of compassion to the needy and distressed.

If we look into the state of mankind, and endeavour to deduce the will of God from the visible disposition of things, we find no duty more necessary to the support of order and the happiness of society, nor any of which we are more often reminded, by opportunities of practifing it, or which is more strongly urged upon us by importunate solicitations and assessing objects.

If we enquire into the opinions of those men on whom God conferred superior wisdom, in the heathen world, all

their suffrages will be found united in this great point. A-midst all their wild opinions, and chimerical systems, the sallies of unguided imagination, and the errors of bewildered reason; they have all endeavoured to evince the necessity of beneficence, and agreed to assign the first rank of excellence to him, who most contributes to improve the happiness, and to soften the miseries of life.

But we, who are bleffed with clearer light, and taught to know the will of our Maker, not from long deductions from variable appearances, or intricate disquisitions of fallible reason, but by messengers inspired by himself, and enabled to prove their mission, by works above the power of created beings, may spare ourselves the labour of tedious enquiries. The Holy Scriptures are in our hands; the scriptures, which are able to make us wise unto salvation; and by them we may be sufficiently informed of the extent and importance of this great duty; a duty enjoined, explained, and enforced by Moses and the prophets, by the evangelists and aposses, by the precepts of Solomon and the example of Christ.

From those to whom large possessions have been transmitted by their ancestors, or whose industry has been blessed with success. God always requires the tribute of charity; he commands that what he has given be enjoyed in imitating his bounty, in dispensing happiness, and chearing poverty, in easy thing the pains of disease, and lightening the burden of oppression; he commands that the superstuity of bread be deads to the stungty; and the raiment, which the possession cannot use, be bestowed upon the naked, and that no man turn away from his own field.

This is a tribute, which it is difficult to imagine that any man can be unwilling to pay, as an acknowledgment of his dependence upon the universal Benefactor, and an humble sentence upon the universal Benefactor, and an humble sentence upon the universal Benefactor, without which the first grounds of human power must fail, at the first stock of adverting; and the highest settless of carrier greater

nefs fink into ruin; without which wealth is only a floating vapour, and policy an empty found.

But such is the prevalence of temptations, not early resisted; such the depravity of minds, by which unlawful desires have been long included, and talle appearances of happiness pursued with ardour and pertinaciousness; so much are we influenced by example, and so diligently do we labour to deceive ourselves, that it is not uncommon to find the sentiments of benevolence almost extinguished, and all regard to the welfare of others overborne by a perpetual attention to immediate advantage and contracted views of present interest.

When any man has funk into a state of insentibility like this, when he has learned to act only by the impulse of apparent profit, when he can look upon distress without partaking it, and hear the cries of poverty and sickness without a wish to relieve them; when he has to far difordered his ideas as to value wealth, without regard to its end, and to amais, with eagernels, what is of no use in his hands; he is, indeed, not eafily to be reclaimed; his reason, as well as his passions, is in combination against his soul, and there is little hope that either persuasion will soften, or arguments convince him. A man, once hardened in eruely by inveterate avarice, is feareely to be confidered as any longer human; nor is it to be hoped that say impression can be made upon him, by methods applicable only to reasonable beings. Beneficence and compassion can be awakened in such hearts only by the operation of Divine Grace, and must be the effect of a miracle like that which turned the dry rock into a fpringing well-

Let every one that confiders this flate of obdurate wickedness, that is flruck with horror at the mention of a man void of pity, that feels resentment at the name of oppression, and melts with forrow at the voice of misery, remember that those, who have now lost all these sentiments, were originally formed with passions, and instincts, and reason, like his own, let him restest, that he, who now stands most firmly, may talk by negligence, and that negligence arises from security. Let fin therefore observe by what gradations men sink into perdition, by what ifflensible deviations they wander from the ways of virtue till they are at length scarce able to return; and let him be warned by their example to avoid the original causes of depravity, and repel the first attacks of unreasonable selflove; let him meditate on the excellence of charity, and improve those seeds of benevolence, which are implanted in every mind, but which will not produce struct without care and chiralion.

Such meditations are always necessary for the promotion of virtue; for a careless and mattentive mind easily lorgess its importance, and it will be practifed only with a degree of ardour proportioned to the sense of our obligations to it.

To smill fuch reflections, to confirm the benevolence of the liberal, and to shew those who have lived without regard to the necessities of others, the absurdity of their conduct, I fall enquire.

First, Into the nature of charity; and,

Secondly, Into the advantages ariling from the exercise

First, I shall enquire into the nature of charity.

charity is to be understood every assistance of weakness, or supply of wants, produced by a desire of benefiting
others, and of pleasing God. Not every act of liberality, every increase of the wealth of another, not every flow of negligent profusions, or thoughtless start of sudden muniscence, is
to be dignified with this venerable name. There are many
motives to the appearance of bounty, very different from
those of true charity, and which, with whatever success, they
may be imposed upon mankind, will be dissinguished at the
last day by Him to whom all hearts are open. It is not impossible that men, whose chief desire is esteem and applause,
who court the favour of the multitude, and think same the
great end of action, may squander their wealth in such a manner that some part of it may benefit the virtuous or the missrable; but as the guilt, so the virtue of every action arises

from delign; and those blessings which are bestowed by chance, will be of very little advantage to him that scattered them with no other prospect than that of hearing his own praises; praises, of which he will not be often disappointed, but of which our Lord has determined that they shall be his reward. It any man, in the distribution of his savours, finds the desire of engaging gratitude, or gaining affection, to predominate in his mind; it he finds his benevolence weakened by observing that his savours are forgotten, and that those whom he has most suddivided benefited, are often least zealous for his service; he ought to remember, that he is not acting upon the proper motives of charity. For true charity arises from faith in the promises of God, and expects rewards only in a suture state. To hope for our recompence in this life, is not beneficence, but usury.

And furely charity may easily subside without temporal motives, when it is considered that it is by the exercise of charity alone that we are enabled to receive any solid advantage from present prosperity, and to appropriate to our solves any possession beyond the possibility of losing it. Of the any certainty of success, and the instability of greatness, we have examples every day before us. Scarcely can any man turn his eyes upon the world, without observing the sudden rotations of assure, the ruin of the assure, and the downtal of the high; and it may reasonably be hoped, that no man to whom opportunities of such observations occur, can forbeat applying them to his own condition, and restecting that what he now contemplates in another, he may, in a lew days, experience himself.

By these reflections he must be naturally led to enquire, how he may fix such fugitive advantages; how he shall hinder his wealth from slying away, and leaving him nothing but melantholy, disappointment and remorfe. This he can effect only by the practice of charity, by dealing his bread to the hungry, and bringing the poor that is cast out, to his house. By these means only he can lay up for himself treasures in

agins of leckness and of the seconds of death the local of the bolds of the help when the will find to be obtained by hotother metricial which the himself that the period which no payment in his heads. He may brackless his his heads them be deaded in himself that the faction which no payment on earth ear food to himself them trom accident and danger of the phis libral them trom accident and quiets of the phis libral them trom accident and danger of the search of the search

To enforce the duty of charity, it is to far from being non selface to brogace and attendence than tusing paracon. Afen of ant confident sites reflicintly to this multiple that the chief reason for which it is to be prastifed, is the thomness and uncertainty of life. To a man who confiders for what our pole he was created, and why he was placed in his prefent Raig, bow Gort a time, at most, is allotted to his earthly duran tion, and baw much of that time may be cut off; how can any thing give real fatistaction that terminates in this life 2 How can be imagine that any acquilition can deferve his lan bour which has no tendency to the pertection of his mind? Or how can any enjoyment engage his defires, but that of a pure conscience, and reasonable expectations of a more happy and permanent existence ? Whatever superiority may disting guith us and whatever plenty may furround us we know that they can be possessed but a short time, and that the mane ner in which we employ them must determine our eternal fate; and what need can there he of any other wallment for the use of them, agreeable to the command of him that he Rowed them? What Aronger inchement can apy may man themish to a due confideration of the poor and needy, than that the Lord will deliver him in the day of expuble : in then day when the hadow of death stall compate him about, and all the vanwies of the world thall lada away is when all the comforts and this life thall tortake him, when pleature thall so longes when fille fuor bomer bibileg pim? In thei greading hant graff the

of mankind, whose charity has rescued stickless from the grave, and poverty from the dangeon, who his heard the grave, and poverty from the dangeon, who his heard the greats of the aged struggling with mistoriumes, and the cries of infants languishing with bunger, find favour in the light of the great Author of society, and his recompense shall flow upon him from the sountain of mercy; he shall shad without fear, on the trink of life, and pals into eternity with an bungle considerer of finding that mercy which he has never denied. His right-counters shall go before him, and the glory of the Eord shall be his rereward.

WoThele bleffings and these rewards are to be gained by the due tile of riches; but they are not confined to the rich, or unattainable by these whom Providence has placed in lower fixtions. Charity is an universal duty, which it is in every man's power fometimes to practile : lince every degree of all fillance given to another, upon proper motives, is an act of charity; and there is fearcely any man in luch a fine of imbecility as that he may not, on some occasions, benefit his beighbour. He that cannot relieve the poor, may infirmed the ignorant; and he that cannot attend the fick, may reclaim the vicious. He that can give little affiliance himself, hay yet perform the duty of charity, by inflaming the ardour of others and recommending the petitions, which he cannot grant to those who have more to bestoy. The widow that sail give her mite to the treasury, the poor man who thall bring to the thirfly a cup of cold water, thalf not lofe their reward.

And that this reward is not without reason decreed to the beneficent, and that the duty of charity is not exalted above its natural dignity and importance, will appear by confidering.

Becondly, The benefits arifing from the exercise of charity. The chief advantage which is received by mankind from the practice of charity, is the promotion of virtue, smooth those who are most exposed to such temptations as it is not easy to furmount; temptations of which no man can lay that

he should be able to relist them, and of which it is not easy for any one that has not known them, to estimate the force and represent the danger.

We see, every day, men blessed with abundance, and revelling in delight, yet overborne by ungovernable desires of increasing their acquisitions; and breaking through the boung daries of religion, to pile heaps on heaps, and add one superfluity to another, to obtain only nominal advantages and imaginary pleasures.

For these we see friendships broken, justice violated, and nature forgotten; we see crimes committed, without the prospect of obtaining any positive pleasure, or removing any real pain. We see men toiling through meanness and guilt, to obtain that which they can enjoy only in idea, and which will supply them with nothing real which they do not already abundantly possess.

If men, formed by education and enlightened by experience, men whole observations of the world cannot but have shewn them the necessity of virtue, and who are able to discover the enormity of wickedness by tracing, its original, and pursuing its consequences, can fall before such temptations, and, in opposition to knowledge and conviction, prefer, to the happiness of pleasing God, the flatteries of dependants, or the smiles of power; what may not be expected from him who is pushed forward into sin by the impulse of poverty, who lives in continual want of what he sees wasted by thousands in negatigent extravagance, and whose pain is every moment aggravated by the contempt of those whom nature has subjected to the same necessities with himself, and who are only his superiors by that wealth which they know not how to possess with smoderation or decency?

How strongly may such a man be tempted to declare war upon the prosperous and the great! With what obstinacy and sury may he ruth on from one outrage to another, impelled on one part by the pressure of necessity, and attracted on the other by the prospect of happiness; of happiness, which he sees

fufficient to elevate shole strat possels it above the consideration of their own nature, and to turn strem away from their own flesh; that happiness, which appears greater by being compated with his own misery, and which he admires the more because he cannot approach it. He that finds in himself every natural power of enjoyment, will envy the tables of the luxurious, and the splendour of the proud; he who seels the world of hakedness, and the faintness of hunger, cannot but be provoked to snatch that bread which is devoured by excess, and that raiment which is only worn as the decoration of vanality. Resembles that violence.

Such are the remptations of poverty; and who is there that ear fay; that he has not fornetimes for laken virtue upon weaker motives? Let any man reflect upon the Indies to which poverty exposes virtue, and remember how certainly one crime makes way for another, till at last all distinction of good and evil is obliterated; and he will easily discover the necessity of charity to preserve a great part of manking from the most atrocious wickedness.

The great rule of action, by which we are directed to do to others vibilitever we would that others thould do to us, may be extended to God himself; whatever we alk of God, we ought to be ready to bestow on our neighbout; if we pray to be forgiven, we must forgive those that trespals against us; and is it not equally reasonable, when we implore from Providence our daily bread, that we deal our bread to the hungry; and that we rescue others from being betrayed by want into sin, when we pray that we may not outselves be led into tempration?

Poverty, for the greatest part, produces ignorance, and ignorance facilitates the attack of temptation. For how should any man refiss the folicitations of appetite, or the influence of passium, without any sense of their guilt, or dread of the punishment? How should be avoid the paths of vice, who never was directed to the way of virtue.

For this reason, no method of charity is more efficacious than than which at once enlightens ignorance and relieves poverty, what implants virtue in the mind, and wards off the blasts of indigence that might destroy it in the bloom. Such is the charity of which an opportunity is now effered; charity, by which those who would, probably, without affishance, be the burdens or terrors of the community by growing up in tells mess and vice, are enabled to support themselves by alleful employments, and glorify God by reasonable service.

Such are the general motives which the religion of Jeffer affords to the general exercise of charity, and such are the particular motives for our laying hold of the opportunity which Providence has this day put into our power for the practice of at: motives no less than the hope of everlasting happiness, and the fear of punishment which shall never end. Such incitements are furely sufficient to quicken the slowest, and animate the coldest; and if there can be imagined any place in which They must be more eminently prevalent, it must be the place" where we now refide. The numerous frequenters of this place constitute a mixed affemblage of the happy and the miferable. Part of this audience has reforted hither to alleviate the miseries of sickness, and past, to divert the latiety of pleasure; part, becanfe they are disabled, by diseases, to profecute the employment of their flation; and part, because their flation has allotted them, in their own opinion, no other bulinels than to pursue their pleasures. Part have exhausted the medicides, and part have worn out the delights of every other place; and these contrary conditions are so mingled together, shat in low places are the mileries of life to severely felt, or is pleasures more luxuriously enjoyed.

To each of these states of life may the precess of charity the enforced with eminent propriety, and unantwerable arguments. Those whose only complaint is a surfeit of selicity, and whose searless and confident gaiety brings them hither, states to waste health than to repair it, cannot surely be so in-

test upon the confiant fuccession of supplements which variet and affluence have provided, as not fometimes to their thoughts upon those whom poverty and ignorance here one off from enjoyment, and configured a prey to wichedness to milery and to went, Al, their emplements afford them the faislaction which the earer repetition of them feems to declare. they must certainly pity those who live in fight of so much happiness, which they can only view from a diffance, but can never reach and those whom they pity, they cannot fusely hear the promifes made to charity without endeavouring to eslieve. But if, as the wifeft among the votaries of plenfitte have confessed, they seel themselves unfatisfied and deluded: if, as they own, their ardour is kept up by diffigulation, and they lay afide their appearance of felicity when they retire from the eyes of those among whom they defire to proposite the deceit; if they feel that they have walled life without polfoffing it; and know that they shall rife to-morrow to chafe an empty good which they have often gralped at, but could never hold; they may furely spare something for the purchafe of folid fatisfaction, and cut off part of that expense by which nothing is procured, for the take of giving to others those necessaries which the common wants of our being demand, and by the distribution of which they may ley up some treasures of happiness against that day which is Realing upon them, the day of age, of fickness aid of death, in which they shall be able to reslect with pleasure on no other part of their time past here, but that which was spent in the duties of charity. But if thefe that harden their dispolitions, if these shall withhold their hands, let them not amuse themselves with the general excuses, or dream that any plea of inability will be accepted from those who squander wealth upon trifles, and truff fums, that might relieve the wants of multitudes, to the skill of play, and the uncertainties of chance.)

To those to whom languishment and sickness have shown the instability of all human happiness, I hope it will not be requisite to enforce the necessity of securing to themselves a

state of unshaken security and unchangeable enjoyment. To inculcate the shortness of life to those who teel hourly decays : or to expatiate on the miseries of disease and poverty to them whom pain, perhaps, at this inflant is dragging to the grave. would be a needless waste of that time which their condition admonishes them to spend, not in hearing, but in practifing And of fickness charity froms the peculiar emtheir duty. ployment, because it is an all of piery which can be practifed with such slight and transferst attention as pain and faintness may allow. To the fick, therefore, I may be allowed to pronounce the last summons to this mighty work which, perhaps, the Divine Providence will allow them to hear. Remember thon! that now faintest under the weight of long continued maladies, that to thee, more emphatically, the night cometh in which no man can work; and therefore fay not to him that asketh thee, "Go away now, and to-morrow I will give:" To-morrow? To-morrow is to all uncertain, to thee almost hopeless; to-day if thou wilt hear the voice of God calling thee to repentance, and by repentance to charity; harden not thy heart, but what thou knowest that in thy last moment thou shalt wish done, make haste to do, lest thy last moment be now upon thee.

And let us all, at all times and in all places, remember, that they who have given food to the hungry, raiment to the naked, and instruction to the ignorant, shall be numbered by the Son of God amongst the blessed of the Father.

## SERMON V.

#### Nememaah, Chap. is. ver. 20.

Howbeit thou art just in all that is brought upon us, for thou hast done right, but we have done wickedly.

HERE is nothing upon which more writers, in all ages, have laid out their abilities, than the mileries of life; and it affords no pleafing reflections to discover that a subject so little agreeable is not yet exhausted.

Some have endeavoured to engage us in the contemplation of the evils of life for a very wife and good end. They have propoled, by laying before us the uncertainty of properity, the vanity of pleasure and the inquietudes of power, the difficult attainment of most earthly blessings, and the most duration of them all, to divert our thoughts from the glittering follies and tempting delutions that furround us, to an enquiry after more certain and permanent felicity; felicity, not subject to be interrupted by sudden viciffitudes, or impaired . by the malice of the revengeful, the caprice of the inconstant, or the envy of the ambitious. They have endeavoured to demonstrate, and have in reality demonstrated to all those who will steal a few moments from noise, and show, and luxury, to attend to reason and to truth, that nothing is worthy our ardent wishes, or intense solicitude, that terminates in this state of existence, and that those only make the true use of life that employ it in obtaining the favour of God, and fecuring everlasting happiness.

Others have taken occasion from the dangers that furround, and the troubles that perplex us, to dispute the wisdom or jus-

tien of the Governor of the world, or to nturmus at the laws of Divine Providence; as the present state of the world, the deforder and confusion of every thing about us, the casual and certain evils to which we are exposed, and the disquiet and diffrust which either accompany, or follow those few pleasures that are within our reach, feem, in their opinion, to carry, no marks of infinite benignity. This has been the reasoning by which the wicked and profligate, in all ages, have attempted ed to harden their hearts again if the reproaches of conscience. and delude others into a sparsicipation of their crimes. this argument wells minds have been betrayed into doubts and diffruit, and decoyed by degrees into a dangerous flate of fulpenje, though perhaps never betrayed to ablolute infidelity. For tow men have been made infidels by argument and reflection & their actions are not generally, the refult of their reasonings, but their reasonings of their actions. Yet these reasonings, though they are not firong enough to pervert a good minds may get, when they coincide with interest, and are affifted by prejudice, contribute to confirm a man, already corsuppord, in his impiecies, and at least retard his reformation, if not entirely obstruct it.

Belides, notions, thus derogatory from the providence of God, tend, even in the best men, if not timely eradicated, to weaken shale impressions of reverence and gratitude which are specificly to add marmit to his devotions and vigour to his virtue; for as the force of corporeal motion is weakened by every obstructions, though it may not be entirely overcome by it, to the operations of the mind are by every false notion impeded and embasicalled, and shough they are not wholly diverted or suppressed, proceed at least with less regularity and with less regularity.

Must these doubts may suffly be removed and these arguments confused, by a calm and impartial attention to religious had to reason; it will appear to on-examination. That though the world be full of misery and disorder, yet God is not to be changed with diffregard of his attention; then it we suffer, we

fuffer by our own fault, and that he has done right, but we have

We are informed by the scriptures, that God is not the author of our present state, that when he created man he created him for happiness; happiness indeed dependent upon his own choice, and to be preserved by his own conduct; toe such must necessarily be the happiness of every reasonable being; that this happiness was forfeited by a breach of the conditions to which it was annexed, and that the posterity of him that broke the covenant were involved in the consequences of his fault. Thus religion shews us that physical and moral evil entered the world together; and reason and experience assure us, that they continue for the most part so closely united that, to avoid misery, we must avoid sin, and that while it is in our power to be virtuous it is in our power to be happy, at least to be happy to such a degree as may have little room for murmur and complaints.

Complaints are doubtless irrational in themselves, and unjust with respect to God, if the remedies of the evils we lament are in our hands; for what more can be expected from the beneficence of our Creator, than that he should place good and evil before us, and then direct us in our choice.

That God has not been sparing of his bounties to mankind, or left them, even since the original transgression of his command, in a state so calamitous as discontant and melancholy have represented it, will evidently appear, if we restell,

First, How sew of the evils of life can justly be ascribed to God.

Secondly, How far a general piety might exempt any community from those evils.

Thirdly, How much, in the present corrupt state of the world, particular men may, by the practice of the duties of religion, promote their own happiness.

First, How sew of the evils of life can justly be ascribed to God.

In examining what part of our present misery is to be im-

buted to God, we must carefully distinguish that which is actually appointed by him, from that which is only permitted, or that which is the consequence of something done by ourselves, and could not be prevented but by the interruption of those general and settled laws, which we term the course of nature, or the established order of the universe, Thus it is decreed by God, that all men should die; and therefore the death of each man may justly be ascribed to God; but the circumstances and time of his death are very much in his own power, or in the power of others. | When a good man falls by the hand of an affaffin, or is condemned by the testimony of falle witnesses, or the sentence of a corrupt judge; his death may, in some measure, be called the work of God, but That he was mortal is the his murder is the action of men. effect of the divine decree; but that he was deprived of life unjuftly, is the crime of his enemies.

If we examine all the afflictions of mind, body, and effate, by this rule, we shall find God not otherwise accessary to them, than as he works no miracles to prevent them, as he suffers men to be masters of themselves, and restrains them only by coercious applied to their reason. If God should, by a particular exertion of his omnipotence, hinder murder or oppression, no man could then be a murderer or an oppression, because he would be withheld from it by an irrelishable power; but then that power which prevented crimes would destroy virtue, for virtue is the consequence of choice. Men would be no longer rational, or would be rational to no purpose, because their actions would not be the result of free-will, determined by moral motives; but the settled and predessioned motions of a machine impelled by necessity.

Thus it appears that God would not act as the governor of rational and moral agents, if he should lay any other restraints upon them than the hope of rewards, or fear of punishments; and that to destroy or obviste the consequences of human actions, would be to destroy the present constitution of the world.

When, therefore, any man suffers pain from an injury offered him, that pain is not the act of God, but the effect of a crime, to which his enemy was determined by his own choice. He was created susceptible of pain, but not necessarily subjected to that particular injury which he now seels, and he is therefore not to charge God with his afflictions. The materials for building are naturally combustible; but when a city is fired by incendiaries, God is not the author of its destruction.

God may indeed, by special acts of Providence, sometimes hinder the designs of bad men from being successfully executed, or the execution of them from producing such consequences as it naturally tends to; but this, whenever it is done, is, a real, though not always a wishble miracle, and is not to be expected in the ordinary occurrences of diffe, or the common transactions of the world.

In making an estimate, therefore, of the missies that arise from the disorders of the body, we must consider how many diseases proceed from our own laziness, intemperance, or negligence; how many the vices or follies of our ancestors have transmitted to us, and beware of imputing to God the consequences of luxury, riot and debauchery.

There are indeed differences which no caution can fecure us from, and which appear to be more immediately the firekes of Heaven; but these are not of the most painful or lingering kind; they are, for the most part, acute and violent, and quick-ly terminate either in recovery or death; and it is always to be remembered, that nothing but wickedness makes death an evil.

Nor are the disquietudes of the mind-less frequently excited by ourselves. Pride is the general source of our infelicity. A man that has an high opinion of his own merits, of the extent of his capacity, of the depth of his peneration, and the source of his eloquence, naturally forms schemes of employment and promotion adequate to those abilities he conceives himself possessed in the exacts from others the same esteem which he pays to himself, and imagines his deserts disregarded if they are not rewarded to the extent of his wishes. He claims more than he has a right to hope for, finds his exorbitant demands rejected, retires to obscurity and melancholy, and charges Heaven with his disappointments.

Men are very feldom disappointed, except when their defires are immoderate, or when they suffer their passions to overpower their reason, and dwell upon delightful scenes of stuture honours, power, or riches, till they mistake probabilities for certainties, or wild wishes for rational expectations. It such men, when they awake from these voluntary dreams, find the pleasing phantom vanish away, what can they blame but their own folly?

With no greater reason can we impute to Providence the fears and anxieties that harrass and distract us; for they arise from too close an adherence to those things, from which we are commanded to distingage our affections. We fail of being happy, because we determine to obtain felicity by means different from those which God hath appointed. We are forbidden to be too solicitous about future events; and is the author of that prohibition to be accused, because men make themselves miserable by disregarding it?

Poverty indeed is not always the effect of wickedness, is may often be the consequence of virtue; but it is not certain that poverty is an evil. If we exempt the poor man know all the miseries to which his condition exposes him from the wickedness of others, if we secure him from the cruelty of oppression, and the contumelies of pride; it we suppose him to rate no enjoyment of this life beyond its real and intrinsic value, and to indulge no desire more than reason and religion allow; the interiority of his station will very little disminish his happiness; and therefore the poverty of the virtuous reslects no reproach upon Providence. But poverty, like many other miseries of life, is often little more than an imaginary calamity. Men often call themselves paor, not because

H

they want necessaries, but because they have not more than they want. This indeed is not always the case, nor ought we ever to harden our hearts against the cries of those who implore our assistance, by supposing that they seel less than they express; but let us all relieve the necessitious according to our abilities, and real poverty will soon be banished out of the world.

To thele general heads may be reduced almost all the calamfiles that impitter the life of man. To enumerate particular evils would be of fittle use. It is evident that most of our inferies are, either imaginary, or the consequences, either of our own faults, or the faults of others; and that it is therefore worthy of enquiry,

Secondly, how far a general piety might exempt any com-

It is an observation very frequently made, that there is more tranquillity and fatisfaction diffuled through the inhabitants of uncultivated and favage countries, than is to be met with in pations filled with wealth and plenty, polithed with ci-It is found happy to be free office, and governed by laws. from contention, though that exemption be obtained by have his nothing to contend for; and an equality of condition, though that condition be far from eligible, conduces more to the peace of fociety, than an established and Jegal fubordinafion; in which every man is perpetually endersoming to exalt Miniel' to the rank above him, though by degrating others already in policision of it; and every man electing in efform to hinder his interiors from riling to the level with hind-Mil Rappears that it is better to have no property, than to De in perpetual apprehentions of fraudulent artifices, or open invalidus; and that the fecurity ariting from a regular atministration of government, is not eight to that which is produced by the spicence of ambition, cavy, or difference 3A That pleasing is the prospect of lavinge countries, hierely from the ighorance of vice, even without the khowledge of Fires; this happy are they, amidit all the Hardings and diftreffes that attend a flate of nature, because their are in a great measure free from shole which men bring upon one another."

But a community, in which virtue should generally prevail, of which every member should tear God with his whole heart, and love his neighbour as himself, where every manifestal about to make himself perfest, even as his Father which is in Heaven is perfest, and endeavour wish his utmost ellipses to imitate the divine justice and benevelence, would have no reason to envy those nations, whose quiet is the slip self of their ignorance.

If we consider it with regard to public happiness, it would be opalent without luxury, and powerful without faction; iter countels would be fleady, because they would be just; and its efforts vigorous because they would be mited. The governors would have nothing to lear from the torbulence of the people, and the people any thing to apprehend from the anti-bition aligness governors. The encroachments of foreign manamies they could not always avoid, but would destainly seepalse, for scarcely any civilized nation has been ever goldened, till it was first corrupted,

With regard to private men, not only that happineful which necessarily descende to particulars from the public breff perity, would be enjoyed, but even those bleffings which confirme the felicity of domestic life, and are less ctosely connected with the general good. Every man would be industrie ous to improve his property, because he would be in no dens supply feeing his improvements torn from him. Every mild would affidition neighbour, because he would be certain of rev beining affiftance if he thould Minfell be attacked by neterial tri Brery man would endeavour sliet mesit, becaufe' meit would always be sewarded. Svery tie of friending and relation would add to happiness, because it would not be fublicate to be beoten by enty, rivalibit, or fuspicion. Children would honour their parents, because all parents would bowle sees ; all parents would lave their children, because all chilfrom would be obedfeint: 'The grief which we naturally feel

as she death of these that are dear to us, could not perhaps be wholly prevented, but would be much more moderate than inthe prefent flare of things, because no man could ever want a friend, and his loss would therefore be less, because his grief, like his other passions, would be regulated by his duty. Even the relations of subjection would produce no unestiness, because insolence would be separated from power, and discontent from interiority. Difference of opinions would never diffurb this community, because every man would dispute for truth alone, look upon the ignorance of others with compasssion, and reclaim them from their errrors with tenderness and Perfecution would not be heard of among them. breaule there would be no pride on one fide, nor oblinacy on the other. Disputes about property would seldom happen, because no man would grow sich by injuring, another; and, when they did happen; they would be quickly terminated, becsufe each party would be equally defirous of a just featence. All care and folicitude would be almost banished from this happy region, because no man would either have falle friends or public enemies. The immoderate defire of riches would be extinguished, where there was no vanity to be gratified. The fear of powerty would be dispelled, where there was no man faffered to went what was necessary to his support, or proportioned to his deferts. Such would be the flate of a community generally virtuous, and this happiness would probably be derived to future generations ; fince the earliest imprellions would be in favour of virtue, fince thefe, to whom the care of education should be committed, would make themfelves venerable by the observation of their own precepts, and the minds of the young and unexperienced would not be tainted with false notions, nor their conduct influenced by had examples. Ino , and ind . . .

the general practice of the duties; of religion. And can Providence be accused of cruelty or negligence, when such happings as this is within our power & Can man be said to have

received his existence as a punishment, or a curse, when he may attain such a state as this; when even this is only preparatory to greater happiness, and the same course of life will secure him from misery, both in this world and in a suture state?

Let no man charge this prospect of things with being a train of airy phantoms; a visionary scene, with which a gay imagination may be amused in solitude and ease, but which the first survey of the world will snew him to be nothing more than a pleasing delusion. Nothing has been mentioned which would not certainly be produced in any nation by a general piety. To effect all this no miracle is required; men need only unite their endeavours, and easer those abilities which God has conserved upon them, in consormity to the laws of religion,

To general happiness, indeed, it required a general concurrence in virtue; but we are not to delay the amendment of our own liver, in expectation of this favourable juncture. An universal reformation must be begun somewhere, and evety man ought to be ambitious of being the first. He shat does not promote it, retards it; for every man must, by his conversation, do either good or hurt. Let every man, therefore, endeavour to make the world happy, by a strict performance of his duty to God and man, and the mighty work will soon be accomplished.

Governors have yet a harder task; they have not only their own actions, but those of others to regulate, and are not only chargeable with their own faults, but with all those which they neglect to prevent or punish. As they are intrusted with the government for the take of the people, they are under the throngest obligations to advance their happiness, which they can only do by the encouragement of virtue.

But since the care of governors may be implicated, fince public happiness, which must be the result of public virtue feems to be at a great distance from 15, let us consider.

Thirdly. How much, in the prefet corrept flate of the world, particular men may, by the profiles of the duties of religion, promote their own happiness.

He is very ignorant of the nature of happinels, who imagines it to confift wholly in the outward circumflances of life. which being in themselves transient and variable, and generally dependent upon the will of others, can never be the true basis of a folid latisfaction. To be wealthy, to be honoured, to be loved, or to be felred, is not always to be happy. The man who confiders himfelf as a heing accountable to God. as a being fent into the world only to fecure immortal happinels by his obedience to those laws which he has received from his Creator, will not be very folicitous about his present condition, which will foon give way to a flate permanent and unchangeable, in which nothing will avail him but his innocence, or diffurb him but his crimes. While this reflection is predominant in the mind, all the good and evil of life finks into nothing. While he presses forward towards eternal felicity, honours and reproaches are equally contemptible. If he be injured, he will foon ceale to feel the wrong; if he be calumniated, the day is coming in which all the nations of the earth, and all the hoft of heaven shalf be witnesses of his justi-It his friends forfake or betray him, he alleviates his concern by confidering that the divine promiles are never broken, and that the favour of God can only be forfested by In all his calamities he remembers that it is his own fault. in his own power to make them subservient to his own advantage, and that patience is one of thole virtues which he is commanded to practile, and which God has determined to reward. That man can never be miserable to whom persecution is a bleffing; nor can his tranquillity be interrupted who, places all his happiness in his prospect of eternity.

Thus it appears that, by the practice of our duty, even our present state may be made pleasing and desirable; and that if we languish under calamities, they are brought upon

us, not by the immediate hand of Providence; but by our own folly and disobedience; that happiness will be diffused as virtue prevails; and that Gad has done right, but we have done wichedly.

CARTER OF THE CONTRACT OF THE

graph of the second section of the secti

\*\*\* The second of the second o

Example of the second of the s

The second secon

The second secon

## SERMON VI.

#### PROVERBS, CHAP. xii. VER. 2.

When Pride cometh, then cometh Shame: but with the Lowly is Wifdom.

THE writings of Solomon are filled with such observations upon the nature and life of man, as were the result of long experience, assisted with every advantage of mind and fortune; an experience that had made him acquainted with the actions, passions, virtues and vices of all ranks, ages and denominations of mankind, and enabled him, with the divine assistance, to leave to succeeding ages a collection of precepts that, if diligently attended to, will conduct us take in the paths of life.

Of the ancient fages of the heathen world, so often talked of and so loudly applauded, there is recorded little more than single maxims, which they comprised in sew words, and often inculcated; for these they were honoured by their contemporaries, and still continue reverenced and admired; nor would it be either justice or gratitude to depreciate their characters, since every discoverer or propagator of truth is undoubtedly a benefactor to the world. But surely it single sentences could procure them the epithet of wise, Solomon may, for this collection of important counsels, justly claim the title of the wifest among st the sons of men.

Among all the vices against which he has cautioned us (and he has scarcely left one untouched) there is none upon which he animadverts with more severity, or to which he more frequently recals our attention, by reiterated reslections, than the wice of pride; for which there may be many reasons affigued, but, more particularly, two seem to deserve our consideration; the first drawn from the extensiveness of the sin; the other from the circumstances of the preacher.

The first is the extensiveness of the sin.

Price is a corruption that feems almost originally engrafted in our nature: it exerts itself in our first years, and, without continual endeavours to suppress it, influences our last. Other vices tyrannize over particular ages, and triumph in particular countries. Rage is the failing of youth, and avarice of age; revenge is the predominant passion of one country, and inconstancy the characteristic of another; but pride is the native of every country, infects every climate, and corrupts every nation. It ranges equally through the gardens of the east, and the deferts of the fourth, and reigns no less in the cavern of the favage, than in the palace of the epicure. It mingles with all our other vices, and without the most confant and anxious care will mingle also with our virtues. is no wonder, therefore, that Solomon fo frequently directs us to avoid this fault, to which we are all fo liable, fince nothing is more agreeable to reason, than that precepts of the most general use should be most frequently inculcated.

The fecond reason may be drawn from the circumflances of the preacher.

Pride was probably a crime to which Solomon himself was most violently tempted; and indeed it might have been much more extily imagined that he would have fallen into this fin than into some others of which he was guilty, since he was placed in every circumstance that could expose him to it: He was a king absolute and independent, and by consequence surmounded with sycophants ready to second the first motions of felf-love, and blow the sparks of vanity; to echo all the applicates, and suppress all the marmurs of the people; to domply with every proposal, and states every failing. These are the temptots to which kings have been always exposed, and whose snares sew kings have been always exposed, and whose snares sew kings have been always exposed.

But Solomon had not only the pride of royalty to surge, but the pride of prosperity, of knowledge and of wah; each of them able to subdue the virtue of most men; to posicate their minds, and hold their reason in captivity. Well might Solomon more diligently warn us against a singuisch had assulted him in so many different forms. Couldny superiority to the rest of the world make pride excepable, it might have been pardoned in Solomon; but he has been so far from allowing it either in himself or others, that when pride cometh then cometh shame, but with the lowly is wisdom.

This affertion I shall gudeavour to explain and confirm,

First, by confidering the nature of pride in general, with its attendants and confequences.

Secondly, by examining some of the usual morives to pride, and shewing how little can be pleaded in excuse of it.

Thirdly, by shewing the amiableness and excellence of humility.

First, by confidering in general the nature of pride, with its attendants and confequences.

Pride, simply considered, is an immoderate degree of self-estern, or an over-value set upon a man by himself, and, like most other vices, is sounded originally on an intellegual false-hood. But this definition sets this vice in the fairest light, and separates it from all its consequences, by considering man without relation to society, and independent of all outward circumstances. Pride, thus defined, is only the seed of that complicated fin against which we are cautioned in the text-it is the pride of a solitary being, and the subject of scholastic disquissions, not of a practical discourse.

In speculation, pride may be considered as ending where it began, and exerting no influence beyond the boson in which it dwells; but in real life and the course of affairs, pride will always be attended with kindred passions, and produce effects equally injurious to others, and destructive to itself.

He that overvalues himself will undervalue others, and has that undervalues others will oppress them. To this fancied superiority it is owing, that tyrants have squandered the lives of millions, and looked unconcerned on the miseries of war. It is indeed scarcely credible, it would without experience be absolutely incredible, that a man should carry destruction and slaughter round the world, slay cities in asses, and put nations to the sword, without one pang or one tear; that we should seed no relustance at seizing the possessions of another, at robbing parents of their children, and shortening or embittering innumerable lives. Yet this satal, this dreadful effect has pride been able to produce. Pride has been able to harden the heart against compassion, and stop the ears against the cries of, ngifery,

The this manner does pride operate, when unhappily united with people and dominion; and has in the lower ranks of meakind/limilar, shough not equal effects. It makes mafters; cruel and imperious, and magistrates infolent and partial. It produces contempt and injuries, and diffolyes the bond of forestery.

Nor is this species of pride more hursful to the world than destructive to itself. The oppressor unites heaven and earth against him: it a private man, he at length becomes the object of universal hatred and reproach; and it a prince, the neighbouring monarchs combine to his ruin. So that, when pride cometh, then cometh shame; but with the lawly is wift dom.

He that sets too high a value upon his own merits, will of course think them ill rewarded with his present condition. He will endeavour to exalt his fortune and his rank above others, in proportion as his deserts are superiour to theirs. He will conceive his virtues obscured by his fortune, lament that his great abilities lie useless and unobserved for want of a sphere of action, in which he might exert them in their sulf extent. Once fired with these notions, he will attempt to increase his sortune and colarge his sphere; and how sew there

are that profecute such attempts with innocence, a very trapsient observation will sufficiently inform us.

Every man has remarked the indirect methods made use of in the pursuit of wealth; a pursuit for the most part premated by pride; for to what end is an ample fortune generally covered? Not that the possession may have it in his power to relieve distress or recompense virtue; but that he may diffinguish himself from the herd of mankind by expensive vices, foreign luxuries, and a pompous equipage. To pride therefore must be ascribed most of the fraud, injustice, violence and extortion by which wealth is frequently acquired.

Another concomitant of pride is envy, or the define of debaling others. A proud man is uneasy and distanssied, while any of those applauses are bestowed on another which he is desirous of himself. On this account he never sails of exerting all his art to destroy or obstruct a rising character. His interiors he endeavours to depress, less they should become his equals; and his equals, not only because they are so, but less they should in time become his superiors. For this end he circulates the whisper of malevolence, aggravates the tale of calumny, and assists the clamour of defamation; opposes in public the justest designs, and in private depreciates the most uncontested virtues.

Another consequence of immoderate self-essem is an infatiable desire of propagating in others the favourable opinion he entertains of himself. No proud man is satisfied with being singly his own admirer; his excellencies must receive the honour of the public suffrage. He therefore tortures his invention for means to make himself conspicuous, and to draw the eyes of the world upon him. It is impossible, and would be here improper, to enumerate all the fictitious qualities, all the petty emulations and laborious trisles to which this appendic, this eagerness of distinction, has given birth in men of narrow views and mean attainments. But who can without horror think on those wretches who attempt to raise a character by superiority of guilt? who endeavour to excel in vice

and outvie each other in debauchery? Yet thus far can pride infatuate the minds and extinguish the light of reason.

But for the most part it is ordered by Brovidence, that the schemes of the ambitious are disappointed, the calumnies of the envious detected, and sale presences to reputation ridiculed and exposed, to that Rill when pride cometh; then cometh' shame: but with the lowly is wishon.

I am now to consider, in the second place, some of the usual motives to price, and show how little they can be pleaded in excuse of it.

A foperior being that Bould look down upon the diforder, confusion and corruption of our world, that should observe the flioriness of our lives, the weakness of our bodies, the continual accidents or injuries to which we are subject, the vior lence of our pallions, the freegularity of our conduct, and the transitory flate of every thing about us, would hardly believe there could be among us fuch a vice as pride, or that any human being should need to be cautioned again being too much clated with his present state. Yet so it is that, however weak or wicked we may be, we fix our eyes on some other that is represented by our self-love to be weaker, or more wicked, than ourselves, and grow proud upon the comparison. Thus; in the midst of danger and uncertainty, we see many intoxil cated with the pride of prosperity; a prosperity that is hourly exposed to be diffurbed, a prosperity that lies often at the mercy of a treacherous friend; or untaithful fervant, a prosperity which certainly cannot lak long, but muk foon be ended by the hand of death.

To confider this motive to pride more attentively, let us examine what it is to be professous. To be professous, in the common acceptation, is to have a large or an increasing, fortune, great numbers of friends and dependants, and to be high in the effeem of the world in 'general." But do these things constitute the happiness of a man? of a being accountable to his Creator for his conduct, and, according to the account he shall give, designed to exist eternally in a suure

flate of happiness, or milery? What is the prosperity of such a flate, but the approbation of that God, on whole fentence suturity depends? But neither wealth, friendships, or honours, are proofs of that approbation, or means necessary to procure it. They often endanger, but feldom promote, the suture happiness of those that possess them. And can pride be inspired by such prosperity as this?

Even with regard to the present life, pride is a very dangerous associate to greatness. A proud man is opposed in his rise, hated in his elevation, and insulted in his fall. He may have dependents, but can have no triends; and parasites, but no ingenuous companions.

Another common motive to pride is knowledge, a motive equally weak, vain and idle, with the former. Learning, indeed, imperfect as it is, may contribute to many great and noble ends, and may be called in to the affifunce of religion; as it is too often perverfely employed against it, it is of ase to display the greatness, and vindicate the justice, of the Almighty; to explain the difficulties, and enforce the proofs of religion. And the small advances that may be made in science are of themselves some proof of a suture state, since they shew that God, who can be supposed to make nothing in vain, has given us faculties evidently superior to the business of this present world. And this is perhaps one reason, why our intellectual powers are in this life of so great extent as they are,

But how little reason have we to boast of our knowledge, when we only gaze and wonder at the surfaces of things. I when the wisest and most arrogant philosopher knows not how a grain of corn is generated, or why a stone talls to the ground! But were our knowledge far greater than it is, let us yet remember that goodness, not knowledge, is the happiness of man! The day will come, it will come quickly, when it shall profit us more to have subdued one proud thought, than to have numbered the Host of Heaven.

There is another more dangerous species of pride, arising from a consciousness of virtue, so watchful is the enemy of

our fouls, and so deceived are our own hearts, that too often & victory over one finful inclination expoles us to be conquered by another. Spiritual pride represents a man to himself beloved by his Creator in a particular degree, and, of confequence, inclines him to think others not so high in his favour This is an error, into which weak minds are as himfelf. fometimes apt to fall, not fo much from the affurance that they have been steady in the practice of justice, righteousness and mercy, as that they have been punctually observant of some external acts of devotion. This kind of pride is general ally accompanied with great uncharitableness, and severe cenfures of others, and may obstruct the great duty of repentance. But it may be hoped, that a sufficient remedy against this sign may be easily found, by reminding those who are intested with it, that the blood of Christ was poured out upon the cross to make their best endeavours acceptable to God. And that they, whose fins require such an expiation, have little reafon to boast of their virtue.

Having thus proved the unreasonableness, folly and odious nature, of pride, I am, in the last place, to shew the amiableness and excellence of humility.

Upon this head I need not be long, fince every argument against any vice is equally an argument in favour of the contrary virtue; and whoever proves the folly of being proud. thews, at the fame time, that with the lowly there is wisdom. But to evince beyond opposition the excellence of this virtue. we may in few words observe, that the life of our Lord was one continued exercise of humility. The son of God condefeended to take our nature upon him, to become subject to pain, to bear, from his birth, the inconveniences of poverty, and to wander from city to city, amidst opposition, reproach He distained not to converse with publicans and finners, to minister to his own disciples, and to weep at He submitted to insults the miseries of his own creatures. and revilings, and, being led like a lamb to the saughter, opened not his mouth. At length, having borne all the cruel treat-

#### [ 70 ]

then that malice could fuggett, or power infiel, he followed the most lingering and ignominious death.

God of his infinite mercy grant that, by imitating his huimility, we may be partakers of his mercus! To whom, with the Father and the Holy Ghost, be ascribed, at is most due; all honour, adoration and praise, now and ever! Atmend

### SERMON VII.

#### JEREMIAH, CHAR. VI. VER. 16,

Thus faith the Lord, fland ye in the ways and see, and ofk for the old paths, where is the good way, and walk therein, and ye shall find reft for your souls. But they said, we will not walk therein.

HAT almost every age, from the beginning of the world, has been eminently marked out and distinguished from the reft by some peculiar character, by particular modes of thinking, or methods of acting, then almost universally prevalent, is evident from the histories of all nations. At one time, the whole world has bowed, without repining, to despotic power and absolute dominion; at another, not only the licentious and oppressive tyranny of governors has been restrained, but just and lawful authority trampled upon and insulted t at one time, all regard for private interest has been absorbed and lost in the concern for the welfare of the public; to which virtue. itself has been made a sacrifice; at another, every heart has been engrossed by low views, and every sentiment of the mind has been contracted into the narrow compass of self-love. Thus have vice and virtue, wildom and folly, or perhaps only different follies and opposite vices, alternately prevailed; thus have mankind rushed from one error to another, and satfered equally by both extremes.

These changes of conduct or opinion may be confidered as the revolutions of human nature, often necessary, but always dangerous; necessary, when some favourite vice has generally infected the world, or fome error, long exablified, begins to tyrannize, to demand implicit faith, and refuse examination; but dangerous, lest the mind, incensed by oppression, heated by contest, and elated by victory, should be too far transported to attend to truth, and, out of zeal to secure her conquest, set up one error to depress another.

That no change in religion has been made with that calmnels, caution and moderation which religion itself requires, and which common prudence shews to be necessary in the transaction of any important affair, every nation of the earth can sufficiently attest. Rage has been called in to the affishance of seal, and destruction joined with reformation. Resolved not to stop short, men have generally gone too sar, and, in lopping supersuities, have wounded essentials.

This conduct, when we confider the weakness of human nature, and the circumstances of most of those by whom such changes have been effected, is entitled at least to compassion, if not to excuse nor can it be doubted that our great Creator looks down with tenderness and compassion upon the irregular starts and tempessuous agitations of a mind, moved by a zeal for his honour and a love of truth. Had all error and misconduct such a plea as this, they might indeed be lamented, and prayed against as weaknesses, but could hardly be censured, or condemned as crimes.

But more flow and filent transitions from one extreme to another are very frequent. Men, not impelled by the vehemence of opposition, but seduced by inclinations less violent, too often deviate from the paths of truth, and persuade others to follow them. The pride of singularity influences the teacher, and a love of novelty corrupts the follower, till the delusion, extending itself by degrees, becomes at length general, and overspreads a people.

The prevailing spirit of the present age seems to be the spirit of separation and captionsness, of suspicion and distrust, a contempt of all authority, and a presumptuous considence imprivate judgment; a dislike of all established forms, mere-

ly because they are established, and of old paths, because they are old.

Into this temper have men been infantibly led by a warm affertion of the right of judging for themselves, a right not to be called in question, since God himself gave us a claim to it, in making us reasonable beings; and the apostle doubtless admits it when he directs us to give the reason of our saith to any that shall demand it.

But this privilege, ill understood, has been, and always may be, the occasion of very dangerous and pernicious mistakes; it may be exercised without knowledge or discretion, till error be entangled with error, till divisions be multiplied by endless subdivisions, till the bond of peace be entirely broken, and the church become a scene of contusion, a chaos of discordant forms of worship, and inconsistent systems of faith.

There are some men, we now find, to whom separation and disagreement appear not such formidable evils as they are generally represented; who can look, with the utmost calmnels and unconcern, at a rising schifm, and survey, without any perturbation, the speedy progress of an engreasing heresy. Let every man, say they, enjoy his opinions, since be only is answerable for them.

There are men, who for the most past value themselves, and are sometimes valued by others, for their enlarged views and generous sentiments; who pretend to look with uncommon penetration into the causes of human actions, and the secret motions of the mind; but perhaps this opinion is no proof that their pretensions are well grounded, or that they are better acquainted with human nature than those whom they affect to ridicule and insult.

If it be granted that it is the duty of every man to publifh, protess and defend any important truth, and the truths of religion be allowed important, it will follow, that diversity of tentiments must naturally produce controversies and altercations. And how sew there are capable of managing delastes without unbecoming heat, or dishonest artifices, how soon

seal is kindled into fury, and how from a concern for reputation mingles with a concern for truth, how readily the antaged onlike deviate into perfound investives, and, in the first of confutning the arguments, define the lives of those whole doctrine they disapprove, and how often disputes terminate in uprose; riot and perfecution, every one is convinced, and soo many have experienced. That diversity of opinions, which is the original and fource of such evils as these, cannot directore be too differently obviated; nor can too many endeadours be used to check the growth of new doctrines, and reclaim those that propagate them, before felts are formed, or schilm eliabelished.

This is not to be done by denying or disputing the right of private judgment; but by exhorting all with to exercise it in a proper manner, according to each man's measure of knowledge, abilities and opportunities; and by endeavouring to remove all those difficulties which may observed the discovery of truth, and exposing the unreasonablement of such prejudices as may peoplex or millead the enquires.

The prejudice to which many of the disculses of the prefent age, in which insidelity, superflitten and enthulialm seem contending for empire over us, may be justly ascribed, is an ever-fondness for movelty, a desire of striking out new paths to peace and happiness, and a neglect of following the precept in the text, of asking for the old paths, where is the good way, and walking therein; a precept I shall therefore endeavour to allustrate,

First, by laying before you the dangers of judging of the digion, without long and diligent examination.

Secondly, by evincing the reasonableness of searching into antiquity, or of asking for the old paths. And,

Thirdly, by the wing the happiness which exends a wellgrounded belief, and fleady practice of religion.

First, I propose to lay before you the dangers of judging, of religion, without a long and diligent examination.

There is no topic more the favourite of the prefert ago, thin the impocence of error accompanied with financity. This doctrine has been cultivated with the amost diligence, enforced with all the arts of argument, and embellished with all the ornaments of eloquence, but perhaps not bounded, with the ornaments of eloquence, but perhaps not bounded, with equal date, by proper finituations, nor preferred by just explication, from being a finare to pride, and a flumbling block to weakness.

That the judge of all the earth will do right, that he will are quive in proportion to what he has given, and punish men for the milapplication or neglect of talents, not for the want of them; that he condemns no man for not feeing what he bus hid from him, or for not attending to what he could never hear, feems to be the necessary, the inevitable consequence of his own attributes.

That error therefore may be innocent will not be denied, Bécause it undoubtedly may be fincere; but this concession will give very little countenance to the security and supinceres, the coldness and indifference of the present generation. If we consider deliberately how much is required to consitute that sincerity, which shall avert the wrath of God, and reconcile him to error.

Sincerity is not barely a full perfusion of the truth of our affertions, a perfusion too often grounded upon a high opinion of our own fagacity, and confirmed perhaps by frequent triumphs over weak opponents, continually gaining new frength by a neglect of re-examination, which perhaps we decline, by industriously diverting our attention from any objections that arise in our thoughts, and suppressing any suspicion of a fallacy before the mind has time to connect its ideas, to form arguments, and draw conclusions. Sincerity is not a heat of the heart kept up by eager contentions or warm professions, nor a tranquillity produced by confidence and continued by indolence. There may be zeal without sincerity, and fecurity without innocence. If we forbear to enquire through laziness or pride, or enquire with partiality, passion,

ar precipitancy; if we do not watch over the most hidden motions of our hearts, and endeavour, with our stanost efforts, to banish all those secret tendencies and all those larking inclinations which operate very frequently without being attended to, even by ourselves if we do not carry on our search without regard to the reputation of our teachers, our followers, or ourselves, and labour after truth with equal industry and caution, let us not presume to put any trust in our sinceptity,

. Such is the present weakness and corruption of human marture, that sincerity, real sincerity, is rarely to be found; but till it be found, it is the last degree of folly to represent error as innocent. By a God infinitely mercitul, and propitisted by the death of our blessed Saviour, it may indeed be pardoned, but it cannot be justified.

But the greatest part of those that declaim with most weber mence in defence of their darling notions, feem to have very little claim even to pardon on account of their fincerity. It is difficult to conceive what time is allotted to religious queltions and controversies by a man whose life is engroffed by the hurries of business, and whole thoughts are continually upon the stretch, to form plans for the improvement of his fortune, or the gratification of his ambition. Nor is it very probable, that such subjects are more seriously considered by men abandoned to pleasure, men who sit down to eat, and rise up to play, whose life is a circle of successive amusements, and whose hours are distinguished only by vicilitudes of pleasure. And yet the questions which these frequently decide, and decide without the least suspicion of their own qualifications. are often of a very intricate and complicated kind, which must be disentangled by a long and continued attention, and resolved with many restrictions and great caution. knowledge, judgment and experience, but uninterrupted leifure and retirement are necessary, that the chain of reasoning may be preserved unbroken, and the mind persorm its operations without any hindrance from foreign objects. ۴.

### f 19 1

To this end, men have formerly retreated to folitudes and eloisters, and excluded all the cares and pleasures of the world; and when they have spent a great part of their lives in study and meditation, at last, perhaps, deliver their opinions, as leastned men will generally do, with diffidence and fear.

Happy would it be for the prefent age if men were now thus diffrufting of their own shilities. They would not then adopt opinions merely because they wish them to be true, then defend what they have once adopted, warm themselves into confidence, and then reft fatisfied with the pleafing consciousees of their own fincerity. We should not then see men, not eminent for any superior gifts of nature, or extraordisary attainments, endeavouring to form new fects, and to draw the world after them. They may indeed all with an kones intention, and so far with fincerity, but certainly without that caution which their experience ought to fuggest, and that reverence for their superiors which reason, as well as the laws of fociety, requires. They frem, even when confidered with the utmost candour, to have rather consulted their own imaginations, than to have asked for the old paths, where is It is therefore proper in this place that I the good way. fhould endeavour.

Secondly, to evince the reasonableness of searching into antiquity, or of asking for the old paths.

A contempt of the monuments and the wisdom of antiquity, may justly be reckoned one of the reigning sollies of these days, to which pride and idleness have equally contributed. The study of antiquity is laborious; and to despise what we cannot, or will not understand, is a much more expeditious way to reputation. Part of the disesteem into which their writings are now fallen may indeed be ascribed to that exorbitant degree of veneration in which they were once held by blindness and superstition. But there is a mean betwixt idolate and insult, between weak credulity and total disselless. The ancients are not infallible, nor are their decisions to be received without examination; but they are at least the

determinations of men equally definous with carlebon of difcovering truth, and who had, in laste cales, better opportunities than we now have.

With regard to the order and government of the Primitive Church, we may doubtled follow their authority with perfect fecurity; they could not possibly be ignorant of how executed; and customs practiced by themblyes a not would they, even supposing them correspt, serve any interests of their even, by handing down table accounts to posterity: We are therefore to enquire from them the different orders blabbished in the ministry from the spostolic ages, the different employments of each, and their several ranks, subordinations and degrees of authority. From their writings we are in rindicate the establishment of our church; and by the tame waterings are those who differ from its, in these particulars, to do fend their conduct.

Non is this the only, though perhaps the chief use of these writers; for, in matters of faith, and points of doctrine, those, at leaft, who lived in the ages nearest to the times of the ages. thes undoubtedly deferve to be confulted. The oral doctrines and occasional explications of the apostles would not be immediately forgotten in the churches to which they had preached, and which had attended to them with the diligence and teverence which their mission and character demanded. Their solutions of difficulties and determinations of doubtful queltions, must have been treasured up in the memory of their and diences, and transmitted, for some time, from father to son. Every thing, at least, that was declared by the inspired teachers to be necessary to salvation, must have been carefully recorded; and, therefore, what we find no traces of in the scripture, or the early fathers, as most of the peculiar teners of the Romish Church, must certainly be concluded to be not necessary. Thus, by consulting first the holy scriptures, and next the writers of the primitive church, we shall make ourselves acquainted with the will of God; hus shall we discover the good way, and find that rest for our souls which

# į 81 j

will amply recompense our studies and enquiries, as I shall attempt to prove,

Thirdly, by shewing the happiness which attends a well

grounded belief and steady practice of religion.

The ferency and faisfaction, at which we arrive by a firm and lettled perfusion of the fundamental articles of our religion, is very justly represented by the expression of sinding rest to the soul. A mishd, restless and undetermined, continually sluctuating between various opinions; always in pursuit of some better scheme of duties and more eligible system of taith, eager to embrace every new doctrine, and adopt the notions of every pretender to extraordinary light, can never be sufficiently calm and unruffled to attend to those duties which procure that peace of God which passets all understanding.

Suspense and uncertainty distract the soul, disturb its motions, and retard its operations; while we doubt in what manner to worship God, there is great danger less we should neglect to worship him at all. A man, conscious of having long neglected to worship God, can scarcely place any considence in his mercy; or hope, in the most pressing exigencies, for his protection. And how miserable is that man, who, on the bed of sickness, or in the hour of death, is without trull in the goodness of his Creator! This state, dreadful as it appears, may be justly apprehended by those who spend their lives in roving from one new way to another, and are so far from asking for the old paths, where is the good way, that when they are shewn it, they say, we will not walk therein.

There is a much closer connection between practice and speculation than is generally imagined. A man, disquieted with scruples concerning any important article of religion; will, for the most part, find himself indifferent and cold, even to those duties which he practised before with the most active diligence and ardent satisfaction. Let him then ask for the old paths, where is the good way, and he shall find rest for his foul. His mind, once set at ease from perplexity, and per-

petual agitation, will return with more vigour to the exercises of piety. An uniform perfeverance in these holy practices will produce a steady considence in the divine sayour, and that considence will complete his happiness. To which that we may all attain, God of his infinite mescy grant, for the merits of Jesus Christ, our Saviour, to whom, with the Father and the Holy Ghost, be ascribed, as is most due, all honour, advantion and praise, now and ever! Amen.

### SERMON VIII.

# ROMANS xii. the latter part of the 16th verse.

Be not wife in your own conceits.

It has been observed by those who have employed themselves in considering the methods of Providence, and the government of the world, that good and evil are distributed, through all states of life, if not in equal proportions, yet in such degrees as leave very little room for those murmurs and complaints which are frequently produced by superficial enquiries, negligent surveys, and impatient comparisons,

Hvery condition has, with regard to this life, its inconveniences, and every condition has likewife its advantages; though its position to the eye of the beholder may be so varied as that at some times the misery may be concealed, and at other times the happiness; but to judge only by the eye, is not the way to discover truth. We may pass by men without being able to distinguish whether they are to be numbered among those whose selicities, or whose sorrows preponderate; as we may walk over the ground without knowing whether its entrails contain mines of gold, or beds of sand.

Nor is it less certain that, with respect to the more important prospects of a surve state, the same impartiality of distribution may be generally remarked; every condition of humanity, being exposed on one side, and guarded on the other, so that every man's burshened, though none are overwhelmed; every man's obliged to vigilance, but none are harassed beyond their strength. The great business therefore of every

proaches of the enemy; and to bar the avenues of temptation, which the particular circumstances of his life are most likely to lay open; and to keep his beart in perpetual alarm against those sins which constantly besiege him. It he be rich, let him beware, lest when he is full he deny God, and say, who is the Lord? It he be poor, let him cautiously avoid to steal, and take the name of his God in vair.

There are some conditions of humanity which are made particularly dangerous by an uncommon degree of feeming security; conditions, in which we appear so completely fortified that we have little to dread, and therefore give ourselves up too readily to negligence and supineness; and are destroyed without precaution, because we flattered ourselves that defiruction could not approach us. This tatal flumber of treacherous tranquillity may be produced and prolonged by many causes, by causes as various as the lituations of life. Our condition may be such as may place us out of the reach of those general admonitions by which the rest of mankind are reminded of their errors and awakened to their duty; it may remove us to a great distance from the common incitements to common wickedness, and therefore may superinduce a forgetfulness of our natural frailies, and suppress all suspicions of the encroachments of fin-And the fin to which we are particularly tempted may be of that infidious and feductive kind, as that, without alarming us by the horrors of its appearance. and shocking us with the enormity of any single acts, may, by flow advances, possess the soul, and in destroying us differ only from the atrocioulness of more apparent wickedness, as a lingering poilon differs from the fword; more difficultly avoided, and more certainly fatal.

To temptations of this subtile, infinuating kind, the life of men of learning seems above all others to be exposed. As they are themselves appointed the teachers of others, they very rarely have the dangers of their own state set before them; as they are, by their abstraction and retirement, secluded from

willingly perfuaded to believe that, because they are at a great distance from the rocks on which conscience is most frequent, by wrenked, that therefore they fail with satety, and may give themselves to the wind without a compass. The crimes, from which they are in danger, are not those from which the mind has been taught to shrink away with horror, or against which the investives of moral or theological writers have generally been directed; and therefore they are suffered to approach unregarded, to gain ground imperceptibly upon minds directed to different views; and to six themselves at leisure in the heart, where perhaps they are scarcely discovered till they are gast eradication.

To these causes, or to some of these, it must surely be imputed, that learning is found so frequently to fail in the direction of life; and to operate so faintly and uncertainly in the regulation of their condust, who are most celebrated for their application and proficiency. They have been betrayed, by some false security, to withhold their attention from their own lives; they have grown knowing, without growing virtuous; and have failed of the wisdom which is the gift of the father of lights, because they have thought it unnecessary to seek it with that anxiety and importunity to which only it is granted; they have trusted to their own powers, and were wise in their own concessary.

There is perhaps no class of men, to whom the precept, given by the apostle to his converts gainst too great confidence in their understandings, may be more properly inculcated, than those who are dedicated to the profession of literature, and are therefore necessarily advanced to degrees of knowledge above them who are dispersed among manual occupations, and the vulgar parts of life; whose attention is confined within the narrow limits of their own employments, and who have not often leisure to think of more than the means of relieving their own wants, by supplying the demands of others.

With these, and such as these, placed sometimes, by whatever means, in much higher stations, a man of learning has such frequent opportunities of comparing himself; and is so strongly incited by that comparison, to indulge the contemplation of his own superiority, that it is not to be considered as wonderful, that vanity creeps in upon him; that he does not willingly withdraw his imagination from objects that so much slatter his passions, that he pursues the train of thought, from one respection to another, places himself and others in every situation in which he can appear with advantage in his own eyes; rises to comparisons with still higher characters, and still retains the habit of giving himself the preference; and in all disputable cases turns the balance in his own favour, by superadding from his own conceit that wisdom which by nature he does not posses, or by industry he has not acquired.

This wildom in his own conceit is very easily at first miltaken for qualities, not in themselves criminal, por in themfelves dangerous; nor is it easy to fix the limits, in speculation, between a resolute adherence to that which appears truth, and an obstinate obtrusion of peculiar notions upon the understanding of others; between the pleasure that naturally ariles from the enlargement of the mind and increase of knowledge, and that which proceeds from a contempt of others, and the infolent triumphs of intellectual superiority. Net though the confines of these qualities are nearly alike, their extremes are widely different; and it will foon be discovered, how much evil is avoided by repressing that opinion of ourfelves which vanity suggests, and that confidence which is gained only by measuring ourselves by ourselves, dwelling on our own excellence, and flattering ourselves with secret panegyrics.

As this falle claim to wisdom is the source of many faults as well as miseries to men of learning, it seems of the utmost importance to obviate it in the young, who may be imagined to be very little tainted, and suppress it in others, whose greater advances and more extensive reputation have more endan-

gered them; nor can any man think himself so innocent of this fault, or so secure from it, as that it should be unnecessary for him to consider,

First, The dangers which men of learning incur, by being

wife in their own conceits.

Secondly, The proper means by which that pernicious' conceit of wifdom may be avoided or suppressed.

In order to state with more accuracy the dangers which men, dedicated to learning, may be reasonably imagined to incur, by being wise in their own conceits, it is necessary to distinguish the different periods of their lives, and to examine whether this disposition is not in its tendency equally opposite to our duty, and, by inevitable consequences in its effects, equally destructive of our happiness in every state.

The business of the life of a scholar is to accumulate and to diffuse knowledge; to learn, in order that he may teach. The first part of his time is alligned to study and the acquisition of learning; the latter, to the practice of those arts which he has acquired, and to the instruction of others who have had less time, or opportunities, or abilities, for improvement. In the state therefore of a learner, or of a teacher, the man of letters is always to be considered; and if it shall appear that, on whatever part of his task he is employed, a salse opinion of his own excellence will naturally and certainly deleat his endeavours; it may be hoped, that there will be found sufficient reason, why no man should be wife in his own conceit.

Since no man can teach what he has never learned, the value and ofefulness of the latter part of his life must depend in a great measure upon the proper application of the earlier years; and he that neglects the improvement of his own mind, will never be enabled to instruct others. Light must strike on the body, by which light can be reflected. The disposition, therefore, which best besits a young man, about to engage in a life of study, is patience in enquiry; eagerness of knowledge; and willinguess to be instructed; a due submission to greater abilities and longer experience; and a ready

obedience to those, from whom he is to expect the removal of his ignorance, and the resolution of his doubts.

How unlikely any one, wife in his own content is to excite, or promote in himself such inclinations, may be easily determined. It is well known that fludy is not difigently profecuted, but at the expense of many pleasures and amulements; which no young man will be perfuaded to forbear. but upon the most cogent motives, and the strongest convic-He that is to draw truth from the depths of obscurity. must be fully informed of its value, and the necessity of finding it; he that engages in a state, opposite to the pleasures of fenle, and the gratification of every higher passion, must have fome principle within, strongly implanted, which may enforce industry, and repel temptation. But how shall he, who is already wife in his own contest, submit to such tedious and laborious methods of inflruction? Why should he toil for that which, in his own opinion, he possesses; and drudge for the supply of wants which he does not feel? He has slieady fuch degrees of knowledge as, magnified by his own imagination, exalt him above the rest of mankind; and to climb higher, would be to labour without advantage.

He already has a wide extent of science within his view, and his willingness to be pleased with himself does not suffer him to think, or to dwell on the thought of any thing beyond; and who that sees all, would wish to see farther? That submission to authority, and that reverence for instruction, which so well becomes every man at his sirst entrance upon new regions of learning, where all is novely, confusion and darkness, and no way is to be found through the intricacies, of opposite tylstems, but by tracing the steps of those that have gone before; that willingness to receive implicitly what farther advances only can enable him to prove, which inhibition always supposes; are very little to be expected from him, who looks down with scorn upon his teacher, and is more ready to cenfure the obscurred of precepts, than to suspect the sorce of his own understanding. "Knowledge is to be attained by frow

and gradual acquisitions, by a careful review of our ideas, and a regular superstructure of one proposition on another; and is therefore the reward only of diligence and patience. But patience is the effect of modesty; pride grasps at the whole, and what it cannot hold, it affects to despite; it is rather solicitous to display, than increase its acquisitions; and rather endeavours, by same, to supply the want of knowledge, than by knowledge to arrive at same.

That these are not imaginary representations, but true copies of real life, most of those to whom the instruction of young men is intrusted, will be ready to confest; since they have often the distaissaction of finding that, in proportion as greater advances have been made in the first period of life, there is less diligence in the second. And that, as it was said of the ancient Gauls, they were more than men in the onset, and less than women in the shock; it may be said in our literary contentions, that many who were men at school, are boys at college.

Their ardour remits, their diligence relaxes; and they give themselves to a lazy contemplation of comparative excellence, without considering that the comparison is hourly growing less advantageous, and that the acquisitions which they boost are mouldering away.

Such is the danger to a learner, of too early an opinion of his own importance; but if we suppose him to have escaped in his first years this satal confidence, and to be betrayed into it by a longer series of successful application, its essential then be equally dangerous; and as it hinders a young man from receiving instruction, it will obstruct an older student from conveying it.

There is no employment in which men are more easily betrayed to indecency and impatience, than in that of teaching; in which they necessarily converte with those who are their inferiors in the relation by which they are connected, and whom it may be sometimes proper to treat with that dighity which too often swells into arrogance; and to referance with fuch authority as not every man has learned to leparate In this flate of temporary honour, a proud from teranny. man is too willing to exert his prerogative; and 100 ready to forget that he is distating to those who may one day distate to him. He is inclined to wonder that what he comprehends himfelf is not equally clear to others; and often reproaches the intellects of his auditors, when he ought to blame the con-Inlion of his own ideas, and the improprieties of his own language. He reiterates therefore his politions without elucidation, and enforces his affertion by his frown, when he finds arguments less easy to be supplied. Thus, forgetting that he had to do with men whole pallions are perhaps equally turbulent with his own, he transfers by degrees to his infiruction the prejudices which are first raised by his behaviour; and having forced upon his pupils an hatred of their teacher, he lees it quickly terminate in a contempt of the precept.

But instruction extends farther than to seminaries of sendents, or the narrow auditories of sequestered literature. The end of learning is to teach the public, to superintend the conduct, watch over the morals, and regulate the opinions of parishes, dioceses and provinces, to check vices in their first eruption, and suppress herefies in the whispers of their rise. And surely this awful, this arduous task, requires qualities which a man, wise in his own conceit, cannot easily attain; that mildness of address, that patience of attention, that calmness of disputation, that selection of times, and places, and circumstances which the vehemence of pride will not regard. And, in reality, it will generally be found, that the first objection and the last to an unacceptable pastor is, that he is proud, that he is too wife for samiliarity, and will not descend to the level with common understandings.

Fig. Such is the confequence of too high an effects of our own powers and knowledge; it makes us in youth negligent, and in uge ufelefs fit teaches us too foon to be fatisfied with our attainments; or it makes our attainments unpleafing, unpop-

ular and ineffectual; it neither fuffers us to learn nor to teach, but withholds us from those by whom we might be instructed, and drives those from us whom we might instruct. It is therefore necessary to obviate these evils, by enquiring,

Secondly, By what means this pernicious conceit of wildom may be avoided or suppressed.

It might be imagined, if daily experience did not shew us how vainly judgments are formed of real life from speculative principles, that it might be easy for any man to extirpate a high conceit of human learning from his own heart, or that of another; since one great purpose of knowledge is to shew us our own defects, follies and miseries; yet, whatever be the reason, we find none more subject to this fault than those whose course of life ought more particularly to exempt them from it.

For the suppression of this vain conceit, so injurious to the protessors of learning, many considerations might be added to those which have already been drawn from its effects. The reasons, indeed, why every man should be humble, are inseparably connected with human nature; for what can any man see, either within or without himself that does not afford him some reason to remark his own ignorance, imbecility and meanness?

But on these restlections it is less proper to insist, because they have been explained already by almost every writer upon moral and religious duties, and because, in reality, the pride which requires our chief caution is not so much absolute as comparative. No man so much values himself upon the general prerogatives of human nature, as upon his own paculiar superiority to other men; nor will he therefore be humbled by being told of the ignorance, the weakness and wickedness of humanity; for he is satisfied with being accounted one of the most knowing among the ignorant; the most able among the weak, and the most virtuous among the wicked.

The pride of the learned, therefore, can only be repressed by thewing, what indeed might eafily be thewn, that it is not justifiable, even upon comparison with the rest of men : for. without urging any thing in derogation from the dignity and importance of learning in general, which must always, either immediately, or by the intervention of others, govern the world, it will be found that they who are most disposed to be fwelled to haughtiness by their own attainments, are generally fo far from having any just claim to the superiority which they exert that they are betrayed to vanity by ignorance; and are pleased with themselves, as a hind with his cottage, not because, upon enquiry, they are convinced of the reasonablenels of the preference, but because they overvalue the little they possess for want of knowing its littleness; and are contented with their own state, as a blind man feels no loss from Nor needs there any other proof of the ablence of beauty. the origin of literary pride, than that it is chiefly to be found among is those who have secluded themselves from the world, in pursuit of petty enquiries and trivial studies.

To such mon it should be recommended that, before they fuffer themselves to fix the rule of their own accomplishments and look down on others with contempt, they should enjoin themselves to spend some time in enquiring into their own pretentions; and confider who they are whom they despite, and for what reason they suffer themselves to indulge the ar-Such an examination will foon drive rogance of contempt. back the pedant to his college, with juster conceptions and with humbler fentiments; for he will find that those, whom he imagined so much below his own exaltation, often flourish in the esteem of the world, while he himself is unknown; and teaching those arts by which society is supported, and on which the happiness of the world depends; while he is pleasing himfelf with idle amusements, and wasting his life upon questions of which very tew desire the solution,

But if this method of obtaining humility be ineffectual, be may, however, establish it upon more strong and lasting prin-

ciples, by applying himself to the duties of religion and the word of God. That sacred and inscrutable word, which will shew him the inessicacy of all other knowledge, and those duties which will imprint upon his mind, that he best understands the sacred writings who most carefully obeys them. Thus will humility fix a firm and lasting basis, by annihilation of all empty distinctions and petty competitions, by shewing that ane thing only is necessary, and that God is all in all.

## SERMON IX.

1 CORINTHIANS, CHAP. xi. VER. 28.

But let a man examine himfelf, and so let him cat of that bread, and drink of that cup.

OTHING is more frequently injurious to religion, or more dangerous to mankind, than the practice of adding to the divine institutions, and of teaching for dostrines the commandments of men. The doctrines of the bleffed facrament. which, as they are expressed in the holy scriptures, do not feem to be very dark or difficult, yet have been so perverted and mifreprefented, as to occasion many disputes among men of learning, and many divisions in the christian world. our own church, many religious minds have been filled with groundlels apprehensions, and distracted with unnecessary disquietudes, by mistaken notions of the Lord's supperhave forborne to partake of it, because they have not, in their own opinion, arrived to that degree of holiness required to it; which they erroneously conceive to be such as indeed no mere man ever can attain; a holiness, which consists in little less than a complete exemption from sin, and an uniform and uninterrupted observance of every precept of religion. They find themselves unable to perform this duty without imperfections, and therefore they entirely neglect it; not considering that the same reason is of equal force for the neglect of every duty; since none can be performed by us, in this srail state, without laples, negligences and failings; and that God will accept unseigned repentance, fincere intentions and earnest endeavours, though entangled with many frailties.

do not consider, that the participation of the factament is a duty enjoined upon all christians, though all do not rife to equal degrees of virtue; and, by consequence, that many must be admitted to the holy table who have not reached the utmost heights of religious excellence. Heaven itself will be accessible to many, who died in their struggles with fin, in their endeavours after virtue, and the beginning of a new life. And furely, they are not to be excluded from commemorating the softerings of our Saviour, in a christian congregation, who would not be thut from heaven, from the affemblies of faints and the choirs of angels.

Intere are some who neglect this duty, as they omit others, not from scruples of melancholy piety, or mistaken severity, but from supercesses and carelessness, or an opinion that this precess is less necessary to be observed than some others delivered by the same authority.

. Many other notions, not well grounded, or capable of proof, are entertained of this inflitution; which I shall encleavour, without giving a particular account of them, to obvitte and suppress, by shewing,

First, What is the nature and end of this institution according to the scriptures.

Secondly, What are the obligations which enforce the duty of communion. And,

Thirdly, What things are required of them that come to the Lord's supper.

First, I propose to lay before you the nature and end of this institution according to the scriptures.

The account of the first institution of this sacrament is thus delivered by the evangelist, (Luke, chap. xxii. ver. 19:) And he took bread and gave thanks, and brake it, and gave it unto them, saying, This is my body which is given far you: this do in remembrance of me. Likewise also the cup after supper, saying, This cup is the new tostament in my blood which is shed for you. This narration is repeated in the epistle to the Gorinthians, with this comment or explanation: As often as

ye rat this bread, or drink this cup, ye do fleets the Lord's death will he come. From these passages compared, then, it appears, that this sacrament is a representation of the death of our Saviour, appointed by himself, to be celebrated by all his followers, in all ages; to the end that, by commemorating his sufferings in a solution and public manner, they might declare their considence in his merits, their belief of his mission, and their adherence to his religion.

It has likewise a tendency to increase this considence, consistent this belief, and establish this adherence, not only by the new strength which every idea acquires by a new impression, and which every persuasion attains by new recollection, approbation and meditation, but likewise by the superastural and extraordinary influences of grace, and those blessings which God has annexed to the due use of means appointed by himself.

By commemorating the death of Christ, as the redeener of the world, we contess our belief in him; for why else should we perform so solemn a rite in commemoration of him? To consess our belief in him, is to declare ourselves his followers. We enter into an obligation to perform those conditions upon which he has admitted us to follow him, and to practise all the duties of that religion which he has taught us.

This is implied in the word facrament, which, being originally used to signify an oath of sidelity taken by the soldiers to their leaders, is now made use of by the church, to import a solemn vow of unshaken adherence to the faith of Christ.

Thus the sacrament is a kind of repetition of baptism, the means whereby we are readmitted into the communion of the church of Christ, when we have, by fin, been separated from it; for every sin, and much more any habit or course of sin long continued, is, according to the different degrees of guilt, an apostacy or desection from our Saviour; as it is a breach of those conditions upon which we became his followers; and he that breaks the condition of a covenant, dissolves it on his side. Having therefore broken the covenant between us

end our Redeemer, we lose the benefits of his death; nor can we have any hopes of obtaining them, while we remain in this state of separation from him.

But vain had been the fufferings of our Saviour, had there not been left means of reconciliation to him; fince every man falls away from him occasionally by fins of negligence at least, and perhaps by known, deliberate, premeditated offences. So that some method of renewing the covenant between God and man was necessary; and for this purpose this facrament was instituted; which is therefore a renewal of our broken yows, a re-entrance into the society of the church, and the act by which we are restored to the benefits of our Saviour's death, upon performance of the terms prescribed by him.

So that this facrament is a folemn ratification of a covenant renewed; by which, after having alienated outfelves from Christ by sin, we are restored, upon our repentance and reformation, to pardon and savour, and the certain hopes of everlasting life,

When we thus enter upon a new life by a folemn, deliberate, and ferious dedication of ourselves to more exact and vigilant service of God, and oblige ourselves to the duties of piety by this facrament, we may hope to obtain, by servent and humble prayer, such affishences from God as may enable us to perform those engagements, which we have entered into by his command, and in the manner appointed by him; always remembering that we must use our own endeavours, and exert our utmost natural powers, for God only co-operates with the diligent and the watchful. We must avoid sin, by avoiding those occasions which betray us to it, and, as we pray that we may not be led, we must be cautious of leading ourselves into temptation.

All fin that is committed by Christians is committed either through an absolute forgetfulness of God, for the time in which the inordinate passion, of whatever kind it be, predominates and prevails; or because, if the ideas of God and re-

figion were present to our minds, they were not fiving enough to overcome and suppress the defires excited by some pleating, or the apprehensions raised by some terrible, object. So that either the love or sear of temporal good or evil were more powerful than the love or fear of God.

All ideas influence our conduct with more or less force, as they are more or less strongly impressed upon the mind; and shay are impressed more strongly, as they are more frequently secollosted or renewed. For every idea, whether of love, sear, grief, or anylother passion, loses its force by time; and, untells revived by accident, or voluntary mediation, will at last vanish. But by dwelling upon, and indusiging any idea, we may increase its esseacy and force, make it by degrees predominant in the foul, and raise it to an ascendant over our passions, so that it shall easily over-rule those affections of apgetites which formerly tyrannized within us.

Thus, by a neglect of God's worthin and therements; a man may lose almost all distinction whatsoever of good and evil. and, having no awe of the divine power to oppose his inclinations to wickedness, may go forward from crime to crime without remoffe. And he that flouggles against vice, and is when overcome by powerful temptations, if, inflead of giving way to idlenels and despair, he continues his refillance: and, by a diligent attendance upon the fervice and literamenta of church, together with a regular practice of private devotion. endeavours to Arcoginen his faith, and imprint upon himself an habitual attention to the laws of God, and a confrant forfe of his prefence; he will foon find thimfelf able to avoid the fraces of lingor, if he fall into them by inedvertency, to break shem. He will find the fear of God grow superior to the defires of wealth, or the love of pleafure 4 and; by peruffing to frequent the church and facraments, and thereby to preferve those notions of piety from being effaced by-weakened, he will be able to perfevere in a Ready straffice of vietne, and enjoy the unipeakable pleafures of a quiet confrience.

Thus it appears, that the bleffed facrament is a commemo-

ration of the death of our Lord; consequently, a declaration of our faith; and both naturally, and by the co-operation of God, the means of increasing that faith. And it appears also that it is a renewal of our baptismal vow, after we have broken it by sin; and a renovation of that covenant by which we are adopted the followers of Jesus, and made partakers of his merits, and the benefits of his death.

This account has almost anticipated, what I professed to, treat of.

Secondly, the obligations which enforce the duty of com-

For the obligations to any duty must bear proportion to the importance of a duty must be rated by the effect which it produces or promotes; and there-tore, as the benefits which we receive from this facrament have been already shewn, the necessity of it is sufficiently apparent.

But we may farther enforce this practice upon ourselves and others, by considering, first, that it is a positive injunction of our blessed Saviour, which, therefore, all those who believe in him are bound to obey. That to dispute the usefulness, or call in question the necessity of it, is to reform his religion, and to set up our own wisdom in opposition to his commands; and that to resule the means of grace, is to place our considence in our own strength, and to neglect the assistance of that comforter, who came down from Heaven according to the most true promise of our blessed Saviour, to lead the apostles out of darkness and error, and to guide them and us into the clear light and certain knowledge of God, and of his son Jesus Christ.

If we consider this sacrament as a renewal of the vow of baptism, and the means of reconciling us to God, and restoring us to a participation of the merits of our Saviour, which we had sorteited by sin, we shall need no persuasions to a frequent communion. For certainly nothing can be more dreadful than to live under the displeasure of God, in con-

stant danger of appearing before him, while he is yet unappealed, and of losing the benefits of our redemption. Whoever he be, whom fin has deluded and led away, bet him not delay to return to his duty, lest some sudden disease seize upon him, and the hand of death cut him off for ever from any possibility of reformation, while he is indolent and voluptuous, irreligious and protane. It will be too late to bewait his supineness, and lament his folly, when the dreadful and irrevocable sentence is past, and the gates of hell are closed upon him. "Seek, ye the Lord while he may be found; call ye upon him while he is near! Let the wicked for sake his way, and the unrighteous man his thoughts; and let him return unto the Lord, and he will have mercy upon him, and to our God, for he will abundantly pardon."

But left, inflead of obtaining pardon, we aggravate our fins, by coming unprepared to the holy table, let us confider,

Thirdly, What is required of them that come to the Lord's fupper.

With respect to the preparatory duties requisite to, a worthy reception of the sacrament, St. Paul has left this precept; "Let a man examine himself, and so let him eat of that bread." Which will be easily explained, by recurring to what has been already said of the nature of the sacrament.

By partaking of the communion, we declare, in the most folemn manner, in the presence of God and man, that we hold the faith of Jesus; that we are his followers, who expect eternal salvation from his merits; and, therefore, that we engage curselves to that obedience to his commands, and that strictness and regularity of life, which he requires from those who place their considence in his mediation. We profess, likewise, that we sincerely and humbly repent of those offences by which we have separated ourselves from him; and that, in consequence of this protession, we unite ourselves again to the communion of the church.

Nothing can be more reasonable, before this solemn profession, than that a man examine himself, whether it be true; whether he really and unleignedly resolves to accept the conditions of salvation offered to him, and to perform his part of the covenant which he comes to ratify; or, whether he is not about to mock God; to profess a faith which he does not hold, and a purity which he does not intend to aim at.

The terms upon which we are to hope for any benefits from the merits of Christ, are faith, repentance, and subsequent obedience. These are therefore the three chief and general heads of examination. We cannot receive the facrament unles we believe in Christ, because by receiving it we declare our belief in him, and a lying tongue is an abomination to the Lord. TWe cannot receive it without repentance, because repentance is the means by which, after fin, we are reconciled' to God; and we cannot, without dreadful wickedness, by partaking of the outward tokens of reconciliation, declare that we believe God at peace with our fouls, when we know that, by the omiffion of repetitance, we are yet in a flate of voluntary alienation from him. We cannot receive it without a: fincere intention of obedience; because, by declaring ourfelves his followers, we enter into obligations to obey his commandments. We are therefore not transiently and carelefsly, but frequently and feriously to alk ourfelves, whether we firmly believe the promifes of our Saviour; whether we repent of our fins, and refolve, for the future, to avoid all those things which God has forbidden, and practife all those which And when any man is convinced that: he has commanded. he has formed real resolutions of a new life, let him pray for firength and constance to persevere in them, and then let him' come joyfully to the holy table, in fure confidence of pardon, reconciliation and life everlafting.

Which that we may all obtain, God of his infinite mercy-grant, for the merits of Jesus Christ, our Saviour; to whom, with the Father and the Holy Spirit, three Persons and one God, he ascribed all honour, adoration and praise, now and sorever! Amen.

# SERMON X.

## GALATIANS, CHAP. vi. VER. 7-

Be not deceived, God is not mocked: for whatforver a man foweth, that shall he reap.

NE of the mighty bleffings behowed upon us by the. christian revolution is, that we have now a certain knowledge. of a future state, and of the rewards and punishments that await us after death, and will be adjusted according to our, conduct in this world. We, on whom the light of the golpelthines, walk no longer in darkness, doubtful of the benefit of. good, or the danger of had actions we know that we live and, act under the eye of our Father and our Judge, by whom nething is overleaked or forgotten, and who, though to try our obedience he fuffers, in the present state of things, the same events to happen to the good and to the evil, will at last certainly distinguish them, by allotting them different conditions. beyond the grave; when it will appear, in the fight of men. and of angels, how amiable is godliness, and how odious is lin, by the final fentence which shall bring upon man the confequences of his own aftions, so as that whatfoeper a man shall Sow, that shall he reap.

The ancient heathers, with whose notions we are acquainted, how far soever they might have carried their speculations of moral or civil wisdom, had no conception of a luture state, except idle fictions, which those who considered them treated as ridiculous, or dark conjectures, formed by men of deep thoughts and great enquiry, but neither, in themselves, capable of compelling conviction, nor brought at all to the knowl-

edge of the gross of mankind, of those who lived in pleasure and idleness, or in solitude and labour; they were confined to the closet of the student, or the school of the lecturer, and were very little diffused among the buly or the vulgar.

There is no reason to wonder that many enormities should prevail, where there was nothing to oppose them. When we consider the various and perpetual temptations of appetite within, and interest without; when we see that on every side there is something that solicits the desires, and which cannot be innocently obtained; what can we then expect but that, notwithstanding all the securities of law and all the vigilance of magistrates, shole that know of no other world will eagerly make the most of this, and please themselves whenever they can, with very fittle regard to the right of others?

LAs the state of the heathens was a state of darkness, it must have been a state likewise of disorder; a state of perpetual contest for the goods of this life, and by consequence of perpetual danger to those who abounded, and of temptation to those that were in want.

The Jews enjoyed a very ample communication of the divine will, and had a religion which an inspired legislator had prescribed. But even to this nation, the only nation free from idolatry, and acquainted with the perfections of the true God, was the doctrine of a future state so obscurely revealed, that it was not necessarily consequential to the reception, or observation of their practical religion. The Sadducees, who acknowledged the authority of the Molaical law, yet denied the separate existence of the soul, had no expectation of a suture state. They held that there was no resurrection, neither angel nor spirit.

This was not in those times the general flate of the Jewish nation; the Pharises held the resurrection, and with them probably far the greater part of the people; but that any man could be a Jew, and yet deny a future flate, is a sufficient proof that it had not yet been clearly revealed, and that it was reserved for the preachers of christianity to bring life and im-

mortality to light: In such a degree of light they are now placed, that they can be denied or doubted no longer, but as the gospel, that shews them, is doubted or denied. It is now certain that we are here not in our total nor in our ultimate existence, but in a state of exercise and probation, commanded to quality ourselves, by pure hearts and virtuous actions, for the enjoyment of source telicity in the presence of God; and probabited to break the laws which his wildom has given us, under the penal sanction of banishment from heaven into regions of misery.

Yet notwithstanding the express declaration of our Saviour, and the constant reference of our actions and duties to a future state, throughout the whole volume of the new testament; there are yet, as in the apostles' time, men who are deceived, who act as if they thought God would be mocked or deluded, and who appear to forget that what sover a man sows, that shall he reap.

From this important caution, given by the apostle immediately to those whom he was then directing, and consequently to all protessors of the religion of Christ, occasion may be taken to consider.

Firft, How finners are deceived.

Secondly, How certain it is that God is not mocked.

Thirdly, In what fense it is to be understood, that whatso-ever a man sows, that shall be reap.

In examining, first, how sinners are deceived, it will immediately occur to us that no man is deceived to his damnation but by the davil himself. The subtilities of the devil are undoubtedly many; he has probably the power of presenting opportunities of sin, and at the same time of inslaming the passions, of suggesting evil desires, and inserrupting holy meditations; but his power is so limited by the governor of the universe that he cannot hurt us without our own consent; his power is but like that of a wicked companion, who may solicit us to crimes or sollies, but with whom we feel no necessity of complying; he therefore that yields to temptation.

hats the greater part in his own destruction; he has been warned of his danger, he has been taught his duty; and, if these warnings and instructions have had no effect, he may be faid voluntarily to desert the right way, and not so much to be deceived by another, as to deceive himself.

Of felf-conceit, in the great buliness of our lives, there and various modes. The far greater part of mankind deceive themselves by willing negligence, by refusing to think ou their real flate, lest such thoughts-should trouble their quiet, or interrupt their pursuits. To live religiously, is to walk not by fight, but by faith; to act in confidence of things unfeen, in hope of future recompenie, and fear of inture punish-To abfiract the thoughts from things spiritual is not difficult: things future do not obtrude themselves upon the lenfes, and therefore easily give way to external objects. He that is willing to lorget religion, may quickly lose it; and that most men are willing to lorget it experience informs us. If we look into the gay, or the buly world, we fee every eyedirected towards pleasure or advantage, and every hour filled with expectation or occupied by employment, and day paffed after day in the enjoyment of fuccess, or the vexation of disappointment.

Nor is it true only of men, who are engaged in enterprizes of hazard, which rollrain the faculties to the utmost, and keep attention always upon the stretch. Religion is not only negletted by the projector and adventurer, by men who suspend their happiness on the slender thread of artifice, or sland tot-For, if we visit the most tering upon the point of chance. cool and regular parts of the community, if we turn our eye to the farm, or to the shop, where one year glides uniformly after another, and nothing new or important is either expected or dreaded; yet kill the same indifference about eternity There is no interest so small, nor engagement will be found. so flight, but that, if it be followed and expanded, a may be fufficient to keep religion out of the though's. Many men

Digitized by Google

may be observed, not agitated by very violent passions, not of verborne by any powerful habits, nor deprayed by any great degrees of wickedness; men who are honest dealers, faithful triends, and inoffensive neighbours, who yet have no vital principle of Religion; who live wholly without felf-examination: and indulge any defire that happens to arife, with very little reliftance, or compunction; who hardly know what it is to combat a temptation, or to repent of a fault; but go on, neither felf-approved, nor felf-condemned; not endeavouring after any excellence, nor reforming any vicious practice. or irregular defire. They have no care of futurity, neither is God in all their thoughts; they direct none of their actions to his glory, they do nothing with the hope of pleating, they avoid nothing for the fear of offending him. want not much of being religious, they have nothing more than casual views to reform, and, from being peaceable and temperate heathers, might, if they would once awaken to their eternal interest, become pious and exemplary Christians. But let them not be deceived, they cannot suppose that God will accept him, who never wished to be accepted by him, or made his will the rule of action.

Others there are, who, without attending to the written revelation of God's will, form to themselves a scheme of conduct, in which vice is mingled with virtue, and who cover from themselves, and hope to cover from God, the indulgence of some criminal defire, or the continuance of some vicious habit, by a few splendid inflances of public spirit, or some sew effusions of occasional bounty. But to these men it may, with emphatical propriety, be urged, that God is not mocked; he will not be worshipped nor obeyed, but according to his own laws.

The mode of felf-deception which prevails most in the world, and by which the greatest number of fours is at least betrayed to destruction, is the art, which we are all too apt to practise, of putting far from us the evil day, of setting the hour of death, and the day of account, at a great distance.

That death is certain every one knows: nor is it less known, that life is destroyed at all ages by a thousand causes; that the strong and the vigorous are liable to diseases, and that caution and temperance afford no security against the final stroke. Yet as the thought of dissolution is dreadful, we do not willingly admit it; the desire of life is connected with animation; every living being shrinks from his destruction; to wish, and to hope, are never far asunder; as we wish for long life, we hope that our wishes will be granted, and what we hope we either believe, or do not examine. So tenaciously does our credulity lay hold of life, that it is rate to find any man so old, as not to expect an addition to his years, or so tar wasted and ensembled with disease, as not to status himself with hopes of recovery.

To those, who procrastinate-emendment, in hopes of better opportunities in suture time, it is too often vainly urged by the preacher, and vainly suggested by a thousand examples, that the hour of death is uncertain. This, which ought to be the cause of their terror, is the ground of their hope; that, as death is uncertain, it may be distant. This uncertainty is, in effect, the great support of the whole system of life. The man who died yesterday had purchased an estate, to which he intended some time to retire; or built a house, which he was hereafter to inhabit; and planted gardens and groves, that, in a certain number of years, were to supply delicacies to his feasts, and shades to his meditations. He is snatched away, and has left his designs and his labours to others.

As men please themselves with selicities to be enjoyed in the days of leisure and retreat; so among these selicities, it is not uncommon to design a reformation of life, and a course of piety. Among the more enlightened and judicious part of mankind, there are many who live in a continual disapprobation of their own conduct, who know that they do every day what they ought to leave undone, and every day leave undone what they pught to do; and who therefore consider themselves as living under the divine displeasure, in a state in

which it would be very dangerous to die. Such men aufwer the reproaches of conscience with promises of amendment. promifes made with fincerity and intention of performance. but which they consider as debts to be discharged at some remote time. They neither fin with stupid negligence, nor with impious defiance of the divine laws; they tear the punishments denounced against fin, but pacify their anxiety with possibilities of repentance, and with a plan of life to be led according to the first precepts of religion, and to be closed at last by a death softened by holy conforations. \ Projects of future piety are perhaps not less common than of future pleasure, and are, as there is reason to sear, not less commonly interrupted; with this dreadful difference, that he who miffes his intended pleasure, escapes a disappointment, but he who is cut off before the feafon of repentance, is exposed to the vengeance of an angry God.

Whoever has been deluded by this infatuation, and has hitherto neglected those duties which he intends some time so perform, is admonished, by all the principles of prudence, and all the course of nature, to consider how much he ventures, and with how little probability in his savour. The constinuance of life, though, like all other things adjusted by Providence, may be properly considered by as casual; and wisdom always directs us, not to leave that to chance which may be made certain, and not to venture any thing upon chance which it will much hurt us to lose.

He who, accused by his conscience of habitual disobedience, desers his reformation, apparently leaves his soul in the power of chance. We are in full possession of the present moment; let the present moment be improved; let that, which must necessarily be done some time, be no longer neglected. Let us remember that, if our lot should fall otherwise than we suppose; it we are of the number of them, to whom length of life is not granted; we lose, what can never be recovered, and what will never be recompensed, the mercy of God and the joys of futurity.

That long life is not commonly granted, is fulfidiently appearant; for life is called long, not as being, as its grantest length, of much dorasido, but as being longer than common. Since, therefore, the common condition of man is not to live long, we have no reason to conclude, that what happens to few will happen to us.

But to above our confidence in our solve selections, it is to be remembered, that though we should serve at the gross year defined for the change of life, it is by no means nerthin that we shall effect what we have purposed. Age is shockled with infirmity and distales. Immediatelyain and profess very ation will then do what amplement and galety did before, will enchain the attention and occupy the thoughts, and leave little vacancy for the past or lutture. Whoever fuffers great pain has no other care than to obtain ease; and, if ease is for a time obtained, he values it too much to fellen it by paintal restections.

Neither is an efficacious repentance to easy a work, as alust we may be fore of performing it at the time appointed by ourfelves. The longer habits have been initialled, the more imperious they become; it is not by bidding them to be gone, that we can at once diffulfs them; they may be suppressed and lie dormant for a time; and refume their force at an unexpected moment, by some sudden temptation; they can be subdired only by continued caution and repeated confissions.

The longer fin has been induffed, the more irk force will be the retroffect of life. So much unestines will be fullified, at the review of years from in victious enjoyment, that there is reason to fear, left that delay, which began in the love of pleasare, will be continued for fear of pain.

Weither is it certain that the grace, without which so man can correct his own corruption, when it has been offered and retailed, will be offered again; or that he who sopped that ears againft the first call, will be vouchfaited a feeded. He

senant expect to be received among the servants of God, who will obey him only at his own time; for such presumption is, in some degree, a mockery of God, and we are to consider, secondly, how certain it is, that God is not macked.

God is not macked in any sepse. He will not be macked with counterfeit piety, he will not be mocked with idle refolutions; but the sense in which the text declares that God is not mocked, seems to be, that God will not suffer his decrees to be invalidated; he will not leave his promises unfulfilled. nor his threats unexecuted. And this will eafily appear, if we consider, that promises and threats can only become incltestual by change of mind, or want of power-God cannot change his will, he is not a man that he should repeat ; what he has spoken will sprely come to pass. Neither can be want power to execute his purpoles; he who fooke, and the world was made, can speak again, and it will perish. \ God's arm is not shortened that he cannot save : neither is it shortened, that he cannot punish; and that he will do to every man according to his works will be shewn, when we have considered,

Thirdly, In what sense it is to be understood; that whatsoever a man fows, that shall be reap.

To low and to reap are figurative terms. To low lignifies to all; and to reap, is to receive the product of our actions. As no man can few one fort of grain, and reap another, in the ordinary process of nature; as no man gathers grages as thorns or figs of thistles, or, when he scatters tares in the surrows, gathers wheat into his garners; so, in the final dispensations of Providence, the same correspondence shall be found in the moral system; every action shall at last be followed by its due consequences; we shall be treated according to our obedience or transgressions; the good shall not miss their reward, nor the wicked escape their punishment; but, when men shall give account of their own works, they that have done good shall pass into everlasting life, and they that have done evil into everlasting sire.

# î in ]

Let us, therefore, at this and at sit times, most heartily and fervently befeech Almighty God to give us faithful and fincere repentance, to pardon and forgive us all our fins, to endue us with the grace of his Holy Spirit, and to amend our lives according to his holy will and commandments.

### SERMON XI.

## 1 PETER, CHAP. iii. VER. 8.

Finally be ye all of one mind, having compassion one of another, love as brethren, be faithful, be courteous.

THE aposse, directing this episse to the new converts scattered over the provinces of Asia, having laid before them the great advantage of the religion which they had embraced, no less than the salvation of their souls, and the high price for which they were redeemed, the precious blood of Christ, proceeds to explain to them what is required by their new protession. He reminds them that they live among the heathen, of whom it must necessarily be supposed that every one watched their condust with suspicious vigilance; and that it is their duty to recommend right belief by virtuous practice; that their example, as well as their arguments, may propagate the truth.

In this course of instruction, the first mentions the civil relation of governors and subjects, and enjoins them to hone our the supreme magistrate, and to respect all subordinate authority which is established for the preservation of order and the administration of justice. He then descends to domestic connections, and recommends to servants obedience and patience, and to husbands and wives their relative and respective duties; to husbands tenderness, and to wives obedience, modesty and gentleness; that the husband, who is not yet converted by the power of exhortation, may be drawn to the religion of his wife, by perceiving its good effects upon her conversation and behavious.

He then extends his precepts to greater generality, and lays down a fhort fyflem of domestic virtue to be universally adopted, directing the new christians,

First, to be all of one mind.

By the union of minds, which the appfile recommends, it must be supposed that he means, not speculative, but practical union; not similitude of opinions, but similitude of virtues. In religious opinions, if there was then any disagreement, they had then living authority to which they might have recome : and their butiness was probably, at that time, more to defend their common saith against the heathen, than to debate any fubtilities of opinion among themselves. But there are innumerable questions, in which vanity or interest engages mankind, which have little connection with their eternal interest; and yet often inflame the passions and produce dislike and Sects in philosophy, and factions in the state, malevolence. easily excite mutual contempt in mutual hatred. opinions are centured, feels the reputation of his understand. ing injured; he whole party is opposed, finds his influence resisted, and perhaps his wer or his profit in danger of diminution. It could not be the intention of St. Peter, that all men should think Tike, either of the operations of nature, or the transof the flate, but those who thought differently should liff in peace; that contradiction should not exasperate the disputants, or the heat should end with the controverly and that the opposition of party (for such there must sometimes be) should not canker the private thoughts, or raise personal hatred or insidious enmity. He required that they should be all of one moral mind, that they should all wish and promote the happiness of each other, that the danger of a christian should be a common cause, and that no one should wish for advantage by the miscarriage of another

To suppose that there should, in any community, be no difference of opinion, is to suppose all, of whom that community consists, to be wife alike, which cannot happen; or that

4

the understanding of one part is submitted to that of another, which, however, would not produce uniformity of opinion, but only of prolession; and is, in important questions, contrary to that sincerity and integrity which truth requires; and an intraction of that liberty, which reason allows. But that men, of different opinions, should live at peace, is the true effect of that humility which makes each esteem others better than himself, and of that moderation which reason approves and charity commands. Be ye, therefore, all of one mind; let charity be the predominant and privately principle that pervades your lives and regulates your actions.

Secondly, they are directed by the apostle to live as men which have compassion one of another.

The word, which is rendered having compassion, seems to include a greater latitude of lignification than the word compassion commonly obtains. Compassion is not used but in the sense of tender regard to the unhappiness of another. But the term used by St. Peter may mean mutually seeling for each other, receiving the same impressions from the same things, and wis sense seems to be given it by one of the translators (Castalio.) The precept will then be connected and consequential; Be all of one mind, each fieling, by sympathy, the affections of another.

Sympathy, the quality recommended in the text, as it has been now explained, is the great fource of locial happiness. To gain affection and to preserve concord, it is seedlary not only to mourn with those that mourn, but to rejoice with them that rejoice.

To feel fincere and honest joy at the access of another, though it is necessary to true friendship, is perhaps neither very common nor very easy. There is in every mind, implanted by nature, a desire of superiority, which counteracts the pleasure which the sight of success and happiness ought always to impart. Between men of equal condition, and therefore willingly consulting with each other, any slow of fortune which produces inequality, makes him who is left be-

bind took with less content on his own condition, and with less kindness on him who has reduced him to inferiority. The advancement of a superior gives pain by increasing that distance, by difference of station, which was thought already greater than could be claimed by any difference; and the rise of an interior excites jealously, less that went before should be overtaken by his follower. As cruelty looks upon misery without partaking pain, so envy beholds increase of happiness without partaking joy.

Envy and cruelty, the most bateful passions of the human breast, are both counteracted by this precept, which commanded the christians of Asia, and now commands us who succeed them in the protession of the same faith and the consciousness of the same frailties, to feel one for another. He whose minds is to harmonized to the interest of his neighbour, that good and evil is common to them both, will neither obstruct his rile nor infult his fall; but will be willing to co-operate with , him through all the vicissitudes of life and dispensations of providence; to honour him that is exalted; to help him that He will controul all those emotions which is depressed. comparison produces; he will not confider himself as made poorer by another's wealth, or richer by another's poverty; he will look, without malignity, upon superiority, either external or intellectual; he will be willing to learn of those that excel in wisdom, and eccive in attention with thankfulness; he will be willing to impart his knowledge, without fearing lest he should impair his own importance by the improvement of his hearer.

How much this generous sympathy would conduce to the comfort and stability of life, a little consideration will convince us. Whence are all the arts of stander and depreciation, but from our unwillingness to see others greater, or wiser, or happier than ourselves? Whence is a great part of the splendour, and all the oftentation of high rank, but to receive pleasure from the contemplation of those who cannot attain dignity and riches, or to give pain to them who look with

Ŋ

malignity on those acquisitions which they have desired in vain? Whence is the pain which vanity suffers from neglect, but that it exacted paintul homage and honour, which is received with more delight as it is more unwillingly conferred? The pleasures of comparative excellence have commonly their source that pain of others, and therefore are such pleasures as the aposse warns the christians not to indulge.

Thirdly. In pursuance of his injunctions to be of one mind, and to sympathize one with another, he directs them to love as brethren, or to be lovers of the brethren, (Hammond.) He endeavours to establish asspecies of fraternity among christians; that, as they have all one thith, they may have all one interest, and consider themselves as a family that must prosper or fuffer all together, and there whatever shall belal, either of good or evil. The highest degree of friendship is called brotherly love; and the term by which man is endeared to man, in the language of the gospel, is the appellation of brother. We are all brethren by our common relation to the uni verfal father; but that relation is often forgotten amongst the contrariety of opinions and oppolition of pations which disturb the peace of the world. Ambition has effaced all natural confanguinity, by calling nation to war against nation, and making the destruction of one half of mankind the glory of the other. Christian piety, as is revived and enforced all the original and primeval duties of sumanity, to it reftored, in forme degree, that brotherhood, or foundation of kindness which naturally arises from some common relation. We are brothers as we are men; we are again brough as we are christians; as men, we are brothers by natural necellity; but as christians, we are brothers by voluntary choice, and are therefore under an apparent obligation to fulfil the relation; first, as it is established by our Creator, and, afterwards; as it is chosen by ourselves. To have the same opinions naturally produces kindnels, even when these opinions have no consequence; because we rejoice to find our sentiments approved by the judgment of another. But those who concur in chrisof more than speculative kindness; the may help forward the salvation of each other, by counsel or by reproof, by exhortation, by example; they may recal each other from deviations, they may excite each other to good works.

Charity, or universal love, is named by Saint Mul, as the greatest and most illustrious of christian virtues; and our Savfour himself has told us, that by this it shall be known that we are his disciples, if we love one another. Every affection of the foul exerts itself mostastrongly at the approach of its prop-Christians particularly love one another, because er object. they can confer and receive spiritual benefits. They are indeed to love all men; and how much the primitive preachers of the gospel loved those that differed from them, they sufficiently shewed, when they incurred death by their endeavours to make them christians. This is the extent of evangelical love, to bring into the light of truth the who are in darknels, and to keep those from falling back into darkness to whom ight has been shewn.

Since life overflows with milery, and the world is filled with evil, natural and moral, with temptation and danger, with calamity and wickedness, there are very frequent opportunities of shewing our unanimity, our sympathy, and our brotherly love, by attempts to remove pressures and mitigate mistortunes. St. Peter, therefore, particularly presses the duty of committenation, by calling upon us,

Fourthly, to be pitiful, not to look negligently or scornfully on the miseries of others, but to apply such consolation and assistance as Providence puts into our power.

To attempt an enumeration of all the opportunities which may occur for the exercise of pity, would be to form a catalogue of all the ills to which human nature is exposed, to count over all the possibilities of calamity, and recount the depredations of time, the pains of disease, the blass of casualty, and the mischiels of malevolence.

. Wherever the eye is turned, it fees much mifery, and

there is much which it fees not; many complaints are heard, and there are many manys without complaint. The external acts of mercy, to feed the hungry, to clothe the naked, and to visit the sick, and the prisoners, we see daily opportunities of performing; and it may be hoped they are not neglected by rethose that abound with what others want.

But there are other calls upon charity. There are fick, minds as well as fick bodies there are understandings perplexed with scruples, there are consciences tormented with guilt; nor can any greater benefit be conterred, than that of settling doubts, or comforting despair, and restoring a disquieted soul to hope and tranquillity.

The duty of commiseration is so strongly pressed by the gospel, that none deny its obligations. But as the measures of beneficence are lest undefined, every man necessarily determines for himself, whether he has contributed his share to the necessities of others; and amidst the general depravity of the world, it can be no wonder if there are found some who tax themselves very lightly, and are satisfied with giving thitle.

Some readily find out, that where there is diffress there is vice, and easily discover the crime of leeding the lazy, or encouraging the dissolute. To promote vice is certainly unlawful, but we do not always encourage vice when we relieve the vicious. It is sufficient that our brother is in want; by which way he brought his want upon him let us not too curiously enquire. We likewise are sundoubted and notorious, some caution may be properly used, that charity beyont perverted; but no man is so bad as to lose his title to christian kindnels. It a bad man be suffered to perish, how shall he repent?

Not more justifiable is the omission of duty, which proceeds from an expectation of better opportunities, or more pressing exigencies. Of such excuses, or of such purposes, there, can no end. Delay not till to-morrow what thou mayest do to day. A good work is now in thy power, be

quick and perform it! By thy refusal, others may be discouraged from asking; or so near may be the end of thy life that thou mayest never do what is in thy heart. Every call to charity is a gift of God, to be received with thankfulness, and improved with difference.

There are likewise many offices of kindness which cannot properly be classed under the duty of commiseration, as they do not presuppose either misery or necessity, and yet are of great use for conciliating affection, and smoothing the paths of life; and, as it is of great importance that goodness should have the power of gaining the affections, the apostle has not neglected those subordinate duties, for he commands christians.

Fifthly, to be courteous.

For courteous, some substitute word humble; the difference may not be considered as great, for pride is a quality that obstructs courtely.

That a precept of courtefy is by no means unworthy of the gravity and dignity of an apostolical mandate, may be gathered from the pernicious effects which all must have observed to have arilen from harsh strictness and sour virtue, such as retules to mingle in harmless gaiety, or give countenance to innocent amusements, or which transacts the petty business of the day with a gloomy ferocioulness that clouds existence. Goodness of this character is more formidable than lovely; it may drive away vice from its presence, but will never perfuade it to flay to be amended; it may teach, it may remonstrate, but the hearer will seek for more mild instruction. those, therefore, by whose conversation the heathens were to be drawn away from error and wickedness, it is the apostle's precept, that they be courteous, that they accommodate themfelves, as far as innocence allows, to the will of others; that. they should practife all the established modes of civility, seize all occasions of cultivating kindness, and live with the rest of the world in an amicable reciprocation of curfory civility, that christianity might not be accused of making men less cheerful as companions, less sociable as neighbours, or less useful as triends.

Such is the fystem of domestic virtue which the spostle recommends. His words are few, but their meaning is sufficient to fill the greater part of the circle of life. Let us remember to be all of one mind, so as to grieve and rejoint together; to confirm, by constant benevolence, that brotherhood
which creation and redemption have constituted! Let us
commisserate and reveve affliction, and endear ourselves by
general gentleness and affability; it will from hence soon appear how much goodness is to be loved, and how much human nature is meliorated by religion.

🛡 🦣 🔞 sign

# SERMON XII.

#### ECCLESIASTES, CHAP. i. VER. 14.

I have feen all the works that are done under the Sun: and, behold, all is vanity and vexation of spirit.

THAT all human actions terminate in vanity, and all human hopes will end in vexation, it a polition from which nature withholds our credulity, and which our fondness for the present life and worldly enjoyments disposes us to doubt, however forcibly it may be urged upon us by reason or experience.

Every man will readily enough confess that his own condition discontents him, and that he has not yet been able, with all his labour, to make happiness, or, with all his enquiries, to find it. But he still thinks it is somewhere to be found, or by some means to be procured. His envy sometimes persuades him to imagine that others possess it; and his ambition points the way by which he supposes that he shall reach, at last, the station to which it is annexed. Every one wants something to happiness; and when he has gained what he first wanted, he wants something else; he wears out life in efforts and pursuits, and perhaps dies, regretting that he must leave the world, when he is about to enjoy it.

So great is our interest, or so great we think it, to believe ourselves able to procure our own happiness, that experience never convinces us of our impotence; and indeed our milcarriages might be reasonably enough imputed by us to our own unskillulness, or ignorance, it we were able to derive inrelligence from no experience but our own. But forely we may be content to credit the general voice of mankind, complaining incessantly of general intelicity; and when we see the restlessness of the young, and the peevishness of the old; when we find the daring and the active combating misery, and the calm and humble lamenting it; when the vigorous are exhausting themselves in struggles with their own condition, and the old and the wife retiring from the contest in wearings and despondency; we may be content at last to conclude, that it happiness had been to be found, some would have found it, and that it is vain to search longer for what all have missed.

But though our obstinacy should hold out against common experience and common authority, it might at least give way to the declaration of Solomon, who has lest this testimony to succeeding ages; that all human pursuits and labours are vanity. From the like correlation made by other men, we may escape; by considering, that their experience was small, and their power narrow; that they pronounced with considence upon that, which they could not know; and that many pleasures might be above their reach, and many more beyond their observation; they may be considered, as uttering the distates of discontent, rather than persuasion; and as speaking not so much of the general state of things, as of their own share, and their own situation.

But the character of Solomon leaves no room for subterfuge; he did not judge of what he did not know. He had in
his possession whatever power and riches, and, what is shill
more, whatever wisdom and knowledge, could conter. As he
understood the vegetable creation, from the cedar of Libanus,
to the hyssop on the wall; so there is no doubt, but he had
taken a survey of all the gradations of human like, from the
throne of the prince to the shepherd's cottage. He had in
his hand all the instruments of happiness, and in his mind the
skill to apply them. Every power of delight which others
possessing the had authority to summon, or wealth to purchase;
all that royal prosperity could supply was accumulated upon

him; at home he had peace, and in foreign countries he had honour; what every nation could supply, was poured down before, him. It power be grateful, he was a king; if there be pleasure in knowledge, he was the wisest of mankind; if, wealth can purchase happiness, he had so much gold that sile, wer was little regarded. Over all these advantages presided a mind in the highest degree disposed to magnificence and voluptuousness, so eager in pursuit of gratification that, alas! alm ter every other price had been bid for happiness, religion and virtue were brought to the sale. But after the anxiety of his enquiries, the weariness of his labours, and the loss of his into nocence, he obtained only this conclusion: Thave seen all the works that are done under the Sun: and behold, all is vanity and vexation of spirit.

That this result of Solomon's experience, thus solemnly, bequeathed by him to all generations, may not be transmitted, to us without its proper use, let us diligently confider,

First, In what sense we are to understand, that all is vanity, Secondly, How far the conviction, that all is vanity, ought to influence the conduct of lite.

Thirdly, What confequences the ferious and religious mind may deduce from the polition, that all is vanity

When we examine, first, in what fense we are to undergrand that all is vanity, we must remember that the preacher, is not speaking of seligious practices, or of any actions immediately commanded by God, or directly referred to him a but of such employments as we pursue by choice, and such works as we perform, in hopes of a recompense in the present life a such as flatter the imagination with pleasing scenes and probable increase of temporal selicity of this he determines that all is vanity, and every hour confirms his determination.

The event of all human endeavours is uncertain. He that plants may gather no fruit; he that fows may reap no harvest. Even the most simple operations are liable to miscarriage, from causes which we cannot foresee, and, it we could foresee them, cannot prevent. What can be more vain, than the

confidence of man, when the annual provision made for the support of life is not only exposed to the uncertainty of the weather, and the variation of the sky, but lies at the mercy of the reptiles of the earth, or the insects of the air? The rain and the wind he cannot command; the caterpillar he cannot destroy; and the locust he cannot drive away.

But these effects, which require only the concurrence of natural causes, though they depend little upon human power, are yet made by Providence regular and certain, in comparifor with those extensive and complicated undertakings which must be brought to pass by the agency of man, and which require the union of many understandings, and the co-operation The history of mankind is little else than a of many bands. narrative of defigns which have failed, and hopes that have been disappointed. In all matters of emulation and contest, the success of one implies the defeat of another, and at least half the transaction terminates in milery. And in deligns not directly contrary to the interest of another, and therefore not oppoled either by artifice or violence, it trequently happens that, by negligence or mistake, or unseasonable officiousness; a very hopeful project is brought to nothing.

To find examples of disappointment and uncertainty, we need not raise our thoughts to the interests of nations, nor follow the warrior to the field, or the statesman to the council. The little transactions of private samilies are entangled with perplexities; and the hourly occurrences of common life are filling the world with discontent and complaint. Every man hopes for kindness from his friends, diligence from his fervants, and obedience from his children; yet friends are often untaitablul, servants negligent, and children rebellious. Human wildom has, indeed, exhausted its power in giving rules for the conduct of life, but those rules are themselves but vanities. They are dissincilt to be observed, and, though observed, are uncertain in the effect.

The labours of man are not only uncertain, but imperfect. If we perform what we deligned, we yet do not obtain what

per expected. What appeared great when we desired it, feents little when it is attained; the will in still unsatisfied, and something always remains behind without which the gratistication is incomplete. He that rifes to greatness sands himself in danger is has that obtained richest perceives that he cannot gain esteem. He that is careffed, see interest harking hidder kindstess; and he that he that he own praises; such that he is sistered. Discovent and doubt are always pursuance in gus. Our endeavour end wishout performance; and performance ends without satisfaction.

But, fince this unpertainty and imperfection is the log which our Creator has appointed for us, we are to enjurie,

Secondly, How far the conviction, that all is vanity, ougher to influence the conduct of lite.

Fluman actions may be distinguished into various classes. Some are actions of duty, which can never be sain, because God will reward them. Yet there actions, confidered as terminating in this world, with olven produce versation. It is our duty to admittish the victors, to instruct the ignorant, and relieve the poor; and our admonitions will, fornetimes, produce anger instead of amendment; our instructions will be sometimes befored upon the perverse, the stupid and the instrumive; and our charity will be sometimes misapplied by those that receive it, and, instead of seeding the hungry, will pamper the intemperate; but these disappointments do not make good actions vain, though they show up the luccess depends upon causes on which we have no instruction.

There are likewise assions of necessity; these are often vain and voratious; but such is the order of the world, that they cannot be omitted. He that will get bread must plume and sow; though it is not certain that he who plows and sows shall eat bread. It is appointed, that lite should be suftained by labout; and we must not sink down in sulieness when our industry is permitted to missearry. We shall

often have occasion to remember the sentence denounced by the preacher upon all that is done under the sun; but we must still prosecute our business, confess our imbecility, and turn our eyes upon Him, whose mercy is over all his works, and who, though he humbles our pride, will succour our necessities.

Works of absolute necessity are sew and simple; a very great part of human diligence is laid out in accommodations of cale, or refinements of pleasure; and the surther we pals beyond the boundaries of necessity, the more we lose ourselves in the regions of vanity, and the more we expose ourselves to vexation of spirit. As we extend our pleasures, we multiply our wants. The pain of hunger is eafily appealed; but to furmount the disgust of appetite vitiated by indulgence, all the arts of luxury are required, and all are often vain. When to the enjoyments of lense are superadded the delights of fancy, we form a scheme of happiness that never can be complete, for we can always imagine more than we possels. All focial pleasures put us more or less in the power of others, who fometimes cannot, and fometimes will not pleafe Conversations of argument often end in bitternels of controverly; and conversations of mirth, in petulance and Friendship is violated by interest, or broken by pass fion; and benevolence finde its kindness bestowed on the worthless and ungrateful.

But most certain is the disappointment of him who places his happiness in comparative good, and considers, not what he himself wants, but what others have. The delight of cminence must, by its own nature, be rare, because he that is eminent must have many below him, and, therefore, if we suppose such desires general, as very general they are, the happiness of a few must arise from the misery of many. He that places his delight in the extent of his renown, is, in some degree, at the mercy of every tongue; not only malevolence, but indifference may disturb him; and he may be pained, not only

by those who speak ill, but by those likewise that say not thing.

As every engine of artificial motion, and confifts of more parts, is in more danger of deficience and disorder; so every effect, as it requires the agency of greater numbers, is more likely to fail. Yet what pleasure is granted to man, beyond the groß gratifications of lenfe, common to him with other animals, that does not demand the help of others, and the help of greater numbers as the pleafure is sublimated and enlarged? And, fince fuch is the constitution of things, that whatever can give pleasure can likewise cause uneasiness, there is little hope that uneafiness will be long escaped. Of them, whose offices are necessary to felicity. some will be perverse, and some will be unskillul; some will negligently withhold their contributions, and some will enviously withdraw them. various and opposite directions of the human mind, which divide men into so many different occupations, keep all the inhabitants of the earth perpetually buly; but when it is considered that the business of every man is to counteract the purpose of some other man, it will appear, that universal activity cannot contribute much to universal happiness. those that contend, one multanecessarily be overcome; and he that prevails never has his labour rewarded to his wish, but finds that he has been contending for that which cannot fatisly, and engaged in a contest where even victory is vanity

What then is the influence which the conviction of this unwelcome truth ought to have upon our conduct? It ought to teach us humility, patience, and diffidence. When we confider how little we know of the diffant confequences of our own actions, how little the greatest personal qualities can protect us from missortune, how much all our importance depends upon the savour of others, how uncertainly that savour is bestowed, and how easily it is lost; we shall find that we have very little reason to be proud. That which is most apt to elate the thoughts, height of place and greatness of power.

de the gift of others. No man can, by any natural or intrinsse faculties, maintain himsell in a state of superiority; he is exacted to his place, whatever it be, by the concurrence of shole who are for a time content to be counsed his interiors; he has no sushority in himsell; he is only able to controll some by the help of others. If dependence be a state of humiliation, every man has reason to be humble, for every man is dependent.

But however impleating these considerations may be, howdiver unequal our condition is to all our wishes or conceptions, we are not to admit impatience into our bosonis, or increase the evils of life by vain throbs of discontent. To live in a would where all is vanity, has been decreed by our Creator to be the lot of man, a lot which we cannot alter by marmuring; but may soften by submission.

The confideration of the vanity of all human purpoles and projects, deeply impressed upon the mind, necessarily produces that diffidence in all worldly good, which is necessary to the regulation of our passions and the security of our inno-In a smooth course of prosperity, an unobstructed progression from with to with, while the fuccels of one deben facilitates another, and the opening prospect of life shews pleasures at a distance, to conclude that the passage will be always clear, and that the delights which folicit from far will. when they are attained, fill the foul with enjoyments, must necessarily produce violent defires and eager pursuits, contempt of those that are behind and malignity to those that are before. But the full persuasion that all earthly good is uncertain in the attainment, and unflable in the possession, and the frequent recollection of the flender supports on which we rest, and the dangers which are always hanging over us, will dictate inoffentive modefly and mild benevolence. not raffily treat another with contempt who doubts the duration of his own superiority: he will not refuse assistance to the distressed who supposes that he may quickly need it himfelt.

He that considers how imperselly human wisdom can judge of that which has not been tried, will seldom think any possibilities of advantage worthy of vehement desire. As his hopes are moderate, his endeavours will be calm. He will not fix his fond hopes upon things which he knows to be vanity, but will enjoy this world as one who knows that he does not possels it: and that this is the disposition which becomes our condition, will appear, when we consider.

Thirdly, What consequences the serious and religious mind may draw from the position, that all is vanity.

When the present state of man is considered, when an estimate is made of his hopes, his pleasures, and his posselfions; when his hopes appear to be deceitful, his labours ineffedual, his pleasures unsatisfactory, and his possessions sugitive, it is natural to wish for an abiding city, for a slate more constant and permanent, of which the objects may be more proportioned to our wiffies, and the enjoyments to our capacities; and from this wish it is reasonable to infer, that such a flate is defigned for us by that Infinite Wildom, which, as it does nothing in vain, has not created minds with comprehenfions never to be filled. When revelation is consulted, it appears that fuch a flate is really promised, and that, by the contempt of worldly pleafures, it is to be obtained. We then find that, instead of lamenting the imperfection of earthly things, we have reason to pour out thanks to Him who orders all for our good, that he has made the world fuch as often deceives and often afflichs us : that the charms of interest are not fuch as our frailty is unable to relift, but that we have fuch interruptions of our pursuits, and such languor in our enjoyments, such pains of body and anxieties of mind, as repress defire and weaken temptation: and happy will it be, if we follow the gracious directions of Providence, and determine that no degree of earthly felicity shall be purchased with a crime; if we refolve no longer to bear the chains of fin, to employ all our endeavours upon transitory and imperiest

#### [ 180 ]

plessured, or to divide our thought between the world and heaven; but to bid farewel to sublunary varieties, to endure no longer an unprofitable vexation of spirit, but with pure heart and steady laith to fear God and to keep his commandements, and remember that this is the whole duty of many

#### SERMON XIII.

2 TIMOTHY, chap. iii. part of the 5th verse.

Having a form of godliness, but denying the power thereof,

WHEN St, Paul, in the precepts given to Timothy for his infruction how to regulate and purify the conversation of the first christians, directed him to take care that those men should be avoided as dangerous and pestilent, who, having the form of godliness, denied the power; it is reasonable to believe that he meant, in his direct and immediate intention, to awaken his caution against gross hypocrites; such as may easigly be supposed to have appeared too often in the most early seminaries of christianity; who made an appearance of right-cousiness subservient to worldly interest; and whose conversion, real or pretended, gave them an opportunity of preying upon articles simplicity, by claiming that kindness which the first believers showed to one another; and obtaining benefactions which they did not want, and eating bread for which they did not labour.

To impostors of this kind, the peculiar state of the first christians would naturally expose them. As they were furgounded by exemies, they were glad to find, in any man, the appearance of a friend; as they were wearied with importunate contradiction, they were desirous of an interval of respite by conforting with any one that professed the same opinions and what was still more savourable to such impostors, when they had, by embracing an unpopular and perfecuted religion, divested themselves, in a great degree, of secular interest, they

were likely often to want that vigilance and suspicion which it forced, even upon honest minds, by much commerce with the world and frequent transactions with various characters; and which our divine Master teaches us to practice, when he commands us to join the wisdomtof the serpent with the harmlesses of the dove. The first christians must have been, in the highest degree, zealous to strengthen their faith in themselves, and propagate it in others; and zeal easily spreads the arms and opens the bosom to an adherent, or a proselyte, as to one that adds another suffrage to truth, and strengthens the support of a good cause. Men of this disposition and in this state of life, would easily be enamoured of the sorm of godinness, and not soon discover that the power was wanting. Men naturally think of others like themselves, and therefore a good man is easily persuaded to credit the appearance of virtue.

Hypocrify, however, was not confined to the apollolic All times and all places have produced men that have endeavoured to gain credit by talle pretensions to excellence. and have recommended themselves to kindness or esteem by specious professions, and oftentatious displays of counterfeited It is, however, less necessary now to obviate this kind of fraud by exhortations to caution; for that simplicity which lay open to its operation, is not now very frequently. The hypocrite, in these times, seldom boasts of to be found. He is, for the most part, soon discovered: much success. and when he is once known, the world will not wait for counfel to avoid him, for the good detest, and the bad despise him. He is hated for his attempts, and scorned for his miscarriage.

It may therefore be proper to confider the danger of a form of righteoufness without the power, in a different and secondary sense; and to examine whether, as there are some who by this form deceive others, there are not some, likewise, that deceive themselves; who pacify their consciences with an appearance of piety, and live and die in dangerous tranquillity and delusive considence.

In this enquiry it will be proper to confider, fiell, what may be understood by the form of godliness, as distinct from the nower.

Secondly. What is that power of godliness, without which the form is delective and unavailing.

Thirdly, How lar it is necessary to the christian life, that the form and power should sublist together.

Let it therefore be first considered, what may be easily and naturally understood by the form of godliness as distinct from the power.

By the form of godliness, may be properly understood, not only a specious practice of religious duties, exhibited to public notice, but all external acts of worship, all rites and ceremonies, all stated observances, and all compliance with temporary and local injunctions and regularities.

The religion of the Jews, from the time of Moses, comprised a great number of burthensome ceremonies, required by God for reasons which perhaps human wisdom has never fully discovered. Of these ceremonies, however, some were typically representative of the christian institution; and some, by keeping them distinct, by dissimilitude of customs, from the nations that surrounded them, had a tendency to secure them from the influence of ill example, and preserve them from the contagion of idolatry.

To the use of observances, thus important, they were confined by the strongest obligations. They were indeed external asts, but they were instituted by divine authority; they were not to be considered merely as instrumental and expedient, as means which might be omitted, if their ends were secured; they were positively enjoined by the supreme ligislator, and were not lest to choice or discretion, or secular laws; to the will of the powerful, or the judgment of the prudent.

Yet even these facred rites might be punctually performed, without making the performer acceptable to God; the blood of bulls and of goats might be poured out in vain, if the defires were not regulated, or the passions subdued. The sacri-

but an abomination. Forgivenels was obtained, not by incense, but by repentance; the offender was required to rend his heart, and not his garment; a contrite and a broken heart was the oblation which the supreme Judge did not despite.

So much was the moral law exalted above all ceremonial institutions, even in that dispensation by which so many ceremonies were commanded, that those two parts of duty were distinguished by the appellations of body and spirit. As the body, separated from the spirit, is a mass, lifeless, motionless, and useless; so the external practice of ritual observances was inessedual and vain, an action without a meanting, a labour by which nothing was produced. As the spirit puts the limbs into motion, and directs their action to an end, so justice and mercy gave energy to ceremonies, made the obtaining grateful, and the worshipper accepted.

The prolessors of Christianity have few ceremonies indispensably enjoined them. Their religion teaches them to worthip God, not with local or temporary ceremonies, but in spirit and in truth; that is, with internal purity, and moral righteousness. For spirit, in this sense, seems to be opposed to the body of external rites; and truth is known to signify, in the biblical language, the sum of those duties which we ove to one onother.

Yet such are the temptations of interest and pleasure, and so prevalent is the desire of enjoying at once the pleasures of sin for a leason, and the hopes of happiness to eternity, that even the christian religion has been deprayed by artificial modes of piety, and succedaneous practices of reconciliation. Men have ever been persuaded, that by doing something to which they think themselves not obliged, they may purchase an exemption from such duties as they find themselves inclined to violate; that they may commute with Leaven for a temporal fine, and make rigour atone for relaxity.

In ages and countries, in which ignorance has produced and nourished superstition, many artifices have been invent-

ed of practiting piety without virtue, and repentance without dimendment. The devotion of our blind fore-fathers confifted, for a great part, in rigorous auflerities, laborious pilgrimages, and gloomy retirement; and that which now prevails, in the darker provinces of the popish world, exhausts its power in absurd veneration for some particular saint, expressed too often by honours paid to his image, or in a stated number of prayers, intered with very little attention, and very frequently with little understanding.

Some of these practices may be perhaps justly imputed to the groffness of a people, scarcely capable of worthin purely intellectual; to the necessity of complying with the weakness of men, who must be taught their duty by material images, and sensible impressions. This plea, however, will avail but little, in desence of abuses not only permitted, but encouraged by pertinatious vindications, and sections miracites.

It is apparent that the Romish Clergy have attributed too much efficacy to pious donations and charitable establishments; and that they have made liberality to the church, and bounty to the poor, equivalent to the whole system of our dusty to God, and to our neighbour.

Yet nothing can be more repugnant to the general tenour of the Evangelical Revelation, than an opinion that pardon may be bought, and guilt effaced, by a flipulated expiation. We naturally eaten the pleafures of the present hour, and gratify the calls of the reigning passion: and what shall hinder the man of violence from outrage and mischief, or restrain the pursues of interest from traud and circumvention, when they are told, that after a life passed in disturbing the peace of life, and violating the security of possession, they may die at last in peace, by founding an alms-house, without the agonies of deep contrition?

But error and corruption are often to be found where there are neither Jews nor Papists.—Let us not look upon the deprayity of others with triumph, nor censure it with bitter-

hela—Every lest may find, in its own sollowers, those who have the form of goddiness, without the power; every many if he examines his own condust, without intention to be his own flatterer, may, to a certain degree, find it in himself.

To give the heart to God, and to give the whole heart, is very difficult; the last, the great effort of long labour, servent prayer, and diligent meditation.—Many resolutions are made, and many relapses samented; and many conflicts with our own desires, with the powers of this world, and the powers of darkness must be sustained, before the will of man is made wholly obedient to the will of God.

In the mean time, we are willing to find fome way to Heaven, less difficult and less obstructed, to keep our hopes alive by faint endeavours, and to lull our consciences by such expedients as we may easily practice. Not yet resolved to live wholly to the world, we do something in recompense for that which we neglect, and

telign fomething that we may keep the reft-

To be firstly religious is difficult, but we may be xealously religious at little expense.—By expressing on all occasions our detestation of herely and popery, and all other errors, we erest ourselves into champions for truth, without much hazard of trouble.—The hopes of zeal are not wholly groundless. Indifference in questions of importance is no amiable quality. He that is warm for truth, and seatless in its defence, performs one of the duties of a good man; he strengthens his own conviction, and guards others from delusion; but seadiness of belief, and boldness of protession, are yet only part of the form of goddiness, which may be attained by shose who deny the power.

As almost every man is, by nature or by accident, exposed to danger from particular temptations, and disposed to some vices more than to others; so all are, either by disposition of mind or the circumstances of life, inclined or impelled to some laudable practices. Of this happy tendency it is come mon to take advantage, by pushing the savourite or the come

venient virtue to its utmost extent, and to lose all sense of deactioncy in the perpetual contemplation of some single excellence.

Thus some please themselves with a constant regularity of life, and decency of behaviour,—they hear thmselves commended, and superadd their own approbation. They know, or might know, that they have secret faults; but, as they are not open to accusation, they are not inquisitive to their own disquiet; they are satisfied that they do not corrupt others, and that the world will not be worse by their example.

Some are punctual in the attendance on public worship, and perhaps in the performance of private devotion. These they know to be great duties, and resolve not to neglect them. It is right they go so far; and with so much that is right they are satisfied. They are diligent in adoration, but desective in obedience.

Such men are often not hypocrites; the virtues which they practice arise from their principles. The man of regularity really hopes that he shall recommend goodness to those that know him. The frequenter of the church really hopes to propitiate his Creator. Their religion is sincere; what is reprehensible is, that it is partial, that the heart is yet not purished, and that yet many inordinate desires remain not only unsubdued, but unsuspected, under the splendid cover of some specious practice, with which the mind delights itself too much to take a rigorous survey of its own motions.

In condemnation of those who presume to hope, that the performance of one duty will obtain excuse for the violation of others, it is affirmed by St. James, that the who breaks one commandment is guilty of all; and he desends his position by observing, that they are all delivered by the same authority.

His meaning is not, that all crimes are equal, or that in any one crime all others are involved that the law of God is to be obeyed with complete and unreferved submissions.

be justified by his observation of all the rea, linears the whole is of divine authority, every breach wilful repented, is an act of rebellion against omnipotence.

One of the artifices, by which men, thus defectively religious deceive themselves, each at of comparing their own behaviour with that of men benly vicious, and generally negligent; and inferring that themselves are good, because they suppose that they see others works. The account of the Pharisee and publican may shew us that, in rating our own merit, we are in danger of mistake. But though the estimate should be right it is still to be remembered, that he who is not worst, may yet fall far below what will be required. Our rule of duty is not the virtue of men, but the law of God, from which alone we can learn what will be required.

Secondly, What is that power of godliness without which the form is delective and unavailing?

The power of godfiness is contained in the love of God and of our neighbour; in that furn of migion, in which, as we are told by the Saviour of the world, the law and the prophets are comprised. The love of God will engage us to trust in his protestion, to acquiesce in his dispensations, to keep his laws, to meditate on his perfection, and to declare our confidence and submission by prosound and frequent adoration, to impress his glory on our minds by fongs of praise, to inflame our gratitude by acts of thankigiving, to strengthen our faith, and exalt our hope, by pious meditations, and to implore his protection of our imbecility, and his affiftance of our trailty, by humble supplication; and when we love God with the whole heart, the power of godline's will be shewn by fleadiness in temptation, by patience in affliction, by faith in the divine promises, by perpetual dread of sin, by continual aspirations after higher degrees of holiness, and contempt of the pains and pleasures of the world, when they obstruct the progress of religious excellence.

The power of godliness, as it is exerted in the love of our

neighbout; appears in the exact and punctual discharge of all the relative and social duties.) He, whom this power actuates and directs, will regulate his conduct, so as neither to do injury, nor willingly to give offence. He will neither be a tyrannical governor, nor a seditious subject; neither a cruel parent, nor a disobedient son; neither an oppressive master, nor an eye-servant. But he will not stop at negative goodness, nor rest in the mere sorbearance of evil; he will search out occasions of beneficence, and extend his care to those who have no other claim to his attention than the great community of relation to the universal Father of markind. To enumerate the various modes of charity, which true godliness may suggestion it is difficult, would be useless. They are as extensive as want, and as various as misery.

We must however remember, that where the form of godline's appears, we must not always suppose the power to be wanting; because its influence is not universal and complete; nor think every magato be avoided, in whom we discover either defective virtues, or actual faults. The power subfish in him who is contending with corruption, though he has not get entirely fubdued it. He who falleth feven times a day may yet, by the mercy of God, be numbered among the just; the purest human virtue has much tæculence. flights of the foul loar not beyond the clouds and vapours of the earth; the greatest attainments are very imperfect; and he who is most advanced in excellence was once in a lower flate, and in that lower state was yet worthy of love and reverence. One instance of the power of godliness is readiness to help the weak and comfort the fallen, to look with compaffron upon the frail, to rekindle those whose ardour is cooling, and to recal those who, by inadvertency, or under the influence of strong temptation, have wandered from the right way ; and to favour all them who mean well and wish to be better. though their meaning and their wishes have not yet fully reformed their lives.

There is likewise danger left, in the pursuit of the power

of godliness, too little regard be paid to the form, and left the sensure of hypocrify be too hastily passed, and a life apparently regular and serious be considered as an artifice to conceal bad purposes and secret views.

That this opinion, which fome are very willing to indulge, may not prevail fo as to discountemnace the protession of givery, we are to consider,

Thirdly, how far it is necessary to the Christian life, that the form and power of goddiness should subside together.

It may be with great reason affirmed, that though these may be the appearance of godliness without the reality, there can hardly be the reality without the appearance. Part of the duties of a Christian are necessarily public. Sare to wonship God in the congregation; we are to make open project One of the great duties of man, tion of our hope and laith. as a focial being, is, to let his light thine before men, to inflruct by the prevalence of his example, and, as far as his insuance extends, to propagate goodness and enforce truth. No man is to boast of his own excellence, for this reason among sothers; that arrogance will make excellence less amiable, and less attractive of imitation. No man is to conceal his reversince of religion, or his seal for truth and right, because, by thrinking from the notice of mankind, he betrays distidence of the cause which he wishes to maintain. \ He, whose piety begins and ends in zeal for opinions, and in clamour against those who differ from him, is certainly yet without the vital energy of religion; but, if his opinions regulate his conduct, he may with great justice shew his servour, having already thewn his fincerity. He that worships God in public, and offends him by fecret vices, if he means to make the good part of his conduct balance the bad, is to be confused and infirmaed; it he means to gain the applause of men, and to make outward fanctity an inflrument of mischiel, he is to be desefted and avoided; but he that really endeavours to obey God in secret, neglects part of his duty, if he omits the solemnities of public worthip. The form of godliness, as it confids in

## [ 141 ]

the rites of religion, is the inftrument given us by God for the acquisition of the power; the means as well as the end are prescribed; nor can be expect the help of grace, or the divine approbation, who seeks them by any other method than that which Infinite Wisdom has condescended to appoint.

### SERMON XIV.

#### ISAIAH, CHAP. EXVI. VER. 3.

Thou will keep him in perfect peace, whose mind is flayed on thee, because he trusteth in thee.

IN order to the explication of this text, or the enforcement of the precept implied in it, there seems to be no necessary, either of proving that all men are desirous of happiness, or that their desire, for the most part, fails of being gratisted. Every man is conscious that he neither performs nor forbears any thing upon any other motive than the prospect either of an immediate gratistication or a distant reward; that whether he complies with temptation, or repels it, he is still influenced by the same general regard to his own felicity; but that when he yields to the solicitation of his appetite, or the impulse of his passions, he is overborne by the prevalence of the object before him; and when he adheres to his duty, in opposition to his present interest, he is influenced by the hopes of suture happiness.

That almost every man is disappointed in his search after happiness, is apparent from the clamorous complaints which are always to be heard; from the restless discontent, which is hourly to be observed and from the incessant pursuit of new objects, which employ almost every moment of every man's life. For a desire of change is a sufficient proof that we are distatisfied with our present state; and evidently shews that we feel some pain which we desire to avoid, or miss some enjoyment which we wish to possess.

The true cause of this general disgust, an unprejudiced and attentive survey of the world will not long sail of discovering. It will easily appear that men sail to gain what they so much desire, because they seek it where it is not to be found, because they suffer themselves to be dazzled by specious appearances, resign themselves up to the direction of their passions, and, when one pursuit has sailed of affording them that satisfaction which they expected from it, apply themselves with the same ardoor to another equally unprofitable, and waste their lives in successive delusions, in idle schemes of imaginary enjoyment, in the chace of shadows which sleet before them, and in attempts to grass a bubble, which, however it may attract the eye by the brightness of its colour, is neither solid nor lassing, but owes its beauty only to its distance, and is no sooner touched than it the pears.

As men differ in age or disposition, they are exposed to different delusions in this important enquiry. The young and the gay imagine happiness to consist in show, in merriment and noise, or in a constant succession of amusements, or in the gratification of their appetites, and the frequent repetition of sensual pleasures. Instead of sounding happiness on the folid basis of reason and reslection, they raise an airy fabrick of momentary satisfaction, which is perpetually decaying, and perpetually to be repaired. They pleafe themselves, not with thinking juftly, but with avoiding to think at all, with a suspence of all the operations of their intellectual faculties which defends them from remembrance of the past or anticipation of the future. They lull themselves in an enervate and cowardly diffipation, and instead of being happy, are only indolent.

That this state is not a state of bappiness, that it affords no real satisfaction to a reasonable mind, those who appear most engaged in it will, in their calmest moments, readily contess. Those among them on whom Providence has bestowed such abilities as are necessary to the discovery of truth, and the distinction of appearance from reality. (for, among the negligent

and voluptuous, men of this character are fometimes to be found.) have always owned, that their felicity is like that of a deep fleep, from which they wake to care and fortow; or of a pleafing dream, that affords them fliore gratifications, of which the day deprives them; and that their pleafures only differ from the phantoms of the night in this, that they leave belind them the pangs of guilt, with the vexation of disappointment.

It may be imagined that reasonable beings must quickly discover how little such satisfactions are adapted to their nature, and how necessary it is to change their measures, in order to the attainment of that happiness which they desire; and in effect, it is generally found that sew, except the young and unexperienced, content themselves with seminal gratifications, and that men, as they advance in years, and improve their judgment by observation, always confess, by the afteration of their condust, that mere voluptuousness is not sufficient to fill the desires of the human mind.

في ا

They, therefore, strake off the lethargy of sloth, forfake diversion and amusements, and engage in the pursuit of riches or of honoors. They employ those hours, which were frequently suffered to pals away unnumbered and unheaded, with the most folicitous application and the most vigilant attention. They are no longer negligent of all that passes about them, no more careless of the opinions of mankind, or unconcerned with regard to censure or applause. They become anxious less any opportunity should be lost of improving their fortunes, and less they should give any occasion to reports which may injure their reputation and obstruct their advancement. They constrain their words, their actions and their looks, to obtain popularity, because they consider popularity as necessary to grandeur, and grandeur as the foundation of happiness.

But a very short experience teaches, what might indeed have been without the trial discovered by reslection, that perfect peace, that peace which is so much desired, is not to be found in weakh and greatness.\ He that succeeds in his sirst

enumpts is animated to new deligns; new deligns produce new anxieties and new opposition; and though the fecond attempt should be equally happy, it will be found, as soon as the transports of novelty have couled, as soon as custom has made elevation familiar, that peace is yet to be sought, and that new measures must be taken for the attainment of that tranquillity, for which it is the nature of man to languish, and the want of which is ill supplied by heavy and consultion, by pemp and variety.

The same disposition which inclines any man to raise himfelf to a superiority over others, will naturally excite the same
desires of greater elevation while he sees any superior to himfelf. There is, therefore, no hope that, by pursuing greatness,
any man can be happy, or, at least, this happiness must be
confined to one, because only one can be without a superior;
and that one must surely feel his enjoyments very frequently
disturbed, when he remembers by how many the station which
he possesses is envied and coveted; when he reslects how
castly his possessions may be taken from him, perhaps by the
same arts by which he attained them; how quickly the affections of the people may, by artful representations of his conduct, be alienated from him; or how easily he may be destroyed by violence, and what numbers ambition or revenge
may invite to destroy him.

There is at least one confideration which must embitter the life of him who places his happiness in his present state; a confideration that cannot be suppressed by any aristis sophistics which the appetites of the senses are always ready to suggest, and which it might be imagined not always possible to avoid in the most rapid whirl of pleasure, or the most sheet samults of employment. As it is impossible for any man not to know, it they be well imagined distincts for him not to remember, that, however surrounded by his dependents, however caressed by his pattons, however applauded by his flatterers, or esteemed by his friends, he must one day die; that,

though he stroud have reason to imagine himself secured from any sudden diminution of his wealth, or any violent precipitation from his rank or power, yet they must soon be taken away by a force not to be resisted or escaped. He cannot but sumetimes think, when he surveys his acquisitions, or counts his followers, that this night his soul may be required of him, and that he had applicated himself for the attainment of that which he cannot hope to keep long, and which, if it could make him happy while he enjoys it, is yet of very little value, because the enjoyment must be very short.

The story of the great Eastern monarch, who, when he furveyed his innumerable army from an eminence, wept at the reflection, that, in less than a hundred years not one of all that multitude would remain, has been often mentioned, because the particular circumstances in which that remark occurred naturally claim the thought and firike the imagination; but every man that places his happinels in external objects, may every day, with equal propriety, make the same observations. Though he does not lead armies or govern kingdoms, he may reflect, whenever he finds his heart swelling with any present advantage, that he must, in a very short time, lose what he se much esteems; that in a year, a month, a day, or an hour, he may be firuck out from the book of life, and placed in a flate where wealth or honour shall have no residence, and where all those distinctions shall be forever obliterated, which now engrole his thoughts and exalt his pride.

This reflection will furely be fufficient to hinder that peace, which all terrestrial enjoyments can afford, from being perfect. It furely will soon disperse those meteors of happiness that glitter in the eyes only of the thoughtless and supine, and awaken him to a serious and rational enquiry, where real bappiness is to be found; by what means man, whom the great Greator cannot be supposed to have formed without the powers of obtaining happiness, may set himself free, from the shackless of anxiety with which he is incumbered; may throw off the load of terror which oppresses him, and liberate

himfelf from those horrors which the approach of ideath perpetually excites.

This he will immediately find only to be accomplished by facuring to himself the protection of a Being mighty to save ; a Being whose assistance may be extended equally to all parts. of his duration, who can equally defend him in the time ofdanger, and of fecurity; in the tumults of the day, and thou privacy of the night; in the time of tribulation, and in a sime frequently more fatal, the time of wealth; and in the hour of death, and in the day of judgment. And when he has found the necessity of this sovereign protector, and humbled himself with a due conviction of his own impotence, he may at late find the only comfort which this life can afford him, by romembering, that this great, this unbounded Being has informed ed us of the terms on which perfect peace is to be obtained. and has promifed it to those whose mind is flaved on him: a Sifice, therefore, the purfuit of perfect peace is the West, the necessary, the inevitable business of human life : since this peace is to be attained by trust in God, and by that only a Since, without this, every flate is miferable, and the voluntue ous and the buly are equally disappointed; what can be more wieful than feriously to enquire.

First, What is meant by this trust in God, to which perfort peace is promised? and,

Secondly, By what means this trust in God is to be atwarded?

First, therefore, let us examine what is meant by this grust in God, to which perfect peace is promised.

Trust, when it is used on common occasions, implies a kind of refignation to the honesty or abilities of another. Thus we trust a physician, when we obey his directions without knowing or asking the particular reasons, for the methods which he enjoins. Thus we trust a friend when we commiss our affairs to his management, without disturbing ourselves with any care concerning them. Thus we grust a parton, when we settle him with diligence, without any other curtain-

ty of reward than what our confidence in his generolly affords us. These inflances may give us some idea of that trust which we ought to repose in God, but an idea, in the utmost degree, gross and inadequate. Our trust in God ought to differ trothevery other trust, as infinity differs from an atom. It ought to trustlend every other degree of confidence, so its object in exulted above every degree of created excellence.

But in our prefent flate, is is impossible to passive thing drany other duty, in perfection. We cannot wall God as we ought, because we cannot know him as we ought. We know, however, the he is infinite in wildom, in power, and its goodness: that therefore he dright the happiness of all his occurre, that he camporbie know the proper means by which thin and may be obtained, and that in the afe of these means at he cannot be millaken; because he is almighty.

Me know, therefore that these whom he shall process canmotion in daught: a that I neither the malice of wicked mean,
nor of wither angels, cam really injure them, but that perforcomma and clanger shall conly charaft them for a time, and
death so them free from disappointment and from pain. He
therefore that trusts in God will no longer be distracted in
his search after happiness, for he will find in is a firm belief
that whatever evils are suffered to be all him will smally contribund to his selicity; and that by saying his mind upon the
Lord, he will be kept in peace.

Bot God has promised this promedion, not indiscriminately to all, but to those only who endeavour to obtain it by complying with the conditions which he has prescribed; nor is the perfect peace; which the confidence of divine support confers, to be hoped for but by shofe who have obtained a well-grounded strift in him; and, by the practice of his precepts; have flayed their minds upon him: It is therefore no ceffary to enquire, (2.22)

Secondly, how this trust is to be attained?

That there is a fullations and precipitate trul in God, a

with which, and derive tounded upon God's promises, will in the End be disappointed; we are informed by the darwing hinself. "Aliny will say note me in that day, Lordy "Tord, have we not prophetical in thy name it and in thy "Interested our devils." and in thy name have done inputy "wonderful works? and shee I will prodes unto them, I will be be known to Depart from me, ye that work iniquity."

Those who contented themselves with believing and profelling christianity, without obeying its precupio; those who,
while they could the great Au hor of our taith the Loid, their
Matter and their God, and yet neglect his precupitant quick
miquity; will be rejetted by him at the last day, as those whom
the has never known; those to whom his vegete never man
extended; and, indiwith standing the considence with which
they may claim the intercession, will not be distinguished by,
any favour from wither linners.

"Pull in God, that wull'to which perfest peace is promised, is to be obtained only by rebeniance, obedience and for: plication; for By mourishing in our own hearts a confused Rea of the goodness of God, of a firm persuation that we are in a flate of grace; by which some have been deceived, as it may be feared, to their own destruction. We are not to imagine ourselves sale, only because we are not harassed with those anxieties about our luture flate with which others are tormented, but which are to tar from being proofs of reprobation, that, though they are often missaken by those that languish under them, they are more frequently evidences of piety and a fincere and servent defire of pleasing God. < We are not to imagine that God approves us because he does not afflict us; nor, on the other hand, to persuade ourselves too hashily that he affliëls us because he loves us. We are, without expelling any extraordinary effusions of light, to examine our actions by the great and unchangeable rules of revelation and reason, to do to others as we would that they should do to us, and to love God with all our heart, and to express that love by keeping his commandments.

# [ 159 ]

He that hopes to find peace by trufting God, must obey him; and when he has at any time failed in his obedience, which amongst the best men will be very frequent, he must endeavour to reconcile God to him by repentance. He may then find another occasion of exercising his trust, by affuring himself, that, when the wicked for sakes his way, and the uniformation man his thoughts, and returns unto the Lord, he will have mercy upon him, and abundantly pardon.

This constant and devout practice is both the effect and cause of considence in God. He will naturally pour out his supplications to the Supreme Being who trusts in him for assistance and protestion; and he who, with proper servour and humility, prostrates himself before God, will always rise with an increase of holy considence. By meditating on his own weakness, he will hourly receive new conviction of the nerestity of soliciting the savour of his Creator; and by recolutioning his premises, will consist himself in the hope of obtaining what he desires, and if, to secure these promises, he steadily practises the duties on which they depend, he will soon find his mind stayed on God, and be kept in pertest peace, because he trusteth in him.

## SERMON XV.

job, xiv. i.

. Man that is born of a Woman, is of few days and full of trouble:

THE polition, contained in this fentence, neither requires nor admits proof or illustration; being too evident to be denied, and too clear to be mistaken. That life is of short continuance, and is disquieted by many molestations, every man knows and every man feels; and the complaint, attributed to Job, in the history that is supposed to be the oldest book of which mankind is in possession, has been continued and will-be continued through all human generations with endless repetitions.

But truth does not always operate in proportion to its reception. What has been always known, and very often faid, as it impresses the mind with new images, excites no attention, and is suffered to lie unheeded in the memory. Truth, possess without the labour of investigation, like many of the general conveniences of life, loses its estimation by its easiness of access; nor is it always sufficiently remembered, that the most valuable things are those which are most plentifully bestowed.

To confider the shortness or misery of life, is not an employment to which the mind recurs for solace or diversion; or to which it is invited by any hope of immediate delight. It is one of those intellectual medicines, of which the nauseous effence often obstructs the benefit, and which the sastidious mess of nature prompts us to refuse. But we are told by Solomon that there is a time not only to laugh, but a time to weep,

and that it is good fometimes to enter into the house of mourn. ing. Many things which are not pleafant may be falutary a and among them is the just estimate of human life, which may be made by all with advantage, though by few, very few, with delight. As it is the business of a traveller to view the way before him, whatever dangers may threaten, or difficulties obstruct him, and however void may be the prospect of elegance or pleasure; it is our duty, in the pilgrimage of life. to proceed with our eyes open, and to see our state; not as hope or lancy may delineate it, but as it has been in reality appointed by Divine Providence, From errors to which, after most diligent examination, the frailty of our understandings may fometimes expose us, we may reasonably hope that he, who knows whereof we are made, will fuffer no irremediable evil to follow; but it would be unreaforable to expect that the same indulgence shall be extended to voluntary ignorance, or that we shall not suffer by those delusions to which we religa ourselves by idleness or choice.

Nothing but daily experience could make it credible thee we should see the daily descent into the grave of those whom we love or fear, admire or detest; that we should see one generation past, and another passing, see possessions daily changing their owners, and the world, at very short intervals, altering its appearance, and yet should want to be reminded that site is short; or that we should, wherever we turn our eyes, find misfortune and distress, and have our ears daily filled with the lamentations of misery; that we should often seel pain and sickness, disappointments and privations, and yet, at every vespiration of momentary case, or gleam of sugitive and uncertain joy, be elated beyond the true sense of our condition, and need the voice of salurary admonition to make us remember that life is misterable.

But fince the mind is olways of itfell thrinking from difagracable, images, it is fometimes necessary to recal them; and it may contribute to the repression of many unreasonable the fires, and, the prevention of many faults and follies, if we are quently and attentively consider. First, That man born of a woman is of few days. And, Secondly, That man born of a woman is full of trouble.

As this changeable and uncertain life is only the passage to an immutable state, and endless duration of happiness or misery; it ought never to be absent from our thoughts, that man born of a woman is of few days.

The business of life is to work out our salvation; and the days are sew in which provision must be made for eternity. We all stand upon the brink of the grave; of that state in which there is no repentance. He, whose life is extended to its utmost natural boundaries, can live but a little while; and that he shall be one of those, who are comparatively said to live long, no man can tell. Our days are not only sew, but uncertain. The utmost that can be hoped, is little; and of that little, the greater part is denied to the majority of mankind.

Our time is short, and our work is great; it is, therefore, with the kindest earnestness enjoined by the apostle, that we use all diligence to make our calling and election sure. But to an impartial furveyor of the ways of men, will it appear that the apossle's summons has been heard or regarded? Let the most candid and charitable observer take cognizance of the general practice of the world, and what can be discovered but gay thoughtlefinels, or fordid industry? It feems that to fecure their calling and election is the care of few. Of the greater part it may be faid that God is not in their thoughts. One forgets him in his business, another in his amusements; one in eager enjoyment of to-day, another in folicitous contrivance for to-morrow. Some die amidst the gratifications of luxury, and some in the tumults of contests undecided, and Warnings are multiplied, but withpurposes uncompleted. out notice. Wisdom crieth in the streets, but is rarely beard,

Among those that live thus wholly occupied by present things, there are some in whom all sense of religion seems extinct or dormant; who acquiesce in their own modes of life, and never look forward into futurity, but gratify themselves within their own accossomed circle of amusements, or limit their thoughts by the attainment of their present pursuit; and, without suffering themselves to be interrupted by the unwelcome thoughts of death and judgment, congratulate themselves on their prudence or selicity, and rest satisfied with what the world can afford them not that they doubt, but lorget a suffere state; not that they disbelieve their own immortality, but that they never consider it.

To there men it is furely proper to represent the shortness of life, and to remind them that human acquisitions and enjoyments are of few days; and that, whatever value may be assigned them by perverted opinions, they certainly want durability; that the sabric of terrestrial happiness has no foundation that can long support it; that every hour, however enlivened by gaiety, or dignified by splendour, is a part subdusted from the sum of life; that age advances alike upon the negligent and anxious; and that every moment of delight makes delight the shorter.

It reason forbids us to fix our hearts upon things which we are not certain of retaining, we violate a prohibition still stronger, when we suffer ourselves to place our happiness in that which must certainly be lost; yet such is all that this world affords us. Pleasures and honours must quickly perish, because life itself must soon be at an end.

But if it be folly to delight in advantages of uncertain tenure and short continuance, how great is the folly of preferring them to permanent and perpetual good! The man whole whole attention converges to this world, even if we suppose all his attempts prosperous, and all his wishes granted, gains only empty pleasure, which he cannot keep, at the cost of eternal happiness, which, it now neglected, he can never gain.

Let fuch men, therefore, seriously restell, that man born of a woman is of few days: that he cometh forth like a flower, and is cut down: he fleeth also as a shadow, and continueth not.

Others' there are on whom the interests of life have very firong hold, who relax their thoughts by pleasure, or enchang them by attention to wealth or power; and yet feel, with forcible conviction, the importance of futurity; in whole breafts pious intentions are often budding, though they are quickly nipped by fecular defires. Such men fuffer frequent diffurbance from the remonstrances of reason and the reproaches of conscience, and do not set reason or conscience at defiance, but endeavour to pacify them with affuafive promifes of repentance and amendment. They know that their present state is dangerous, and therefore withdraw from it to a fancied tuturity, in which whatever is crooked is to be made straight; in which temptations are to be rejeftled, and passions to be conquered; in which wildom and piety are to regulate the day; in which every hour shall have its proper duty. The morning shall awake beneficence, and the evening still the Toul in gratitude and devotion.

Purpoles like thele are often formed, and often forgotten. When remorfe and folitude press hard upon the mind, they afford a temporary reluge which, like other shelters from a florm, is forfaken when the calm returns. The delign of amendment is never dismissed, but it refts in the bosom without effect. The time convenient for lo great a change of conduct is not yet come. There are hindrances which another year will remove; there are helps which some near event will supply. Day rifes after day, and one year follows another, and produces nothing but refolutions without effect, and felf-reproach without reformation. "The time dellined for a new life lapfes in filence s'another time is fixed, and another lapses; but the same train of delusion still continues. He that fees his danger, doubts not his power of estaping it; and, though he has deceived himlest athousand times, loses little of The indignation excited by the pall his own confidence. will, he thinks, secure him from any future failure. He retires to confirm his thoughts by meditation, and feels fentiments of piety powerful within him. He ventures again into the fiream of life, and finds himself again , carried away by the current.

That to such men, the sease of their danger may not be asseless; that they may no longer trifle with their own sonviction; it is necessary to remind them, that men is of sew days; that the life allotted to human beings is short, and, while they shand still in idle suspense, is growing always shorter; that as this little time is spent well or ill, their whole surpre exists ence will be happy or miserable; that he who beging the great work of his salvation early, has employment adequate to all his powers; and that he who has delayed it, can hope to accomplish it only by delaying it no longer.

To him who turns his thoughts late to the duties of religion, the time is not only shorter, but the work is greater. The more sin has prevailed, with the more difficulty is its dominion resisted. Habits are formed by repeated acts, and therefore old habits are always strongest. The mode of life to which we have been accustomed, and which has entwined itself with all our thoughts and actions, is not quitted but with much difficulty. The want of those vanities which have hitherto filled the day, is not easily supplied. Accustomed pleasures rush upon the imagination; the passions clamour for their usual gratifications; and sin, though resolutely shake off, will struggle to regain its sormer hold.

To overcome all these difficulties, and overcome they must be, who can tell what time will be sufficient. To dishurthen the conscience, to reclaim the desires, to combat sensitive, and repress vanity, is not the work of an hour or of a day. Many constitts must be endured, many falls recovered, and many temptations repelled. The arts of the enemy must be counteracted, and the deceitfulness of our own bearts determed by stoody and persevering vigilance.

But how much more dreadful does the danger of delay appear, when it is confidered, that not only life is every day thorter, and the work of reformation every day greater, but that firength is every day less! It is not only comparatively

the was fine food continuence of bad habits, but, if the body, leftered by the long continuence of bad habits, but, if the pody, leftered by the long continuence of bad habits, but, if the pody, leftered by the long continuence of bad habits, but, if the leftered by the long continuence of bad habits, but, if the leftered by the long continuence of bad habits, but, if the leftered by the long continuence of bad habits, but, if the

Que equidetation surrecought to be decay impossed that an energy diagnost pad distance in the peniess of five and the deliver of a new life, when they arify in the mind, are no becreen which wind enriched by the merciful feature, as called his his enriches, to be an adapt interest of the merciful features and sometimes in a new fire, after which when the mentions are fire minds of the merciful features in which when the mention and the purified by despitation. The above the penies of the minds in the minds of the property of the penies of the mention of the property of the penies of the mention of the property of the mention of the penies of the penies

The motives to religious nigilance and diligence in our during which are afforded by farious meditation on the florence of life, will receive affiliants from the view of its mile-rate and we are therefore to recommen.

. Secondly, That man horn of a woman is full of trouble.

The immediate effect of the pumerous calquities with which buman pature is threatened, or afflicted, is to direct our delires to a better state. When we know that we are on executive which sannot be remedied, but contains no good which cannot be taken topen us; that pain lies in amboth behind pleasure, and ministrume behind success; that we have bodies subject, so immunerable maladies, and minds liable to end to persurbations; that our knowledge often gives us pain, by presenting to our wishes such telicity as is beyond our reach, and our ignorance is such that we often pursue, with eagerness, what nither we cannot arrain, or what, if we could attain it, disappoints our hopes; that is the dead calm of solitude

we are infufficient to our own contentment, and that, when weariness of ourselves impels us to society, we are often ill received; when we perceive that small offences may raise enemies, but that great benefits will not always gain us triends; when we find ourselves courted by interest, and forsken by ingratitude; when those who love us fall daily into the grave, and we see ourselves considered as aliens and strangers by the rising generation; it seems that we must by necessity turn our thoughts to another life, where, to those who are well prepared for their departure, there will no longer be pain or forrow.

Of the troubles incident to mankind, every one is belt acquainted with his own there. The mileries of others may attract, but his own force his attention if and as man is not affilled but for good purpotes, that attention, if well regulated, will contribute to purity his bears.

We are taught in the history of Adam's fall, that trouble was the confequence of fin, and that milery came into the world by disobedience to the divineday. Sin and vexation are still so closely united, that he who traces his troubles to their source will commonly find that his faults have produced them; and he is then to confider his sufferings as the mild admonitions of his heavenly Father, by which he is summoned to timely penitence. He is so far from having any reason to repine, that he may draw comfortable hopes of pardon and acceptance, and may say, with the highest reason, It is good for me that I have been afficiled.

It is, however, possible that trouble may, fometimes, be the consequence of virtue. In times of persecution this has often happened. Consesses of the truth have been punished by exile, imprisonment, torthres and death. The suthful have been driven from place to place, and those have madered about in sheep-shint and goat skins, of whom the world was not worthy. Heb. xi. 37.

Of such violence Providence has now removed us from the danger; but it is still possible that integrity may raise enemies, and that a resolute adherence to the right may not always be without danger. But evils of this kind bring their confolation with them; and their natural effect is to raife the eye and thoughts to him who certainly judges right; and to excite ardent defires of that state where innocence and happiness shall always be united.

When we have leifure from our own cares to cast our eyes about us, and behold the whole creation groaning in misery, we must be careful that our judgment is not presumptious, and that our charity is not regulated by external appearances. We are not to consider those on whom evil falls, as the outcasts of Providence; for though temporal prosperity was promised to the Jews, as a reward of faithful adherence to the worship of God; yet under the dispensation of the gospel we are no where taught that the good shall have any exemption from the common accidents of life, or that natural and civil evil shall not be equally shared by the righteous and the wicked.

The frequency of mislortunes, and universality of milery, may properly repress any tendency to discontent or murmur. We suffer only what is suffered by others, and often by those who are better than ourselves.

But the chief reason why we should send out our enquiries to collect intelligence of misery is, that we may find opportunities of doing good. Many human troubles are such as God has given man the power of alleviating. The wants of poverty may evidently be removed by the kindness of those who have more than their own use requires. Of such beneficence the time in which we live does not want examples and surely that duty can never be neglected to which so great rewards are so explicitly promised.

But the power of doing good is not confined to the wealthy. He that has nothing elle to give, may often give advice. Wisdom likewise has benefits in its power. A wise man may reclaim the vicious and instruct the ignorant, may quiet the throbs of sorrow, or disentangle the perplexities of conscience. He may compose the resentful, encourage the timorous, and animate the hopeless. In the multifurious sufficients, with which every flate of human life is acquainted, there is place for a thousand offices of tenderness; so that he, whole desire it is to do good, can never be long without an opportunity; and every opportunity that Providence presents; let us leize with eagerness and improve with diligence; remembering that we have no time to lose, for man that is born of a woman is of few days.

### SBRMON XVI

#### JOB i. 22.

In all this Job finned not, nor charged God foolishly.

SUCH is the weakness of human nature, that every particular flate, or condition, lies open to particular temptations. Different frames of constitution expose us to different passions of equal danger to our virtue; and different methods of life, whether we engage in them by choice, or are forced upon them by necessity, have each of them their inlets to fin, and their avenues to perdition.

The two opposite states of prosperity and adversity equally require our vigilance and caution; each of them is a state of conslict, in which nothing but unwearied resistance can preserve us from being overcome.

The vices of prosperity are well known, and generally obferved. The haughtiness of high rank, the luxury of affluence, and the cruelty of power, every man remarks, and no man palliates. So that they are the common subjects of invective.

But though compassion hinders men from being equally severe upon the facts of the unhappy and distressed, yet, as there always has been, and always will be, at least an equal number in this, as in the other state, it is proper that they likewise should be warned of the crimes to which the circumstances of their condition expose them, and turnished with such reslections as may enable them to avoid them; that one misery may not produce a greater, nor missortune be the cause of wickedness.

There is no crime more incident to thole, whose life is embittered with calamities, and whom afficients have reduced to gloominess and melancholy, than that of repining at the determinations of Providence, or of charging God foolishly. They are often tempted to unseemly enquiries into the reation of his dispensations, and to expossulations about the justice of that sentence which condemns them to their present sufferings. They consider the lives of those whom they account happier than themselves, with an eye of malice and suspicion, and if they find them no better than their own, think themselves almost justified in murmuring at their own state.

But how widely they err from their duty, by giving way to discontent, and allowing themselves to dispute the reasonableness of those laws by which the great Creater governs the world, will appear,

First, by considering the attributes of God, And, Secondly, by reflecting on the ignorance of man.

First, by considering the attributes of God.

Many of the errors of mankind, both in opinion and practice, feem to arise originally from missaken notions of the Divine Being, or at least from want of attention to the nature of thole attributes which region, as well as the holy fcriptures, teaches us to affign to him. A temporary forgetfulnels has, for the time, the same effect as real ignorance, but has this advantage, that it is much more easily remedied; fince it is much lefs difficult to recollect our own ideas, than to obtain new ones. This is, I suppose, the state of every man among ns who is hetrayed by his impatience under affictions to mucmur at Heaven. He knows, when he reflects calmly, that the mould is neither eternal, nor independent; that we neither were produced, nor are preserved, by chance. But that Heaven and earth, and the whole lyftem of things, were creatand by an infinite and perfect Being, who still continues to fuperintend and govern them. He knows that this Great Being is infinitely wife, and infinitely good; to that the end which he proposes must necessitily be the final happiness of

those beings that depend upon him, and the means, by which he promotes that end, must undoubtedly be the wifest and the best. All this he is sufficiently convinced of, when he is awakened to recollection; but his conviction is over-borne by the sudden gusts of passion, and his impatience hurries him to wicked exclamations, before he can recal to his mind those reasonings, which, if attended to, would fittle every rebellious thought, and change his distrust and discontent into confidence and tranquillity.

It very nearly concerns every man, fince every man is exposed, by the nature of human things, to trouble and calamities, to provide against the days of advertity, by making such ideas familiar to his mind as may defend him against any

temptations to the fin of charging God foolishly.

It is frequently observed in common dite, that some favourite notion or inclination, long indulged, takes such an entire possession of a man's mind, and so engrosses his faculties, as to mingle thoughts perhaps he is not himself conscious of, with almost all his conceptions, and influence his whole behaviour. It will often operate on occasions with which it could scarcely be imagined to have any connection, and will discover itself, however it may lie conceased, either in trisling incidents, or important occurrences, when it is least expected or foreseen. It gives a particular direction to every sentiment and action, and carries a man forward, as by a kind of reliables impulse, or insuperable destiny.

As this unbounded dominion of ideas, long entertained by the lancy, and naturalized to the mind, is a very strong argument against suffering ourselves to dwell too long upon pleasing dreams, or delightful fallehoods, or admitting any mordinate passion to infinuate itself, and grow domestic; so it is a reason, of equal force, to engage us in a frequent, and intense meditation on those important and eternal rules, which are to regulate our conduct, and rectify our minds; that the power of habit may be added to that of truth, that the most useful ideas may be the most samiliar, and that every action of our

lives may be carcied on under the superintendence of an over-

ruling piety.

The man who has accustomed himself to consider that he is always in the presence of the Supreme Being, that every work of his hands is carried on, and every imagination of his heart formed, under the inspection of his Creator, and his Judge, easily withstands those temptations which find a ready passage into a mind not guarded and secured by this awful tense of the diving presence.

God always occurs to his imagination; he is not betrayed to security by folitude, because he never considers himself as

slone.

The two great attributes of our Sovereign Creator, which feem most likely to influence our lives, and, by confequence, most necessarily to claim our attention, are his instice and his mercy. Each of these may suggest considerations very efficacious for the suppression of wicked and unreasonable amountmers.

The justice of God will not suffer him to afflict any, man without cause, or without retribution. Whenever we suffer, therefore, we are certain either that we have by our wickedness procured our own miseries, or that they are sent upon us as farther trials of our virtue, in order to prepare us for greater degrees of happiness. Whether we suppose ourselves to suffer for the sake of punishment or probation, it is not easy to discover with what right we repine.

felicity; if we are perfecuted for righteoufness sake, or suffer by any consequences of a good life; we ought to ecioice and be exceeding glad, and to glorify the goodness of God, who, by uniting us in our sufferings with saints and marryis, will join us also in our reward.

But it is not uncharitable to believe of others, that this is not always the reason of their sufferings, and certainly no man ough: to believe it of himself, without a very severe and cau-

tions examination, long continued and often repeated; for nothing is more dangerous than spiritual pride. that esteems himself a faint will be in danger of relaxing his circumspection, of stopping in his progress of virtue, and, it once he flops, of falling back into those infirmities from which his imaginary exemption made him prefumptuous and fupine. Every man, therefore, when the hand of God is heavy upon him, must apply himself to an attentive and exact retrospection of his own life, He must enquire, if he has avoided all open enormities and foandalous degrees of guilt; whether he is not punished for some secret crime unknown to the world, and perhaps almost forgotten by himself; whether, in surveying himself, he does not overlook some favourite sin, some criminal indulgence; or whether he has not fatisfied himfelf with increasing his devotions inflead of reforming his morals, or whether, from too much confidence in his morality, he has not been negligent of his devotions; and whether he has not contented himfelf with an imperfect and partial fatisfaction for some injury done to his neighbour, when an adequate and complete reparation was in his power.

To this enquiry he will be incited by remembering that God is just, that there is undoubtedly a reason for his misery, which will probably be found in his own corruption. He will, therefore, instead of murmuring at God, begin to examine himself; and when he has found the depravity of his own manners, it is more likely that he will admire the mercy, than complain of the severity of his Judge.)

We have indeed to little right to complain of punishment, when it does not exceed the measure of the offence, that to bear it patiently hardly deserves the name of virtue; but impatience under it is, in a high degree, foolish and criminal.

Is is well known how partial every man is in his own cause, and therefore it is necessary to meditate much upon the justice of God, lest we be tempted to think our punishments too great for our faults; and, in the midst of our anguish and diffees, charge God foolishly.

But we that receive yet farther fetislaction for reflection on the mercy of God. | We fhall les him, not only as the Governor, but as the Father of The verse ; as a Being infinitely gracious, whose pur not inflicted to gratify any pallion of anger or fe awaken us from the lethargy of lin, and to recal us tro paths of destruction.

Every man has observed, that the greatest part of those who enjoy the pleasures of this life, without interruption or refiraint, are either entirely forgetful of any other time, or at Men sie estily intoxicatleast very little solicitous about it. ed with pleasure, dazzled with magnificence, or classed with The most pathetic or rational discourse spon energity has feldom any lasting effect upon the gay, the young, the wealthy and the prosperous. Even the golper with

received by the poor.

The reason of this is not because religion is bell sespices to a gloomy and melancholy flate of the mind : too the trethe of religion are atteffed by evidence, which make be youlded to as foon as it is confidered, and confirmed by proble water nothing but inattention can relift. But to consider and deligh this evidence seriously and impartially, the mind mail be wateraled, in some measure, from the objects that surround is; objests that firike us firongly, not because they are grant, but because they are near, while the views of luturity faintly, not because they are unimportant, but because they are diffant.

A conflant conviction of the mercy of God fred Ymplanted in our minds, will, upon the first attack of any cale ity, easily induce us to reflect, that it is permitted by fall upon us, lest we should be too much enamous present flate, and neglect to extend our prospec pity.

Thus, by familiarizing to our minds the attr shall we, in a great measure, secure ourselves again tation to repine at his arrangements; but shall

more firengthen our refolution, and confirm our plety, by reflecting,

Secondly, on the ignorance of man.

One general method of judging and determining upon the value or excellence of things, is by comparing one with and? ther. Thus it is that we form a notion of wealth, greatness of It is by comparing ourselves with others that we off ten make an effimate of our own happiness, and even sometimes of our virtue. They who repine at the ways of Provis dence, repine often, not because they are miferable, but because they are not so happy as others; and imagine their atflictions dealt with a partial hand, not that they can conceive themselves free from guilt, but because they see, or think they fee others equally criminal that suffer less. I Should they be Supposed to judge rightly of themselves and others, should it be conceived that, in rating their own excellencies, they are not milled by their felf-love, or that they are not hindered by envy from differning the virtues of those whom they look upon as rivals for happinels; yet, unless they could prove that the mercies, which they have received as below their merits, they have no reason to complain. He that has more than he deserves is not to murmur merely because he has less than another.

But when we judge thus confidently of others, we deceive ourfelves; we admit conjectures for certainties, and chimeras for realities. To determine the degrees of virtue and wickedness in particular men, is the prerogative only of that Being that searches the secrets of the heart, that knows what temptations each man has resisted; how far the means of grace have been afforded him, and how he has improved or neglected them; that sees the force of every passion, knows the power of every prejudice, attends to every conflict of the mind, and marks all the struggles of imperfect virtue. He only, who gave us our faculties and abilities, knows when we err by insurmountable ignorance, or when we deviate from the right by negligence or presumption. He only, that knows every cir-

tar the crimes or virtues of each man are to be purished or rewarded. No man can lay that he is better than another, because no than can tell how far the other was enabled to relift temptation, or what incidents might concur to overthrow his virtue. Nor are we able to decide, with much greater certainty, upon the happiness of others. We see only the superficies of men, without knowing what passes within. Splendour, equipage and luxury are not always accompanied by happiness; but are more frequently the wretched solaces of a mind distracted with perplexities and harassed with terrors. Men are often driven, by resection and remorte, into the harries of business or of pleasure, and fly from the territying suggestions of their own thoughts to banquets and to courts.

Prosperity and happiness are very different, though by those who undertake, to judge of the state of others they are always consounded. It is possible to know that another is prosperous, that his revenues increase, that his dependants grow more numerous, that his schemes succeed and his reputation advances. But we cannot tell how much all these promote his happiness, because we cannot judge how much they may engage his care or inslame his desires; how much he may sear his enemies or suspects his triends. We know not how much this seeming selicity may be impaired by his solly or his guilt; and therefore he that murmurs at the inequality of human happiness, or accuses Providence of partiality, forgets his own impersections, and determines rashly where he cannot judge.

Let every one, then, whom God shall visit with affliction, humble himself before him, with steady confidence in his mercy, and unseigned submission to his justice. Let him remember that his sing are the cause of his miseries, that his troubles are sent to awaken him to restection, and that the evils of this life may be improved to his eternal advantage, if, instead of adding sin to sin, and charging God foolishly, he applies himself seriously to the great work of self-examination and repentance.

For furely the frailty of this life, and the uncertainty of all human happiness, is proved by every view of the world about us, and every reflection upon ourselves. Let not death arrest us in a state of mind unsit to stand the trial of eternal justice, or to obtain the privileges of infinite mercy! Let it not surprise us engaged in schemes of vanity, or wishes of empty pleasure! Let death, which may seize us now, which will seize us at some time, equally terrible, find us, whenever it shall come, animated with the love of God, submissive to his eternal will, and dissused in universal charity and benevolence to our brethren.

Let this inflant begin a new life, and every future minute improve it! Then, in exchange for riches, honours, or sensual delights, we may obtain the tranquillity of a good conscience, and that peace of God which passeth all understanding.

# SERMON XVII.

### EXODUS, XX. 16.

Thou shalt not bear false witness against thy neighbour.

OTHING is more common than for men to make partial and abfurd distinctions between vices of equal enormity, and to observe some of the divine commands with great scrupulousness; while they violate others, equally important, without any concern, or the least apparent consciousness of guilt.

That to do our duty in part is better than entirely to difregard it, cannot be denied; and he that avoids fome crimes, from the fear of displeasing God, is doubtless far more innocent than he who has thrown off all restraint, has forgotten the distinctions of good and evil, and complies with every temptation. But it is a very dangerous mistake to conceive that any man, by obeying one law, acquires the liberty of breaking another; or that all sins, equally odious to God, or hurtful to men, are not, with equal care, to be avoided.

We may frequently observe that men, who would abhor the thought of violating the property of another by direct methods of oppression or rapine, men, on all common occasions not only just, but kind and compassionate, willing to relieve the necessitous, and active in the protection of the injured, will, nevertheless, invade the characters of others with defamation and calumny, and degree a reputation without remorse.

If every day did not convince us how little either good or bad men are confiftent with themselves, it might be wondered how men, who own their obligations to the practice of some duties, can overlook in themselves the omission of others equally important, and enjoined by the same authority; and that those who avoid thest, because they are torbidden to steal, do not equally abstain from calumny, since they are no less forbidden to bear false witness against their neighbour: a prohibition, of which I shall endeavour to explain the nature, and enforce the necessity, by shewing,

First, What are the different senses in which a man may be said to bear false wriness against his neighbour.

Secondly, The enormity of the fin of bearing false witness. Thirdly, What reflections may belt enable us to avoid it.

The highest degree of guilt forbidden by this law of God, is false testimony in a literal sense, or deliberate and solemn perjury in a court of justice, by which the life of an innocent man is taken away, the rightful owner stripped of his possessions, or an oppressor supported in his usurpations. This is a crime that includes robbery and murder, sublimed to the highest state of enormity, and heightened with the most atrocious aggravations. He that robs or murders by this method, not only does it by the nature of the action, with calmness and premeditation, but by making the name of God a sanction to his wickedness. Upon this it is unnecessary to dwell long, since men, arrived to this height of corruption, are scarcely to

be reformed by argument or perfualion; and indeed feldom

be, however, proper to observe, that he who is ever so remotely the cause of any wickedness, if he really designs and willingly promotes it, is guilty of that action in the same, or nearly the same degree with the immediate perpetrator; and therefore he that suborns a salse witness, or procures such a one to be suborned, whether in his own cause or in that of another, is

suffer themselves to be reasoned with or admonished.

guilty of the crime of perjury in its utmost extent.

Nor is that man only perjured who delivers for truth what he certainly knows to be false; but he likewise that afferts what he does not know to be true. For as an oath taken implies, in the opinion of the magistrate who administers it, a

knowledge of the fact required to be proved, he that, by offering himself as an evidence, declares himself acquainted with what he is ignorant of, is guilty of bearing talse witness, since, though what he swears should happen to be true, it is not true that he knew it.

Such remarks as these seem, at the first view, very trifling, because they are obvious, and yet are made necessary by the conduct of mankind. Every man, almost, has had opportunities of observing with what gross and article delusions men impose upon themselves; how readily they distinguish between actions, in the eye of justice and of reason, equally criminal; how often they hope to elude the vengeance of heaven, by substituting others to perpetrate the villanies they contrive; how often they mock God by groundless excuses, and how often they voluntarily that their eyes to leap into destruction.

There is another lense in which a man may be said to beer false witness against his neighbour, a lower degree of the crime forbidden in the text, a degree in which multitudes are guilty of it; or, rather, from which scarcely any are entirely free. He that attacks the reputation of another by calumny is, doubtless, according to the malignity of the report, chargeable with the breach of this commandment.

Yet this is fo universal a practice, that it is scarcely accounted criminal, or numbered among those sins which require repentance. Defamation is become one of the amusements of life, a cursory part of conversation and social entertainment. Men sport away the reputation of others, without the least ressection upon the injury which they are doing, and applaud the happiness of their own invention, if they can increase the mirth of a feast, or animate conviviality, by stander and detraction.

How it comes to pass that men do not perceive the absurdity of diffinguishing in such a manner between themselves and others, as to conceive that conduct innocent in themselves which in others they would make no difficulty of condemseing, it is not easy to tell. Yet it is apparent that every man is sufficiently sensible, when his own character is attacked, of the cruelty and injustice of calumny; and it is not less evident that those will animadvert, with all the wantonness of malice, upon the moral irregularities of others, whom the least reflection upon their own lives kindles into sury, and exasperates to the atmost severities of revenge.

To invent a defamatory falsehood, to rack the invention for the sake of disguising it with circumstances of probability, and propagate it industricusty, till it becomes popular and takes root in the minds of men, is such a continued act of malice as nothing can palliate.

Nor will it be a sufficient vindication to allege that the report, though not wholly, yet in part is true, and that it was no unreasonable suspicion that suggested the rest. For, if suspicion be admitted for certainty, every man's happiness must be entirely in the power of those bad men, whose consciousness of guilt makes them easily judge ill of others, or whom a natural or habitual jealousy inclines to imagine frauds or villanies where none are intended. And if small failings may be aggravated at the pleasure of the relator, who may not, however cautious, be made infamous and detestable? A calumny, in which salsehood is complicated with truth, and malice is assisted by probability, is more dangerous, but therefore less innocent, than unmixed lorgery and groundless investives.

Neither is the first author only of a calumny a false witness against his neighbour, but he likewise that disseminates and promotes it; since without his assistance it would perish as soon as it is produced, would evaporate in the air without effect, and hurt none but him that untered it. He that blows a fire for the destruction of a city, is notes an incendiary than he that kindled it. And the man that imagines he may, without a crime, circulate a calumny which he has received from another, may, with equal reason, conceive that, tho' it be murder to prepare poisons, it may be innocent to disperse them.

Many are the pleas and excuses with which those, who cannot deny this practice, endeavour to palliate it. They frequently affert, in their own justification, that they do not know the relation, which they hand about, to be false. But to those it may be justly replied, that before they spread a report to the prejudice of others, they ought, if not to know that it is true, at least to believe it upon some reasonable grounds. They ought not to assist a random whisper, or drive forward a slying tale; they ought not eagerly to catch at an opportunity of hurting, or add weight to a blow which may perhaps be undeserved.

It may happen indeed, that a calumny may be supported by such testimony, and connected with such probabilities, as may deceive the circumspect and just; and the reporter, in fuch cases, is by no means to be charged with bearing salse witness: because to believe and disbelieve is not in our power; for there is a certain degres of evidence, to which a man He, therefore, who is deceived himself, cannot but yield. cannot be accused of deceiving others, and is only so far blameable, as he contributed to the dishonour or prejudice of another, by spreading his faults without any just occasion, or lawful cause. For to relate reproachful truths, only for the pleasure of depressing the reputation of our neighbour, is far The crime indeed doth not fall under from being innocent. the head of calumny, but only differs from it in the falsehood, not in the malice.

There is another occasion made use of, by which, it this fault could escape from censure, many others might enjoy the same advantage. It is urged by some, that they do not adopt the tale, till it is generally received, and only promote what they cannot hinder. But how must wickedness be controuled, it its prevalence be a reason for compliance? Is it equitable and just to coalesce with oppressors, because they are already too powerful for the injured to resist? Thus any man might vindicate rebellion, by affirming that he did not join with the rebels, till they were already numerous enough to

dethrone their prince. Thus a man may exempt himself from blame, for betraving his truft, and felling his country, by alleging that others had already fold it, and he only entered into the combination, that he might share the reward of perfidy. But it requires few arguments to shew the folly of such It is the duty of every man to regulate his pleas as thefe. conduct, not by the example of others, or by his own furmifes, but by the invariable rules of equity and truth. Wickedness must be opposed by some, or virtue would be entirely driven out of the world. And who must oppose it in extremities, if, as it increases more, it be less criminal to yield without refistance? If this excuse will vindicate one man, it will vindicate another; and no man will be found, who is obliged to maintain a post, from which others may fly without a crime, and to endeavour to reform the world, by which it is no reproach to be vitiated. If this reasoning were just, there might be a flate of general depravity, in which wickedness might lose its guilt, fince every man might be led away by predominant corruption, and the univerfality of vice become its own defence.

In such a fituation indeed, there is a necessity for an uncommon firmness and resolution to persist in the right, without regard to ridicule on the one hand, or interest on the other. But this resolution must be summoned; we must call up all our strength, and awaken all our caution, and, in defiance of iniquity, however warranted by sashion, or supported by power, maintain an unshaken integrity, and reproach the world by a good example, if we cannot amend it.

There is yet another way, by which we may partake, in some measure, of the sin of bearing false witness. That he, who does not hinder the commission of a crime, involves himself in the guilt, cannot be denied; and that his guilt is yet more flagrant, it, instead of obstructing, he encourages it, is equally evident. He therefore that receives a calumny with applause, or listens to it with a filent approbation, must

be at least chargeable with contiving at wrong, which will be found no trivial accusation, when we have considered,

Secondly, the enormity of the fin of bearing false witness.

The malignity of an offence arises, either from the motives that prompted it, or the consequences produced by it.

If we examine the fin of calumny by this rule, we shall find both the motives and consequences of the worst kind. We shall find its causes and effects concurring to distinguish it from common wickedness, and rank it with those crimes that pollute the earth, and blacken human nature.

The most usual incitement to defamation is envy, or impatience of the merit or success of others; a malice raised not by any injury received, but merely by the fight of that happiness which we cannot attain. This is a passion, of all others most hurtful and contemptible; it is pride complicated with laziness; pride which inclines us to wish ourselves upon the level with others, and laziness which hinders us from pursuing our inclinations with vigour and assiduity. Nothing then remains but that the envious man endeavour to flop those, by some artifice, whom he will not strive to overtake, and reduce his superiors to his own meannels, since he cannot rife to their elevation. To this end be examines their conduct with a resolution to condemn it; and, if he can find no remarkable defects, makes no scruple to aggravate smaller errors, till, by adding one vice to another, and detracting from their virtues by degrees, he has divested them of that reputation which obscured his own, and left them no qualities to be admired or rewarded.

Calumnies are sometimes the offspring of resentment. When a man is opposed in a design which he cannot justify, and defeated in the prosecution of schemes of tyranny, extortion, or oppression, he seldom fails to revenge his overthrow by blackening that integrity which essected it. No rage is more fierce than that of a villain disappointed of those advantages which he has pursued by a long train of wickedness. He has forseited the esseem of mankind, he has burthened his

**.** 

conscience, and hazarded his tuture happiness, to no purpose, and has now nothing to hope but the satisfaction of involving those, who have broken his measures, in missortunes and disgrace. By wretches like these it is no wonder if the visest arts of detraction are practised without scruple, since both their resentment and their interest direct them to depress those, whose insluence and authority will be employed against them.

But what can be faid of those who, without being impelled by any violence of passion, without having received any injury or provocation, and without any motives of interest, vilify the deserving and the worthless without distinction; and, merely to gratify the levity of temper and incontinence of tongue, throw out aspersions equally dangerous with those of virulence and enmity?

These always reckon themselves, and are commonly reckoned by those whose gaiety they promote, among the benevolent, the candid, and the humane; men without gall or malignity, friends to good humour, and lovers of s jest. But, upon a more ferious estimation, will they not be, with far greater propriety, classed with the cruel and the felfish wretches that feel no anguish at facrificing the happiness of mankind to the lowest views, to the poor ambition of excelling in scurrility? To deferve the exalted character of humanity and good-nature, a man must mean well; it is not sufficient to He must act and think with generous views, mean nothing. not with a total difregard of all the confequences of his behaviour. Otherwife, with all his wit and all his laughter, what character can be deserve, but that of the scol, who scatters fire-brands, arrows, and death, and fays, am I not in Sport ?

The consequences of this crime, whatever be the inducements to commit it, are equally pernicious. He that attacks the reputation of another, invades the most valuable part of his property, and perhaps the only part which he can call his own. Calumny can take away what is out of the reach of tyranny and usurpation, and what may enable the fullerer to repair the injuries received from the hand of oppression. The perfecutions of power may injure the fortune of a good man;

but those of calumny mult complete his ruin.

Nothing can fo much obliruct the progress of virtue, as the delamation of those that excel in it. \ For praise is one motive, even in the best minds, to superior and distinguishing degrees of goodness; and therefore he that reduces all men to the fame state of infamy, at least deprives them of one reward which is due to merit, and takes away one incitement to it. Calumny defroys But the effect does not terminate here. that influence, and power of example, which operates much more forcibly upon the minds of men, than the folemaily of laws, or the tear of punishment. Our natural and real power is very small; and it is by the accendant which he has gained, and the elleem in which he is held, that any man is able 28 govern others, to maintain order in fociety, or to perform any important service to mankind, to which the united endeavours of numbers are required. This afcendant, which, when conferred upon bad men by superiority of riches, or hereditary honour, is frequently made ule of to corrupt and deprave the world, to juffity debauchery and faelter villany, might be employed, if it were to be obtained only by defert, to the noblest purpoles. It might discountenance vanity and foliy; it might make the fashion co-operate with the laws, and reform those upon whom reason and conviction have no sorce.

Calumny differs from most other injuries in this desidul circumstance: He who commits it never can repair it falls report may spread where a recantation never resches; and an accusation must certainly sly safter than a desence, while the greater part of mankind are base and wicked. The effects of a salls report cannot be determined or circumstantial ed. It may check a hero in his attempts for the promotion of the happiness of his country, or a faint in his endeavours for

the propagation of truth.

Since, therefore, this fin is to destructive to mankind, and, by consequence, so detestable in the fight of God, it is necessary that we enquire,

Thirdly, What reflections may beft enable us to avoid it.

The way to avoid effects is to avoid the causes. Whoever, therefore, would not be tempted to bear false witness, must endeavour to suppress those passions which may incite him to it. Let the envious man consider that, by detracting from the character of others, he in reality adds nothing to his own; and the malicious man, that nothing is more inconsistent with every law of God, and institution of men, than implacability and revenge.

It men would spend more time in examining their own lives and inspecting their own characters, they would have less leisure and less inclination to remark with severity upon others. They would easily discover that it will not be for their advantage to exasperate their neighbour, and that a scandalous falsehood may be easily revenged by a reproachful truth.

It was determined by our bleffed Saviour, in a case of open and uncontested guilt, that he who was without fault should cast the first stone. This seems intended to teach us compassion even to the sailings of bad men; and certainly that religion which extends so much indulgence to the bad as to restrain us from the utmost rigour of punishment, cannot be doubted to require that the good should be exempted from calumns and reproach

Let it be always remembered that charity is the height of religious excellence; and that it is one of the characteristics of this virtue, that it thinketh no ill of others A

## SERMON XVIII.

#### [ PREACHED AT ASHBOURN.]

1 CORINTHIANS, vi. 8.

Nay, you do wrong and defraud, and that your brethren.

To subdue passion and regulate desire, is the great task of man as a moral agent; a task, for which natural reason, however assisted and enforced by human laws, has been found insufficient, and which cannot be performed but by the help of religion.

The passions are divided by moralists into irascible and concupisable; the passions of resentment and the passions of desire. The danger of the irascible passions, the mischiels of anger, envy and revenge, every man knows, by evil which he has felt or evil which he has perpetrated. In their lower degrees, they produce brutality, outrage, contumely and calumny; and, when they are inslamed to the utmost, have too often risen to violence and bloodshed.

Of these passions, the mischief is sometimes great, but not very frequent; for we are taught to watch and oppose them from our earliest years. Their malignity is universally known and as universally dreaded. The occasions that can raise them high do not often occur; and when they are raised, it there be no immediate opportunity of gratifying them, they yield to reason and persuasion, or subside by the soothing in-sluence of time.

Of the iralcible passions, the direct aim and present purpole is, the hurt or misery of another; of the concupicible passions, the proper motive is our own good. It is therefore no reproach to human nature that the concupitfible passions are more prevalent; for, as it is more natural, it is more just to desire our own good than another's evil.

The defire of happinels is inseparable from a rational being acquainted, by experience, with the various gradations of pain The knowledge of different degrees of happiand pleasure. nels feems necessary to the excitement of defire and the stimulation of activity. He that had never telt pain would not fear it, nor use any precaution to prevent it. He who had been always equally at eafe, would not know that his condition admitted any improvement, and therefore could have no end to pursue, or purpose to prosecure. But man, in his present state, knowing of how much good he is capable, and to how many evils he is exposed, has his mind perpetually entployed, in defence, or in acquisition, in securing that which he has, or attaining that which he believes he either does or shall want.

He that defires happiness must necessarily defire the means of happiness, must wish to appropriate and accumulate whatever may fairsty his defires. It is not sufficient to be without want. He will try to place himself beyond the fear of want, and endeavour to provide future gratifications for future withes, and lay up in store future provisions for future necessities.

It is by the effect of this care to provide against the evils, and to attain the bleffings of life, that human society has its present form. For this purpose protessions are studied, and trades learned; dangers are encountered, and labour endured. For this reason every man educates his son in some useful art, which, by making him necessary to others, may oblige others to repay him what is necessary to himsels. The general employment of mankind is to encrease pleasure, or remove the pressure of pain. These are the vital principles of assion, that fill ports with ships, shops with manusassures, and fields with husbandmen; that keep the stressman diligent in attendance, and the trader assive in his business.

It is apparently the opinion of the civilized world, that he who would be happy must be rich. In riches the goods of life are compendiously contained. They do not enlarge our own personal powers; but they enable us to employ the powers of others for our advantage. He who cannot make what he wants, will however easily procure it, if he can pay an artist. He who suffers any remediable inconvenience, needs not to suffer it long, it he can reward the labour of those who are able to remove it. Riches will make an ignorant man prudent by another's wisdom, and a weak man vigorous by another's strength. It can, therefore, be no wonder, that riches are generally desired; and that almost every man is busy, through his whole life, in gaining, or in keeping them, for himself, or his posterity.

As there is no desire so extensive, or so continual in its exertions, that possesses so many minds, or operates with such restless activity; there is none that deviates into greater irregularity, or more frequently corrupts the heart of man, than the wish to enlarge possession and accumulate wealth-

In a discourse, intended for popular instruction, it would be of little utility to mention the ambition of Kings, and difplay the cruelty of conquerors. To flaughter thousands in a day, to spread desolation over wide and tertile regions, and to carry rapine and destruction indiscriminately from one country to another, can be the crime only of thole few who have sceptres in their hands; and, even among them, the wantonness of war is not very common in our days. But it is a fufficient evidence of the power of interest, that such aels should ever have been perpetrated; that there could ever be any man, willing to augment his wealth, or extend his power, by flaughter and devastation; or able to persuade himself, that he might purchase advantages, which he could enjoy only in imagination, at the expense of the lives of thousands of his subjects, as well as his adversaries; of adversaries that never had injured, or offended him, and of subjects whom it was his duty and his engagement to preferve and to protect.

Nor is it necessary to mention crimes, which are commonly found amongst the lowest of mankind, the crimes of robbery and thest. For, though they are too common, their enormity is sufficiently understood by the laws which are enasted
against them, and sufficiently menaced by the terrors which
those laws hold out. They are so apparently destructive of
social security, their consequences are so easily perceived, and
their perniciousness so generally acknowledged, that to be sufpested of them is to be infamous; and to be detected in the
commission of them is to be exposed to punishment, and often
to death.

But there is another mode of injuring the property of others, and of gaining unjust advantages, which, though not equally liable, at all times, to punishment, with thest and robbery, is, in its own nature, equally criminal, and perhaps more pernicious; therefore, equally open to the centures of reason and religion. This species of guilt is dissinguished by the appellation of fraud; a word which, when uttered, really excites a due degree of detestation, and which those, who practise it, perhaps disguise to their consciences by still softer terms.

But that fuch disguises may deceive the soul no longer; and that what is universally mischievous may be totally abborred; I shall endeavour to shew,

First, The nature of fraud, and the temptations to practise it.

Secondly, How much it is contrary to the rules of religion, and how much it obstructs the happiness of the world.

The nature of fraud, as diffined from other violations of right or property, feems to confift in this, that the man injured is induced to concur in the act by which the injury is done. Thus, to take away any thing valuable, without the owner's knowledge, is a theft; to take it away, against his consent, by threats or force, is a robbery; to borrow it, without intention of returning it, is a fraud, because the owner consents to the act, by which it passed out of his own hands.

All fraud, therefore, supposes deceit, either in the affirmation of what is falle, or the suppression of what is true; for no man willingly wrongs himself. He must be deceived, either by false appearances of the present, or by false promises of the future, by a display of fictitious advantages, or an artist concealment of certain inconveniences.

As it often happens, that in committing a fraud, or perfuading a man to injure himself, a considerable degree of skill and dexterity is required; the fraudulent are often considered, by themselves and others, as possessing uncommon powers of understanding, so that, though the act itself is blamed, the artistice is admired. Conscience is overpowered by vanity, and the shame of guilt is lost in the pride of subtilty and acutenels.

It is to be feared that the science of over-reaching is too closely connected with lucrative commerce. There are classes of men who do little less than profess it, and who are scarcely ashamed, when they are detected in imposture. Such men live indeed without reputation. They are considered as exercising dishonourable employments, but they are still tolerated; and, however they may be despised, are very rarely punished. The whole practice of buying and selling is indeed replete with temptation, which even a virtuous mind finds it difficult to resist. \*A merchant shall hardly keep kimfelf from doing wrong, and an huckster shall not be freed from sin.

+ Many have finned for a small matter: and he that seeketh for abundance, will turn his eyes away. As a nail sticketh fast between the joinings of the stones, so doth sin stick close between huying and selling.

Such is the centure of the Son of Sirach, which furely cannot be heard without alarm and terror.

It is, however, by no means to be admitted, that all trade is necessarily fraudulent, or that all traders are dishoness. Es-

<sup>\*</sup> Ecclefiasticus, xxvi. 29. + Ecclefiasticus, xxvii. 1, 8.

ery kind of life has its peculiar dangers, which the negligent incur, and the wife escape. The danger of a trader, like that of others, may be avoided by resolution, vigilance, and prayer, by a constant reference of his actions to his eternal interest, and by the help of God, diligently implored.

That the necessity of this vigilance may be more strongly recommended, it is fit that we consider,

Secondly, How much the practice of fraud is contrary to religion, and how much it obstructs the happiness of the world.

The great rule, by which religion regulates all transactions between one man and another, is, that every man should do to others what he would expect that others, in the same case, should do to him. This rule is violated in every act of fraud. For, however the children of the world may torgive, or applaud, themselves, when they practise fraud, they complain very loudly when they suffer it. They then can clearly discern its baseness and its mischief, and discover that nothing deferves praise but purity and goodness.

The crime of fraud has this aggravation, that it is generally an abuse of confidence. Robberies of violence are committed commonly upon those to whom the robber is unknown. The lurking thief takes indiscriminately what comes by chance within his reach. But deceit cannot be practised, unless by some previous treaty, and gradual advance, by which distrust is dissipated, and an opinion of candour and integrity excited. Fraud, therefore, necessarily disguises life with solicitude and suspicion. He that has been deceived, knows not alterwards whom he can trust, but grows timorous, reserved, as a sike of enemies and friends; and loses, at least, part of that benevolence which is necessary to an amiable and virtuous character.

Fraud is the more to be suppressed by universal detestation, as its essents can scarcely be limited. A thief seldom takes away what can much impoverish the loser; but by fraud, the opulent may at once be reduced to indigence, and the professors distressed; the effects of a long course of industry may be suddenly annihilated, the provision made for age may be withdrawn, and the inheritance of posterity intercepted.

For the particular application of this doctrine, I am forry that my native place should afford an opportunity. But fince this fociety has called me to fland here before them. I hope no man will be offended that I do my duty with fidelity and freedom. Truth requires that I warn you against a species of fraud, fometimes found among it you, and that of a very thameful and oppressive kind. When any man, whose contributions have had their due part in raising the fund for occafional relief, is reduced by difeate or hart, to want the support which he has, perhaps, for many years supposed himself gradually accumulating against the day of diffress; and for which he has denied himself many gratifications; at the time when he expects the beneficial effects of his prudence and parlimony; at that very time, every wifice is used to deleat his claim, and elude his right. He declares himselt, perhaps, unable to work, by which nothing more can reasonably be meant than that he is no longer capable of labour equal to his liveli-This man is found employing the remains of his hood. flrength in some little office. For this surely he deserves to But what has been the confequence? He be commended. bas been confidered as an impostor, who claims the benefit of the fund by counterfeited incapacity; and that feeble diligence, which, among reasonable and equitable men, gives him a title to esteem and pity, is misapplied, and misrepresented into a presence for depriving him of his right, and this done by judges who vainly imagine they shall be benefited themselves by their own wicked determination.

It is always to be remembered, that a demand of support from your common sund is not a petition for charity, but a claim to justice. The relief thus demanded is not a gift, but a debt. He that receives it has first purchased it. The denial of it, therefore, is a fraud and a robbery; and fraud fo much the more atrocious and detestable as, by its nature, it must always be practifed on the poor. When this succour is required, there is no place for savour or for resentment. What is due must be paid, because it is due. Other considerations have here no weight. The amiable and the perverse, the good and the bad, have an equal right to the performance of their contract. He that has trusted the society with his money, cannot, without breach of faith, be denied that payment which, when he payed his contribution, was solemnly stipulated.

It has been always observed by the wise, that it is every man's real interest to be honest; and he who practiles fraud, to the injury of others, shews, at the same time, how fraud may be practised against himself. Those who have been forward in watching the steps of others, and have objected to payment when it was required, may live to be themselves watched, and excluded by a precedent which their own fraudulence or malice has incited them to establish. They will then seel the folly of wickedness, and know the necessity of providing against the day of calamity by innocence and integrity; they will wish that they could claim the kindness of others, as a recompense for kindness formerly exhibited by themselves.

Fraud is the more hurtful, because the wrong is often without redress. As he that is wronged by fraudulent practices must always concur in the act that injured him; it is not always easy to ascertain the exact limits of his agency, so as to know precisely how far he was deceived. This, at least, is seldom to be done without an enquiry and discussion, liable to many legal delays, and eludible by many artifices. The redress, therefore, is often more pernicious than the injury; and while the robber lurks in secret, or slies for his life, the man of fraud holds up his head with considence, enjoys the fruits of his iniquity with security, and bids desiance to detection and to punishment.

But this triumph, however he may escape human judicatures, must end with his life. The time will come, and will come quickly, when he that has defrauded his neighbour must stand before the Judge of all the earth, a Judge whom he caunot deceive; and before whom, whatever he has taken wrongfully, without restitution, and without repentance, will lie heavy on his foul.

Let him, therefore, that has stolen, sheal no more! Let him who has gained by fraud, repent and restore, and live and die in the exercise of honesty!

## SERMON XIX.

### B CORINTHIANS, ix. 7.

Every man according as he purposeth in his heart so let him give, not grudgingly, or of necessity, for God loveth a cheerful giver.

THE frequency with which the duty of alms-giving has of late been recommended; the perfpicuity with which it has, on many occasions, been explained; the force of argument by which its necessity has been proved to the reason, and the ardour of zeal with which it has been impressed upon the passions, make it reasonable to believe that it is now generally understood, and that very sew of those who frequent the public worship, and attend with proper diligence to instruction, can receive much information with regard to the excellence and importance of this virtue.

But as most of the crimes and miseries of our lives arise rather from negligence than ignorance; as those obligations which are best known are sometimes, from the security to which the consciousness of our knowledge naturally betrays us, most easily forgotten; and as the impressions which are made upon the heart, however strong or durable they may at first appear, are easily weakened by time, and essayed by the perpetual succession of other objects, which crowd the memory and distract the attention; it is necessary that this great duty should be frequently explained, that our ardour should be rekindled by new motion, our conviction awakened by new persuasions, and our minds enlightened by frequent repetitions

ot the instructions which, if not recollected, must quickly lose their effect.

Every man who has either applied himself to the examination of his own conduct with care proportioned to the importance of the enquiry, or indulged himself in the more frequent employment of inspecting the behaviour of others, has had many opportunities of observing with how much difficulty the precepts of religion are long preferved in their full force; how intentibly the ways of virtue are fortaken, and into what depravity those, who trust too much to their own strength, sometimes fall, by neglecting to press forward, and to confirm their resolution by the same methods as they at first ex-Innumerable temptations continually furround us, and innumerable obstructions oppose us. We are fulled with indolence, we are feduced by pleasure, we are perverted by bad examples, and we are betrayed by our own hearts. No fooner do we, in compliance either with the vanities or the business of life, relax our attention to the doctrines of piety. than we grow cold and indifferent, dilatory and negligent. When we are again called to our duty, we find our minds entangled with a thousand objections; /we are ready to plead every avocation, however trifling, as an exemption from the necessity of holy practices; and, because we readily satisfy ourselves with our excuses, we are willing to imagine that we shall fatisfy God, the God of infinite holiness and justice, who fees the most fecret motions of our minds, who penetrates through all our hypocrify, and upon whom difinclination can be never imposed for inability.

With regard to the duty of charity, it is too common for men, of avaricious and worldly dispositions, to imagine that they may be saved without compliance with a command so little agreeable to their inclinations; and therefore, though perhaps they cannot always resist the force of argument, or repel conviction at its first assault, yet, as they do not willingly suffer their minds to dwell upon reasonings which they scarcely wish to be true, or renew, by frequent recollection, that

fense of their duty which they have received, they quickly relapse into their former sordid insensibility, and, by indulging every consideration which can be applied to the justification of partimony, harden their hearts, and withhold their hands; and while they see the anguish of misery, and hear the cries of want, can pass by without pity and without regard; and without even feeling any reproaches from their hearts, pray to God for that mercy which they have shemselves denied to their fellow beings.

One of the pleas which is alleged in justification of the neglect of charity, is inability to practife it; an excuse, when real, to which no objection can be made; for it cannot be expedled that any man should give to another what he must himfell want in the same degree. But this excuse is too frequently offered by those who are poor only in their own opinion, who have habituated themselves to look on those that are above, rather than on those that are below them, and cannot account themselves rich while they see any richer: men who measure their revenues, not by the wants of nature, but by the demands of vanity; and who have nothing to give, only because they will not diminish any particle of their splendour, nor reduce the pomp of their equipage; who, while their tables are heaped with delicacies, and their houses crowded with festal assemblies, suffer the poor to languish in the streets in miseries and in want, complain that their fortunes are not equal to the generofity of their minds, and applated their own inclinations to charity and mercy; inclinations which are never exerted in beneficence, because they cannot spare any thing from their appetites and their pride,

Others there are who frequently delight to dwell upon the excellency of charity, and profess themselves ready to comply with its precepts whenever proper objects shall be proposed, and an opportunity of proper application shall be tound; but they pretend that they are so well informed, with regard to the perversion of charity, and discover so many ill effects of indistinguishing and careless liberality, that they are They are fornetimes afraid of encouraging idlenels, and formetimes of countenancing imposture, and so readily find objections to every method of charity that can be mentioned to them, that their good anclinations are of very little advantage to the rest of mankind; but, however, they congratulate themselves upon their merit, and still appland that generosity by which calamity was never softened, and by which want never was relieved.

But that all these imaginary pleas may be once more confuted, that the opportunity of charity which Providence has this day put into our hands may not be neglected, and that our alms may be given in such a manner as may obtain acceptance with the great Judge of all the earth, who has promised to shew mercy to the merciful, I shall endeavour to lay before you.

First, The importance and necessity of the practice of charity.

Secondly, The disposition of mind which is necessary tomake our alms acceptable to God.

Thirdly, The reasonableness of laying hold on the present opportunity for the exercise of our charity.

And, first, I shall endeavour to shew the importance and necessity of the practice of charity. The importance and necessity of charity is so evident, that as it might be hoped that no proof could be necessary, so it is difficult to produce any arguments which do not occur of themselves to every restonable and attentive mind. For whither can we turn our thoughts, or direct our eyes, where we shall not find some motive to the exercise of charity.

If we look up to heaven, which we have been taught to confider as the particular residence of the Supreme Being, we find there our Creator, our Preserver, and our Judge; our Creator, whose infinite power gave us our existence, and who has taught us, by that gilt, that bounty is agreeable to his nature; our Preserver, of whose assistance and protection we

ere, every day and every moment, in need, and whose favour we can hope to secure only by imitating his goodness, and endeavouring the affishance and protection of each other; and our Judge, who has already declared that the merciful shall obtain mercy, and that in the awful day in which every man shall be recompensed according to his works, he that foweth sparingly shall reap also sparingly.

If we cast our eyes over the earth, and extend our observations through the lystem of human beings, what shall we find but scenes of misery, and innumerable varieties of calamity and diffress, the pains of sickness, the wounds of casualty, the gripings of hunger, and the cold of nakedness; wretches wandering without an habitation, exposed to the contempt of the proud, and the infults of the cruel, goaded forward, by the flings of poverty, to dishonest acts, which perhaps relieve their present misery, only to draw some more dreadful distress upon them? And what are we taught by all these different flates of unhappiness? what, but the necessity of that virtue by which they are relieved; by which the orphan may be supplied with a father, and the widow with a defender; by which nakedness may be clothed, and sickness set free from adventitious pains; the stranger solaced in his wanderings, and the hungry restored to vigour and to ease.

If we turn from these melancholy prospects, and cast our eyes upon ourselves, what shall we find, but a precarious and frail being, surrounded on every side with danger, and besieged with miseries and with wants? miseries, which we cannot avert by our own power, and wants which our own abilities cannot supply. We perceive ourselves wholly unable to stand alone, and compelled to solicit, every moment, the assistance of our fellow-creatures; whom perhaps our Maker enables us at present to repay by mutual kindness, but whom we know not how soon we may be necessitated to implore, without the capacity of returning their beneficence.

This reflection furely ought immediately to convince us

of the necessity of Charity. Produce, even without religion, ought to admonifh every one to affift the helpless, and relieve the wretched that, when the day of diffress shall come upons him, he may confidently afk that affiftance, which he him-fell, in his prosperity, never did deny.

As it has pleafed God to place us in a flate in which we are furrounded with innumerable temptations; to it has pleafed him, on many occasions, to afford us temporal incitements ro virtue, as a counterbalance to the allurements of fin ; and to let before us rewards which may be obtained, and panishments which may be fuffered, before the final determination of our future flate. As charity is one of our most important duries. we are preffed to its practice by every principle of fecular, as well as religious, wildom; and no man can fuffer himself to be diffinguished for hardness of heart, without danger of leek ing the confequence of his wickedness in his present flate: because no man can becure to himself the continuance of riches, or of power; nor can prove, that he shall not himself want the affiftance which he now denies, and perhaps be compelled to implore it from those whose petition he now rejects. and whole mileries he now infults. Such is the initability of human affairs, and so frequently does God affert his government of the world, by exalting the low, and depretting the powerful.

If we endeavour to confult higher wisdom than our own, with relation to this duty, and examine the opinions of the rest of mankind, it will be found, that all the nations of the earth, however they may differ with regard to every other tenet, yet agree in the celebration of benevolence, as the most amiable disposition of the heart, and the foundation of all hisp-piness. We shall find that, in every place, men are loved stod honoured in proportion to the gifts which they have contexted upon mankind, and that nothing but Chariry can recommend one man to the affection of another.

But if we appeal, as is undoubtedly reasonable and Just, from human wisdom to divine, and search the Holy Scrip-

tures, to fettle our notions of the importance of this duty, we shall need no further incitements to its practice; for every part of that facred volume is filled with precepts that direct, or examples that inculcate it; the practice of hospitality among the Patriarchs, the confidence of Job, amidst his afflictions, arising from the remembrance of his former charity; the precepts of the Prophets, and the conduct of the holy men of all simes, concur to enforce the duty of attending to the cries of misery, and endeavouring to relieve the calamities of life.

But furely all farther proof will be superseded, when the declaration of our blessed Redeemer is remembered, who has condescended to inform us that those who have shewn mercy shall find mercy from him, that the practice of charity will be the great test by which we shall be judged, and that those, and those only, who have given food to the hungry, and raiment to the naked, shall, at the final doom, be numbered by the Son of God amongst the blessed of his Father.

There can nothing more be added to shew the necessity of the practice of charity; for what can be expected to move him, by whom everlasting felicity is disregarded; and who hears, without emotion, never-ending miseries threatened by Omnipotence? It therefore now remains that we enquire,

Secondly, How we may practife this duty in a manner pleafing to him who commanded it; or what disposition of mind is necessary to make our alms acceptable to God.

Our Saviour, as he has informed us of the necessity of charity, has not omitted to teach us likewise how our acts of charity are to be performed. And from his own precepts, and those of his apostles, may be learned all the cautions necessary to obviate the deceit of our own hearts, and to preserve us from falling into sollies dangerous to our souls, while we imagine ourselves advancing in the savour of God.

We are commanded by Jelus Christ, when we give our alms, to divest ourselves of pride, vain glory, and desire of applause; we are sorbidden to give that we may be seen of

men, and instructed so to conduct our charity that it may be known to our Father which seeth in secret. By this precept it is not to be understood that we are forbidden to give alms in public, or where we may be seen of men; for our Saviour has also commanded, that our light should so shine before men that they may see our good works, and glorify our Father which is in heaven. The meaning therefore of this text is not that we should sorbear to give alms in the sight of men, but that we should not suffer the presence of men to act as the motive to our charity, nor regard their praise as any object to our wishes; a precept surely reasonable; for how can that act be virtuous which depends not upon our own choice, but upon that of others, and which we should not have performed if we had not expected that they would have applauded it?

Of the same kind, though somewhat different in its immediate and literal acceptation, is the instruction contained in the text, in which we are taught, by St. Paul, that every man ought to give according to the purpose of his own heart, not grudgingly, or of necessity; by which it is commanded that we should, as our Saviour had already taught us, lay aside, in the distribution of our alms, all regard to human authority; that we should give according to the purpose of our own hearts, without respect to solicitation or instruence; that we should give, because God has commanded, and give cheerfully as a proof of ready and uncompelled obedience; obedience uncompelled by any other motive than a due sense of our dependence upon the universal Lord, and the reasonableness of observing the law of Him by whom we were created.

There are likewise other rules to be observed in the practice of charity, which may be gathered, at least consequentially, from the holy scriptures; and which the common pradence of mankind at the same time evidently prescribes. It is necessary that, in bestowing our alms, we should endeavour to promote the service of God, and the general happiness of society, and, therefore, we ought not to give them, without enquiry into the ends for which they are desired; we ought

not to suffer our beneficence to be made inflrumental to the encouragement of vice, or the support of idleness; because what is thus squandered may be wanted by others who would use our kindness to better purposes, and who, without our also states, would perhaps perish.

Another precept, too often neglected, which yet a generous and elevated mind would naturally think highly necessary to be observed, is, that alms should be given in such a manner as may be most pleasing to the person who receives them; that our charity should not be accompanied with insults, nor followed by reproaches; that we should, whenever it is possible, spare the wretched the unnecessary, the mortifying pain of recounting their calamities and representing their distress; and when we have relieved them we should never upbraid them with our kindnels, nor recal their affiliations to their minds by cruel and unreasonable admonitions to gratitude or industry. He only confers savours generously who appears, when they are once conferred, to remember them no more.

Poverty is in itself sufficiently afflictive, and to most minds the pain of wanting assistance is scarcely balanced by the pleasure of receiving it. The end of charity is to mitigate calamities; and he has little title to the reward of mercy who afflicts with one hand while he succours with the other. But this fault, like many others, arises from pride, and from the desire of temporal rewards. Men either sorget the common nature of humanity, and therefore reproach others with those missortunes to which they are themselves equally subject; or they expect, from the gratitude or applause of those whom they benefit, that reward which they are commanded to hope only from their Father which is in heaven.

Such are the rules of charity, and such the cautions required, to make our alms pleasing to him in whose name they ought to be given; and, that they may be now given not grudgingly, or of necessity, but with that cheerfulness which the apostle recommends as necessary to draw down the love of God upon those by whom they are bestowed, let us consider,

Thirdly, The realonableness of laying hold on the present opportunity for the exercise of our charity,

It is just that we should consider every opportunity of pertorming a good action as the gift of God, one of the chief gifts which God bestows upon man, in his present state, and endeavour to improve the blessing, that it may not be withdrawn from us, as a talent unemployed; for it is not certain that he, who neglects this call to his duty, will be permitted to live, till he hears another. It is likewise reasonable to seize this opportunity, because perhaps none can be afforded of more useful or beneficial charity, none in which all the various purposes of charity are more compendiously united.

It cannot be faid that, by this charity, idleness is encouraged; for those who are to be benefited by it are at present incapable of labour, but hereafter designed for it. Nor can it be said that vice is countenanced by it, for many of them cannot yet be vicious. Those who now give, cannot bestow their alms for the pleasure of hearing their charity acknowledged, for they who shall receive it will not know their benefactors.

The immediate effect of alms given on this occasion, is not only lood to the hungry, and clothes to the naked, and an habitation to the deflitute, but what is of more lasting advantage, instruction to the ignorant.

He that supports an infant, enables him to live here: but he that educates him, assists him in his passage to an happier state, and prevents that wickedness which is, if not the necessary, yet the frequent consequence of unenlightened in tancy and vagrant poverty.

Nor does this charity terminate in the persons upon whom it is conserred, but extends its influence through the whole state, which has very frequently experienced, how much is to be dreaded from men, bred up without principles, and without employment. He who begs in the street, in his infancy, learns only how to rob there in his manhood: and it is certainly very apparent, with how much less difficulty evils are prevented than remedied.

But though we should suppose, what reason and experirience fufficiently disprove, that poverty and ignorance were calamities to those only on whom they fall, yet furely the sense of their mifery might be fufficient to awaken us to compaffion. For who can hear the cries of a naked infant, without remembering that he was himself once equally naked, equally helpless? Who can see the disorders of the ignorant, without remembering that he was born as ignorant as they? And who can forbear to reflect, that he ought to bestow on others those benefits which he received himself? Who, that shall see piety and wildom promoted by his beneficence, can wish, that what he gave for fuch uses had been employed in any other manner? As the Apostle exhorts to hospitality, by observing that some have entertained Angels unawares, let us animate ourselves to this charity, by the hopes of educating Saints. Let us endeavour to reclaim vice, and to improve innocence to holinels; and remember that the day is not far diffant, in which our Saviour has promifed to confider our gift to thefe little ones as given to himself; and that they who have turned many to rightcousness shall shine forth as the sun, for ever and SULT.

# SERMON XX.

#### 8 PETER iii. g.

Knowing this first, that there shall come in the last days Scoffers, walking after their own lusts.

A Very little acquaintance with human nature will inform us, that there are few men who can patiently bear the imputation of being in the wrong; and that there is no action, how unreasonable or wicked soever it-be, which those, who are guilty of it, will not attempt to vindicate, though perhaps, by such a delence as aggravates the crime.

It is indeed common for men to conceal their faults, and gratify their passions in secret, and especially, when they are first initiated in vice, to make use rather of artifice and distimulation, than audaciousness and effrontery. But the arts of hypocrify are, in time, exhausted, and some unhappy circumstance defeats those measures which they had laid for preventing a discovery. They are at length suspected, and by that curiofity which suspicion always excites, closely pursued, and openly detected. It is then too late to think of deceiving mankind by falle appearances, nor does any thing remain, but to avow boldly what can be no longer denied. is called in to the affiftance of immorality; and the centures which cannot be escaped, must be openly defied. /Wickedness is in itself timorous, and naturally skulks in coverts and in darkness, but grows surious by despair, and, when it can fly no farther, turns upon the purfuer.

Such is the flate of a man abandoned to the indulgence of

vicious inclinations. He justifies one crime by another; invents wicked principles to support wicked practices; endeavours rather to corrupt others, than own himself corrupted, and, to avoid that shame which a confession of his crimes would bring upon him, calls evil good, and good zvil, puts darkness for light, and light for darkness. He endeavours to trample upon those laws which he is known not to observe, to scots at those truths which, if admitted, have an evident tendency to convict his whole behaviour of folly and absurdity, and, from having long neglected to obey God, rises at length into rebellion against him.

That no man ever became abandoned at once, is an old and common observation, which, like other affertions founded on experience receives new confirmation by length of time. A man ventures upon wickedness, as upon waters with which He looks upon them with horror, and he is unacquainted. fhudders at the thought of quitting the shore, and committing his life to the inconflancy of the weather; but, by degrees, the fcene grows familiar, his aversion abates, and is succeeded by curiofity. He launches out with fear and caution, always anxious and apprehensive, lest his vessel should be dashed against a rock, sucked in by a quick-land, or hurried by the currents beyond fight of thore. But his fears are daily leffening, and the deep becomes less formidable. In time he lofes all lense of danger, ventures out with full security, and roves without inclination to return; till he is driven into the boundless ocean, toffed about by the tempests, and at last swallowed by the waves.

Most men have, or once had, an esseem and reverence for virtue, and a contempt and abhorrence of vice; of which, whether they were impressed by nature, implanted by education, or deduced and settled by reason, it is at present of very little importance to enquire. Such these notions are, however they were originally received, as reason cannot but adopt and strengthen, and every man will treely contess that reason

ought to be the rule of his conduct. Whoever therefore recedes, in his practice, from rules of which he allows the obligation, and fuffers his passions to prevail over his opinions, seels at first a secret resuctance, is conscious of some form of violence done to his intellectual powers; and though he will not deny himself that pleasure which is present before him, or that single gratification of his passions, he determines, or thinks he determines, that he will yield to no future temptation, that he will hereaster reject all the solicitation of his appetites, and live in such a manner as he should applaud in others, and as his own conscience should approve in himselt.

Perhaps every man may recollect that this was the temper of his mind when he first permitted himself to deviate from the known paths of his duty, and that he never torsook them, in the early part of his life, without a design to return to them, and persevere in them; and that, when he was tempted another time, he complied, always with a tacit intention to add but this one more to his offences, and to spend the rest of his life in penitence and obedience. Perhaps there are very many among the most prossigate who frequently still their consciences and animate their hopes with views of a reformation to be sincerely entered upon in some distant period of their lives, who propose to dedicate, at least, their last years to piety, and at some moments give way to wishes that they may some time taste the satisfaction of a good life, and die the death of the righteous.

But these, however given up to their desires and passions, however ignorant of their own weakness, and presumptuously consident of their natural powers, have not yet arrived at the summit of impiety, till they have learned, not only to negless, but to insult religion, not only to be vicious, but to scoff at virtue.

This feems to be the last effect of a long continued habit of sin, the strongest evidence of a mind corrupted almost beyond hope of a recovery. Wickedness in this state seems to have extended its power from the passions to the understand-

ing. Not only the defire of doing well is extinguished, but the discernment of good and evil obliterated and destroyed. Such is the intatuation produced by a long course of obstinate guilt.

Not only our speculations influence our practice, but our practice reciprocally influences our speculations. We not only do what we approve, but there is danger less in time we come to approve what we do, though for no other reason but that we do it. A man is always desirous of being at peace with himself; and when he cannot reconcile his passions to his conscience, he will attempt to reconcile his conscience to his passions; he will find reason for doing what he is resolved to do, and rather than not walk after his own lusts, will scoff at religion.

These scoffers may be divided into two distinct classes, to be addressed in a very different manner: those whom a conflant prosecution of their lusts has deluded into a real disbeliet of religion, or diverted from a serious examination of it; and those who are convinced of the truth of revelation, but affect to contemn and ridicule it from motives of interest or vanity.

I shall endeavour therefore to evince,

First, The folly of scotting at religion in those who doubt the truth of it. And,

Secondly, The wickedness of this practice in those who believe it.

First, I shall endeavour to evince the fully of scotting at religion in those who doubt the truth of it.

Those who in reality disbelieve, or doubt of religion, however negligent they may be in their enquiries after truth, generally profess the highest reverence for it, the sincerest desire to discover it, and the strongest resolutions to adhere to it. They will frequently affert, and with good reason, that every man is valuable in proportion to his love of truth; that man enjoys the power of reason for this great end, that he may distinguish truth from falsehood; that not to search for it is the most criminal laziness, and not to declare it, in opposition to the frowns of power, or the prejudices of ignorance, the most despicable cowardice.

When they declaim on this during fabject, they feldom fail to take the opportunity of throwing out keen invectives against bigory; bigotry, that volumery bindness, that lavish submission to the notions of others, which stackles the powers of the foul, and retards the progress of scason; that cloud, which intercepts our views, and throws a stade over the light of truth.

Such is the discourse of these men; and who, that hears it, would not expect from them the mod disisterested impartiality, the most unwearied assistance, and the mod candid and sober attention to any thing proposed as an argument upon a subject worthy of their study? Who would not imagine that they made it the grand business of their lives to carry the art of reasoning to its greated height, to enlighten the understanding of the ignorant by plain instructions enforced with solid arguments, and to establish every important with upon the most certain and unshaken principles?

There seems to be nothing more inconfishent with so philosophical a character than careless vivacity and airy levity. The talents which quality a man for a disputant and a busion seem very different; and an unprejudiced person would be inclined to form contrary ideas of an argument and a jest.

Study has been hitherto thought necessary to knowledge, and study cannot well be successfully prosecuted, without solitude and seisure. It might therefore be conserved that this called seet is above the low employments and empty anuscements of vulgar minds; that they avoid every thing which may interrupt their meditations, or perplex their idea; and that, therefore, whoever stands in need of their instanctions must seek them in privacies and retirements, in defeats or in cells.

But these men have discovered, is seems, a more compendious way to knowledge. They decide the most momentous wellians smidt the julity of leasts, and the excelles of riot. They have found that an adverlary is more easily filenced than confuted. They infult instead of vanquishing their antagonists, and decline the battle to hasten to the triumph.

It is an established maxim among them, that he who ridiacules an opinion consutes it. For this reason they make no scruple of violating every sule of decency, and treating with the utmost contempt whatever is accompand venerable or facted.

For this conduct they admire themselves, and go on applauding their own abilities, celebrating the victories they gain over their grave opponents, and loudly boatting their superiority to the advocates for religion.

As humility is a very necessary qualification for an examiner into religion, it may not be improper to depress the arrogance of these haughty champions, by shewing with how little justice they lay claim to vistory, and how much less they deserve to be applauded than despited.

There are two circumflances which, either fingle or united, make any ausinments estimable among men. The first is the usefulness of it to society. The other is the capacity or application necessary for acquiring it.

If we confider this art of scoffing with regard to either of these, we shall not find great reason to envy or admire it. It requires no depth of knowledge, or intenseness of thought. Contracted notions and superficial views are sufficient for a man who is ambitious only of being the author of a jest. That man may laugh who cannot reason; and he that cannot comprehend a demonstration may turn the terms to ridicule.

This method of controverly is, indeed, the general refuge of those whose idleness or incapacity disables them from producing any thing solid or convincing. They who are certain of being confuted and exposed in a sober dispute, imagine that by returning scurrility for reason, and by laughing most loudly when they have least to say, they shall shelter their ignorance from detection, and supply with impudence what they want in knowledge.

Nor will the possessions of this boasted talent of ridicule appear more to deserve respect on account of their usefulness to mankind. These gay fallies of imagination, when confined to proper subjects, and restrained within the bounds of decency, are of no farther use to mankind than to divert, and can have no higher place in our esteem than any other art that terminates in mere amusement.

But when men treat ferious matters ludicroufly, when they fludy, not for truth, but for a jest, when they unite the most awful and most trifling ideas, only to tickle the imagination with the surprise of novelty, they no longer have the poor merit of diverting; they raise always either horror or contempt, and hazard their highest interest without even the low recompence of present applause.

That they hazard their highest interest can hardly be denied, when they determine, without the most scrupulous examination, those questions which relate to a future state; and none certainly are less likely to discuss these questions with the care which they require, than those who accustom themselves to continual levity.

The mind, long vitiated with trifles, and entertained with wild and unnatural combinations of ideas, becomes in a fhort time unable to support the fatigue of reasoning; it is disgusted with a long succession of solemn images, and retires from ferious meditation and stresome labour, to gayer fancies and less difficult employments.

Besides, he that has prassifed the art of silencing others with a jest, in time learns to satisfy himself in the same manner. It becomes unnecessary to the tranquillity of his own mind to consute an objection; it is sufficient for him it he can ridicule it.

Thus he foon grows indifferent to truth or fallehood, and almost incapable of discerning one from the other. He considers eternity itself as a subject for mirth, and is equally ludications upon all occasions.

What delusions, what bigotry is equal to this! Men neg-

lest to search after eternal happinels for sear of being interrupted in their mirth! If others have been milled, they have been missed by their reverence for great authorities, or by strong prejudices of education. Such errors may be extenuated, and perhaps excused. They have at least something plausible to plead, and their afferters act with some shew of reason. But what can the most extensive charity allege in favour of those men who, if they perish everlastingly, perish by their attachment to merriment and their considence in a jest î

It is aftonishing that any man can forbear enquiring seriously, whether there is a Gon; whether God is just; whether this life is the only state of existence; whether God has appointed rewards and punishments in a suture state; whether he has given any laws for the regulation of our conduct here; whether he has given them by revelation; and whether the religion publicly taught carries any mark of divine appointment. These are questions which every reasonable being ought undoubtedly to consider with an attention suitable to their importance; and he whom the consideration of eternal happiness or misery cannot awaken from his pleasing dreams, cannot prevail upon to suspend his mirth, surely ought not to despise others for dullness and stupidity.

Let it be remembered that the nature of things is not alterable by our conduct. We cannot make truth; it is our business only to find it. No proposition can become more or less certain, or important, by being considered or neglected. It is to no purpose to wish, or to suppose that to be false which is in itself true, and therefore to acquiesce in our own wishes and suppositions, when the matter is of eternal consequence, to believe obstinately without grounds of belief, and to determine without examination, is the last degree of folly and absurdity. It is not impossible that he who acts in this manner may obtain the approbation of madmen like himself, but he will incur the contempt of every wise man; and, what is more to be feared, amidst his security and supineness, his fallies and his slights, He that sitteth in the heavens shall laugh him to scorn; the Lord shall have him in derision.

Thus have I endeardured to give a faint idea of the folly of those who seem at religion, because they disbelieve, and, by seeming, harden themselves in their disbelief. But I shall be yet more unable to describe, in a proper manner, what I am to thension in the second place,

The wickedness of those that believe religion, and yet detide it from motives of interest or vanity.

This is a degree of guilt against which it might feem, at the first view, supersuous to preach, because it might be thought ithpossible that it should ever be committed; as, in ancient state, no punishment was decreed for the murderer of his lather, because it was imagined to be a crime not incident to human mature. But experience taught them, and teaches us, that wickedness may swell beyond imagination, and that there are no limits to the madness of impiety.

For a man to revile and infult that God whole power he allows, to ridicule that revelation of which he believes the authority divine, to dare the vengeance of omnipotence, and cry, am not I in sport! is an infatuation incredible, a degree of madness without a name. Yet there are men who, by walking after their own luffs, and indulging their passions, have reached this stupendous height of wickedness. They have dared to teach salichoods which they do not themselves believe, and to expinguish in others that conviction which they cannot suppress in themselves.

The motive of their proceeding is sometimes a desire of promoting their own pleasures by procuring accomplices in vice. Man is so far formed for society, that even solitary wickedness quickly disgusts; and debauchery requires its combinations and consederacies, which, as intemperance diministies their numbers, must be filled up with new profesytes.

Let those who practise this dreadful method of depraving the morals and ensuring the soul, consider what they are engraged in! Let them consider what they are promoting, and what means they are employing! Let them pause, and reflect a little, before they do an injury that can never be repaired, before they take away what cannot be restored; before they corrupt the heart of their companion by perverting his opinions, before they lead him into sin, and by destroying his reverence for religion, take away every motive to repentance, and all the means of reformation!

This is a degree of guilt, before which robbery, perjury and murder vanish into nothing. No mischief, of which the consequences terminate in our present state, bears any proportion to the crime of decoying our brother into the broad way of eternal misery, and stopping his ears against that holy voice that recals him to salvation.

What must be the anguish of such a man when he becomes sensible of his own crimes! How will he bear the thought of having promoted the damnation of multitudes by the propagation of known delusions! What lasting contrition, what severe repentance must be necessary for such deep and such accumulated guilt! Surely if blood be required for blood, a soul shall be required for a soul.

There are others who deride religion for the fake of displaying their own imaginations, of following the fashion of a corrupt and licentious age, or gaining the friendship of the great, or the applause of the gay. How mean must that wretch be who can be overcome by such temptations as these! Yet there are men who sell that soul which God has formed for infinite telicity, defeat the great work of their redemption, and plunge into those pains which shall never end, less they should lose the patronage of villains, and the praise of soois.

I suppose those, whom I am now speaking of, to be in themselves sufficiently convinced of the truth of the Scriptures, and may therefore, very properly, lay before them the threatenings denounced by God against their condust.

It may be useful to them to reflect betimes on the danger of fearing man rather than God; to consider that it shall avail a man nothing, if he gain the whole world, and lose his own foul; and that whoever shall be askamed of his Saviour before

### [ 161 ]

men, of him will his Saviour be afhamed before his Father which is in heaven.

That none of us may be in the number of those unhappy persons who thus scoff at the means of grace, and relinquish the hope of glory, may God, of his infinite mercy, grant, through the merits of that Saviour who hath brought life and immortality to light!

#### SERMON XXI.

### PSALM CXIV. 4.

The Lard is good to all, and his tender mercies are over all his works.

N this devous, masterly, and useful performance, the Au, ther appears deeply sensible of the divine greatness, and perculiarly transported with contemplating God's infinite goodness; even to that degree, that he cheerfully engages in, and absolutely devotes himself to, the very important service of adoring and obeying this Almighty, unbounded, and most benevolent Being.

This his religion, as he intimates, was founded apon the most folid ground of realon; for, as the great Father and Lord of all is certainly matchless, and unrivalled in majesty and in power, so is he difinterested, wonderful, and glorious, in bounty and compassion; averse and slow to anger, but ready to receive, to savour and reward all who diligently leak, and taithfully serve him. The Lord is good to all, and his tender mermies are over all his works.

In discoursing on this subject, I shall consider,

First, Some arguments that support, or prove it.

Secondly, Illustrate its extensive fignification and import in some remarkable inflances, and conclude with a practical application.

First, I am to consider some arguments that establish this fentiment.

Our great Lord and Master has taught us, that there is none good but one, that is God. By which expression we may un-

derftand, that there is none so perselly difinterefted, so diffufively and to aftonishingly good as God is. For, in another place, he instructs us both how to comprehend, and rely on, this unchangeable and never-failing attribute of the divine nature; relembling it to, or reprefenting it by, an human quality or virtue, namely, the affection and tender regard of parents to their children. If ye then, being evil, know how to give good gifts unto your children, how much more shall your Father, which is in Heaven, give good things to them that ask him? From whence it is obvious to remark, that as the humane and generous man has a peculiar tenderness for his more immediate descendants, and, proportionally to his power and influence, is willing and active to succour and relieve the indigent, to divide eare, lessen milery, and diffuse happiness through the world; inconceivably more affectionate is the eternal Parent unto, and regardful of, all his intelligent creatures, truly disposed, according to their rank of existence, to promote their welfare! and beyond comprehension inclined to conduct them, through the greatest variety of circumstances, to the noblest persection, and the highest degree of felicity. In his righteous and benevolent nature there cannot possibly be the most distant tendency to caprice, severity, or selfishnels; for the multitude of marers, he knows, can never lubtract from his inexhauftible fulnefs. He created to commu-In every evil which he prevents, he is pleafed, and in all the good that he bestows, he glories. His goodness dictated the bellowing of existence, in all its forms and with all its properties. His goodness displays itsell in suffaining and disposing of all things. His goodness connects unnumbered worlds together, in one spacious, vast and unbounded univerle, and embraces every lyftem. His tender mercies are over all his works.

Without goodness, what apprehensions could we entertain of all the other attributes of the Divine Being? Without the utmost extent of benevolence and mercy, they would hardly be perfections or excellencies. And what would an universal

administration produce, in the hands of an evil, or a partial; or malevolent direction, but scenes of horror and devastation? Not affliction and punishment for the sake of discipline and correction, to prevent the offence, or reform the sinner; but treavy judgments and dreadful vengeance, to destroy him; or implacable wrath and siery indignation, to prolong his misery; and extend the duration of his torture through the revolving periods of an endless eternity.

Without the most enlarged notions of an infinite and everlasting goodness in the divine nature, an impenetrable gloom must hang over every mind, and darkness overspread the whole face of being. Neither could any other conceivable fentiment disperse our suspicions, or banish one of our guilty or superstitious fears. For suppose he confined his goodness to a lew, without any reasonable cause or just ground, and we could be fo whimfically partial to ourselves as to conceit. that we were of this felect number: yet there could be no fe--curity of happiness, not even to this little flock. He that chose them by chance, might as accidentally abandon them: and as the former was without reason or goodness, the latter might be without righteoulnels or mercy. Therefore it is infinitely defirable to think, and we are confident of the truth of our idea, that the Lord is good to all, and his tender mercies are over all his works.

For if he be felf-existent, omnipotent, and possessed of pertest liberty; it is be impossible for him ever to err, or mistake, in what is good and fitting, and if he enjoys an infinite ability to effect, with a thought only, what shall always be for the greatest advantage, he must be originally and essentially, immutably and for ever good.

Holy scripture, as if beauty and goodness were synonymous terms, or inteparable qualities, thus describes him: How great is thy goodness! And let the beauty of the Lord our God be upon us. And, as if glory and goodness signified the same thing, you find, Exod. xxxiii. 18, 19, And he said, I beseech thee, show me thy glory. To which the answer is, I will

written in the next chapter, the Lord descended, and proclaimed his name, or published the attributes in which he is peculiarly delighted, what is this diffinguishing name, or what these divine and glorious attributes? The Lord, the Lord God, merciful and gracious, long-suffering, and abundant in goodness and truth, keeping mercy for thousands, forgiving iniquity, transgression and sin. The apostle sums up all these in one word, when he saith, God is love. Which leads me to the second thing proposed,

Namely, to illustrate the extensive signification and import of this subject by some remarkable instances. The Lord is good to all, and his tender mercies are over all his works.

No bounds can be fixed to the divine presence, nor is any part of illimitable space without his inspection and active influence. There is nothing remote, or obscure to him, nor any exceptions to his favour among all the works of his hands. Far and wide then as is the vast range of existence, so is the divine benevolence extended; and both in the previous trial, and final retribution, of all his rational and moral productions, the Lord is good to all, and his tender mercies are over all his morks.

In the first place, to illustrate this, we need only to take a transient view of the outworks of the visible creation, a general survey of the nature and correspondence of the various pasts of this regular and grand machine, this finished and suppendous fabrick, in which every thing is contrived and concluded for the best.

For do but imagine an appetite, or faculty altered; or a change in the object prepared to gratify it, in any respect. Suppose a material alteration, or confiderable difference in nature, and we shall easily perceive it would be a manifold disadvantage, either to individuals, or to the whole. Suppose the earth otherwise than it is, or the atmosphere and surrounding air to be varied, and in any degree more rarefied or more condensed; suppose the element of water greatly increased.

or confiderably diminished; or the sun's blazing orb fixed mearer, and its vertical beams therefore stronger, or suppose it more remote, and its heat sensibly abated, the alteration would be a missortune, if the difference did not terminate in milery and destruction. So that from the present adjustment, proportion and accommodation of all matters in the wide creation, the consequence is fairly drawn, and very evident, that God is good to all, and his tender mercies are over all his works.

This is certain of the whole of God's works, and is peculiarly apparent in man, the principal inhabitant of this earth. For, as his welfare, dignity and fatisfaction, nay his happiness, and even the end of his being, depend on, and wrife from, his tegularity and confiancy in virtue, what an infinite concern hath the Deity expressed about it? What, that can conside with liberty, both been omitted by supreme wildom, in this most important affair? To incline him to be moderate in all his gratifications, true pleafure proceeds from nothing elfe. To keep off intemperate indulgence, and to guard him against all voluntuous excesses, it is so ordained, that extravagance and inconvenience are near together, and that vice and pain are, though not immediate and infeparable affociates, never far afunder: and that it is impossible for that foul to be calm and at case, which iniquity has flained, and which impenitent guilt corrodes.

The parts of man's body are wonderfully deligned, and eurioufly confirured; regularly disposed of, and most accurately proportioned for the fasety and advantage of the whole. As apt as we may be to quarrel with our nature, suppose an inflinit was struck out of our frame, or a single passion taken from us; suppose our senses any ways altered, by being either strengthened, or impaired; or even reason refined and abstracted to such a degree as to render us wholly negligent of food and raiment, necessary exercises, and secular concerns; in any of these instances, the imaginary emendation would be a real desiciency, and a proportionable deduction from the moment and quantity of our happiness.

It is evidently the same with respect to all the other creatures we are acquainted with. Their nature and condition, their qualities and circumstances, are so adapted to one another that, as the intellectual powers of a being of a more exalted nature would not probably suit an inhabitant of this lower world, so neither would the capacities of human nature guide the sowls of the air, or condust the beasts of the field to so much happiness as they find by following the motions and impulses of sense and instinct. And it restection, enlarged ideas, and moral discrimination be denied them, it is plainly because they would be a burthen and a missortune, rather than a benefit to them.

But these universal notices and undeniable testimonies of divine goodness throughout the animated regions of earth, see and air, in the propriety and suitableness of creatures to their state, and objects to their appetites, are too evident and obvious to all men to need enlargement. God's works are all wonderful; and in wisdom and with goodness hath he made them.

Secondly, this attribute is likewise illustriously displayed in the divine providence and government of the creation, though our faculties are too limited and scanty, and our views too narrow and imperfect, to trace its secret and mysterious ways.

An omnipotent support, and a pertectly wise direction, are evident in the laws established, and regularly observed through all the divine productions in heaven above, or on the earth beneath. Neither have the most celebrated philosophers been able, with all their boasted sagacity, and after all their laborious researches into the volume of nature, to assign any other cause, but an invisible agency, and an immediate energy of providence, for mutual attraction in bodies, and the determination of all portions of matter to their centre; for the great strength of appetite, instinct, and sagacity, in animals; that the prevalence and continuance thereof should be so pressifely and exactly commensurate to the occasions which re-

spaire them, and that they should be no longer urgent than for the time necessary, as in the assection for their young. All which do greatly illustrate the wisdom and goodness of God's administration and superimending care.

Holy writ elegantly and emphatically deferibes the excellence of goodness in the divine providence in various places, particularly in this plaim, of which my text is a part. eyes of all wait upon thee : thou giveft them their meat in due Thou openess thine hand, and fatisfiest the desires of every living thing. Behold (laith our bleffed Saviour) the fouls of the air, for they fow not, neither do they reap, nor gather into barns: yet your heavenly Father seedeth them. Confider the tilies of the field, how they grow : they toil not, neither do they fpin ; and yet I fay unto you, that Solomon in all his glary was not arrayed like one of thefe. Not one individual can be so minute and inconsiderable as to escape the notice of Heaven's all-furveying eye; nor one fo importantly large, and feemingly fell fufficient as to fublift a moment without the divine support. By him all things config : The Lord is good to all, and his tender mercies are over all his works.

But Mass appears the diffinguished charge of the beneficent Creator; and unless providence had connected rational beings by the peculiar ffrong ties of mutual obligation, perperual dependency, and inseparable interest, they would, of all creatures, be the most destitute and miserable; for there is not one that in the first stages of its existence is so totally helpfels. and absolutely insufficient for its own preservation, support, Therefore parental tenderness is both or defence, as man. early and passionate, permanent and lasting. Our focial difpositions and affections are enlarged to the utmost limits, and continue with us in the concluding decays, and last end of this mortal life; that we may always love one another and glorify the Lord who is good to all, and whose tender mercies are over all his works.

The consequences in the last place, which result from the D d

ataments, you have beard, are to obvious, that I make no doubt but your own thoughts have already anticipated them-Ingratitude among men hath, in every age, and in every segion of the earth, been an object not general detellation, and universally accounted a glaring indication of depraying of heart. If the case stand thus among mortals, whose common interests require a reciprocation of kindness and beneficence. how greatly is the crime aggravated, when it is committed against that being, whose goodness towards the sons of men is perfectly difinterested. The exertions of Divine Providence in our behalf tend tolely to our own welfare; nor can any thing we do in return contribute, in the smallest degree, to the augmentation of the happiness of the Almighty Benefactor. This unquestionably ought to be sufficient to exact from us the most prolound veneration, the most servent gratitude. and implicit obedience to his facred laws.

David, after having enumerated the tender mercies of God. is penetrated with the strongest sente of devotion. My mouth (he exclaims) shall speak the praise of the Lord: and let all flesh bless his holy name for ever and ever. Such was the tribste which the royal plalmist thought due to the Deity for the creation and prefervation of man. The debt is accumulated to us in an infinite proportion; for while we are bounden to the same return for the same benefits voluntarily conserred upon us, a grander obligation is superadded to that for the means of grace, and for the hope of glery. Were the mercies of the Lord limited to the tenure of our present existence, great and glorious as they are, the human mind would be clouded by the confciousness that a very sew years must extlude us for ever from the participation of them. the gracious rays of life and immortality have diffinated the gloom that bung upon futurity, fince, by the propitiatory faczifice of the Son of God, death is difarmed of his fling, and the grave deprived of its victory, divine goodness bath received its perfect confummation.

author of our being for those blessings which we enjoy at present, it is no less our highest interest so to use them in this previous state of trial, that we may finally exchange them for those purer and incorruptible treasures reserved for the righteous in the kingdom of heaven.

Which that we may all do, may that Gon who created and preferves us grant, through the merits and mediation of aur Lord and Sayiour Jetus Christ !

and the first of the second of

The first section of the second of the secon

# sich no. S.E.R.M.O.N., XXII.

OOD OF DEED OF THE

FOR INTHIANA, N. SQ.

He that eateth and drinketh unworthity, eateth and drinketh damnation to him/elf.

HE celebration of the facrament is generally acknowledged, by the christian church, to be the highest act of devotion, and the most solemn part of positive religion, and has therefore most engaged the attention of those who either profess to teach the way to happiness or endeavour to learn it, and, like all other subjects frequently discussed by men of various interests, dispositions and capacities, has given rise to various opinions, widely different from each other.

Such is the weakness of mankind, that one error, whether admitted, or detected, is very often the cause of another. Those who reject any opinion, however justly, are commonly incited by their zeal to condemn every position in which they discover any affinity with the tenets which they appose, of which they have been long accustomed to shew the false-hood and the danger, and therefore imagine themselves neares to truth and safety, in proportion as they recede from them. For this reason it sometimes happens that, in passionate contests, and disputations long continued, each controvertist succeeds in the constitution of his adversary's positions, and each fails in the establishment of his own.

In this manner have writers, of different perfusions, treated on the worthiness required of those who partake of the Lord's supper; a quality, not only necessary to procure the savour of God, and to give efficacy to the influttion, but to

skriftly enjoined in the words of the text, that to approach the holy table without it, is no pastvert the means of felvation, and to turn prayer into fin,

The ardour and vehemence with which those are condemned who gat and drink unwanthily, have filled the melancholy, the timordus and the humble with unnecollary terrors, which have been sometimes so much increased by the injudicious send of writers, extensibly pious, that they have conceived the danger of attempting to obey this precept of our Savious more formidable than that of neglecting it, and have spent the greatest part of their dives in the omission of a duty of the highest importance; or, being equally testified on either hand, have dived in anguish and perplexity, under a constant lons of the necolliny of doing what they cannot, in their opinion, do in an acceptable manner, and which of course they shall either do, or omit, at the atmost hazard of eternal happiness.

Such exalted piety, such unshaken virtue, such an uniform ardear of divine affections, and such a constant practice of religious duties have been represented as so indispensibly needs fary to a worthy reception of this sacrament, as sew men have been able to discover in those whom they most esteem for their purity of life, and which no man's conscience will perhaps suffer him to find in himself, and therefore those who know themselves not to have arrived at such elevated excellence, who struggle with passions which they cannot wholly conquer, and bewall informities which yet they perceive to adhere to them, are frighted from an act of devotion of which they have been staught to believe that it is so scarcely to be performed worthily by an embodied spirit, that it requires the believes of langels and the ancomminated raptures of Paratisfe.

Thus it appeared that, instead of being excited to ardent defires of perfection and unwearied endeavours after the utmost height of fancting, not only the sensual and the profligate were hardened in their wickedness, by conceiving a life of piety two dard to be borne, but the diffident and surpulous

were terrified into despair, confidence wightere and confidence analysis as unavailing suigues; remitted their endown, which their ellipsence, and ceased to pursue what they could no hadger hope to entitin.

To remove these doubts, and differ founds and distinctions. doctrines of very different tendency have both title blouby promoted : lower degrees of piety have been declared fullicient, and the dangers of reception bave become xemisted; not have any arts of interpretation been untrible of any coajecture, which fagacity or learning coald product; linea forget. ten, to assign to the words of the text a sense liefs to be direct. ed by the unworthy communicant. | But by these opinions, imprudently inculcated, many have been miled to confider the facrament as little more than a curfory act ob devotion : the exhortations of the apossile have lost their efficacy; and the terrors of the Lord, with which he enforces them: have no longer repressed the licentiquiness of the profigate, or diffurbed the indolence of the supine. | Religion has lank into cere- / mony; God has, without lear, been appreached with the lips, when the heart has been far from him; and the supper of the Lord has been frequented by those of whom it could not be perceived that they were very foliations to avoid the guilt of unworthy communication.

Thus have different interpretations of the fame text produced errors equally dangerous, and which might have been equally obviated, by a careful attention to the nature and inflitution of the facrament, an unprejudiced examination of the position of the apostle, and the comparison of this passage with other comminations; methods of enquiry which; in the explication of doubtful texts of scripture, ought always to be observed, and by which it may be proved, to the comfort of the depressed and the confirmation of the doubtful, that the sin of unworthy reception, though great, is yet to be passoned; and to the restraint of the presumptuous, and consistion of the prosane, that the preparation required is strict, though practicable, and the denunciation such as ought to terrify the negligent, though not discourage the pious.

When eternal punishments are denounced against and crime, it is always evidently the intention of the writer to declare and enforce to thole that are vet innocent, the duty of avoiding them, and to those who have already committed them, the necessity of repentance, reformation and future caution. For it is not the will of God that any thould perith, but that all should repent and be saved. It is not by one act of wickedness that infinite mercy will be kindled to everlasting anger, and the beneficent Father of the universe for ever alienated from his creatures; but by a long course of crimes, deliberately committed against the convictions of conscience and the admonitions of grace; by a life ipent in guilt, and concluded without repentance. No drunkard or extortioner, fays the apostle, shall inherit eternal life. Yet shall no man be excluded from future happiness, by a single instance, or even by long habits of intemperance, or extortion. Repentance and new life will efface his crimes, reinflate him in the layour of his judge, restore him to those promises which he has forseited, and open the paths to eternal happiness.

Such is the crime of unworthy reception of the holy facrament, by which he that eateth and drinketh unworthily, eateth and drinketh damnation to himself; to which no man ear come unprepared, or partake of, it he is divested of the intentions suitable to so solemn a part of divine worship, without adding to the number of his fins, and, by a necessary confequence, to the danger of his foul. But though the foul is, by fuch an act of wickedness, endangered, it is not necessarily destroyed, or irreversibly condemned. He that eateth and drinketh unworthily, contributes, indeed, by eating and drinking, to his own damnation, as he that engages in fraudulent or unlawful commerce may be faid, with great propriety, to traffic for damnation, or to fet his foul to fale; yet as it is certain that fraud is not unpardonable, if it shall afterwards give way to justice, so neither is the profanation of the facrament a crime which the goodness of God cannot forgive, it it be succeeded by true devotion. The whole life of man is a flate of in probation; he is always in danger, and may be always in hope. As no fitter lervours of piety, nor particular acts of beneficence, however exalted, can feestle him from the political political firms of finking that wickednels, for no neglect of devotion, not the commission of any crimes, can preclude the means of grace, or the hope of glory. He that has easen and drank unworthily may enter into falvation, by repensace and amendment; as he that has eaten and drank worthily may, by negligence or prelumption, perish everlastingly.

This account of the guilt of unworthy reception makes it necessary to onquire, whether by the original word in the text be meant, as it is translated, damnation, the eternal plinishments of a future flate; or, as it is more frequently interpreted, condemnation, temporary judgments, or worldly afflictions. For, from either fense, the enormity of the crime, and the anger of God enkindled by it, is sufficiently apparent. Every act of wickedness that is punished with immediate vengeance will, if it be aggravated by repetitions, or not expiated by repentance, incur final condemnation; for temporal punishments are the merciful admonitions of God, to avoid, by a timely change of conduct, that flate in which there is no repentance, and those pains which can have no end. the confident and prefumptuous, though it frould be allowed that only temporal punishments are threatened in the text, are to remember that, without reformation, they will be only aggravations of the crime, and that, at the last day, those who could not be awakened to a just reverence of this divine institution, will be deprived of the benefits of that death, of which it was established as a perpetual commemoration. And those who are depressed by unnecessary terrors, may repel any temptations to despondency, by considering, that the crime of unworthy communication is like all others, only unperdoned where it is unrepented.

Having thus shewn the danger incurred by an unworthy reception of the sacrament, it is necessary to enquire how is may be avoided, and to consider,

.. First, What it is to stat and drink unworthily.

Secondly, By what means a man may become a worthy partaker of the Lord's support.

dis. First I am to confider what it is to eat and drink unwer-

The unworthiness with which the Corinchians are aphraided by the spodle was, in part, such as the present regulated establishment of christianity, and the assistance which religious receives from the civil power, make it unnecessary to centura, since it is not now committed even by the most presumptuous, negligent, or prolane. It was a practice among them to assemble at the boly table in a tunultuous manner, and to celebrate the Eucharist with indecency and riot. But though such open prolanation of this sacred ordinance is not now to be apprehended, and, therefore, no man needs to be cautioned against it, yet the cause which produced it is such as we cannot too anxiously tear, or too diligently avoid; for its influences are various and extensive, and often weaken the efficacy of the facrament, though they produce no apparent disorders in the celebration of it.

The Corinthians fell into this enormous fin, says the apostle, not descerning the Lord's body, for want of discerning the importance and sanctify of the inflitution, and of distinguishing the Lord's body from the common elements of bread and wine exhibited on common occasions of sellive jollity. It is therefore the first duty of every christian to discern the Lord's body, or to impress upon his mind a just idea of this act of commemoration, of the commands by which it is entorced, of the prest facrisce which it represents, and of the benefits which it produces. Without these restections, often repeated, and made habitual by long and servent meditation, every one will be in danger of eating and drinking unworthily, of receivion, the facrament without sufficient veneration, without that arterit gratitude for the death of Christ, and that steady confidence in his merits, by which the facrament is made effica-

.....

cious to his Islvation; for of what use can it be to commenorate the death of the Redeemer of mankind without faith and without thankfulness? Such a celebration of the Sacrament is nothing less than a mockery of God, an act by which we approach him with our lips when our hearts are far from him; and as such infincerity and negligence cannot but be, in a very high degree, criminal, as he that eateth and drinketh thus unworthily cannot but promote his own damnation, it is necessary to enquire,

Secondly, By what means a man may become a worthy partaker of the Lord's supper.

The method by which we are directed by the apollle to prepare ourselves for the facrament, is that of self-examination. which implies a careful regulation of our lives by the rules of the golpel : for to what purpole is our conduct to be examined, but that it may be amended, where it appears erroneous and defective? The daty of examination, therefore, is only mentioned, and repentance and reformation are Supposed, with great reason, inseparable from it; for nothing is more evident than that we are to enquire into the state of our fouls, as into affairs of less importance, with a view to avoid danger, or to fecure happiness. When we enquire with regard to our faith, whether it be fufficiently vigorous or powerful, whether it regularly influences our conduct, reftrains our paffions, and moderates our defires, what is intended by this duty, but that if we find ourselves christians only in name, if we discover that the example of our divine Mafter has little force upon our constant conversation, and that God is seldom in our thoughts, except in the folemn acts of flated worthin, we must then endeavour to invigorate our faith by returning frequently to meditate upon the objects of it, our creation, our redemption, the means of grace and the hope of glory; and to enlighten our understandings, and awaken our affections, by the perusal of writings of piety, and, above all, of the Holy Scriptures.

If any man, in his examination of his life, discovers that

be has been guilty of fraud, extertion, or injury to his neighbour, he is to make reparation to his utmost power. If he sinds malice or hatred lurking in his mind, he must expel them by a strong resolution never to comply with their mosions, or suffer them to break out in any real act of revenge. If he observes that he is often hetrayed, by passions, or appetites, into unlawful methods of gratifying them, he must resolve to restrain them for the suture, by watching and safting, by a steady semagrance and perpetual vigilance.

But let him beware of vain confidence in his own firmness, and implore, by fervent and fincere prayer, the co-operation of God's grace with his endeavours; for by grace alone can we hope to refift the numberless temptations that perpetually finround us; by grace only can we reject the folicitations of pleasase, representate motions of anger, and turn away from the alluraments of ambition. And this grace, when fincerely implored, is always granted in a degree sufficient for our fall mation; and it ought, therefore, to be one of the first parts of our preparation for the Bacrament, so pray for that grace; without which our examination itself will be useless, because, without its no pions resolution can be formed, for any wirtue be practifed.

Ma, therefore, it is only by an habitual and unrepented unmorthiness that damnation is incurred, let no man be haraffed with despondency for any past irreverence or coldness ! As the Sacrament was instituted for one of the means of grace, let no one, who sincerely desires the salvation of his own soul, neglect to receive it; and as eternal punishment is denounced by the Apossle against all those who receive it unworthily, let no man approach the Table of the Lord, without repentance of his former sins, sleadfast purposes of a new life, and sall considence in his merits, whose death is represented by it.

As the form the many of the months of the many of the

## SERMON XXIII.

## (Preached on the 30th of january.)

.. JAMES, Hi. 16.

Where envying and firife is, there is confusion.

THAT the life of man is unhappy; that his days are not only few, but evil, that he is forcounded by dangers, distance only few, but evil, that he is forcounded by dangers, distance of proofs. This is a truth which evely man confessor, are which he that denies it denies against colvession. Accordingly we find the inserties of our prefers limitated by against soft every what, from the imprired teachers of religions who admostly us of our frailty and infellicity, that they may limite us to labour after a better flate, where there is fulleging author, and pleasures for exercise, to the valued undeblock author, which design is so teach methods, not at impriving limits was walting time, and whose doctrine St. Paul, specific in hor sowed bharacter, has well expressed in one stort session. Ever sowed bharacter, has well expressed in one stort session.

When such is the condition of beings, not waste and age, but endowed with reason and united in locally, who would not expect that they should join in a perpetual consists the which they are exposed? that they should universally co-operated the promotion of universal telicity? that every man should easily discover that his own happiness is connected with that of every other man? that thousands and millions should continue together, as partakers of one common nature? and that every

eye should be vigifant, and every hand active, for the confirmation of eale, and the prevention of mistortune?

This expectation might be formed by speculative wildom, but experience will show dislipate the pleasing illusion. A slight survey of tife will show that, instead of hoping to be happy in the general selicity, every man pursues a private and independent interest, proposes to slightly some peculiar convenience, and prizes it more, as it is less attainable by others.

When the ties of fociety are thus broken, and the general good of mankind is lubdivided into the leparate advantages of individuals, it must necessarily happen that many will define what few can possess, and consequently that lome will be fortunate by the disappointment, or deleat of others, and lince no man fulfers disappointment without pain, that one intust become milerable by another's happiness.

This is, however, the natural condition of human life. As it is not possible for a being, necessitous and insufficient as man, to act wholly without regard to his interest, so it is difficult for him to place his interest at such 'a distance from him, as to act, with conflant and uniform diligence, in hopes only of happihels flowing back upon him in its circulation through a whole community, to leek his own good, only by leeking the good of all others, of many whom he cannot know, and of many whom he cannot love. Such a diffution of interest. fuch sublimation of sell-love is to all difficult, because it so places the end at a great dillance from the endeavour; it is to many impossible, because to many the end, thus removed, will be out of light. And for great are the numbers of those whole views dither nature has bounded, or corruption has contracted, that whoever fabours only for the public, will foon be left to labour alone, and driven from his attention to the univerle, which his lingle care will very little benefit, to the inspection of his own bulinels, and the profecution of his private withes. Every man has, in the present state of things, wants which eannor wait for public plenty, and vexations which mult be quieted before the days of universal peace. And no man can

live only for others, unless he could persuade others to live only for him.

The mifery of the world, therefore, so far as it arises from the inequality of conditions, is incurable. There are defires, which almost all feel, but which all cannot gratify. Every man may, without a crime, study his own happiness, if he be eareful not to impede, by design, the happiness of others. In the race of life, some must gain the prize, and others must lose it; but the prize is honefully gained by him who outrons his sompetitor, without endeavouring to overthrow him.

In the profecution of private interest, which Providence has either ordained or permitted, there must necessarily be some kind of strike. Where blessings are thrown before us, as the reward of industry, there must be a constant struggle of emulation. But this strike would be without consuston, if it were regulated by reason and religion, if men would endeavour after lawful ends by Jawsul means.

But as there is a laudable defire of meliogating the condition of life, which communities may not only allow, but encourage, as the parent of useful arts, by which first necessity was supplied, and conveniencies will always be multiplied; as there is likewise an honest contention for preserence and superiority, by which the powers of greater minds are pushed into action, and the ancient boundaries of science are overpast; so there is likewise a strife, of a permicious and destructive kind, which daily disturbs the quiet of individuals, and too frequently obstructs or disturbs the happiness of nations; a strife which always terminates in confusion, and which it is therefore every man's duty to avoid himself, and every man's interest to repress in others.

This strife, of which cometh consultan, the apostle has, in his prohibition, joined with envying. And daily experience will prove that he has joined them with great propriety; for perhaps there has seldom been any great and lasting strife in the world, of which envy was not either the original motive, or the most forcible incentive. The ravages of religious en-

thuffalts, and the wars kindled by difference of opinions, may perflaps be considered as calamities which cannot properly be imputed to envy; yet even thele may often be juffly fulpected of filling from no higher or nobler causes. A man convinced of the truth of his own tenets, withing the happiness of others, and confidering happinels as the certain confeduence of truth, is necessarily prompted to extend his opinions, and to fill the world with profelytes. But furely pure zeal cannot carry him beyond warm dispute and earnest exhortation: Because by dispute and exhortation alone can real profelytes be made. Violence may extort confession from the tongue, but the mind must remain unchanged. Opinion. whether falle or true, whether founded on evidence, or railed by prejudice. Rands equally unshaken in the tempests of commotion, and fets at defiance the flames of hostility, and the fword of perfecution.

No man, whose reason is not darkened by some inordinate perturbation of mind, can possibly judge so absurdly of beings partakers of the same nature with himself, as to imagine that any opinion can be recommended by cruelty and mischief, or that he who cannot perceive the force of argument, will be more efficaciously instructed by penalties and tortures. The power of punishment is to science, not to confute. It therefore can never serve for the effectual propagation, or obstruction of doctrines. It may indeed sometimes hinder the differentiation of falsehood, and check the progress of error, but can never promote the reception of truth.

Whenever, therefore, we find the teacher jezious of the honour of his feet, and apparently more folicitous to fee his opinions established than approved, we may conclude that he has added envy to his zeal; and that he teels more pain from the want of victory, than pleasure from the enjoyment of truth.

It is the prefent mode of speculation to charge these men with total hypocrisy, as wretches who have no other design but that of temporal advancement, and consider religion only as one of the means by which power is gained, or wealth accumulated. But this charge, whatever may have been the deprayity of lingle perlans, is by no means, generally, was The perfecutor and enthuliall have often been lunerion to the delire of worldly policilions, or, at least, bave been abstracted from it by fronger pattions. There is a kind of mercantile Insculation, which afcribes every aftion to investil, and sonfiders interest as only another pame, for pecuniary, advantage, But the boundless variety of human affections is not to be Caules and effetts motives and thus eafily eixcumferibed. actions, are complicated and diverbified without and ... Many men make party lublervient to perfenal purpoles and many likewise suffer all private considerations to be absorbed and loss in their zeal for some public cause. But, enve still, operates, however various in its appearance, however disquised by specialis pretences, or however removed from notice by intermediate causes. All violence, beyond the negestity of selfdefence, is incited by the defire of humbling the opponents, and, whenever it is applied to the decision of religious qualtions, aims at conquest rather than conversion.

Since, therefore, envy is found to egerate to often and to ferretly, and the fixife which asifes from it is egerate to each in confusion, it is furely the duty of every man who defines the prosperity of his country, as connected with a passicular community, or the general happiness of the world, as allied to general happiness of the world, as allied to general happiness.

First, To coolider, by what tokens he may discover in himself, or others, that strife which springs from early and ends in consuston.

Secondly. What are the evils produced by the configent which proceeds from fixife.

First, Let us consider, by what tokens we may discover in ourselves, or others, that first, which springs from early, and ends in consustor.

That strite may well be supposed to proceed from some corrupt passion, which is carried on with vehemence, disproportioned to the importance of the end openly proposed. Men

maturally value ease and tranquillity at a very high rate, and will not, on very small causes, either soffer labour, or excite opposition. When, therefore, any man voluntarily engages in tasks of difficulty, and incurs danger, or suffers hardships, it must be imagined that he proposes to himself some reward, more than equivalent to the comforts which he thus resigned and of which he seems to triumph in the resignation; and if it cannot be found, that his labours tend to the advancement of some end, worthy of so much assistant, he may justly be supposed to have formed to himself some imaginary interest, and to seek his gratification, not in that which he himself gains, but which another loses.

It is a token that strife proceeds from unlawful motives, when it is prosecuted by unlawful means. He that seeks only the right, and only for the sake of right, will not easily suffer himself to be transposted beyond the just and allowed methods of attaining it. To do evil that good may come, can never be the purpose of a man who has not perverted his mosality by some sale principle; and sale principles are not so often collected by the judgment, as snatched up by the passions. The man whose duty gives way to his convenience, who, when once he has fixed his eye upon a distant end, hastens to it by violence over forbidden ground, or creeps on towards it through the crooked paths of fraud and stratagem, as he has evidently some other guide than the word of God, must be supposed to have likewise some other purpose than the glosy of God, or the benefit of man.

The evidence of corrupt deligns is much firengthened, when unlawful means are used, in preference to those which are recommended by reason, and warranted by justice.

When that which would have been granted to request, or yielded to remonstrance, is wantonly seized by sudden violence, it is apparent that violence is chosen for its own sake, and that the claimant pleases himself, not with the possession, but the power by which it was gained, and the mortification

of him, to whom his superiority has not allowed the happiness of choice, but has at once taken from him the honour of keeping, and the credit of religning.

There is another token that Rrife is produced by the predeminance of some vicious passion, when it is carried on against natural or legal, superiority. This token, though perhaps it is not very frequently fallacious, is not equally certain with the former; because that superiority, which pasure gives, by inflinations establish, too frequently incites infolence. of operellion; such infolence as may jully be restrained, and fach oppression as may be lawfully resided. Many modes of tyranny have been practifed in the world, of which it is more netural to afk, with wonder, why they were submitted to so long, than why they were at last opposed and quelled. But it history and experience inform us that power and greatness grow manton and licentious, that wealth and profperity clate the mind, and enflave the understanding to delize, and when men once find that no one has power to controul them, they are feldom very attentive to justice, or very careful to controud themselves: History and experience will likewise shew us, that the contrary condition has its temptations and its erimes, that he who confiders himself as subject to another, and liable to fuffer by caprice or wickedness, often anticipates the evils of his flate, imagines himself to feel what he only fears, and imputes every failure of negligence, or flart of paffion, to studied tyranny and settled malevolence. To be interior is necessarily unpleasing, to be placed in a state of interiority to those who have no eminent abilities, or transcendent merit, (which must happen in all political constitutions) increases the uneasiness: and every man finds in himself a firing inclination to throw down from their elevated flate those whom he obeys without approbation, whom he reverences without effeem. When the passions are once in motion, they are not easily appealed, or checked. He that has once concluded it lawful to relist power, when it wants merit, will foon find a want of merit, to justify his own relistance of power.

Thus, if we consider the conduct of individuals, powards each other, we shall commonly find the labourer maring at him who seems to live by easier means. We shall hear the poor repining that others are rich, and even the rich speaking with malignity of those who are still richer than themselves.

And it we survey the condition of kingdoms and commonwealths, it will always be observed, that governors are confused, that every mischief of chance is imputed to ill designs, and that nothing can persuade mankind, that they are not ingured by an administration, either unskilful, or corrupt. It is very difficult always to do right. To seem always to do right to those who desire to discover wrong, is scardely possible. Every man is ready to form expectations in his own sevous, such as never can be gratisted, and which will yet raise complaints, if they are disappointed.

Such is commonly the disposition, with which men lock upon those who are placed above them, and with such dispositions we cannot hope that they should be often pleased. Life is a state of impersection, and yet every man exacts from his superiors consummate wisdom, and unfailing virtue; and whenever he sees, or believes himself to see, either vice or error, thinks himself at liberty to loosen the ties of duty, and pass the boundaries of subordination, without considering that of such strife there must come consustant, or without knowing, what we shall consider,

Secondly, The evils and mischiefs produced by that confufion which arises from strife.

That the destruction of order, and the abolition of savest regulations, must fill the world with uncertainty, distraction and solicitude, is apparent, without any long deduction of an gument. Yet it has too frequently happened, that those why either feel their wishes restrained, see their fortunes wearing away, or imagine their merit neglected, and their abilities amployed upon business unworthy of their attention, desire times of tumult and disturbance, as affording the fairest opportunities for the active and sagacious to distinguish themselves, and as throwing open the avenues of wealth and honour, to be agre-

tered by those who have the greatest quickness of disserninent, and celetity of dispatch. In times of purce every thing proceeds in a train of regularity, and there is no indden adwantage to be finatched, nor any manufual change of emplition to be hoped. But when fedition and uproug have ence intenced law, and confounded property, then is the hour when chance begins to predominate in the world, when avery man easy hope without bounds, and those, who know how to improve the lucky moment, may gain in a day what no length of shoor could have produced, without the communication of cases hadvantage.

This is the expectation which makes tome hallen on conlution, and others look with concern at its approach. But what is this other than girning by universal milety, sapplying by force the want of right, and rising to sudden elevation, by the Indden slownfal of others?

The great benefit of fociety is that the week are pastabled againsh the firong. The great evil of confusion is that the world is thrown into the hands, not of the best but of the bronges; that all certainty of possession or appulsion is destroyed; that every man's care is confined to his town interact, and that general negligence of the general good makes way for general licentionsels.

Of the fixife, which this day brings back to any maneunbrance, we may observe, that it had all the takens of Araje proceeding from envy. The rage of the fallian; which invaded the rights of the Church and Monarchy, was Missioner-Monate to the provocation received. The victors, with which hoftility was professed, was more than the cause about was publicly avowed could incite or juffify. Ressoul safeinment was apparent in the perfecution of panicular men. and the bitternels of faction broke out in all the debathration public questions. No securities could quiet suspission no. concellions could fatisfy exorbitance. Uturpation was added. ... to therpation; demand was accumulated on demand; and, When war had decided against loyalty, infult was added to infull, and exaction to exaction.

As the end was unjust, the means likewise were illegal. The power of the saction, commenced by clamour, was promoted by rebellion, and established by murder; by murder of the most atrocious kind, deliberate, contumelious, and cruel; by murder, not necessary even to the salety of those by whom it was committed, but chosen in preference to any other expedient for security.

This war certainly did not want the third token of ftrife proceeding from envy. It was a war of the rabble against their superiors; a war, in which the lowest and basest of the people were encouraged by men a little higher than themselves, to lift their hands against their ecclesiastical and civil Governors, and by which those, who were grown impatient of obedience, endeavoured to obtain the power of commanding.

This frife, as we all know, ended in confusion. Our laws were overruled, our rights were abolished. The foldier leigned upon the property; the fanatick rushed into the church; the Usurpers gave way to other Usurpers; the Schismaticks were thrust out by other Schismaticks; the people selt nothing from their masters but alternatives of oppression, and heard nothing from their teachers but varieties of error.)

Such was the strife, and such was the consustant. Such are the evils which God sometimes permits to sall upon nations, when they sland secure in their own greatness, and sorget their dependence on universal sovereignty, depart from the laws of their Maker, corrupt the purity of his worship, or swerve from the truth of his revelation. Such evils surely we have too much reason to sear again, for we have no right to charge our Ancestors with having provoked them by crimes greater than our own.

Let us therefore be warned by the calamities of past ages; and those miseries, which are due to our sins, let us avert by our penitence. Let the wicked for sake his ways, and the unrighteous man his thoughts, and let him return unto the Lord, and he will have mercy upon him, and to our God, and he will abundantly pardon.

### SERMON XXIV.

PROVERBS, XXIX. 2.

When the righteous are in authority, the people rejoice.

THAT the institutions of government owe their original. like other human actions, to the delire of happinels, is not to be denied; nor is it less generally allowed, that they have been perverted to very different ends from those which they were intended to promote. This is a truth which it would be very superfluous to prove by authorities, or illustrate by examples, Every page of history, whether facred or profane, will furnish us abundantly with instances of rulers that have deviated from justice, and subjects that have forgotten their allegiance; of nations ruined by the tyranny of governors, and of governors overborne by the madness of the populace. Instead of a concurrence between governor and subjects for their mutual advantage, they feem to have confidered each other, not as allies or friends, to be aided or supported, but as enemies, whose prosperity was inconsistent with their own, and who were therefore to be subdued by open force, or subjected by secret Thus have flavery and licentiousness succeeded one another, and anarchy and despotic power alternately prevailed. Virtue has, at one time, flood exposed to the punishments of vice; and vice, at another time, enjoyed the fecurity and privileges of virtue. Nor have communities suffered more, when they were exposed to the passions and caprices of one man, however cruel, ambitious, or infolent, than when all restraint has been taken off the actions of men by public confusions, and every one left at full liberty to indulge his own defires, and comply, without fear of punishment, with his wildest imaginations.

Man is, for the most part, equally unhappy, when subjected, without redress, to the passions of another, or left, without controll, to the dominion of his own. This every man, how-

ever unwilling he may be to own it of himself, will very readily acknowledge of his neighbour. No man knows any one, except himself, whom he judges fit to be set free from the coercion of laws, and to be abandoned entirely to his own choice. By this consideration have all civilized nations been induced to the enactions of penal laws, laws by which every man's danger becomes every man's safety, and by which, though all are restrained, yet all are benefited.

Government is therefore necessary, in the opinion of every one, to the safety of particular men, and the happiness of society; and it may be considered as a maxim universally admitted, that the people cannot rejoice, except the righteous are in authority: that no public prosperity, or private quiet, can be hoped for, but from the justice and wisdom of those to whom the administration of affairs and the execution of the laws is committed. For corrupt governments operate, with equal force and efficacy, to the destruction of a people, as good governments to their preservation.

But that authority may never swell into tyranny, or languish into supineness, and that subjection may never degenerate into slavery, nor freedom kindle into rebellion, it may be proper, both for those who are entrusted with power, and those from whom obedience is required, to consider,

First, How much it is the duty of those in authority to promote the happiness of the people.

Secondly, By what means the happiness of the people may be most effectually promoted.

Thirdly, How the people are to affift and further the endeavours of their governors.

First, How much it is the duty of those in authority to promote the happiness of the people.

If it be true in general that no man is born merely for his own fake, to confult his own advantage or pleasure, unconmethod with the good of others; it is yet more evidently true
of those who are exalted into high rank, dignified with honours, and invested with authority. Their superiority is not to

be confidered as a function for laziness, or a privilege for vice. They are not to conceive that their pathons are to be allowed a wider sange, or their appetites fet more free from subjections to reason, then those of others. They are not to consult their dwn glory, at the expense of the lives of others, or to gravity their avarice, by plundering those whom diligence and labour have entitled to affluence. They are not to conceive that power gives a right to oppress, and to punish those who musmur at oppression. They are to look upon their power and their greatness as infiruments placed in their hands, to be employed for the public advantage. They are to remember they are placed upon an eminence, that their examples may be more conspicuous, and that, therefore, they must take case less they teach those vices which they oughe to suppress. must reflect, that it is their duty to secure property from the attempts of rapine and robbery, and that those whom they protest will be very little benefited by their care, if what they rescue from others they take away themselves.

It appears from those struggles for dominion, which have filled the world with war, bloodshed and defolation, and have torn in pieces almost all the states and kingdoms of the earth, and from those daily contests for subordinate authority which disturb the quiet of smaller societies, that there is fomewhat in power more pleasing than in any other enjoyment; and, consequently, to beslow upon man the happiness of ruling others, is to bestow upon him the greatest benefit he is capable of receiving. Nothing then can equal the obligation of governors to the people, and nothing but the most flagrant ingratitude can make them careless of the interests, or unconcerned at the misfortunes of those to whom they owe that, for which no danger has been thought too dreadful to be encountered. no labour too tedious to be undergone, and no crime too horrible to be committed. Gratitude is a species of justice. He that requites a benefit may be faid, in some sense, to pay . debt; and, of courfe, he that forgets favours received may be accused of neglecting to pay what he cannot be denied to owe.

But this is not the only sense in which justice may be said to require from a Governor an attention to the wants and petitions of the people. He that engages in the management of public business, takes a trust upon him, which it was in his power to decline, and which he is therefore bound to discharge with diligence and fidelity; a trust which is of the highest honour, because it is of the greatest difficulty and importance, a trust which includes, not only the care of the property, but of the morals of the people.

It is with the justest reason, that large revenues, pompous titles, and all that contributes to the happiness of life, are annexed to these high offices; for what reward can be too great for him, to whom multitudes are indebted for the secure enjoyment of their possessions? for him, whose authority checks the progress of vice, and assists the advancement of virtue, restrains the violence of the oppressor, and asserts the cause of the injured? These are doubtless merits above the common rate, merits which can hardly be too loudly celebrated, or too liberally rewarded.

But it is always to be observed, that he only deserves the recompense, who petforms the work for which it is proposed; and that he, who wears the honours, and receives the revenues, of an exalted nation, without attending to the duties of his post, is, in a very high degree, criminal, both in the eye of God and man.

It is, therefore, the certain and apparent duty of those that are in authority, to take care that the people may rejoice, and diligently to enquire, what is to be considered,

Secondly, By what means the happiness of the people may be most effectually promoted.

In political, as well as natural disorders, the great error of those who commonly undertake, either cure or preservation, is, that they rest in second causes, without extending their search to the remote and original sources of evil. They therefore obviate the immediate evil, but leave the destructive principle to operate again; and have their work for ever to

begin, like the hufbandman who maws down the heads of notione weeds, inflead of pulling up the roots.

The only uniform and perpetual cause of public happiness is public virtue. The effects of all other things which are confidered as advantages, will be found casual and transitory. Without virtue nothing can be securely possessed, or properly enjoyed.

In a country like ours, the great demand, which is for ever repeated to our Governors, is for the lecurity of property, the confirmation of liberty, and the extension of commerce. All this we have obtained, and all this we possels, in a degree which perhaps was never granted to any other people. Yet we still find something wanting to our happiness, and turn ourselves round on all sides, with perpetual resilessness, to find that remedy for our evils which neither power nor policy can afford.

That established property and inviolable freedom are the greatest of political felicities, no man can be supposed likely to deny. To depend on the will of another, to labour for that, of which arbitrary power can prohibit the enjoyment, is the state to which want of reason has subjected the brute. To be happy we must know our own rights; and we must know them to be sate.

But though this knowledge be necessary to happiness, this knowledge is not sufficient. Liberty, if not regulated by virtue, can be only licence to do evil; and property, it not virtuously enjoyed, can only corrupt the possessor, and give him the power to injure others. Trade may make us rich; but riches, without goodness, cannot make us happy.

Let us, however, suppose that these external goods have that power which wildom cannot believe, and which experience never could confirm; let us suppose that riches and hierty could make us happy. It then remains to be considered, how riches and liberty can be secured. To this the Politician has a ready answer, that they are to be secured by laws wifely formed, and vigorously executed. But, as laws can

be made only by a small part of an extensive empire, and must be executed by a part yet far smaller, what shall protect us against the laws themselves? And how shall we be certain, that they shall not be made without regard to the public good, or shall not be perverted to oppression by the ministers of justice?

But it prosperity, and laws, by which, as far as the mutability of this, world permits, that prosperity is made permanent and safe, cannot make the people happy, what is it the Governors can do? How far is their care to be extended, and what more can skill and vigilance perform? The wisdom of mankind has been exercised in enquiries how riches may be gained and kent; how the different claims of men may be adjusted without violence; and how one part of the community may be restrained from encroachments on the other. For this end governments have been instituted, in all their various forms, with much study, and too often with much bloodshed. But what is the use of all this, if, when these ends are obtained, there is yet so much wanting to selicity?

I am far from intending to infinuate, that the studies of political wisdom, or the labours of legislative patriotism, have been vain and idle. They are useful, but not effectual; they are conducive to that end, which yet they cannot fully gain. The Legislator, who does what human power can attain towards the selicity of his sellow-creatures, is not to be censured, because, by the imbecility of all human endeavours, he fails of his purpose; unless he has become culpable, by ascribing too much to his own powers, and agrogated to his industry, or his wit, that efficacy which wit and industry must always want, unless some higher power lends them assistance, and co-operates with them.

The husbandman may plow his fields with industry, and fow them, with skill; he may manure them copiously, and space them carefully; but the harvest must depend at last on the celestial influence; and all his diligence is frustrated, unless the sup sheds its warmth, and the clouds pour down their

moillure. Thus, in all human affairs, when prudence and industry have done their utmost, the work is left to be completed ed by superior agency; and in the security of peace, and salbility of possession, our policy must at last call for help upon religion.

Human laws, however honefly inflitted, or however vigorously enforced, must be limited in their effect, partly by our ignorance, and partly by our weakhess. Daily experience may convince us, that all the avenues by which injury and oppression may break in upon life, cannot be guarded by positive prohibitions. Every man fees, and may seel, evils, which no law can punish. And not only will there always remain possibilities of guilt, which legislative foresight cannot discover, but the laws will be often violated by wicked men, whose substitute eludes detection, and whom therefore, vindicate justice cannot bring within the reach of punishment.

These describes in civil lifecan be supplied only by religion. The mere observer of human saws avoids only such offences as the laws forbid, and those only when the laws can detect his delinquency. But he who acts with the perpetual consciousness of the divine presence, and considers himself as accountable for all his actions to the irreversible and unerring judgment of omniscience, has other motives of action, and other reasons of sorbearance. He is equally restrained from evil, in public life, and in secret softude; and has only one rule of action, by which he does to others what he would that others should do to him, and wants no other enforcement of his duty, than the sear of suture punishment, and the hope of suture rewards.

The first duty therefore of a Governor is to dissule through the community a spirit of religion, to endeavor that a single of the divine authority should prevail in all orders of sice, and that the laws should be obeyed, in subordination to the universal and unchangeable edicts of the Creator and Rules of the world.

How religion may be most effectually promoted, is an ea-

quiry which every Governor ought diligently to make; and he that enquires, with real wishes for reformation, will soon know his duty; for Providence has seldom made the some things necessary and abstructe.

That religion may be invigorated and diffused, it is necessary that the external order of religion be diligently maintained, that the solemnities of worship be duly observed, and a proper reverence preserved for the times and the places appropriated to piety. The appropriations of time and place are indeed only means to the great end of holines; but they are means, without which the end cannot be obtained; and every man must have observed, how much corruption prevails, where the attention to public worship and to holy seasons is broken or relaxed.

Those that have in their hands the disposal of riches or honours ought to bestow them on persons who are most eminent for fanciaty of life. For though no man ought to consider temporary goods as the proper rewards of religious duties, yet, they, who have them to give, are obliged to distribute them in such a manner as may make them most useful to the public; and they will be most useful, when they increase the power of beneficence, and enlarge the influence of piety.

It yet remains that Governors co-operate with their laws by their own examples, and that as, by their height of place, they are always conspicuous, they exhibit to those eyes which are turned upon them the beauty of holiness.

The prefent state of the world however affords us little hope, that virtue can, by any government, be so strongly impressed, or so widely dissuled, as to supercede the necessity of suppressing wickedness. In the most diligent cultivation of the happiest foil, weeds will sometimes appear among strutts and slowers, and all that vigilance and labor can do is to check them as they rise. However virtue way be encouraged or rewarded, it can never appear to all minds the shortest means of present good. There will always be those who would rather grow rich by fraud, than by diligence, and who will pro-

vide for vicious pleasures by violence rather than by labour. Against the attempts and artifices of such men, whence have simplicity and innocence their defence and security? Whence, but from the Lex armata, the vindictive law, that stands forth the champion of the weak, and the protectress of the innocent?

Nor is quiet and fecurity in danger only from corrupt minds ; for honest and beneficent men might often, were not the law to interpole, disturb fociety, and fill the country with violence. Two men, both of them wife, and both of them virtuous, may lay-claim to the same possession, with pretenfions, to the world specious, in their own thoughts just. Such disputes can be terminated only by force or law. force, it is apparent, that the exertion of it is an immediate evil, and that prevalence at last will be no proof of justice. Of law, the means are gentle and inoffentive, and the conclusion not only the confirmation of property, but the establishment of right. For this power of the law virtue itself will leave employment; for though crimes would hardly be committed but by predominance of passion, yet litigation must always sublist while there is difference of opinion. We can hope but faintly for the time when all men shall be honest; but the time feems still more remote in which all men shall be wife; and until we may be able to fettle all claims for ourfelves, let us rejoice that there is law to adjust them for us.

The care however of the best Governor may be frustrated by disobedience and perverseness; and the best laws may strive in vain against radicated wickedness.

It is therefore fit to consider,

Thirdly, How the people are to affift and further the endeavours of their Governors.

As all government is power exerted by few upon many, it is apparent that nations cannot be governed but by their own confent. The first duty therefore of subjects is obedience to the laws; such obedience as is the effect, not of compulsion, but of reverence; such as arises from a conviction of the in-

flability of human virtue, and of the necessity of some coefcive power, which may restrain the exorbitancies of passion, and check the career of natural desires.

Wo man thinks laws unnecessary for others; and no man, if he considers his own inherent trailty, can justly think them unnecessary for himself. The wifest man is not always wise, and the best man is not always good. We all sometimes want the admonition of law, as supplemental to the dictates of reason, and the suggestions of conscience. And he that encourages irreverence, in himself, or others, to public institutions, weakens all the human securities of peace and all the corroborations of virtue.

That the proper influence of government may be preferved, and that the liberty which a just distribution of power naturally supports, may not operate to its destruction, it is always to be remembered, that even the errors and deficiencies of authority must be treated with respect. All institutions are desective by their nature; and all Rulers have their imperfectrons, like other men. But, as not every failing makes a bad man, fo not every error makes a bad government; and he that confiders how few can properly adjust their own houses, will not wonder that into the multiplicity of national affairs deception or negligence frould fometimes find their way. It is like. wife necessary to remember, that as government is difficult to be administered, it is dissible to be understood; and that where very lew have capacity to judge, very few have a right to cenfore. The happiness of a nation must arise from the combined endeavors of Covernors and Jubiects. The duties of governing can be the lot of lew, but all of us have the duties of fubjects to perform; and every man ought to incite in himfelt. and in his neighbour, that obedience to the laws, and that respect to the chief Magistrate; which may secure and promote concord and quiet. Of this, as of all other virtues, the true basis is religion. The laws will be easily obeyed by him who adds to human fanctions the obligations of conscience; and he will not easily be disposed to centure his superiors, whom refferen has made acquainted with his own feelings.

## SERMON XXV.

[watetun to mai jourson, for the Tunekal or his wire.]

JOHN xi, 25, 26, (FORMER PART.)

Jefus faid unto her, I am the Resurrection, and the Life: he

that believeth in me, though he were dead, yet shall he times and
who sever liveth, and believeth in me, shall never die:

the gloomy pallage through the valley of the shadow of death, and to ease that anxiety, to which beings, prescient of their own dissolution, and conscious of their own danger, must be necessarily exposed, is the privilege only of revealed religion. All those, to whom the supernatural light of heavenly doctrine has never been imparted, however formidable for power, or illustrious for wisdom, have wanted that knowledge of their suture state which alone can give comfort to misery, or security to enjoyment; and have been forced to rush forwards to the grave, through the darkness of ignorance; or, if they happened to be more refined and inquisitive, to solve their passage with the fallacious and uncertain glimmer of philosophy.

There were, doubtlefs, at all times, as there are now, many who lived with very little thought concerning, their end; many whose time was wholly filled up by public or domestic business, by the pursuits of ambition, or the desire of riches; many who dissolved themselves in luxurious enjoyment, and, when they could lull their minds by any present pleasure, and no regard to distant events, but withheld their imagination from fallying out into suturity, or catching any terror that might interrupt their quiet; and there were many who rule so little above animal life, that they were completely engrossed by the objects about them, and had their minimum extended no farther than to the next hour; in whom the state reasons was half extinct, and who had neither hopes nor fact, but of some near advantage, or some pressing danger.

But multitudes there must always be, and greater insitiaudes, as arts and civility prevail, who cannot wholly with draw their thoughts from death. All cannot be distracted with business, or stunned with the clamours of assemblies, or the shouts of armies. All cannot live in the perpetual distination of successive diversions, nor will all enslave their understandings to their senses, and seek selicity in the gross gratifications of appetite. Some must always keep their reason and their sancy in action, and seek either honour or pleasure from intellectual operations; and from them, others more negligent or sluggish, will be in time fixed or awakened; knowledge will be perpetually disfused, and curiosity hourly enlarged.

But, when the faculties were once put in motion, when the mind had broken loofe from the shackles of sense, and made excursions to remote consequences, the first consideration that would Rop her course must be the incessant waste of life, the approach of age, and the certainty of death; the approach of that time, in which strength must fail, and pleasure sly away, and the certainty of that diffolysion which shall put an end to all the profpects of this world.\ It is impossible to think, and not sometimes to think on death. Hope, indeed, has many powers of delution; whatever is possible, however unlikely, it will teach us to promise ourselves; but death no man has escaped, and therefore no man can hope to escape it. From this dreadful expectation no shelter or refuge can be found. Whatever we fee. forces it upon us; whatever is, new or old, flourishing or declining, either directly, or by a very short deduction, leads man to the confideration of his end; and accordingly we find, that the fear of death has always been considered as the great enemy of human quiet, the polluter of the feast of happiness, and embitterer of the cup of joy. The young man who rejoiceth in his youth, amidst his music and his gaiety, has always been disturbed with the thought, that his youth will be quickly at an end. The monarch, to whom it is faid that he is a God, had always been reminded by his own heart, that he shall die like man. This unwelcome conviction, which is thus continually preffed upon the mind, every art has been employed to oppose. The general remedy, in all ages, has been to chase it

Hh.

away from the present moment, and to gain a fulnerie of the In the ancient writings we. pain that could not be cured. therefore, find the thortness of life frequently mentioned as an excitement to jollity and pleasure; and may plainly discover. that the authors had no other means of relieving that gloom with which the uncertainty of human life clouded their conceptions. Some of the philosophers, indeed, appear to have fought a nobler, and a more certain remedy, and to have endeavoured to overpower the force of death by arguments, and so dispel the gloom by the light of reason. They enquired into the nature of the foul of man, and thewed, at least probably, that it is a sub-Stance distinct from matter, and therefore independent of the body, and exempt from diffolution and corruption. misms, whether physical, or moral, upon which they established this doctrine, it is not necessary to recount to a christian audience, by whom it is believed upon more certain proofs, and highor authority; fince, though they were such as might determine the calm mind of a philosopher, inquifitive only after truth, and uninfluenced by external objects, yet they were fuch as required beifure and capacity, not allowed in general to mankind; they were fuch as many could never understand, and of which, therefore, the efficacy and comfort were confined to a small number. without any benefit to the unenlightened multitude.

Such has been hitherto the nature of philosophical arguments, and such it must probably forever remain; for, though, perhaps, the successive industry of the studious may encrease the number, or advance the probability, of arguments; and, though continual contemplation of matter will. I believe, shew it, at length, wholly incapable of motion, sensation, or order, by any powers of its own, and therefore necessarily establish the immateriality, and, probably, the immortality of the soul; yet there never can be expected a time, in which the gross body of mankind can attend to such speculations, or can comprehend them; and, therefore, there never can be a time, in which this knowledge can be taught in such a manner as to be generally conducive to virtue, or happiness, but by a messenger from God, from the Creator of the world, and the Father of Spirits.

To perfuade common and uninstructed minds to the belief of any fact, we may every day perceive, that the testimony of one man, whom they think worthy of credit, has more force than the arguments of a thousand reasoners, even when the arguments are such as they may be imagined completely qualified to comprehend. Hence it is plain, that the constitution of mankind it such, that abstruce and intellectual truths can be taught no otherwise than by positive affertion, supported by some sensible evidence, by which the afferter is secured from the suspicion of salsehood; and that if it should please God to inspire a teacher with some demonstration of the immortality of the soul, it would far less avail him for general instruction, than the power of working a miracle in its vindication, unless God should, at the same time, inspire all the hearers with docility and apprehension, and turn, at once, all the fenfual, the giddy, the lazy, the bufy, the corrupt and the proud, into humble, abstracted, and diligent philosophers,

To bring life and immortality to light, to give fuch proofs of our future existence, as may influence the most narrow mind, and fill the most capacious intellect, to open prospects beyond the grave, in which the thought may expanate without obstruction, and to supply a reluge and support to the mind, amidst all the miseries of decaying nature, is the peculiar excellence of Without this heavenly instructor, he the Golpel of Christ. who teels himself finking under the weight of years, or melting away by the flow waste of a lingering disease, has no other remedy than obdurate patience, a gloomy relignation to that which cannot be avoided; and he who follows his friend, or whoever there is yet dearer than a friend, to the grave, can have no other consolation than that which he derives from the general misery; the reflection, that he suffers only what the rest of mankind must suffer; a poor consideration, which rather awes us to silence, than foothes us to quiet, and which does not abate the sense of our calamity, though it may fometimes make us ashamed to complain.

But so much is our condition improved by the Gospel, so much is the sling of death rebated, that we may now be invited



to the contemplation of our mortality; as to a pleasing employment of the mind, to an exercise delightful and fectessive, not only when calamity and perfecution drive us out from the affemblies of men, and forrow and wer represent the grave as a refuge and an afylum, but even in the hours of the highest earthly professity, when our cup is full, and when we have laid up flores for ourfelves; for, in him who believes the promife of the Saviour of the World, it can cause no differbance to remember, that this night his foul may be required of him; and he who fuffers one of the sharpest evils which this life can shew, amidst all its varieties of misery; be that has lately been feparated from the person whom a long participation of good and evil had endeared to him; he who has feen kindness swatched from his arms, and fidelity torn from his boson; whose ear is no more to be delighted with tender in fruction, and whole virtue shall be no more awakened by the seasonable whispers of mild reproof, may yet look, without horror, on the tomb which encloses the remains of what he loved and honoured, as upon a place which, if it revives the fepfe of his tota, may calm him with the hope of that flate in which there half be no more grief or separation.

To Christians the celebration of a funeral is by no means a folemnity of barren and unavailing forrow, but established by the church for other purposes.

First, for the consolation of sorrow. Secondly, for the entorcement of piety. The mournful solemnity of the burial of
the dead is instituted, first, for the consolation of that grief to
which the best minds, it not supported and regulated by religion,
are most liable. They who most endeavour the happiness of
others, who devote their thoughts to tenderness and pity, and
studiously maintain the reciprocation of kindness, by degrees
mingle their souls, in such a manner, as to feel from their separation, a total destitution of happiness, a sudden abraption of
all their prospects, a cessation of all their hopes, schemes and
desires. The whole mind becomes a gloomy vacuity, without
any image or form of pleasure, a chaos of consused wishes, directed to no particular end, or to that which, while we wish,

we cannot hope to obtain; for the dead will not revive; those whom God has called away from the present state of existence, tan be seen no more in it; we must go to them; but they cannot return to us.

Yet, to flew that grief is vain, is to afford very little comfort; yet this is all that reason can afford; but religion, our only friend in the moment of diffress, in the moment when the help of man is vain, when fortifude and cowardice fink down together, and the fage and the virgin mingle their lamentations; religion will inform us, that fortow and complaint are not only The voice of God, vain, but unreasonable and erroneous. speaking by his Son and his ApoRles, will instruct us, that she whole departure we now mourn, is not dead, but fleepeth: that only her body is committed to the ground, but that the foul is returned to God, who gave it; that God, who is infinitely merciful, who hateth nothing that he has made, who defireth not the death of a finner; to that God, who only can compare performance with ability, who alone knows how far the heart has been pure, or corrupted, how inadvertency has surprised, fear has betrayed, or weakness has impeded; to that God, who marks every aspiration after a better state, who bears the prayer which the voice cannot utter, records the purpole that perished without opportunity of action, the wish that vanished away without attainment, who is always ready to receive the penitent, to whom fincere contrition is never late, and who will accept the tears of a returning finner.

Such are the reflections to which we are called by the voice of Truth; and from these we shall find that comfort which philosophy cannot supply, and that peace which the world cannot give. The contemplation of the mercy of God may justly assord some consolation, even when the office of burial is performed to shose who have been snatched away without visible amendment of their lives; for, who shall presume to determine the state of departed souls, to lay open what God hath concealed, and to search the counsels of the "Most Highest?"—But, with more consider hope of pardon and acceptance, may we commit those to the receptacles of mortality, who have lived with-

out any open or enormous crimes; who have endeavoyed to propitiate God by repentance, and have died, at last, with hope and refignation. Among these she surely may be remembered whom we have followed hither to the tomb, to pay her the last honours, and to resign her to the grave; she, whom many, who now hear me, have known, and whom none, who were capable of distinguishing either moral or intellectual excellence, could know, without esteem, or tenderness. To praise the extent of her knowledge, the acuteness of test mic, the accuracy of her judgment, the torce of her sentiments, or the elegance of her expression, would ill suit with the occasion.

Such praise would little profit the living, and as little gratify the dead, who is now in a place where competitions are forgotten lorever; where she finds a cup of water given for the relief of a poor brother, a prayer untered for the mercy of God to those whom she wanted power to relieve, a word of instruction to ignorance, a smile of comfort to misery, of more avail than all those accomplishments which confer bosour and diffination among the fone of Folly.-Yet, let it be remembered, that her wit was never employed to scoff at goodness, nor her reason to dispute against truth. In this age of wild opinions, she was as tree from scepticism as the cloistered virgin. She never wished to fignalize herfelf by the fingularity of paradox. She had a just dishdence of her own reason, and desired to practise rather than dispute. Her practice was such as her opinions naturally produced. She was exact and regular in her devotions, full of confidence in the divine mercy, submissive to the dispensations of Providence, extensively charitable in her judgments and opinions, grateful for every kindness that she received, and willing to impart affishance of every kind to all whom her little power enabled her to benefit. She passed through many months of languor, weakness and decay, without a single murmur of impatience, and often expressed her adoration of that mercy which granted her fo long time for recollection and penitence. That she had no failings, cannot be supposed: but she has now appeared before the Almighty Judge; and it would ill become beings like us, weak and finful as herfelf, to remember

those faults which, we trust, Eternal Purity has pardoned. Let us therefore preserve her memory for no other end but to imitate her virtues; and let us add her example to the motives of piety which this solemnity was, secondly, instituted to enforce.

It would not indeed be reasonable to expect, did we not know the inattention and perverfenels of mankind, that any one who had followed a funeral, could fail to return home without new resolutions of a holy life: for, who can see the final period of all human schemes and undertakings, without conviction of the vanity of all that terminates in the prefent state? For, who can fee the wife, the brave, the powerful, or the beauteous, carried to the grave without reflection on the emptinels of all those distinctions, which let us here in opposition to each other? And who, when he fees the vanity of all terrestrial advantages, can forbear to wish for a more permanent and certain happiness? Such wishes, perhaps, often arile, and such resolutions are often formed; but, before the resolution can be exerted, before the wish can regulate the conduct, new prospects open before us, new impressions are received; the temptations of the world folicit, the passions of the heart are put into commotion; we plunge again into the tumult, engage again in the contest, and forget, that what we gain cannot be kept, and that the life. for which we are thus busy to provide, must be quickly at an end.

But, let us not be thus shamefully deluded! Let us not thus idly perish in our folly, by neglecting the loudest call of Providence; nor, when we have followed our friends, and our enemies, to the tomb, suffer ourselves to be surprised by the dreadful summons, and die, at last, amazed and unprepared! Let every one whose eye glances on this bier, examine what would have been his condition, if the same hour had called him to judgment, and remember that, though he is now spared, he may, perhaps, be to-morrow among separate spirits. The present moment is in our power; let us, therefore, from the present moment, begin our repentance! Let us not, any longer, harden our hearts, but bear, this day, the voice of our Saviour and our

God, and begin to do, with all our powers, whatever we shall wish to have done, when the grave shall open before us! Let thole who came hither weeping and lamenting, reflect, that they have not time for useless forrow; that their own salvation is to be secured, and that the day is far spent, and the night cometh, when no man can work; that tears are of no value to the dead. and that their own danger may justly claim their whole attention! Let these who entered this place unaffected and indifferent, and whole only purpole was to behald this toneral fuellacle, consider, that she, whom they thus behald with negligence, and pass by, was lately partaker of the same nature with themselves; and that they likewise are battening to their end, and must soon, by others equally negligent, be buried and forgotten! Let all remember, that the day of life is short, and that the day of grace may be much shorter; that this may be the last warning which God will grant us, and that, perhaps, he, who looks on this grave unalarmed, may fink unreformed into his own!

Let it, therefore, be our care, when we retire from this solemaity, that we immediately turn from our wickedness, and do that which is lawful and right; that, whenever disease or violence shall dissolve our bodies, our souls may be saved alive, and received into everlasting habitations; where, with angels and archangels, and all the glorious host of heaven, they shall sing glory to God on high, and the Lamb, for ever and ever!

THE END.